

FINITE DIMENSIONALITY IN SOCLE OF BANACH ALGEBRAS

SIN-EI TAKAHASI

Department of Mathematics
Ibaraki University
Mito, Ibaraki 310, Japan

(Received September 23, 1982)

ABSTRACT. It is shown that if the socle $\text{soc}(A)$ of a semisimple Banach algebra A is norm-closed, then $\text{soc}(A)$ is already finite dimensional. The proof makes use of the Al-Moajil theorem. However it is remarked that our main theorem is an extension of the Al-Moajil's.

KEY WORDS AND PHRASES. Compactum, socle, minimal idempotent, minimal left ideal, annihilator.

1980 MATHEMATICS SUBJECTS CLASSIFICATION CODES. Primary 46H10; Secondary 47B05.

1. INTRODUCTION AND MAIN THEOREM.

Throughout the note, we will refer to the notations and terminologies in the Bonsall-Duncan's book [3]. Let A be a (complex) Banach algebra and let $\text{comp}(A)$ be the compactum of A , that is the set of all x in A such that the mapping $a \rightarrow ax$ is a compact operator of A into itself. A. H. Al-Moajil [2] gives some characterizations of a finite dimensionality of a semisimple Banach algebra in terms of its compactum and socle, which generalizes a theorem of A. W. Tullo [4]. Indeed his characterization is essentially the following

Theorem A (A. H. Al-Moajil [2]). Let A be a semisimple Banach algebra with $\text{lan}(\text{comp}(A)) = \{0\}$. Then A is finite dimensional if and only if $\text{soc}(A)$ is norm-closed. Here $\text{lan}(\text{comp}(A))$ denotes the left annihilator of $\text{comp}(A)$.

However in case of a semisimple Banach algebra A which does not satisfy the Al-Moajil condition : $\text{lan}(\text{comp}(A)) = \{0\}$, the closeness of $\text{soc}(A)$ is not necessarily equivalent to the finite dimensionality of A . There exists an easy counter example. In fact let $A = C(\Omega)$ be the algebra of all continuous complex-valued functions on $\Omega = [0, 1] \cup \{2, 3, \dots, n\}$, with supremum norm. As it is well-known that $\text{comp}(C([0, 1])) = \{0\}$, we have $\text{comp}(A) \cong C(\{2, 3, \dots, n\}) \cong C^{n-1}$, where C denotes

the field of complex numbers. Then $\text{lan}(\text{comp}(A)) \cong C([0,1])$ and so $\text{lan}(\text{comp}(A)) \neq \{0\}$. Also notice that $\text{soc}(A) \cong C(\{2,3,\dots,n\}) \cong C^{n-1}$, so that $\text{soc}(A)$ is finite dimensional and hence norm-closed. But A is of course infinite dimensional.

On the other hand, this counter example suggests to us the following statement.

THEOREM. Let A be a semisimple Banach algebra with $\text{soc}(A)$. Then $\text{soc}(A)$ is finite dimensional if and only if $\text{soc}(A)$ is norm-closed.

The purpose of this note is to prove the above theorem and to remark that our theorem is an extension of the Al-Moajil theorem. To do this, we will prepare some lemmas in the next section.

2. KNOWN RESULTS AND LEMMAS.

The next lemma can be seen in [3, pp. 155-156].

LEMMA 1. Let A be a semiprime algebra. Then L is a minimal left ideal of A if and only if $L = Ae$, where e is a minimal idempotent in A . The similar result holds for minimal right ideals. In particular, if A has minimal left ideals, then $\text{soc}(A)$ exists.

The next lemma appears in A. H. Al-Moajil [2].

LEMMA 2. Let A be a semisimple Banach algebra. Then $\text{comp}(A)$ is nonzero if and only if $\text{soc}(A)$ exists, in this case $\text{soc}(A) \subset \text{comp}(A)$.

LEMMA 3. Let A be a semisimple Banach algebra and B a nonzero closed one-sided ideal of A . Then B is not a radical algebra.

PROOF. Suppose that B is radical and hence $B = \text{rad}(B)$. Choose a nonzero element b of B , so that $r(b) = 0$ from [3, Proposition 25.1(i)]. If $AB \subset B$, then $r(ab) = 0$ for all $a \in A$ and hence $b \in \text{rad}(A)$ from [3, Proposition 25.1(ii)]. The semisimplicity of A implies that $b = 0$, a contradiction. We therefore conclude that if B is a closed left ideal of A , then B is not radical. Of course, the same conclusion holds for closed right ideals.

