SEMINORMAL GRADED RINGS AND WEAKLY NORMAL PROJECTIVE VARIETIES

JOHN V. LEAHY

Institute of Theoretical Sciences and Department of Mathematics, University of Oregon Eugene, Oregon 97403

and

MARIE A. VITULLI

Department of Mathematics, University of Oregon Eugene, Oregon 97403

(Received March 4, 1983)

ABSTRACT. This paper is concerned with the seminormality of reduced graded rings and the weak normality of projective varieties. One motivation for this investigation is the study of the procedure of blowing up a non-weakly normal variety along its conductor ideal.

KEY WORDS AND PHRASES. Seminormal Graded Rings, Normal Projective Varieties. 1980 MATHEMATICS SUBJECT CLASSIFICATION CODE. 16A03.

1. INTRODUCTION.

In this paper we investigate the seminormality of reduced graded rings for the purpose of studying the weak normality of projective varieties and the procedure of blowing up a non-weakly normal variety along its conductor ideal. A commutative ring with identity is seminormal if and only if it contains every element of its total ring of quotients whose square and cube are in the ring. A variety (over an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero) is weakly normal if and only if every globally defined continuous function which is regular outside the singular locus is in fact globally regular. In [1] it is proven that a variety is weakly normal if and only if all of its local rings are seminormal.

In section 2 of this paper the relevant commutative algebra is developed to handle questions of weak normality for projective varieties and in particular to show that the weak normalization of a projective variety is again projective. We have tried to work in as general an algebraic setting as possible, but since we wanted the normalization of a graded ring to again be graded we considered only reduced graded rings (indexed by the integers) having only a finite number of minimal prime ideals. Given an integral extension of graded rings we give an explicit description of the relative seminormalization by adapting a construction of Swan [2]. We then show that the seminormalization of a graded ring is a graded subring of its normalization and that the operations of seminormalization and homogeneous localization commute. In section 3 we consider reduced (but not necessarily irreducible) projective varieties defined over an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero. In particular we show that such a variety is weakly normal if and only if its veronese subrings of order d are seminormal for sufficiently large d. 2. SEMINORMAL GRADED RINGS.

All rings are assumed to be commutative with identity. A graded ring is a ring R that admits a decomposition (as abelian groups) $R = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} R_n$ such that $R_m R_n \subseteq R_{m+n}$ for all m,n. We let R^h denote the set of homogeneous elements of R. Notice that the identity element of R is homogeneous of degree 0. In particular R_o is a subring of R.

If A is a ring we let \overline{A} denote its normalization, i.e. \overline{A} is the integral closure of A in its total ring of quotients Q(A).

(2.0) Suppose that R is a reduced graded ring having only a finite number of minimal primes ρ_1, \dots, ρ_k . Let $R^i = R/\rho_i$, $T_i = R^h - \rho_i$ and $S^i = T_i^{-1}R^i$ for $i = 1, \dots, k$. Set $S = S^1 \times \dots \times S$. Since the minimal primes of R are homogeneous the quotient rings R^i are again graded. Therefore each S^i is graded where $S_n^i = \{\alpha/t \mid \alpha \in (R^i)^h, t \in T^i \text{ and } \deg(\alpha) - \deg(t) = n^j$. Hence $S = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} S_n^1 \times \dots \times S_n^k$ is a graded ring.

Notice that R is a graded subring of $\mathbb{R}^1 \times \cdots \times \mathbb{R}$. Suppose $\mathbf{r} \in \mathbb{R} \cap (\mathbb{R}_n^1 \times \cdots \times \mathbb{R}_n^l)$. Then there exist homogeneous elements \mathbf{r}_i of degree n and $\mathbf{s}_i \in \rho_i$ such that $\mathbf{r} = \mathbf{r}_i + \mathbf{s}_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, \ell$. For each i let $\mathbf{s}_i(n)$ denote the homogeneous component of \mathbf{s}_i of degree n. Replacing \mathbf{r}_i by $\mathbf{r}_i + \mathbf{s}_i(n)$ and \mathbf{s}_i by $\mathbf{s}_i - \mathbf{s}_i(n)$ we may assume that $\mathbf{s}_i(n) = 0$ for all i. Comparing homogeneous components of degree n we see that $\mathbf{r}_i = \mathbf{r}_1$ for all i and consequently $\mathbf{s}_i = \mathbf{s}_1$ for all i. Thus $\mathbf{s}_1 \in \bigcap_i = 0$ and $\mathbf{r} = \mathbf{r}_1$ is homogeneous of degree n. Now \mathbb{R}^i is a graded subring of \mathbf{S}^i (i = 1, \dots, \ell) so that $\mathbb{R}^1 \times \dots \times \mathbb{R}^\ell$ is a

Now R^{\perp} is a graded subring of S^{\perp} (i = 1, ..., ℓ) so that $R^{\perp} \times \cdots \times R^{\perp}$ is a graded subring of $S = S^{\perp} \times \cdots \times S^{\ell}$. Consequently R is a graded subring of S.

LEMMA 2.1. Let R be a reduced graded ring having only a finite number of minimal primes. With notation as above, the normalization \overline{R} of R is a graded subring of S. If $R_n = 0$ for all negative integers n then $\overline{R_n} = 0$ for all negative integers m.

PROOF. We know that $\overline{R} \approx \overline{R^1} \times \cdots \times \overline{R^\ell}$ with notation as in (2.0). By the domain case ([e], vol. 2, Thm. 11, p.157) $\overline{R^i}$ is a graded subring of S^i for each i. Thus \overline{R} is a graded subring of $S = S^1 \times \cdots \times S^{\ell}$. Now assume that $R_n = 0$ for all n < 0. Again by the domain case $(R^i)_n = 0$ for all n < 0 ($i = 1, \cdots, \ell$) so that $\overline{R_n} = 0$ for all n < 0.

