A CONSTRUCTION OF A BASE FOR THE M FOLD TENSOR PRODUCT OF A BRANCH SPACE

ROHAN HEMASINHA

The University of West Florida
Pensacola, Florida 32514

(Received August 3, 1987)

ABSTRACT. Let E be a Banach space with Schauder base $(x_n)_n$. Let $\bigotimes_{\alpha}^m E$ denote the completion of the m fold tensor product with respect to a reasonable cross norm α . We show that the set $\{x_{i_1} \otimes \dots \otimes x_{i_m} : x_{i_j} \in (x_n)_n\}$ can be enumerated so that for each positive integer k , the first k^m terms are precisely all the elements of the form $x_{i_1} \otimes \dots \otimes x_{i_m}$ with $i_1, \dots, i_m \in \{1, \dots, k\}$ and the set so arranged is a Schauder base for $\bigotimes_{\alpha}^m E$.

KEYWORDS AND PHRASES. m fold tensor product, projective, injective and reasonable cross norms, Schauder bases.

1980 AMS SUBJECT CLASSIFICATION CODE. 46B15, 46M05.

1. INTRODUCTION.

Given a Banach space E , we will denote by $\bigotimes^m E$ the m fold algebraic tensor product and by $\bigotimes_{\alpha}^m E$ its completion with respect to reasonable norm α . If $(x_n)_n$ is a Schauder base for E then $\bigotimes^m (x_n)_n$ will denote the set

$$\{x_{i_1} \otimes \dots \otimes x_{i_m} : x_{i_j} \in (x_n)_n, 1 \leq j \leq m\}.$$

For $m > 2$ the projective (injective) tensor norm on $\bigotimes^m E$ will be denoted by $\gamma_m(\lambda)$. If $m = 2$ this norm will be denoted by $\gamma(\lambda)$.

In [1], [4] it is shown that if E_1, E_2 are Banach spaces with Schauder bases $(x_n)_n, (y_n)_n$ then for any reasonable norm α , the space $E_1 \otimes_{\alpha} E_2$ has a Schauder base $(z_n)_n$ with the following properties.

- (1) $(z_n)_n$ is obtained by enumerating the set $(x_n)_n \otimes (y_n)_n$;
- (2) the enumeration is such that for any positive integer k , the first k^2 terms are precisely all elements of the form

$$x_{i_1} \otimes y_{i_2}, \quad i_1, i_2 \in \{1, \dots, k\}.$$

In this paper we show that for any positive integer m , the space $\overset{m}{\bullet}_{\alpha} E$ has a Schauder base obtained by enumerating $\overset{m}{\bullet}(x_n)_n$ in such a way that for any positive integer k , the first k^m terms are precisely all elements of the form

$$x_{i_1} \bullet \dots \bullet x_{i_m} \text{ with } i_1, \dots, i_m \in \{1, \dots, k\}.$$

Our proof is via an induction argument and in a forthcoming paper we utilize this construction to derive some properties of the symmetric tensor algebra of a Banach space. Note that even for the case $m = 3$, iteration to $(E \bullet_{\gamma} E) \bullet_{\gamma} E$ of the enumerating scheme described in [1] will not yield a base with the above mentioned properties.

For definitions and terminology regarding Schauder bases, tensor products and tensor norms we refer the reader to [4], [3], and [2].

We denote the following property (*) of the projective tensor norm. For $m > 2$ let u be an element of $\overset{m}{\bullet} E$. It can easily be shown that

$$(*) \quad \gamma(u) = \gamma_m(u)$$

where $\gamma(u)$ is the projective norm of u when u is considered as an element of $F \bullet E$ with $F = \overset{m-1}{\bullet} E$ being endowed with the norm γ_{m-1} . We shall make use of this fact subsequently.

We now state our theorem.

THEOREM. Let (x_n, f_n) , $x_n \in E$, $f_n \in E'$ be biorthogonal system such that $(x_n)_n$ is a Schauder base for E . Let α be a reasonable norm on $\overset{m}{\bullet} E$. Then there exists for $\overset{m}{\bullet}_{\alpha} E$ a biorthogonal system (z_n, g_n) with the following properties.

