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ABSTRACT. A new subclass $R(\alpha), 0 \leqslant \alpha \leqslant 1$, of the class $S_{t}(1 / 2)$ - the class of starlike functions of order $1 / 2$ - is introduced and it is shown that $R(\alpha)$ is closed with respect to the Hadamard product of analytic functions. Some sufficient conditions for the normalized regular functions to be univalent in the unit disk $E$ are given.
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1. INTRODUCTION.

Let $A$ denote the class of functions $f(z)=z+a_{2} z^{2}+\ldots$ which are regular in the unit disk $E=\{z /|z|<1\}$. We denote by $S$ the subclass of a consisting of functions $f$ which are univalent in $E, K$ will stand for the usual subclass of $S$ whose members are convex in $E$. A function $f \varepsilon A$ is said to be close-to-convex in $E$ if and only if $\operatorname{Re}\left(f^{\prime}(z) / g^{\prime}(z)\right)>0, z \varepsilon E$ for some $g \varepsilon K$. Since $g(z) \equiv z$ is convex in $E$, the functions $f \varepsilon A$ which satisfy $R e f^{\prime}(z)>0, z \varepsilon E$ are close-to-convex in E. It is well known that every close-to-convex function in $E$ is univalent in E. For a given $\alpha, 0<\alpha<1$, denote by $S_{t}(\alpha)$ the subclass of $S$ consisting of functions $f$ which satisfy the condition

$$
\operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}\right)>\alpha, z \varepsilon E .
$$

$S_{t}(\alpha)$ is called the class of starlike functions of order $\alpha$. It is also well known that for $0<\alpha<\beta<1, S_{t}(\beta) \subseteq S_{t}(\alpha)$.

In the present paper we introduce a new subclass $R(\alpha)$ of the class $S_{t}(1 / 2)$ and prove that $R(\alpha)$ is closed with respect to convolution/Hadamard product of analytic functions. Some sufficient conditions are given for a function $f \in A$ to be in the class S .

## 2. PRELIMINARIES.

We shall need the following definitions and results. If $f(z)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{n} z^{n}$ and $g(z)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_{n} z^{n}$ are regular in $E$, then their convolution/Hadamard product is the function ${ }^{n=0}$ denoted by $f * g$ and defined by the power series

$$
\begin{equation*}
(f * g)(z)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{n} b_{n} z^{n} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $a, b$ and $c$ be any complex numbers with $c$ neither zero nor a negative integer. Then the hypergeometric function $F(a, b ; c ;<)$ is defined in Rainville [1, p. 45] by

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(a, b ; c ; z)=1+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_{n}(b)_{n}}{(c)_{n} n!} z^{n}, \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $(\mu)_{n}$ is the Pochhammer symbol defined by

$$
(\mu)_{n}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
1, \text { if } n=0  \tag{2.3}\\
\mu(\mu+1) \ldots(\mu+n-1), \text { if } n \varepsilon N=\{1,2,3, \ldots\}
\end{array}\right.
$$

It is known that the series on the right in (2.2) is convergent for $z \varepsilon$.
Now we define the function $\varphi(a, c)$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
(a, c ; z)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_{n}}{(c)_{n}} z^{n+1},(c \neq 0,-1,-2, \ldots ; z \varepsilon E) . \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (2.2) and (2.4) we immediately get

$$
\begin{equation*}
(a, c ; z)=z F(1, a ; c ; z) \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

LEMMA 2.1. [1, p. 47]. If $|z|<1$ and if $\operatorname{Re}(c)>\operatorname{Re}(b)>0$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(a, b ; c ; z)=\frac{\Gamma(c)}{\Gamma(b) r(c-b)} \int_{0}^{1} t^{b-1}(1-t)^{c-b-1}(1-t z)^{-a} d t \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

LEMMA 2.2. For a given real number $\alpha$, let

$$
f_{\alpha}(z)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-\alpha_{z} n}, z \varepsilon E . \quad \text { Then } f_{\alpha} \text { is convex whenever } \alpha \geqslant 0
$$

LEMMA 2.3. Let $f \in S_{t}(1 / 2)$ and $g \varepsilon S_{t}(\beta)$, where $1 / 2 \leqslant \beta \leqslant 1$. Then $f * g$ is a member of $S_{t}(\beta)$.

LEMMA 2.2 is due to Lewis [2] and Lemma 2.3 follows the Corollary 1 in Lewis [3] by taking $\alpha=1 / 2$.

LEMMA 2.4. If $f \varepsilon K$, then $\operatorname{Re}(f(z) / z)>1 / 2, z \varepsilon E$.

LEMMA 2.5. If $p(z)$ is analytic in $E, p(0)=1$ and $\operatorname{Re} p(z)>1 / 2, z \varepsilon E$, then for any function $F$, analytic in $E$, the function $P * F$ takes values in the convex hull of $F(E)$ Lemma 2.4. is due to Strohhäcker [4] and the assertion of Lemma 2.5 readily follows by using Herglotz' representation for $P(z)$.
3. THEOREMS AND THEIR PROOFS.