LEMMA 2.2. Let R be a reduced graded ring having only a finite number of minimal primes. Assume that there exists a homogeneous R-regular element of positive degree. Let T denote the set of homogeneous R-regular elements of R. Then \overline{R} is a graded subring of $T^{-1}R$.

PROOF. Let ρ_i , R^i , T_i be as in (2.0). Let q_1, \dots, q_{ℓ} denote the minimal primes of \overline{R} indexed so that $q_i \cap R = \rho_i$ ($i = 1, \dots, \ell$).

Now suppose $\beta \in \overline{R}$. By 2.1 there exist $a_i \cap T_i$ such that $a_i \beta \in R + q_i$ (i = 1,..., ℓ). For each i choose a homogeneous element $b_i \in \bigcap_{i \neq i} \rho_i - \rho_i$. Replacing a_i by $a_i b_i$ we may assume that $a_i \in \bigcap_{j \neq i} \rho_j - \rho_i$ and $a_i \beta \in \mathbb{R}$. Let a be a homogeneous R-regular element of positive degree and choose m so that $deg(a_i) + m \ge 0$ for $i = 1, \dots, \ell$. Replacing a_i by $a_i a^m$ we may assume that $n_i = deg(a_i) \ge 0$ where $a_i \in \bigcap_{j \neq i} \rho_j - \rho_i$ and $a_i \beta \in \mathbb{R}$ for $i = 1, \dots, \ell$. Let $N = n_1 \dots n_\ell$ and let $m_i = N/n_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, \ell$. Replacing a_i by a_i^n we may assume that a_i^n we may assume that $deg(a_i) = N \ge 0$ for $i = 1, \dots, \ell$. Then $c = a_1 + \dots + a_\ell$ is homogeneous and $c\beta \in \mathbb{R}$. To finish the proof it suffices to see that c is R-regular.

Suppose that c is a zero divisor in R so that $c \in \rho_i$ for some i. Now $c = a_i + \sum_{j \neq i} a_j$ and $\sum_{j \neq i} a_j \in \rho_i$ implies $a_i \in \rho_i$, a contradiction. Thus c is R-regular and $\beta \in T^{-1}R$. Hence $R \subseteq T^{-1}R$.

One can easily check that $T^{-1}R$ is a graded subring of $S = T_1^{-1}R^1 \times \cdots \times T_{\ell}^{-1}R^{\ell}$. Since \overline{R} is a graded subring of S by 2.1 we know that \overline{R} is a graded subring of $T^{-1}R$.

For a graded ring $R = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} R_n$ and a positive integer d we let R(d) denote the subring of R defined by $R(d) = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} R_n d$. Then R(d) is a graded ring where $R(d) = R_{nd}$.

If R is the homogeneous coordinate ring of a projective variety then R(d) is called a Veronese subring of R.

COROLLARY 2.3. Let R be a reduced graded ring having only a finite number of minimal primes. Let \overline{R} denote the normalization of R. For each positive integer d, $\overline{R}(d)$ is the normalization of R(d).

PROOF. Let q_1, \dots, q_k denote the minimal primes of R and let $\rho_i = q_i \cap R$ for $i = 1, \dots, k$. By 2.1 \overline{R} is a graded ring and hence each q_i is a homogeneous ideal. Since R is reduced we know that $\bigcap_{i=1}^{k} q_i = 0$. In particular there exist homogeneous elements $\beta_i \in \overline{R}$ such that $q_i = (0:\beta_i)$ for $i = 1, \dots, k$.

Suppose $\alpha \in \overline{R}(d)$ is $\overline{R}(d)$ -regular. If α is a zero divisor in \overline{R} then $\alpha\beta_i = 0$ for some i. But $0 \neq \beta_i^d \in \overline{R}(d)$ and $\alpha\beta_i^d = 0$, a contradiction. Hence every $\overline{R}(d)$ -regular element is \overline{R} -regular and $Q(\overline{R}(d)) \subseteq Q(\overline{R})$. Similarly Q(R(d)) $\subseteq Q(R)$.

Let $q_i(d) = q_i \cap \overline{R}(d)$ for $i = 1, \dots, \ell$. Then $q_1(d) \cap \dots \cap q_\ell(d) = 0$. We claim that there are no containments amongst these primes. For suppose $q_i(d) \subseteq q_j(d)$. Let α be a homogeneous element of q_i . Then $\alpha^d \in q_i(d) \subseteq q_j(d)$ implies $\alpha^{\epsilon} q_j$. Since q_i is generated by homogeneous elements $q_i \subseteq q_j$ and we must have i = j. Hence $q_1(d), \dots, q_\ell(d)$ are the minimal primes of $\overline{R}(d)$. Similarly, $\rho_i(d), \dots, \rho_\ell(d)$ are the minimal primes of R(d).

We wish to see that $Q(R(d)) = Q(\overline{R}(d))$ and that $\overline{R}(d)$ is the integral closure of R(D) in its total quotient ring. Now $Q(R(d)) = Q(R(d)/\rho_1(d)) \times \cdots \times Q(R(d)/\rho_\ell(d))$ $= Q(R/\rho_1(d)) \times \cdots \times Q(R/\rho_\ell(d))$ and $Q(\overline{R}(d)) = Q(\overline{R}(d)/q_1(d)) \times \cdots \times Q(\overline{R}(d)/q_\ell(d)) =$ $Q(\overline{R}/q_1(d)) \times \cdots \times Q(\overline{R}/q_\ell(d))$. So it suffices to establish the result when R is an integral domain and $R_0 \neq R$.