- (1) As sets $\{z_n : n \in N\} = \overset{m}{\bullet}(x_n)_n$
 $\{g_n : n \in N\} = \overset{m}{\bullet}(f_n)_n$.
- (2) The enumeration of (z_n, g_n) is such that for each positive integer k the first k^m terms are precisely all the tensors of the form
 $x_{i_1} \bullet \dots \bullet x_{i_m},$
 $f_{i_1} \bullet \dots \bullet f_{i_m} \text{ with } i_1, \dots, i_m \in \{1, \dots, k\}.$
- (3) The sequence $(z_n)_n$ is a Schauder base for $\overset{m}{\bullet}_{\alpha} E$.

PROOF. It is known that [2] $\lambda_m < \alpha < \gamma_m$ for any reasonable norm α . Also $\overset{m}{\bullet} E' \subseteq (\overset{m}{\bullet}_{\lambda_m} E)'$. Thus any enumeration of $\overset{m}{\bullet}(x_n)_n$ together with the corresponding enumeration of $\overset{m}{\bullet}(f_n)_n$ yields a biorthogonal system for $\overset{m}{\bullet}_{\alpha} E$. It is easily shown that the linear span of $\overset{m}{\bullet}(x_n)_n$ is dense in $\overset{m}{\bullet}_{\gamma_m} E$ and we shall first establish the assertions of the theorem for $\alpha = \gamma_m$.

Now for $m = 2$ the base constructed in [1] has the stated properties.

Suppose that a biorthogonal system (z_n, g_n) with the stated properties can be constructed for $\overset{m}{\bullet}_{\gamma_m} E$.

Consider the sequence $(w_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ in E defined by the scheme in the accompanying figure. For each positive integer k , the terms

$$w_{k^{m+1}+1}^{m+1}, w_{k^{m+1}+2}^{m+1}, \dots, w_{(k+1)^{m+1}}^{m+1}$$

are described by the tensor beneath each term.

Figure 1

k , a positive integer; ℓ an integer $0 \leq \ell < k$

$w_{k^{m+1}+1}^{m+1}$	$w_{k^{m+1}+2}^{m+1}$	$w_{(k+1)^{m+1}+k^m(k-1)}^{m+1}$
$x_1 \otimes z_{k^{m+1}}^m$	$x_1 \otimes z_{k^m+2}^m$	$x_1 \otimes z_{(k+1)^m}^m$
$w_{(k+1)^m+k^m(k-1)+1}^{m+1},$	$w_{(k+1)^m+k^m(k-1)+2}^{m+1},$	$w_{2(k+1)^m+k^m(k-2)}^{m+1}$
$x_2 \otimes z_{k^m+1}^m$	$x_2 \otimes z_{k^m+2}^m$	$x_2 \otimes z_{(k+1)^m}^m$
$\vdots$	$\vdots$	$\vdots$
$w_{\ell(k+1)^m+k^m(k-\ell)+1}^{m+1},$	$\dots\dots\dots$	$w_{(\ell+1)(k+1)^m+k^m(k-(\ell+1))}^{m+1}$
$x_{\ell+1} \otimes z_{k^m+1}^m$	$\dots\dots\dots$	$x_{\ell+1} \otimes z_{(k+1)^m}^m$
$w_{(k-1)(k+1)^m+k^m+1}^{m+1},$	$\dots\dots\dots$	$w_{k(k+1)^m}^{m+1}$
$x_k \otimes z_{k^m+1}^m$	$\dots\dots\dots$	$x_k \otimes z_{(k+1)^m}^m$
$w_{k(k+1)^m+1}^{m+1},$	$w_{k(k+1)^m+2}^{m+1},$	$w_{(k+1)^{m+1}}^{m+1}$
$x_{k+1} \otimes z_1^m$	$x_{k+1} \otimes z_2^m$	$x_{k+1} \otimes z_{(k+1)^m}^m$

In the preceding tableau each of the first k rows contains $(k+1)^m - k^m$ entries. The last row has $(k+1)^m$ entries, and so altogether we have exhibited $k((k+1)^m - k^m) + (k+1)^m = (k+1)^{m+1} - k^{m+1}$ entries.

The sequence (h_n) is obtained by enumerating the set $\{g_p \otimes f_q \mid g_p \in (g_n), f_q \in (f_n)\}$ according to the same scheme.

In view of the inductive hypothesis the system (w_h, h_n) is a biorthogonal system with the property that the first k^{m+1} terms are all of the form

$$x_{i_1} \cdot \dots \cdot x_{i_{m+1}}, f_{i_1} \cdot \dots \cdot f_{i_{m+1}}$$

with $i_1, \dots, i_{m+1} \in \{1, \dots, k\}$.

