TWO-SIDED ESSENTIAL NILPOTENCE

ESFANDIAR ESLAMI

Department of Mathematics University of Kerman Kerman, Iran

and

PATRICK STEWART

Department of Mathematics
Dalhousie University
Halifax, Nova Scotia,
Canada B3H 3J5

(Received January 25, 1991)

ABSTRACT. An ideal I of a ring A is essentially nilpotent if I contains a nilpotent ideal N of A such that $J \cap N \neq 0$ whenever J is a nonzero ideal of A contained in I. We show that each ring A has a unique largest essentially nilpotent ideal EN(A). We study the properties of EN(A) and, in particular, we investigate how this ideal behaves with respect to related rings.

KEY WORDS AND PHRASES. Essential ideal, nilpotent ideal, free normalizing extension, crossed product, Morita equivalent, fixed ring.

1991 AMS SUBJECT CLASSIFICATION CODE. 16A22, 16A56.

1. INTRODUCTION.

Throughout this paper all rings are associative and all ideals are two-sided. The notation $I \triangleleft A$ means that I is an ideal of A.

Let A be a ring and suppose $I \triangleleft A$. If $K \triangleleft A$ and $K \subseteq I$ then K is A-essential in I if $0 \neq B \triangleleft A$ and $B \subseteq I$ imply that $B \cap K \neq 0$. The ideal I is an essentially nilpotent ideal of A if there is a nilpotent ideal N of A such that $N \subseteq I$ and N is A-essential in I. We shall denote the prime radical of A by N(A). Recall that N(A) is the intersection of the prime ideals of A and that if $I \triangleleft A$, then $N(I) = I \cap N(A)$.

Essential nilpotence was first studied by Fisher [2]. In this paper we show that each ring A contains a unique largest essentially nilpotent ideal which we denote by EN(A). We establish various results concerning this ideal and, in particular, we investigate how this ideal behaves with respect to related rings. For example, we show that EN(R[x]) = EN(R)[x] and that if G is a finite group of automorphisms of R and R has no |G|-torsion, then EN(R*G) = EN(R)*G.

Proposition 1. Let $I \triangleleft A$. The following are equivalent.

- 1. I is an essentially nilpotent ideal of A;
- 2. A has an ideal Z such that $Z^2 = 0, Z \subseteq I$ and Z is A-essential in I;
- 3. If $0 \neq K \triangleleft A$ and $K \subseteq I$, then K contains a nonzero nilpotent ideal of A; and
- 4. N(I) is A-essential in I.

PROOF. 1 implies 2. This follows as in [2, Lemma 2.1], but we repeat the argument for the convenience of the reader. Let $\{Z_{\lambda} \colon \lambda \in \Lambda\}$ be the collection of all ideals J of A such that $J^2 = 0$ and $J \subseteq I$. Let $\Phi = \{\Gamma \subseteq \Lambda \colon \Sigma \{Z_{\lambda} \colon \lambda \in \Gamma\}$ is direct}. Using Zorn's lemma we may choose M maximal in Φ . Let $Z = \Sigma \{Z_{\lambda} \colon \lambda \in M\}$. Then $Z \subseteq I$ and $Z^2 = 0$. Let $B \triangleleft A, B \subseteq I$. If $B \neq 0$ then

 $B \cap K \neq 0$ where K is a nilpotent ideal of $A, K \subseteq I$. Thus $B \cap K$ and hence B contains a nonzero ideal J of A such that $J^2 = 0$. The maximality of M ensures that $Z \cap J \neq 0$ and so Z is A-essential in I.

2 implies 3. This is clear.

3 implies 4. If J is a nilpotent ideal of A and $J \subseteq I$, then $J \subseteq N(I)$ so this implication is also clear.

4 implies 1. Since every nonzero ideal of A contained in N(I) contains an ideal J of A with $J^2 = 0$, the argument in the proof that 1 implies 2 shows that there is an ideal Z of A, $Z^2 = 0$, $Z \subseteq N(I)$ and Z is A-essential in N(I). Since $N(I) \triangleleft A$ and N(I) is A-essential in I it follows that Z is A-essential in I.

