

SOME FUNCTIONALS FOR COPULAS

C. ALSINA

Departament de Matemàtiques i Estadística
ETSAB, Universitat Politècnica de Catalunya
08028 Barcelona, Spain.

and

A. DAMAS and J.J. QUESADA

Departamento de Matemática Aplicada
Universidad de Granada
18071 Granada, Spain.

(Received November 14, 1989 and in revised form April 8, 1990)

ABSTRACT. In this paper we study some functionals operating on the set of the n -copulas defined on $[0, 1]^n$. Conditions under which such functionals are well defined are determined and some counterexamples are described. The study of the fixed points (n -copulas) for these functionals is also considered, and, finally, some open problems are presented.

KEY WORDS AND PHRASES. *N-increasing functions, connecting copula, n-copula.*
1980 AMS SUBJECT CLASSIFICATION CODE. 62H05.

1. INTRODUCTION.

In 1959, Abe Sklar [1] introduced the concept of a copula. Since then, theory of copulas has been playing an important role in Mathematics and in particular in Mathematical Statistics and Probability Theory. Many applications of the theory of copulas have been proposed in these parts of Mathematics. In any case, we think that many of the advantages and possibilities of using copulas in Probability Theory remain still to be developed.

The theory of copulas has been found to be of great interest when describing the dependence between two or more random variables, which is given by their joint distribution function.

Several interesting papers dealing with this problem and others have been written in the last few years. We should mention Schweizer and Sklar [2-3], Schweizer and Wolff [4-5], Genest and Mackay [6], and Wolff [7].

In Schweizer and Wolff [4-5] several nonparametric measures of dependence for random variables are defined by using the associated copula. Some properties and interesting results are presented. Wolff [7] has made a detailed study of these measures of dependence.

Genest and Mackay [6] have considered some families of copulas and have studied properties for them. Recently, Alsina and Quesada [8] have studied the relationships between some pairs of copulas.

In this paper, we study some functionals operating on the set of the copulas defined on $[0, 1]^n$ and we determine the conditions under which these functionals are well defined. Some counterexamples describing the validity of these conditions are given. We also study the fixed points (n -copulas) for these functionals. We conclude with several open problems.

In section 2 we give a brief introduction to the theory of copulas. Section 3 is devoted to the study of the functionals defined on the set of copulas. In section 4 we consider the case $\Pi^* = \Pi$ and in section 5 we study the copulas that are fixed points for these functionals. Section 6 contains some open problems and conclusions.

2. COPULAS.

A function C from $[0,1]^n$ into $[0,1]$ is said to be n -increasing if the C -volume of any n -box on $[0,1]^n$ is non-negative, that is to say, if $V_c(N) \geq 0$ for any n -box $N = \{(x,y)\} \subset [0,1]^n$ with $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$, $y = (y_1, \dots, y_n)$, $x_i \leq y_i$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, where the C -volume of the n -box N is given by

$$V_c(N) = \sum_{z \in (z_1, \dots, z_n)} C(z_1, \dots, z_n) \geq 0 \quad (2.1)$$

where

$$\epsilon(z_1, \dots, z_n) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } z_i = x_i \text{ for an even number of } i\text{'s.} \\ -1 & \text{if } z_i = x_i \text{ for an odd number of } i\text{'s.} \end{cases}$$

and the sum in (2.1) is extended to all vertices of N .

Now, let us consider the definition of n -copula due to A. Sklar [1] in 1959:

Definition 2.1. A n -dimensional copula or, briefly, a n -copula is a function C from $[0,1]^n$ into $[0,1]$ that satisfies the following conditions:

- (i) $C(1, \dots, 1, x_i, 1, \dots, 1) = x_i$ for every i such that $1 \leq i \leq n$ and every $x_i \in [0,1]$.
- (ii) $C(x_1, \dots, x_n) = 0$ if $x_i = 0$ for some i with $1 \leq i \leq n$.
- (iii) C is n -increasing.