Let K = Q(R) and let $T = R^h - \{0\}$. Let $J = \{n \in \mathbb{Z} \mid (T^{-1}R)_n \neq 0\}$. Then J is an additive subgroup of \mathbb{Z} and hence $J = m\mathbb{Z}$ for some positive integer m. Let e = lcm(d,m) and set f = e/m. Then R(d) = R(e) = R(fm) = R(m)(f) and $\overline{R}(d)$

= $\overline{R}(e) = \overline{R}(fm) = \overline{R}(m)(f)$. Thus replacing R and \overline{R} by R(m) and $\overline{R}(m)$, respectively, we may assume there exists a nonzero degree 1 homogeneous element t in $T^{-1}R$.

Let $L = (T^{-1}R)_{0}$. Then we may identify R with a graded subring of $L[t,t^{-1}]$ where a R is identified with $(at^{-n})t^{n}$. Since t has degree 1, t is transcendental over L and L[t] is a polynomial ring over L. Since $L[t,t^{-1}]$ is the localization of L[t] with respect to powers of t it is integrally closed in K and hence \overline{R} is contained in $L[t,t^{-1}]$ as a graded subring.

Thus $R(d) \subseteq \overline{R}(d) \subseteq L[t^d, t^{-d}]$. We claim that $L \subseteq Q(R(d))$. For if $a, b \in R_n$ and $b \neq 0$ then ab^{d-1} , $b^d \in R(d)_n$ and $a/b = ab^{d-1}/b^d$. Hence $L[t^d, t^{-d}] \subseteq Q(R(d))$ and $Q(R(d)) = Q(\overline{R}(d)) = L(t^d)$.

Clearly $\overline{R}(d)$ is integral over R(d). Let $\beta \in \overline{R}(d)_n$ so that β is integral over R. Say $\beta^m + a_1 \beta^{m-1} + \cdots + a_{m-1} \beta + a_m = 0$ where $a_1 \in R$ ($i = 1, \dots, m$). For each i let c_1 denote the homogeneous component of a_1 of degree ind. Then $\beta^m + c_1 \beta^{m-1} + \cdots + c_{m-1} \beta + c_m = 0$ and $c_1, \dots, c_m \in R(d)$ so that β is integral over R(d). Thus to finish the proof it suffices to see that $\overline{R}(d)$ is integrally closed in $L[t^d, t^{-d}]$.

If $\gamma \in L[t^d, t^{-d}]$ is integral over $\overline{R}(d)$ then γ is integral over R(d) and hence over R. Thus $\gamma \in \overline{R} \cap L[t^d, t^{-d}] = \overline{R}(d)$ since \overline{R} is a graded subring of $L[t, t^{-1}]$.

We will now look at the question of seminormality for a reduced graded ring R as above. We first recall the relevant definitions.

For a ring A let J(A) denote the Jacobson radical of A and let \overline{A} denote the normalization of A. The seminormalization +A of A is defined by

 $+_{A} = \{ b \in \overline{A} \mid b_{x} \in A_{x} + J(\overline{A}_{x}), \forall x \in Spec(A) \}.$

The ring A is said to be seminormal if $A = {}^{+}A$. There is also a relative notion. For an integral extension of rings $A \subseteq B$, the seminormalization ${}^{+}_{R}A$ is defined by

 $\stackrel{+}{B}A = \{ b \in B \mid b_{\mathbf{x}} \in A_{\mathbf{x}} + J(B_{\mathbf{x}}), \forall \mathbf{x} \in Spec(A) \}.$

We say that A is seminormal in B if $A = \frac{+}{B}A$. We refer the reader to [5] for some of the fundamental results on seminormality.

Recall that Hamann's criterion for seminormality asserts that A is seminormal in B if and only if A contains each element b of B such that $b^2, b^3 \in A$ ([1], Prop.1.4). In ([4], Thm. 2) Anderson adapts an argument of Brewer, Costa and McCrimmon ([5], Thm.1) to show that a graded integral domain $R = R_0 \oplus R_1^{\oplus \cdots}$ is seminormal if and only if R contains each homogeneous element $s \in \overline{R}$ such that $s^2, s^3 \in R$. We note that the same argument applies to any integral extension of rings $R \subseteq S$ such that S is a graded ring and R is a graded subring of S (we say that $R \subseteq S$ is an integral extension of graded rings).

PROPOSITION 2.4. Let $R \subseteq S$ be an integral extension of graded rings. Then R is seminormal in S if and only if R contains each homogeneous element s of S such that s^2 , $s^3 \in R$.

PROOF. One half of the assertion follows immediately from Hamann's criterion. Thus it suffices to show that if R contains each homogeneous element of S whose square and cube is in R then R is seminormal in S. Assume that this condition is valid but R is not seminormal in S. Thus there exists an element s of S\R such that s^2 , $s^3 \in R$. For an element s of S let $\ell(s)$ denote the number of nonzero homogeneous components of s. For each nonzero element $s = s_{i(1)} + \cdots + s_{i(m)}$ of S such that $0 \neq s_{i(j)} \in S_{i(j)}$ and $i(1) < \cdots < i(m)$ let $p(s) = \max\{k \mid s_{i(1)}, \cdots, s_{i(k-1)} \in R\}$. In particular if p(s) = 1 then the initial nonzero homogeneous component of s is not in R.

Amongst those elements of S\R whose square and cube are in R choose an element s with $\ell(s)$ minimal and such that if t has the same properties then $p(t) \leq p(s)$.