Let S_n, T_n, W_n respectively denote the n^{th} partial sum operators of the systems $(x_n, f_n), (z_n, g_n)$ and (w_n, h_n) .

Since $(x_n), (z_n)$ are bases the sequences $(f_n), (T_n)$ are bounded in operator norm by some constant M . To show that (w_n) is a base it thus suffices to show that for some positive M' , $\|W_n\| \leq M'$ for all n ([4], p. 25).

Now, given a positive integer n the defining scheme for (w_j) (see fig. 1) shows that W_n can be expressed as sums of tensor products of the operators S_m, T_m and f_m .

Indeed, let us consider the three possible cases.

Case 1. If $n = k^{m+1}$ for some positive integer k then

$$W_n = \overset{m+1}{\bullet} S_k, \text{ the } m+1 \text{ fold tensor product of } S_k.$$

Case 2. If $n = \ell(k+1)^m + k^m(k-\ell) + r$, with $0 \leq \ell < k$ and

$$1 \leq r \leq (k+1)^m - k^m \text{ then}$$

$$W_n = \overset{m+1}{\bullet} S_k + (T_{(k+1)^m} - T_{k^m}) \bullet S_\ell + (T_{k^{m+r}} - T_{k^m}) \bullet f_{\ell+1}.$$

Case 3. If $n = k(k+1)^m + r$ with $1 \leq r < (k+1)^m$ then

$$W_n = \overset{m+1}{\bullet} S_k + (T_{(k+1)^m} - T_{k^m}) \bullet S_k + T_r \bullet f_{k+1}$$

In u is in $\overset{m+1}{\bullet} E$ then property (*) together with the fact that γ_{m+1} is reasonable yields the following inequalities

$$(1) \gamma_{m+1}(\overset{m+1}{\bullet} S_k(u)) \leq \|S_k\|^{m+1} \gamma_{m+1}(u)$$

$$(2) \gamma_{m+1}((T_{(k+1)^m} - T_{k^m}) \bullet S_\ell(u)) \\ \leq \|T_{(k+1)^m} - T_{k^m}\| \|S_\ell\| \gamma_{m+1}(u)$$

$$(3) \gamma_{m+1}((T_{k^{m+r}} - T_{k^m}) \bullet f_{\ell+1}(u)) \\ \leq \|T_{k^{m+r}} - T_{k^m}\| \|f_{\ell+1}\| \gamma_{m+1}(u).$$

We utilized (*) in deriving (2) and (3). Hence,

$$\gamma_{m+1}(W_n(u)) \leq (M^{m+1} + 2M^2 + 2M^2)\gamma_{m+1}(u)$$

in all three cases. It now follows from the uniform boundedness principle that $(\|W_n\|)$ is bounded.

To complete the proof let us recall that if α is a reasonable norm then $\lambda_m \leq \alpha \leq \gamma_m$. Furthermore, $\overset{m}{\underset{\alpha}{\bullet}}E$ is the completion of $\overset{m}{\bullet}E$ with respect to α . Consequently for all such α , the system (z_n, g_n) is a complete biorthogonal system whose sequence of partial sums T_n is pointwise bounded and hence bounded in operator norm. This means that $(z_n)_n$ is a Schauder base for $\overset{m}{\underset{\alpha}{\bullet}}E$.

CONCLUDING REMARKS.

It would be interesting to find out whether the base for $\overset{m}{\underset{\gamma_m}{\bullet}}E$ obtained by iteration of the process in [1] is equivalent to the base described in this paper. We hope to investigate this problem in a future paper.

REFERENCES

1. GELBAUM, B.R. and GIL DE LA MADRID, J. Bases for Tensor Products of Banach Spaces, Pacific J. Math. 11 (1961), pp. 1281-1286.
2. CIGLER, J., LOSERT, V. and MICHOR, P. Banach Modules and Functors on Categories of Banach Spaces. Lecture Notes in Pure and Applied Mathematics, Marcel Dekker, Inc., (1979).
3. SCHATTEN, R. A Theory of Cross Spaces. Annals of Mathematics Studies, 26, Princeton University Press, (1950).
4. SINGER, I. Bases for Banach Spaces, Vol. 1. A Series of Comprehensive Studies in Mathematics, 154. Springer-Verlag, (1970).