Some easy examples of n -copulas are the functions Π , M and W respectively defined by

$$\Pi(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = x_1 \cdot x_2 \cdot \dots \cdot x_n$$

$$M(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = \text{Min}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$$

$$W(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = \text{Max}(x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_n - n + 1, 0)$$

and while Π and M are always n -copulas, W is a copula only when $n = 2$. It is easy to show that copulas are uniformly continuous functions and that they are increasing in each variable. Also, any copula C satisfies that $W(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \leq C(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \leq M(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$ for every $(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \in [0,1]^n$.

The fundamental result in the theory of copulas gives us an idea of the importance of a copula, because it is shown that a copula is a function relating the joint distribution function of a random vector with its marginal distribution functions. Namely, the key result in the theory of copulas asserts as follows:

THEOREM 2.2. Let X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n be n random variables defined on a common probability space and let F_1, F_2, \dots, F_n and G be the marginal distribution functions and the joint distribution function respectively. Then, there exists a n -copula C such that

$$G(t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n) = C(F_1(t_1), F_2(t_2), \dots, F_n(t_n)) \quad (2.2)$$

for every $t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n \in \mathbb{R}$. The copula C is called a connecting copula of X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n and, if F_1, F_2, \dots, F_n are continuous, then C is unique. Otherwise, C is uniquely determined on $(\text{Ran } F_1) \times (\text{Ran } F_2) \times \dots \times (\text{Ran } F_n)$.

As a consequence of Theorem 2.2 we can observe that the connecting copula of n random variables is a function that contains all the information about the dependence of the random variables. This is one of the reasons for proposing measures of dependence for random variables in terms of the connecting copula. (See Schweizer and Wolff [4-5] and Wolff [7].)

The following result is a consequence of Theorem 2.2.

THEOREM 2.3. Let X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n be n random variables defined on a common probability space and having continuous marginal distributions functions. If C is the connecting copula, then

- (i) $C = \Pi$ if and only if X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n are independent.
- (ii) $C = M$ if and only if each random variable X_i , ($i = 1, 2, \dots, n$) is an increasing function of the other ones.
- (iii) For $n = 2$, $C = W$ if and only if each random variable X_i , ($i = 1, 2$) is a decreasing function of the other one.

The cases (ii) and (iii) correspond with total and positive, and total and negative dependence of the random variables, respectively.

More results and applications can be found in the abovementioned works and also in the book "Probabilistic Metric Spaces" by Schweizer and Sklar [3], where a whole chapter is devoted to the theory of copulas.

Now, our interest is to study some functionals operating on the set of the n -copulas. These functionals have to be well defined, that is to say, we have to determine the conditions under which the functionals map copulas into copulas.

3. THE FUNCTIONALS.

Let C be any n -copula, and let P be a fixed n -copula. We consider the functional defined for any n -copula C as follows

$$C^*(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = \lambda \cdot P(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) + (1-\lambda) \cdot [f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) + C(\alpha_1(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n), \dots, \alpha_n(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n))] \quad (3.1)$$

for every $(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \in [0,1]^n$, where f is a function defined on $[0,1]^n$, $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n$ are functions defined from $[0,1]^n$ into $[0,1]$ and $\lambda \in [0,1]$.

First of all, we want to study conditions for the functions $f, \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n$ which assure us that C^* is a n -copula for any n -copula. Later, we will consider the case $\Pi^* = \Pi$ and we will study the fixed points for these functionals, that is, the n -copulas C_0 such that $C_0^* = C_0$.

If $\lambda = 1$, then $C^* = P$ for any n -copula C and it is a trivial case. From now on, we will consider $\lambda \in [0,1)$. In the next result we study conditions on $f, \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n$ which ensure that C^* satisfies conditions (i) and (ii) of definition 2.1 for any n -copula C .