Write $s = s_{i(1)}^{+\cdots+s_{i(m)}}$ as above so that $m = \ell(s)$. Since $s^2 = s_{i(1)}^2 + (higher degree homogeneous terms) is in R we must have <math>s_{i(1)}^2 \in R$. Similarly $s_{i(1)}^3 \in R$ and hence $s_{i(1)} \in R$. Thus $k = p(s) \ge 2$.

Now $(2s_{i(1)}s)^2$ and $(2s_{i(1)}s)^3$ are in R and $(2s_{i(1)}s) \leq (s)$. Since the (i(1) + i(k))-component of s^2 is $2s_{i(1)}s_{i(k)} + (terms in R)$ we must have $2s_{i(1)}s_{i(k)} \in R$. If $2s_{i(1)}s_{i(j)} = 0$ for some j then $(2s_{i(1)}s) < (s)$ and hence $2s_{i(1)}s$ R. If $2s_{i(1)}s_{i(j)} \neq 0$ for all j then $(2s_{i(1)}s) = (s)$ and $(2s_{i(1)}s) > p(s)$ so that $2s_{i(1)}s$ R. Thus in either case $2s_{i(1)}s$ R. Mow $(3s_{i(1)}^2s)^2$ and $(3s_{i(1)}^2s)^3$ are in R and $(3s_{i(1)}^2s) \leq (s)$. Since the (2i(1) + i(k))-component of s^3 is $3s_{i(1)}^2s_{i(1)}s_{i(k)} + (terms in R)$ we must have $3s_{i(1)}^2s_{i(1)}s$ R. If $3s_{i(1)}^2s_{i(1)}s_{i(j)} = 0$ for some j then $(3s_{i(1)}^2s) < (s)$ and hence $3s_{i(1)}^2s_{i(1)}s_{i(j)} = 0$ for some j then $(3s_{i(1)}^2s) < (s)$ and hence $3s_{i(1)}^2s_{i(1)}s_{i(j)} = 0$ for all j then $(3s_{i(1)}^2s) < (s)$ and $p(3s_{i(1)}^2s) > p(s)$ so that $3s_{i(1)}^2s_{i(j)} \neq 0$ for all j then $(3s_{i(1)}^2s) = (s)$ and $p(3s_{i(1)}^2s) > p(s)$ so that $3s_{i(1)}^2s_{i(1)}s_{i(j)} = 0$ for some j then $(3s_{i(1)}^2s) = (s)$ and $p(3s_{i(1)}^2s) > p(s)$ so that $3s_{i(1)}^2s_{i(1)} = 0$ for all j then $(3s_{i(1)}^2s) = (s)$ and $(s - s_{i(1)})^3 = s^3 - 3s_{i(1)}s_{i(1)} = s_{i(1)}^3 - s_{i(1)}^3$. Resceed as (s) = (s) for all $(s - s_{i(1)})^3 = s^3 - 3s_{i(1)}s_{i(1)} = s_{i(1)}^3 - s_{i(1)}^3$. Then $(s - s_{i(1)})^2 = s^2 - 2s_{i(1)}s + s_{i(1)}^2$ R and $(s - s_{i(1)})^3 = s^3 - 3s_{i(1)}s_{i(1)} + 3s_{i(1)}^2 - s_{i(1)}^3$. Resceed (s) = (s) and $(s - s_{i(1)})^3 = s^3 - 3s_{i(1)}s_{i(1)} + 3s_{i(1)}^2 - s_{i(1)}^3 - s_{i(1)}^3 - s_{i(1)}^2 - s_{i(1)}^2$

Hence R is seminormal in S.

COROLLARY 2.5. Let R be a reduced graded ring with a finite number of minimal primes. Then the seminormalization ${}^+R$ of R is a graded ring and contains R as a graded subring.

PROOF. By 2.1 the normalization \overline{R} of R is graded and contains R as a graded subring. Let $R' = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} {+ R \choose \overline{R}}$. By 2.4 R' is seminormal. But ${+ R}$ is the smallest seminormal subring of \overline{R} that contains R and hence R' = ${+ R}$ is a graded subring of \overline{R} . Since R is a graded subring of \overline{R} we know that R is graded subring of ${+ R}$.

In order to prove the analogue of 2.3 for the seminormalization of R we adapt a construction of Swan ([2], Thm. 4.1) to describe R^+ .

Let $R \subseteq S$ be an integral extension of graded rings (so that R is a graded subring of S) and let T be a graded subring of S containing R. Let $\{s_{\alpha} \mid \alpha \in A\}$ be a well ordering of the set of all homogeneous elements of S - T whose square and whose cube are in T. We inductively define graded subrings T_{α} of S such that $T_{\alpha} \subseteq T_{\beta}$ whenever $\alpha \leq \beta$. Let $T_{-1} = T$, $T_{\alpha+1} = T_{\alpha}[s_{\alpha}]$ and for a limit ordinal β let $T_{\beta} = \bigcup_{\alpha < \beta} T_{\alpha}$. Set $T' = \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Lambda} T_{\alpha}$ so that T' is again a graded subring of S containing R. Now let $R^{(0)} = R$, $R^{(n+1)} = R^{(n)'}$ and set $R^* = \bigcup_{n \geq 0} R^{(n)}$. Note that R^* is also a graded subring of S containing R.

PROPOSITION 2.6. Let $R \subseteq S$ be an integral extension of graded rings. With notation as above $\frac{+}{S}R = \bigcup_{n\geq 0} R^{(n)}$. In particular R is a graded subring of S.