LEMMA 4. Let A be a semisimple Banach algebra with $\text{soc}(A)$ and let $\text{min}(A)$ be the set of all minimal idempotents of A . Then

$$\text{lan}(\text{comp}(A)) = \text{lan}(\text{soc}(A)) = \text{lan}(\text{min}(A)) = \text{ran}(\text{min}(A)).$$

In particular, $\text{lan}(\text{comp}(A)) = \text{ran}(\text{comp}(A))$. Here $\text{ran}(\text{min}(A))$ denotes the right annihilator of $\text{min}(A)$.

PROOF. It is clear that $\text{lan}(\text{comp}(A)) \subset \text{lan}(\text{soc}(A)) = \text{lan}(\text{min}(A))$ from Lemma 2, the definition of $\text{soc}(A)$ and Lemma 1. Now in order to show that $\text{lan}(\text{soc}(A)) \subset \text{ran}(\text{min}(A))$, assume, on the contrary, that $\text{lan}(\text{soc}(A)) \cap (A \setminus \text{ran}(\text{min}(A))) \neq \emptyset$ and take an element from this set, say x . Then since $x \notin \text{ran}(\text{min}(A))$, there is an element $e \in \text{min}(A)$ with $ex \neq 0$ and hence $exA \neq \{0\}$ from the semisimplicity of A . Since $\{0\} \subsetneq exA \subset eA$ and eA is a minimal right ideal of A from Lemma 1, we have $exA = eA$, so that there exists an element $y \in A$ with $exy = e$. Note that $ye \in \text{soc}(A)$, so that $xye = 0$ because $x \in \text{lan}(\text{soc}(A))$. However $e = exy = exye = 0$, a contradiction. We therefore conclude that $\text{lan}(\text{soc}(A)) \subset \text{ran}(\text{min}(A))$. Moreover the

symmetric argument implies that $\text{ran}(\min(A)) \subset \text{lan}(\min(A))$ (consider the reversed algebra $\text{rev}(A)$). In order to show that $\text{lan}(\text{soc}(A)) \subset \text{lan}(\text{comp}(A))$, we will apply the method which appears in the proof of [2, Lemma 3]. In fact suppose, on the contrary, that $\text{lan}(\text{soc}(A)) \cap (A \setminus \text{lan}(\text{comp}(A))) \neq \emptyset$ and take an element from this set, say x . Then since $x \notin \text{lan}(\text{comp}(A))$, there is an element $y \in \text{comp}(A)$ with $xy \neq 0$. Set $J = \overline{xyA}$, the norm-closure of xyA . Then J is a nonzero closed right ideal of A . Also J is a compact Banach algebra from [2, Proposition 1] and it is not radical from Lemma 3. Then by [1, Theorem 4.3], J contains a nonzero idempotent e such that eJe is finite dimensional. Since $eAe = e(eA)e \subset eJe$, it follows that eAe is also finite dimensional and so $e \in \text{soc}(A)$ from [1, Theorem 7.2]. Now since $e \in J$, we can write $e = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} xya_n$, $a_n \in A$ ($n = 1, 2, \dots$). But since each $ya_n e$ belongs to $\text{soc}(A)$ and $x \in \text{lan}(\text{soc}(A))$, we have that $e = e^2 = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} xya_n e = 0$, a contradiction. We therefore conclude that $\text{lan}(\text{soc}(A)) \subset \text{lan}(\text{comp}(A))$ and hence

$$\text{lan}(\text{comp}(A)) = \text{lan}(\text{soc}(A)) = \text{lan}(\min(A)) = \text{ran}(\min(A)).$$

In particular the symmetric argument implies again that $\text{lan}(\text{comp}(A)) = \text{ran}(\text{comp}(A))$.

NOTE. By the above lemma, if $\text{lan}(\text{comp}(A)) = \{0\}$, then $\text{ran}(\text{comp}(A)) = \{0\}$. We then see that the conclusion of [2, Lemma 3] holds certainly for closed left ideals.

The next result is known in the structure theorem of a finite dimensional complex algebra (cf. [3, Proposition 26.7]), but we here give an alternative proof.

LEMMA 5. If A is a semisimple finite dimensional Banach algebra, then it has an identity element.