LEMMA 3.1. Let C^* be as defined in (3.1). For any n -copula C the following statement is true:

- (i) $C^*(1, \dots, 1, x_i, 1, \dots, 1) = x_i$ for every i such that $1 \leq i \leq n$ and every $x_i \in [0,1]$, and
- (ii) $C^*(x_1, \dots, x_n) = 0$ if $x_i = 0$ for some i with $1 \leq i \leq n$

if and only if

$$f(x_1, \dots, x_n) = x_1 \dots x_n - C(\alpha_1(x_1, \dots, x_n), \dots, \alpha_n(x_1, \dots, x_n)) \text{ for any } (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in [0,1]^n \text{ such that } x_1 \dots x_n = x_j \text{ for some } j \text{ with } 1 \leq j \leq n.$$

PROOF. Suppose $(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in [0,1]^n$ such that some $x_i = 0$ and $C^*(x_1, \dots, x_n) = 0$. Since P is a copula we have $f(x_1, \dots, x_n) = -C(\alpha_1(x_1, \dots, x_n), \dots, \alpha_n(x_1, \dots, x_n))$. Similarly, given $(1, \dots, 1, x_i, 1, \dots, 1) \in [0,1]^n$, if $C^*(1, \dots, 1, x_i, 1, \dots, 1) = x_i$ we have that

$$x_i = \lambda \cdot x_i + (1-\lambda) \cdot [f(1, \dots, 1, x_i, 1, \dots, 1) + C(\alpha_1(1, \dots, 1, x_i, 1, \dots, 1), \dots, \alpha_n(1, \dots, 1, x_i, 1, \dots, 1))]$$

and since $\lambda \neq 1$ we get the desired expression for f .

In the other direction, let us suppose that

$$f(x_1, \dots, x_n) = x_1 \dots x_n - C(\alpha_1(x_1, \dots, x_n), \dots, \alpha_n(x_1, \dots, x_n))$$

for any $(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in [0, 1]^n$ such that $x_1 \dots x_n = x_j$ for some j , $1 \leq j \leq n$. In this case we get

$$C^*(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \lambda \cdot P(x_1, \dots, x_n) + (1 - \lambda) \cdot x_1 \dots x_n$$

which completes the proof.

Now, we want to find conditions on f and $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n$ independently of the copula C , which are necessary and sufficient for C^* to satisfy conditions (i) and (ii) of definition 2.1. From now on, we will denote by I the unit interval $[0, 1]$, and $I^n = [0, 1]^n$. Also, J will denote the subset of I^n given by

$$J = \{ (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in I^n \mid x_1 \dots x_n = x_i, \text{ for some } i, 1 \leq i \leq n \}$$

First, let us prove the following technical result:

PROPOSITION 3.2. If $\Pi^*(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = M^*(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$ then for every $(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \in J$, there is some j , $1 \leq j \leq n$, such that $\prod_{i=1}^n \alpha_i(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = \alpha_j(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$.

PROOF. If $\Pi^*(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = M^*(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$ then, by definition,

$$\Pi(\alpha_1(x_1, \dots, x_n), \dots, \alpha_n(x_1, \dots, x_n)) = M(\alpha_1(x_1, \dots, x_n), \dots, \alpha_n(x_1, \dots, x_n))$$

and the result is proved.

LEMMA 3.3. Let C^* be as defined in (3.1). Then the following statement is true:

For every n -copula C ,

- (i) $C^*(1, \dots, 1, x_i, 1, \dots, 1) = x_i$ for every i such that $1 \leq i \leq n$ and every $x_i \in [0, 1]$, and
- (ii) $C^*(x_1, \dots, x_n) = 0$ if $x_i = 0$ for some i with $1 \leq i \leq n$

if and only if

- (iii) $f(x_1, \dots, x_n) = x_1 \dots x_n - \prod_{i=1}^n \alpha_i(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ for every $(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in J$,

and

- (iv) for every $(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in J$ there is some j , $1 \leq j \leq n$, such that $\prod_{i=1}^n \alpha_i(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \alpha_j(x_1, \dots, x_n)$.