For $0<\alpha<1$, let $R(\alpha)$ denote the class of functions $f \varepsilon$ A which satisfy the condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\alpha} z^{n} * f(z) \varepsilon S_{t}\left(\frac{1+\alpha}{2}\right), z \varepsilon E \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Clearly $R(0)=S_{t}(1 / 2)$ and $f \varepsilon R(1)$ if and only if $f(z) \equiv z$.
THEOREM 3.1. (i) If $0 \leqslant \alpha \leqslant \beta<1$, then $R(\beta) \subseteq R(\alpha)$. (ii) $R(\gamma)$ is a subclass of $S_{t}(1 / 2)$ for every $\gamma \geqslant 00_{\infty}$

PROOF. Let $f(z)=z+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_{n} z^{n} \varepsilon R(\beta)$ so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(z)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\beta} z^{n} * f(z) \varepsilon S_{t}((1+\beta) / 2) \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now

$$
\begin{align*}
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\alpha} z^{n} * f(z) & =\left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\beta} z^{n} * f(z)\right) * \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\alpha-\beta} z^{n} \\
& =g(z) * k(z), \tag{3.3}
\end{align*}
$$

where $k(z)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-(\beta-\alpha)} z^{n}$.
Since $\beta-\alpha \geqslant 0$, therefore by Lemma $2.2, k(z) \varepsilon K \subseteq S_{t}(1 / 2)$. In view of Lemma 2.3 , we now get from (3.2) and (3.3) that

$$
g * k \varepsilon S_{t}((1+\beta) / 2) \subseteq S_{t}((1+\alpha) / 2), \quad(\text { as } \alpha<\beta)
$$

Hence from (3.3) and (3.1) we conclude that $f \varepsilon R(\alpha)$. This completes the proof of part (i). The proof of part (ii) follows immediately from part (i) and from the observation that $R(0)=S_{t}(1 / 2)$.

THEOREM 3.2. If $f$ and $g$ both belong to $R(\alpha)$, then $f * g$ also belongs to $R(\alpha)$.
PROOF. Since $f \in R(\alpha)$, therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
h(z)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n_{z}^{\alpha}{ }^{n} * f(z) \quad \varepsilon \quad S_{t}((1+\alpha) / 2) \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now

$$
\begin{align*}
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\alpha} z^{n} *(f * g)(z) & =\left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\alpha} z^{n} * f(z)\right) * g(z) \\
& =h(z) * g(z) . \tag{3.5}
\end{align*}
$$

Since $g \varepsilon R(\alpha) \subseteq S_{t}(1 / 2)$, therefore in view of Lemma 2.3, we get, from (3.4) and (3.5) that

$$
h * g \varepsilon S_{t}((1+\alpha) / 2),
$$

which in turn implies that

$$
\mathrm{f} * \mathrm{~g} \varepsilon \mathrm{R}(\alpha)
$$

This completes the proof of our theorem.
THEOREM 3.3. If $f(z)=z+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_{n} z^{n} \varepsilon A$ and satisfies the condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re}\left[1+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n^{\alpha} a_{n} z^{n-1}\right]>0, \alpha \geqslant 1, z \varepsilon E, \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $\operatorname{Re} f^{\prime}(z)>0, z \varepsilon E$. Hence $f(z)$ is close-to-convex in $E$ and therefore univalent in E .

PROOF. We can write

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{\prime}(z)=1+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n a_{n} z^{n-1}=\left(1+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n a_{n} z^{n-1}\right) *\left(1+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{z^{n-1}}{n^{\alpha-1}}\right) \cdots \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now by Lemma 2.2, the function $k_{\alpha}(z)=z+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty}\left(z^{n} / n^{\alpha-1}\right)$ is convex for $\alpha \geqslant 1$. Therefore, in view of Lemma 2.4,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re} \frac{k_{\alpha}(z)}{z}=\operatorname{Re}\left[1+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{z^{n-1}}{n^{\alpha-1}}\right]>1 / 2 \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, from (3.6), (3.7), (3.8) and Lemma 2.5, we conclude that Ref'(z) >0.
THEOREM 3.4. Let $f \in A$ and let for $0 \leqslant \beta \leqslant \alpha$, the condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re}\left[(\varphi(\alpha, \beta ; z) * f(z))^{\prime}\right]>1 / 2, \quad z \varepsilon E, \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

be satisfied. Then $\operatorname{Re} f^{\prime}(z)>0, z \varepsilon E$. Hence $f(z)$ is close-to-convex in $E$ and therefore univalent in $E$.

PROOF. The case when $\alpha=\beta$ is obvious, therefore we let $\beta \leqslant \alpha$. We can write

$$
\begin{align*}
f^{\prime}(z) & =\left[\frac{\varphi(\alpha, \beta ; z)}{z} * f^{\prime}(z)\right] *\left[\frac{\varphi(\beta, \alpha ; z)}{z}\right] \\
& =(\varphi(\alpha, \beta ; z) * f(z))^{\prime} *\left[\frac{\varphi(\beta, \alpha ; z)}{z}\right] . \tag{3.10}
\end{align*}
$$

Now from (2.5) and Lemma 2.1, we have

$$
\frac{\varphi(\beta, \alpha ; z)}{z}=F(1, \beta ; \alpha ; z)=\frac{\Gamma(\alpha)}{\Gamma(\beta) \Gamma(\alpha-\beta)} \int_{0}^{1} t^{(\beta-1)}(1-t)^{\alpha-\beta-1}(1-t z)^{-1} d t .
$$

Since $\operatorname{Re}\left[t^{\beta-1}(1-t)^{\alpha-\beta-1}(1-t z)^{-1}\right]>0$ for all $t, 0<t<1$ and for all $z$, $z \varepsilon E$, it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re}\left[\frac{\varphi(\beta, \alpha ; z)}{z}\right]>0, z \varepsilon E \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Form (3.9), (3.10), (3.11) and Lemma 2.5 the assertion of the theorem now follows.
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