PROOF. As observed earlier $R^* = \bigcup_{n \ge 0} R^{(n)}$ is a graded subring of S and the seminormality of R^* in S follows from 2.4. Thus it suffices to see that $R^* \subset \frac{1}{r}R$.

seminormality of R^{*} in S follows from 2.4. Thus it suffices to see that $R^* \subset \frac{+}{s}R$. Now $R = R^{(0)} \subseteq \frac{+}{s}R$. Suppose $T = R^{(n)} \subseteq \frac{+}{s}R$. Let $\{s_{\alpha} \mid \alpha \in \Lambda\}$ be a well ordering of the set of all homogeneous elements of S - T whose square and whose cube are in T. Define T_{α} as above. We show by transfinite induction that $T_{\alpha} \subseteq \frac{+}{s}R$ for all α . Now $T_{-1} = T \subseteq \frac{+}{s}R$ by assumption. Suppose $\beta \ge -1$ and that $T_{\alpha} \subseteq \frac{+}{s}R$ for all $\alpha < \beta$. If $\beta = \alpha + 1$ then $T_{\beta} = T_{\alpha}[s_{\alpha}]$ where $s_{\alpha}^{2}, s_{\alpha}^{3} \in T$. Since $m \subseteq \frac{+}{s}$, we must have $s_{\alpha} \in \frac{+}{s}R$ and hence $T_{\beta} \subseteq \frac{+}{s}R$. If β is a limit ordinal then $T_{\beta} = \bigcup_{\alpha < \beta} T_{\alpha} \subseteq \frac{+}{s}R$ by assumption. Hence $T_{\alpha} \subseteq \frac{+}{s}R$ for all α so that $T' = \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Lambda} T_{\alpha}$ $\subseteq \frac{+}{s}R$. But $T' = R^{(n+1)}$ so by induction $R^{(n)} \subseteq \frac{+}{s}R$ for all n and consequently $R^* \subseteq \frac{+}{s}R$. Since $\frac{+}{s}R$ is the smallest subring of S that contains R and is seminormal in S we must have $R^* = \frac{+}{s}R$.

PROPOSITION 2.7. Let R be a reduced graded ring having only a finite number of minimal primes. For each positive integer d $\binom{+}{R}(d)$ is the seminormalization of R(d).

PROOF. Let \overline{R} denote the normalization of R and let d be a positive integer. Then $\overline{R}(d)$ is the normalization of R(d) by 2.3. Since we have inclusions of graded rings $R \subseteq {}^+R \subseteq S$ we also have $R(d) \subseteq ({}^+R)(d) \subseteq \overline{R}(d)$ and $({}^+R)(d)$ is seminormal by 2.4. Thus it suffices to see that $({}^+R)(d) \subseteq {}^+(R(d))$.

Using the notation of 2.6 we have ${}^+R = \bigcup_{n\geq 0} R^{(n)}$. Thus it suffices to see that $R^{(n)}(d) \subseteq {}^+(R(d))$ for all n.

Now $R^{(0)}(d) \subseteq R(d) \subseteq {}^+(R(d))$. Let $n \ge 0$ and assume that $R^{(n)}(d) \subseteq {}^+(R(d))$. Let $T = R^{(n)}$ and let $\{s_{\alpha} \mid \alpha \in \Lambda\}$ be a well ordering of the set of all homogeneous elements of \overline{R} - T whose square and whose cube are in T. Define T_{α} as above. We need to show that $T_{\alpha}(d) \subseteq {}^+(R(d))$ for all α .

Since $T_{-1} - T$ we have $T_{-1}(d) \subseteq {}^+(R(d))$. Let $\beta \ge -1$ and suppose that $T_{\alpha}(d) \subseteq {}^+(R(d))$ for all $\alpha < \beta$. If $\beta = \alpha + 1$ then $T_{\beta} = T_{\alpha}[s_{\alpha}]$. Let $s = s_{\alpha}$ and suppose $t \in T_{\beta}(d)$ is homogeneous of degree ℓ . Then $t = {}^{\Sigma}r_{k}s^{k}$ where $r_{k} \in T_{\alpha}^{h}$ and $deg(r_{k}) = \ell d - k \cdot deg(s)$ for all k. Now $(r_{k}s^{k})^{2}$, $(r_{k}s^{k})^{3} \in T_{\alpha}(d) \subseteq {}^+(R(d))$ and $r_{k}s^{k} \in \overline{R}(d) = \overline{R}(d)$ (by 2.3) implies that $r_{k}s^{k} \in {}^+(R(d))$ for each k and hence $t \in {}^+(R(d))$. Since t was an arbitrary homogeneous element of $T_{\beta}(d)$ we have $T_{\beta}(d) \subseteq {}^+(R(d))$. If β is a limit ordinal then $T_{\beta} = \bigcup_{\alpha < \beta} T_{\alpha}$ so that $T_{\beta}(d) \subseteq {}^+(R(d))$ by assumption. Hence $T_{\alpha}(d) \subseteq {}^+(R(d))$ for all α and since $R^{(n+1)} = \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Lambda} T_{\alpha}$ we also have $R^{(n+1)}(d) \subseteq {}^+(R(d))$.

Thus $R^{(n)}(d) \subseteq {}^+(r(d))$ for all n and $({}^+R)(d) = \bigcup_{n \ge 0} R^{(n)}(d) \subseteq {}^+(R(d))$ as desired. Consequently ${}^+(R)(d) = {}^+(r(d))$.

LEMMA 2.8. Let R be a reduced graded ring having only a finite number of minimal primes and suppose that te R_1 is invertible. Then $(\overline{R})_0$ is the normalization and $({}^+R)_0$ is the seminormalization of R_0 .