PROOF. Let C^* be satisfying (i) and (ii), in particular for $C = \Pi$ and by using lemma 3.1 we get (iii). Also, by hypothesis Π^* and M^* are in the conditions of proposition 3.2 and (iv) is obtained. In the other direction, let us consider $(0, x_2, \dots, x_n) \in J$, then by (iii).

$$C^*(0, x_2, \dots, x_n) = (1 - \lambda) \cdot \left[- \prod_{i=1}^n \alpha_i(0, x_2, \dots, x_n) + C(\alpha_1(0, x_2, \dots, x_n), \dots, \alpha_n(0, x_2, \dots, x_n)) \right]$$

and by (iv) we have $C^*(0, x_2, \dots, x_n) = 0$. The remaining cases can similarly be proved.

Lemma 3.3 states necessary and sufficient conditions for f and $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n$ in order to have C^* satisfy (i) and (ii) of the which definition of n -copula C . It only remains to study conditions guarantee C^* is n -increasing. The theorem provides the solution for this problem.

THEOREM 3.4. Let C^* be as defined in (3.1). If $f(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ is n -increasing, (iii) and (iv) on proposition 3.3 are satisfied, and either one of the two following conditions hold:

- (v) Every function α_i depends solely of one variable, which is different for each α_i . All α_i 's are monotone but the number of them that are decreasing, is even.
- (vi) There exists one variable x_j ($1 \leq j \leq n$) such that none of the functions α_i depends on x_j

then,

- 1) C^* is a n-copula for every n-copula C .
- 2) If $C_1 \leq C_2$, then $C_1^* \leq C_2^*$.

PROOF. Let us suppose that (v) is satisfied. By applying lemma 3.3, since (iii) and (iv) hold, we have for C^* the conditions (i) and (ii) of definition 2.1. It only remains to prove that C^* is n-increasing. Let $N = [(a_1, \dots, a_n), (b_1, \dots, b_n)]$ be a n-box, $N \subset \mathbb{I}^n$. We have to show that

$$\sum \in (z_1, \dots, z_n) \cdot C^*(z_1, \dots, z_n) \geq 0 \quad (3.2)$$

where the sum is extended to all vertices of N .

Suppose that, by (v), α_i only depends on x_i for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. Then, by taking into account that P is a n-copula and f is n-increasing, (3.2) is equivalent to proving that

$$\sum \in (z_1, \dots, z_n) \cdot C((\alpha_1(z_1), \dots, \alpha_n(z_n))) \geq 0 \quad (3.3)$$

Let $c = (c_1, c_2, \dots, c_n)$ be given by $c_i = \alpha_i(a_i)$ if α_i is increasing and $c_i = \alpha_i(b_i)$ if α_i is decreasing, and $d = (d_1, d_2, \dots, d_n)$ given by $d_i = \alpha_i(b_i)$ if α_i is increasing and $d_i = \alpha_i(a_i)$ if α_i is decreasing. Clearly $N_1 = [c, d]$ is a n-box on $[0, 1]^n$ and for every vertex (z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n) of N , we have

$$\in (z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n) = \in (\alpha_1(z_1), \alpha_2(z_2), \dots, \alpha_n(z_n)).$$

Thus (3.3) is reduced to proving

$$\sum \in (\alpha_1(z_1), \dots, \alpha_n(z_n)) \cdot C(\alpha_1(z_1), \dots, \alpha_n(z_n)) \geq 0$$

which is satisfied because C is a n-copula. Therefore C^* is a n-copula.