PROOF. Note that $A = \text{comp}(A)$ from the finite dimensionality of A and $\text{lan}(A) = \{0\}$ from the semisimplicity of A , so that $\text{lan}(\text{comp}(A)) = \{0\}$. Therefore the second half of the proof of [2, Theorem] implies directly the desired conclusion.

3. PROOF OF MAIN THEOREM.

Let denote by B the norm-closure of $\text{soc}(A)$ in A . Then B is a closed two-sided ideal of A . Since A is semisimple, it follows that B is also semisimple. We first claim that $\text{soc}(A)$ exists and $\text{soc}(A) = \text{soc}(B)$. Actually, choose a minimal left ideal L of A arbitrarily. Then by Lemma 1, we can write $L = Ae$, where e is a minimal idempotent in A . But $\{0\} \subsetneq eBe \subset eAe$ and eAe is one-dimensional and so $eBe = eAe$. In other words, e is also a minimal idempotent in B . Again by Lemma 1, Be is a minimal left ideal of B and so $\text{soc}(B)$ exists. Also since $e \in \text{soc}(A)$ and $Ae \subset B$, $L = Ae = (Ae)e \subset \text{soc}(B)$. We thus obtain that $\text{soc}(A) \subset \text{soc}(B)$. Conversely choose a minimal left ideal M of B and write $M = Bf$ for some minimal idempotent f in B . But since B is a two-sided ideal of A , $fAf \subset B$ and so $fAf = fBf$. Thus f is also a minimal idempotent in A . Then $f \in \text{soc}(A)$ and hence $M = Bf \subset \text{soc}(A)$. In other words, $\text{soc}(B) \subset \text{soc}(A)$ and so $\text{soc}(A) = \text{soc}(B)$.

Now it is clear that if $\text{soc}(A)$ is finite dimensional, then it is norm-closed, and hence assume conversely that $\text{soc}(A)$ is norm-closed. Since $\text{soc}(B)$ exists from the above argument, it follows from Lemma 2 that $\text{soc}(B) \subset \text{comp}(B)$ and so

$$B = \overline{\text{soc}(A)} = \text{soc}(A) = \text{soc}(B) \subset \text{comp}(B) \subset B,$$

where the bar denotes the norm-closure in A . Then $\bar{B} = \text{soc}(B) = \text{comp}(B)$. But since B is semisimple, $\text{lan}(B) = \{0\}$, so that $\text{lan}(\text{comp}(B)) = \{0\}$. Therefore Theorem A implies that B is finite dimensional and so is $\text{soc}(A)$. The proof is complete.

4. REMARK.

In this section we see that our theorem implies the Al-Moajil theorem. Indeed if A is finite dimensional, then by [1, Theorem 7.2], $A = \text{soc}(A)$ and hence $\text{soc}(A)$ is norm-closed. Then it is sufficient to show that if the socle of a semisimple Banach algebra A with $\text{lan}(\text{comp}(A)) = \{0\}$ is norm-closed, then A is already finite dimensional. Then assume that $\text{soc}(A)$ is norm-closed and $\text{lan}(\text{comp}(A)) = \{0\}$. By our theorem, $\text{soc}(A)$ is a semisimple finite dimensional Banach algebra and so it has an identity element e from Lemma 5. Let x be any element of A . Then $x(1 - e)$ belongs to $\text{lan}(\text{soc}(A))$ and hence $\text{lan}(\text{comp}(A))$ from Lemma 4. Hence we obtain that $x(1 - e) = 0$. Also since $\text{soc}(A)$ is a two-sided ideal of A , it follows that e is a central element of A . Then e is an identity element of A and so $A = \text{soc}(A)$. Therefore A is finite dimensional.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT. The author was partially supported by the Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research C-57540005 and 58540005 from the Ministry of Education.

REFERENCES

1. ALEXANDER, J. C. Compact Banach algebras, Proc. London Math. Soc. 18(1968), 1-18.
2. AL-MOAJIL, A. H. The compactum and finite dimensionality in Banach algebras, Internat. J. Math. & Math. Sci. 5(1982), 275-280.
3. BONSAALL, F. F. and DUNCAN, J. Completely normed algebras, Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg New York (1973).
4. TULLO, A. W. Conditions on Banach algebras which imply finite dimensionality, Proc. Edinburgh Math. Soc. 20(1976), 1-5.



Hindawi

Submit your manuscripts at
<http://www.hindawi.com>