PROOF. To simplify notation let $A = R_o$, $B = ({}^+R)_o$ and $C + (\overline{R})_o$. Define a C-algebra map $\varphi: C[X, X^{-1}] \rightarrow \overline{R}$ by $\varphi(X) = t$. Then φ is a degree preserving isomorphism of graded ring such that $\varphi(B[X, X^{-1}]) = {}^+R$ and $\varphi(A[X, X^{-1}]) = R$.

Thus $C[X, X^{-1}]$ is normal and hence C is normal. Let T denote the set of homogeneous R-regular elements of R. By 2.2 we know that \overline{R} is a graded subring of $T^{-1}R$. So an element of C is of the form $\frac{r}{s}$ where r and s are homogeneous elements of R of the same degree, s is R-regular and $\frac{r}{s}$ is integral over R. Let $n = \deg(r)$. Then rt^{-n} , $st^{-n} \in R_0 = A$ and $\frac{r}{s} = \frac{rt^{-n}}{st^{-n}} \in Q(A)$. A direct computation shows that $\frac{r}{s}$ is integral over A and hence $A \subseteq C \subseteq \overline{A}$. Since C is normal we must have $C = \overline{A}$.

Since $B[X, X^{-1}] \approx {}^{+}R$ is seminormal if $\alpha \in Q(B)$ and α^{2} , $\alpha^{3} \in B$ then $\alpha \in {}^{+}R \cap Q(B) = B$. Thus B is seminormal by Hamann's criterion. Thus we need only show that $B \subseteq {}^{+}A$. We already have $B \subseteq C = \overline{A} \subseteq Q(A)$ and $B[X, X^{-1}]$ is the seminormalization of $A[X, X^{-1}]$. If P_{1} and P_{2} are prime ideals in B lying over the same prime ideal of A then $P_{1}B[X, X^{-1}] = P_{2}B[X, X^{-1}]$ so that $P_{1} = P_{2}$. Let P be a prime ideal of B and let $\rho = P \cap A$. Then the canonical map $\kappa(\rho) \stackrel{*}{\rightarrow} \kappa(P)$ must be an isomorphism since $\kappa(\rho)(X) \stackrel{*}{\rightarrow} \kappa(P)(X)$ is an isomorphism. Hence $B \subseteq {}^{+}A$ by Traverso's characterization of ${}^{+}A$ ([], Prop. 1.3).

We can show that normalization (respectively, seminormalization) and homogeneous localization commute.

COROLLARY 2.9. Let R be a reduced graded ring having only a finite number of minimal primes and let $f \neq 0$ be homogeneous of positive degree d. Then \overline{R}_{f} is the normalization and $\binom{+}{R}_{(f)}$ is the seminormalization of $R_{(f)}$.

PROOF. $R_{(f)}$ is the degree 0 subring of $R_f(d)$ and $f \in R_f(d)$ is invertible and is homogeneous of degree 1. $(\overline{R})_f(d)$ is the normalization of $R_f(d)$ by 2.3 and $({}^{\dagger}R)_f(d)$ is the seminormalization of $R_f(d)$ by 2.7. So the assertion follows immediately from applying 2.8 to the reduced graded ring $R_f(d)$. (Note that $R_f(d)$ has only a finite number of minimal primes by the proof of 2.3.)

REMARKS. D. F. Anderson [6] shows that if A is a seminormal integral domain and I an invertible fractional ideal then the Rees algebra $R(I) = \bigoplus I^n$ is again seminormal.

Let A be a reduced ring with a finite number of minimal primes and assume that A is seminormal. Let I be an ideal of A. It is natural to ask what conditions on I guarantee us that the Rees algebra R(I) (or the scheme Proj(R(I)) is again seminormal. We do not have any satisfactory answer to these questions at this time but we would like to make some observations.

(2.10) Since A is a reduced ring with finitely minimal primes the seminormality of A implies the seminormality of the polynomial ring A[t] ([5], Thm. 2 or use 2.2 and 2.4). Now R(I) \approx A[It] is a graded subring of A[t] and has the same total quotient ring as A[t]. Thus R(I) is seminormal if and only if A[It] is seminormal in A[t].

(2.11) By 2.4 we see that A[It] is seminormal in A[t] if and only if for each positive integer n and each element $a \in A$ if $a^2 \in I^{2n}$ and $a^3 \in I^{3n}$ then $a \in I^n$. (cf. [6] in case A is an integral domain.)

(2.12) Say an ideal J of a ring A is pseudo-reduced if whenever $a \in A$ and a^2 , $a^3 \in J$ then $a \in J$. If A and I are as above when are all powers of I pseudo-reduced?

If A is a seminormal domain and I is an invertible ideal then so is each power of I. Hence A: $(A:I^n) = A$ and I^n is pseudo-reduced for all $n \ge 1$ (this is Anderson's argument in [6]).

If $gr_{I}(A) = \bigoplus_{n \ge 0} I^{n}/I^{n+1}$ is reduced then all powers of I are pseudo-reduced.

So, for example, if I is a reduced ideal of A that is generated by an A-regular sequence then the Rees algebra R(I) is again seminormal. We would like to point out that an analagous result for normality was proven by Barshay [7]. Namely, if A is a normal domain and $gr_I(A)$ is reduced then R(I) is again a normal domain. 3. IMPLICATIONS FOR PROJECTIVE VARIETIES.

Let k be a fixed algebraically closed field of characteristic 0. When we use the term variety we assume that the underlying topological space is the set of closed points of a reduced, separated scheme of finite type over k.