Let us suppose that (vi) holds instead of (v). Now, C^* will be n-increasing if we prove that

$$\sum \in (z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n) \cdot C(\alpha_1(z_1, \dots, z_n), \dots, \alpha_n(z_1, \dots, z_n)) \geq 0$$

Suppose, for example, that none of the α_i 's depends on x_1 , then

$$\begin{aligned} \sum \in (z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n) \cdot C(\alpha_1(z_1, \dots, z_n), \dots, \alpha_n(z_1, \dots, z_n)) &= \\ &= \sum \in (a_1, z_2, \dots, z_n) \cdot C(\alpha_1(a_1, \dots, z_n), \dots, \alpha_n(a_1, \dots, z_n)) + \\ &+ \sum \in (b_1, z_2, \dots, z_n) \cdot C(\alpha_1(b_1, \dots, z_n), \dots, \alpha_n(b_1, \dots, z_n)) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

because $\in (a_1, z_2, \dots, z_n) = - \in (b_1, z_2, \dots, z_n)$ and $\alpha_i(a_1, z_2, \dots, z_n) = \alpha_i(b_1, z_2, \dots, z_n)$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$.

It is obvious that if $C_1 \leq C_2$, then $C_1^* \leq C_2^*$.

COUNTEREXAMPLE. It seems reasonable to think that conditions (v) and (vi) in theorem 3.4 could be too strong. This is not the case, and to illustrate this, we give the following counterexample which shows us that either one of those hypothesis are needed. Let $C^*(x, y)$ be given by

$$C^*(x, y) = \lambda \cdot \Pi(x, y) + (1-\lambda) \cdot [f(x, y) + C(\alpha_1(x, y), \alpha_2(x, y))]$$

and let us consider $\alpha_1(x, y) = \text{Min} \{ y \cdot (x+y), y \}$, $\alpha_2(x, y) = x$ and $f(x, y) = 0$. Then f is 2-increasing and (iii) and (iv) are satisfied, but neither (v) or (vi) hold. Also, we observe that α_1 and α_2 are increasing and continuous functions and therefore we eliminate the idea that continuity could change the conditions of our problem. If we consider the values $x_1 = 3/4$, $x_2 = 1$, $y_1 = 1/8$, $y_2 = 1/2$ and $C = M$, then we get

$$\begin{aligned} M^*(3/4, 1/8) + M^*(1, 1/2) - M^*(3/4, 1/2) - M^*(1, 1/8) &= \\ &= \lambda \cdot (1/2 - 1/8) \cdot (1 - 3/4) + (1-\lambda) \cdot (-1/64) \end{aligned}$$

which is negative for some values of λ , and therefore M^* is not 2-increasing.

4. THE CASE $\Pi^* = \Pi$.

Once we know the conditions for C^* being a n-copula, we are interested in the study of the particular cases in which the n-copula Π remains fixed, that is to say $\Pi^* = \Pi$. The theorem provides the solution for this problem:

THEOREM 4.1. Let C^* be as defined in (3.1). The following conditions are equivalent:

(i) $\Pi^* = \Pi$.

(ii)
$$f(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \frac{x_1 \dots x_n - \lambda \cdot P(x_1, \dots, x_n)}{1 - \lambda} - \prod_{i=1}^n \alpha_i(x_1, \dots, x_n)$$

for every $(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in I^n$.

(iii)
$$C^*(x_1, \dots, x_n) = x_1 \dots x_n + (1-\lambda) \cdot [C(\alpha_1(x_1, \dots, x_n), \dots, \alpha_n(x_1, \dots, x_n)) - \prod_{i=1}^n \alpha_i(x_1, \dots, x_n)]$$

for every $(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in I^n$.

PROOF. (i) \Rightarrow (ii). If $\Pi^* = \Pi$, then

$$\lambda \cdot P(x_1, \dots, x_n) + (1-\lambda) \cdot [f(x_1, \dots, x_n) + \prod_{i=1}^n (\alpha_i(x_1, \dots, x_n))] = x_1 \dots x_n$$

and we get (ii).

(ii) \Rightarrow (iii). By substituting f in the general expression of C^* we have (iii).

(iii) \Rightarrow (i). If we put $C = \Pi$ in (iii), we get $\Pi^* = \Pi$.

We observe that C^* in (iii) can be seen in the general form (3.1) where $P = \Pi$ and f is given by $f(x_1, \dots, x_n) = x_1 \dots x_n - \prod_{i=1}^n \alpha_i(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ for every $(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in I^n$. We will use this fact to prove the following result:

THEOREM 4.2. Let C^* be given by (iii) in theorem 4.1. If there exists one variable x_j ($1 \leq j \leq n$) such that none of the functions α_i depends on x_j , and for every $(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in J$ there is some k , $1 \leq k \leq n$, such that $\prod_{i=1}^n \alpha_i(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \alpha_k(x_1, \dots, x_n)$, then

- 1) C^* is a n-copula for every n-copula C .
- 2) $\Pi^* = \Pi$.
- 3) For every n-copula C , $C \geq \Pi \Rightarrow C^* \geq \Pi$ and $C \leq \Pi \Rightarrow C^* \leq \Pi$.

PROOF. Since C^* has the general form (3.1) where $P = \Pi$ and

$$f(x_1, \dots, x_n) = x_1 \dots x_n - \prod_{i=1}^n \alpha_i(x_1, \dots, x_n),$$

and by using the second hypothesis of the functions α_i , we can apply lemma 3.3 and therefore C^* satisfies conditions (i) and (ii) of definition 2.1. In order to be able to use theorem 3.4 which assures us that C^* is a n-copula, it is enough to show that $f(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ is n-increasing.

Let $N = [(a_1, \dots, a_n), (b_1, \dots, b_n)]$ be a n-box in I^n , and suppose, for example, that none of the functions α_i depends on x_1 . Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \Sigma \in (z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n) \cdot \prod_{i=1}^n \alpha_i(z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n) &= \\ &= \Sigma \in (a_1, z_2, \dots, z_n) \cdot \prod_{i=1}^n \alpha_i(a_1, z_2, \dots, z_n) \\ &\quad + \Sigma \in (b_1, z_2, \dots, z_n) \cdot \prod_{i=1}^n \alpha_i(b_1, z_2, \dots, z_n) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

because $\in(a_1, z_2, \dots, z_n) = -\in(b_1, z_2, \dots, z_n)$ and $\alpha_i(a_1, z_2, \dots, z_n) = \alpha_i(b_1, z_2, \dots, z_n)$ for every

$i, 1 \leq i \leq n$. Therefore,

$$\Sigma \in (z_1, \dots, z_n) \cdot f(z_1, \dots, z_n) = \Sigma \in (z_1, \dots, z_n) \cdot z_1 \dots z_n \geq 0$$

and C^* is a n -copula. By theorem 4.1, it is clear that $\Pi^* = \Pi$, and the proof of 3) is obvious.

REMARK. We can observe that hypothesis (v) of theorem 3.4 does not work in theorem 4.2. Let us suppose, for example, that α_i only depends on x_i for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, and the number of functions α_i that are decreasing is even. In this case we have that

$$\Sigma \in (z_1, \dots, z_n) \cdot C(\alpha_1(z_1), \dots, \alpha_n(z_n)) \geq 0,$$

and in order to assure that C^* is n -increasing we have to prove that f is n -increasing, that is to say,

$$-\Sigma \in (z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n) \cdot \alpha_1(z_1) \cdot \alpha_2(z_2) \dots \alpha_n(z_n) \geq 0.$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{But, } -\Sigma \in (z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n) \cdot \alpha_1(z_1) \cdot \alpha_2(z_2) \dots \alpha_n(z_n) &= \\ &= -\Sigma \in (b_1, z_2, \dots, z_n) \cdot \alpha_1(b_1) \cdot \alpha_2(z_2) \dots \alpha_n(z_n) + \\ &+ \Sigma \in (b_1, z_2, \dots, z_n) \cdot \alpha_1(a_1) \cdot \alpha_2(z_2) \dots \alpha_n(z_n) = \\ &= -\Sigma \in (b_1, z_2, \dots, z_n) \cdot [\alpha_1(b_1) - \alpha_1(a_1)] \cdot \alpha_2(z_2) \dots \alpha_n(z_n). \end{aligned}$$

Repeating the same process we can obtain

$$-\Sigma \in (z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n) \cdot \alpha_1(z_1) \cdot \alpha_2(z_2) \dots \alpha_n(z_n) = -\prod_{i=1}^n [\alpha_i(b_i) - \alpha_i(a_i)] \leq 0$$

because, by (v) all the functions α_i are monotone and the number of them that are decreasing is even, and therefore $f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$ is not n -increasing.