Let U be an open subset of a variety (X, \mathcal{G}_X) . A k-valued function on U is said to be c-regular if it is continuous and regular on the nonsingular points of U. Let 0_X^c denote the sheaf of c-regular functions on X. We say that X is weakly normal at $x \in X$ if $\mathcal{G}_{X,x} = \mathcal{G}_{X,x}^c$ and that X is weakly normal if $\mathcal{G}_X = \mathcal{G}_X^c$. An affine variety is weakly normal if and only if its affine coordinate ring is seminormal ([1], Thm. 2.2 and (2.7)). For the fundamental results concerning weakly normal varieties the reader can consult [1].

Let $X \subseteq P^n$ be a projective variety and let $R = k[x_0, \dots, x_n]$ denote the homogeneous coordinate ring of X with respect to the given embedding. Then no minimal prime of R contains the irrelevant maximal ideal (x_0, \dots, x_n) . Thus letting T denote the set of homogeneous R-regular elements in R we know that \overline{R} is a graded subring of $T^{-1}R$ by 2.2. Hence R is a graded subring of $T^{-1}R$ by 2.6.

Now if X has ℓ irreducible components then $\overline{R}_{o} \cong \prod_{i=1}^{L} k$. This is not the case with k.

LEMMA 3.1. Let R be the homogeneous coordinate ring of a projective variety $X \subseteq \mathbb{P}^n$ and suppose that R is not seminormal. Then there exist positive degree homogeneous elements s_1, \dots, s_m in \overline{R} such that $s_1^2, s_1^3 \in R[s_1, \dots, s_{i-1}]$ but $s_i \notin R[s_1, \dots, s_{i-1}]$ for $i = 1, \dots, m$ and ${}^+R = R[s_1, \dots, s_m]$. In particular $({}^+R)_o = k$.

PROOF. Since R is not seminormal by 2.4 there exists a homogeneous element $s_1 \in \overline{R} - R$ whose square and whose cube is in R. Since $k = R_o \subset \overline{R_o} \cong \prod_{i=1}^{l} k$ (where l is the number of minimal primes of \overline{R}) is the diagonal mapping we must have $deg(s_1) \ge 0$. Let $R_1 = R[s_1]$. Then R_1 is a graded subring of \overline{R} (and of ${}^+R$) whose degree 0 homogeneous piece is k. If R_1 is not seminormal there exists a homogeneous element $s_2 \in \overline{R} - R_1$ whose square and whose cube is in R_1 . As above we must have $deg(s_2) \ge 0$ so that if $R_2 = R_1[s_2]$ then R_2 is a graded subring of \overline{R} whose degree 0 homogeneous piece is k.

Continuing in this fashion we obtain an increasing chain of graded subrings of $\overline{R}: R < R_1 < R_2 < \cdots$ each of whose degree 0 homogeneous piece is k. Since \overline{R} is a finite R-module ([3], vol. 1, Thm. 9, p. 267) this process must end in a finite number of steps. If R_m is the last ring in the chain then R_m is seminormal by 2.4. Clearly $R_m \subset {}^+R$ and since ${}^+R$ is the smallest seminormal subring of \overline{R}

that contains R we must have $R_m = +R$.

It is well known that the normalization of a projective variety is again projective. Most proofs assume that the original variety is irreducible (e.g. [8] Them. 4, p. 400). The general case follows quickly from the irreducible case. We first take the normalization of each irreducible component and then construct the disjoint union of these normal projective varieties observing that this union can be embedded in some projective space. We would like to point out that if $X \subseteq P^n$ has ℓ irreducible components and $\ell > 1$ then the homogeneous coordinate ring of the normalization of X cannot be realized as the normalization of some Veronese subring of the homogeneous coordinate ring $R = k[x_0, \dots, x_n]$ of X. For the normalization of R (and of every Veronese subring of R) has as its degree 0 homogeneous piece $\prod_{i=1}^{\ell} k$ whereas the homogeneous coordinate ring of any projective variety has k as its degree 0 homogeneous piece.

We now show that the weak normalization of a projective variety is again projective. We include a complete proof for the convenience of the reader.

PROPOSITION 3.2. The weak normalization of a projective variety is a projective variety.

PROOF. Let $x \in \mathbb{P}^n$ be a projective variety with homogeneous coordinate ring $R \approx k[X_0, \dots, X_n]/I = k[x_0, \dots, x_n]$. Let S denote the seminormalization of R. Then S is a graded ring (2.5) and $S_0 = k$ by 3.1. Hence there exists a positive integer d such that S(d) is generated, as a k-algebra, by degree 1 homogeneous elements ([8], Lemma, p. 403). Now S(d) is the seminormalization of R(d) by 2.7 and R(d) is the homogeneous coordinate ring of the Segre transform $\Phi_d(X)$ of X. Replacing X by $\phi_d(X)$ we may assume that S is generated as a k-algebra by degree 1 homogeneous elements.

Let y_0, \dots, y_N be a k-basis for S_1 and let Y_0, \dots, Y_N be indeterminates. Define a degree preserving map of graded k-algebras $\mathcal{P}:k[Y_0, \dots, Y_N] \neq S$ by $\mathcal{P}(Y_1) = y_1$ for $i = 0, \dots, N$ and let $J = \ker \mathcal{P}$. Let $Y = \mathbb{P}^N$ be the projective variety defined by J. Since R is a graded subring of S the elements x_0, \dots, x_n are in S_1 . Let F_0, \dots, F_n be linear forms whose images in S are x_0, \dots, x_n , respectively, and let $L \subseteq \mathbb{P}^N$ be the linear subspace defined by the ideal (F_0, \dots, F_n) . Let $p:\mathbb{P}^N - L \cong \mathbb{P}^n$ be the projection defined by $p(a) = (F_0(a), \dots, F_n(a))$. Since $R \subseteq S$ is an integral extension of graded rings $Y \cap L = \mathcal{P}$ (see [8], Proof of Thm. 4.1, p. 405) and $\pi = P \mid_Y Y \neq \mathbb{P}^n$ is a finite morphism ([8], Prop. 6, p. 246). Then $\pi(Y)$ is the projective variety defined by the homogeneous ideal $J \cap k[X_0, \dots, X_n] = I$, i.e., $\pi(Y) = X$.