5. FIXED COPULAS.

Now, we are interested in finding these copulas that remain fixed for the functionals we are studying. First, we consider $\lambda \in (0,1)$ and then we will see in next theorem that the answer for this problem is the existence and uniqueness of a n -copula C_0 such that $C_0^* = C_0$.

THEOREM 5.1. Let C^* be as defined in (3.1), and $\lambda \in (0,1)$.

If $f(x_1, \dots, x_n) = P(x_1, \dots, x_n) - P(\alpha_1(x_1), \dots, \alpha_n(x_1, \dots, x_n))$, then $C^* = C \Leftrightarrow C = P$.

PROOF. Let $\|C - P\| = \sup_{(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in I^n} |C(x_1, \dots, x_n) - P(x_1, \dots, x_n)|$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \|C^* - P\| &= |1 - \lambda| \cdot \sup_{(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in I^n} |C(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) - P(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)| \leq \\ &\leq |1 - \lambda| \cdot \sup_{(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in I^n} |C(x_1, \dots, x_n) - P(x_1, \dots, x_n)| = \\ &= |1 - \lambda| \cdot \|C - P\|, \end{aligned}$$

which concludes the proof.

COROLLARY 5.2. Let C^* be as in theorem 5.1. Then, the following is true:

- (i) $C^{**} = C \Leftrightarrow C = P$
- (ii) If $C_0 = C$ and $C_{n+1} = C_n^*$, for $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, then $\{C_n\} \rightarrow P$.

PROOF. From theorem 5.1. (i) is unispelled. For proving (ii) it is enough to see that $\|C_n - P\| \leq |1 - \lambda|^n \cdot \|C - P\|$ and therefore when n increases the n -copula C_n is as close as we wish to the n -copula P .

The result gives us the relationships between the unique n -copula that is fixed for a functional, and the functional itself.

COROLLARY 5.3. Let C^* be as defined in (3.1) with $\lambda = \lambda_0 \in (0,1)$, and let C_0 be any n-copula. The following conditions are equivalent:

- (i) $C_0^* = C_0$.
- (ii) $C^*(x_1, \dots, x_n) = C_0(x_1, \dots, x_n) + (1 - \lambda_0) \cdot [C(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) - C_0(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)]$.
- (iii) $C_0^* = C_0$ and C_0 is the only n-copula that is fixed for this functional.

PROOF. (i) \Rightarrow (ii). If $C_0^* = C_0$, then

$$C_0(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \lambda_0 \cdot P(x_1, \dots, x_n) + (1 - \lambda_0) \cdot [f(x_1, \dots, x_n) + C_0(\alpha_1(x_1, \dots, x_n), \dots, \alpha_n(x_1, \dots, x_n))]$$

Therefore,

$$f(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \frac{C_0(x_1, \dots, x_n) - \lambda_0 \cdot P(x_1, \dots, x_n)}{1 - \lambda_0} - C_0(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$$

and by substituting in the expression of C^* we obtain (ii).

(ii) \Rightarrow (iii). We can write (ii) in the following way

$$C^*(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \lambda_0 \cdot C_0(x_1, \dots, x_n) + (1 - \lambda_0) \cdot [C(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) + C_0(x_1, \dots, x_n) - C_0(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)]$$

By applying theorem 5.1, where $P(x_1, \dots, x_n) = C_0(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ and

$$f(x_1, \dots, x_n) = C_0(x_1, \dots, x_n) - C_0(\alpha_1(x_1, \dots, x_n), \dots, \alpha_n(x_1, \dots, x_n)),$$

we obtain (iii). On the other hand (iii) \Rightarrow (i) is trivial.

Now we will study conditions under which the functional will have the property that $C^{**} = C$ for every n-copula C . The result gives us conditions for $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n$ in particular cases of C^* where that property holds.

PROPOSITION 5.4. Let C^* be given by

$$C^*(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \prod_{i=1}^n x_i - \prod_{i=1}^n \alpha_i(x_1, \dots, x_n) + C(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n).$$

If $\alpha_i(\alpha_1(x_1, \dots, x_n), \dots, \alpha_n(x_1, \dots, x_n)) = x_i$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, then $C^{**} = C$ for every n-copula C .

PROOF. This case corresponds with $\lambda = 0$ and $\Pi^* = \Pi$. If we compute C^{**} we obtain

$$C^{**}(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \prod_{i=1}^n x_i - \prod_{i=1}^n \alpha_i(x_1, \dots, x_n) + C^*(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) = C(x_1, \dots, x_n).$$

6. CONCLUSIONS AND REMARKS.

The results presented in this paper are interesting in their own right, and lead us to several open problems. A brief descriptions of some of them follows.

First, let F_1, F_2, \dots, F_n be the marginal distribution functions of a random vector and let F be its joint distribution function and let us suppose that C is a connecting copula associated with F . If C^* is the n-copula that we obtain when applying the functional defined by (3.1) to C , then the problem is to find the relationships between F and F^* , where F^* is the joint distribution function of a random vector having F_1, F_2, \dots, F_n as marginal distribution functions and C^* as a connecting copula. In short, the problem consists in finding the relationships between the joint distribution functions with connecting n-copulas C and C^* .

Another problem is to generalize the functional in the sense that the one defined here could be seen as a particular case. We observe that if we consider

$$C(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \lambda \cdot P(x_1, \dots, x_n) + (1 - \lambda) \cdot [f(x_1, \dots, x_n) - C(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)],$$

then similar properties and results for this functional can be shown. In any case the problem is to extend these two cases to a more general functional.

It would also be very interesting to study the copulas that we obtain when applying the functional to some known copulas.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT. The authors would like to thank the referee for helpful comments which improved the presentation of the paper.

REFERENCES.

1. Sklar, A. "Fonctions de répartition à n dimensions et leurs marges". Publ. Inst. Statist. Univ. Paris 8, (1959), 229 - 231.
2. Schweizer, B. and Sklar, A. "Mesure aléatoire de l'information et mesure de l'information par un ensemble d'observateurs". C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris 272A, (1971), 149 - 152.
3. Schweizer, B. and Sklar, A. "Probabilistic Metric Spaces". Elsevier Science Publishing, Co., Inc., New York, (1983).
4. Schweizer, B. and Wolff, E.F. "Sur une mesure de dépendance pour les variables aléatoires". C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris 283A, (1976), 659 - 661.
5. Schweizer, B. and Wolff, E.F. "On nonparametric measures of dependence for random variables". Ann. Statist. 9, (1981), 879 - 885.
6. Genest, C. and Mackay, R.J. "Copulas archimédiennes et familles de lois bidimensionnelles dont les marges sont données". Revue Canadienne de Statistique v. 14 N2, (1986), 145 - 159.
7. Wolff, E.F. "Measures of dependence derived from copulas". Ph. D. Thesis, Univ. of Massachusetts, (1977).
8. Alsina, C. and Quesada, J.J. "On the associativity of $C(x,y)$ and $x - C(x, 1-y)$ ". Proc. 18th. Int. Symp. on Multiple-Valued Logic, Palma de Mallorca, Spain, (1988). 52 - 53.
9. Sklar, A. "Random variables, joint distribution functions, and copulas". Kybernetika 9, (1973), 449 - 460.



Hindawi

Submit your manuscripts at
<http://www.hindawi.com>