Let $f \in R_1$ be an R-regular element. Then $Q(R_{(f)}) = Q(S_{(f)})$ are the function fields of X and Y, respectively, so that π is birational. Let $U_i = X \sqcup P_{X_i}^n$ and $V_i = \pi^{-1}(U_i)$ for $i = 0, \dots, n$. Then U_i and V_i are affine with affine coordinate rings $R_{(x_i)}$ and $S_{(x_i)}$, respectively, and $S_{(x_i)}$ is the seminormalization of $R_{(x_i)}$ by 2.9. Thus $(V_i, \pi \mid V_i)$ is the weak normalization of U_i for $i = 0, \dots, n$ and hence $Y = \bigcup_{i=0}^n V_i$ is weakly normal and (Y, π) is the weak normalization of X.

DEFINITION 3.3. We say that a projective variety $X \subseteq \mathbb{P}^n$ is arithmetically weakly normal if its homogeneous coordinate ring with respect to this embedding is seminormal.

REMARK 3.4. Suppose $X \subseteq \mathbb{P}^n$ is arithmetically weakly normal and let R denote its homogeneous coordinate ring with respect to this embedding. Then R_f is seminormal for each $0 \neq f \in R_1$ by 2.8. Since $R_{(f)}$ is the affine coordinate ring of the affine open subset X_f of X and these cover X (as f ranges through nonzero elements of R_1) we see that X is weakly normal.

PROPOSITION 3.5. Let $X \subseteq P^n$ be a projective variety. Then X is weakly normal if and only if its Segre transforms ${}^{\circ}_{d}(X)$ are arithmetically weakly normal for d sufficiently large.

PROOF. Let R denote the homogeneous coordinate ring of $X \subseteq \mathbb{P}^n$. Suppose that X is weakly normal. Then X_f is weakly normal for each $0 \neq f \in R_1$ so that $R_{(f)}$ is seminormal for each $0 \neq f \in R_1$. Thus R_f is seminormal for each $0 \neq f \in R_1$ by 2.8. Hence $R_f = ({}^{+}R)_f$ for each $0 \neq f \in R_1$ and letting $m = R_+$ we know that $\operatorname{Supp}({}^{+}R/R) \subseteq \{m_I$. Since ${}^{+}R/R$ is a finitely generated graded R-module this implies that $({}^{+}R/R)_m = 0$ for m sufficiently large, i.e., $R_m = {}^{+}R_m$ for m sufficiently large. Now $R_o = {}^{+}R_o = k$ by 3.1 so that $R(d) = ({}^{+}R)(d)$ for d sufficiently large and the latter is seminormal by 2.2 and 2.4. Since R(d) is the homogeneous coordinate ring of the Segre transform ${}^{\phi}{}_d(X)$, the Segre transforms ${}^{\phi}{}_d(X)$ are weakly normal for d sufficiently large.

The converse is clear by 3.4 as $\Phi_d(X)$ is isomorphic to X.

REMARK 3.6. We again remind the reader that the analogous statement for a reducible normal variety is false. For if $X \subseteq \mathbb{P}^n$ is a reducible normal projective variable with $\overset{\times}{}$ irreducible components and R is its homogeneous coordinate ring then $R(d)_{O} = R_{O} = k$ whereas $\overline{R}(d)_{O} = \overline{R}_{O} = \prod_{i=1}^{k} k$ for each $d \ge 0$. Since $\overline{R}(d)$ is the normalization of R(d) by 2.2 we know that R(d) is not normal for all $d \ge 0$. Geometrically this is easy to see because R(d) is the affine coordinate ring of the union of $\overset{\vee}{}$ cones through the origin in A^{n+1} and hence is not normal.

REFERENCES

- LEAHY, J. V. and VITULLI, M. A. Seminormal Rings and Weakly Normal Varieties, <u>Nagoya Math. J.</u>, <u>82</u> (1981), 27-56.
- 2. SWAN, R. On Seminormality, J. Alg. 67 (1980), 210-229.
- ZARISKI, O. and SAMUEL, P. <u>Commutative Algebra</u>, Vol. I, II, Van Nostrand, New Jersey 1960.
- 4. ANDERSON, D. F. Seminormal Graded Rings, J.P.A.A.A. 21 (1981) 1-8.
- BREWER, J. W., COSTA, D. L. and MC CRIMMON, K. Seminormality and Root Closure in Polynomial Rings and Algebraic Curves, <u>J. Alg</u>. 58 (1979) 217-226.
- 6. ANDERSON, D. F. Seminormal Graded Rings II, J.P.A.A.A. 23 (1981) 221-226.
- BARSHAY, J. Graded Algebras of Powers of Ideals Generated by A-Sequences, J. Alg. 25 (1973) 90-99.
- 8. MUMFORD, D. Introduction to Algebraic Geometry, Harvard Lecture Notes.

240



Advances in **Operations Research**



The Scientific World Journal







Hindawi

Submit your manuscripts at http://www.hindawi.com



Algebra



Journal of Probability and Statistics



International Journal of Differential Equations





Complex Analysis

International Journal of

Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences





Mathematical Problems in Engineering



Abstract and Applied Analysis

Discrete Dynamics in Nature and Society





Function Spaces



International Journal of Stochastic Analysis