Let I and J be essentially nilpotent ideals of the ring A. If $0 \neq K \triangleleft A$ and $K \subseteq I + J$, then either $0 \neq KI \subseteq I$ or $0 \neq KJ \subseteq J$ or $K^2 = 0$. In any case, K contains a nonzero nilpotent ideal of A and so I + J is essentially nilpotent by 3 of the above Proposition. A similar argument shows that the sum of all the essentially nilpotent ideals of A is essentially nilpotent. This unique largest essentially nilpotent ideal of A will be denoted by EN(A).

Proposition 2.1. If θ is an automorphism of A, then $\theta(EN(A)) = EN(A)$.

- 2. For any ring A, A/EN(A) is semiprime. In particular, if $A \triangleleft B$, then $EN(A) \triangleleft B$.
- 3. If $I \triangleleft A$, $EN(I) = I \cap EN(A)$.
- 4. If $0 \neq e = e^2 \in A$, then $EN(eAe) \subseteq eEN(A)e$.
- 5. If A has an identity, $0 \neq e = e^2 \in A$ and AeA = A, then EN(eAe) = eEN(A)e.

PROOF. 1. is clear. For the proof of 2. suppose $EN(A) \subseteq J \triangleleft A$ and $J^2 \subseteq EN(A)$. If $0 \neq K \triangleleft A, K \subseteq J$ then $K^2 = 0$ implies $K \subseteq N(A) \subseteq EN(A)$ and $K^2 \neq 0$ implies $K^2 = K^2 \cap EN(A) \neq 0$. Hence EN(A) is A-essential in J and so J is essentially nilpotent. Hence J = EN(A) and the proof of 2. is complete.

For the proof of 3, we begin by showing that $EN(A) \cap I$ is an essentially nilpotent ideal of I. Let $0 \neq J \triangleleft I, J \subseteq EN(A) \cap I$. In view of 3 of Proposition 1 it is enough to show that J contains a nonzero nilpotent ideal of I. If J is itself nilpotent this is certainly the case. If J is not nilpotent, $J^{*3} \neq 0$ where J^* is the ideal of A which is generated by J. Since $(J^*)^3 \subseteq EN(A)$, $(J^*)^3$ contains a nonzero nilpotent ideal of A and since $(J^*)^3 \subseteq J$ by Andrunakievic's Lemma this completes the proof that $EN(A) \cap I \subseteq EN(I)$.

From 2. we know that $EN(I) \triangleleft A$ and it follows immediately from 4 in Proposition 1 that EN(I) is an essentially nilpotent ideal of A.

To establish 4 we show that $EN(eAe)^*$ is an essentially nilpotent ideal of A where $EN(eAe)^*$ denotes the ideal of A which is generated by EN(eAe). Let $0 \neq J \triangleleft A, J \subseteq EN(eAe)^*$. Then $eJe \subseteq eEN(eAe)^*e \subseteq EN(eAe)$. If $eJe \neq 0, eJe \cap N(eAe) \neq 0$ and so $J \cap N(EN(eAe)^*) \neq 0$ because $N(eAe) = eN(A)e \subseteq N(A)$. If eJe = 0, then $J^3 = 0$ and so $J \cap N(EN(eAE)^*) \neq 0$. Thus $N(EN(eAe)^*)$ is A-essential in $EN(eAe)^*$ and this establishes 4.

To prove 5 it suffices to show that $eEN(A)e \subseteq EN(eAe)$, and to do this it is enough to show that eEN(A)e is an essentially nilpotent ideal of eAe. Now N(eEN(A)e) = eN(EN(A))e = eN(A)e and we will show that eN(A)e is eAe-essential in eEN(A)e. Let $0 \neq W \triangleleft eAe$, $W \subseteq eEN(A)e$. Let W^* denote the ideal of A which is generated by W. Since $W^* \subseteq EN(A)$, $K = W^* \cap N(A) \neq 0$. Also, $eKe \subseteq W \cap eN(A)e$ so the proof will be complete if we show that $eKe \neq 0$. If eKe = 0, then $AKA = AeAKAeA \subseteq AeKeA = 0$. But since A has an identity and $K \neq 0$, $AKA \neq 0$.

If R and S are rings with the same identity and $R \subseteq S$, then S is a free normalizing extension of R and S is a free right and left R-module with a basis X such that xR = Rx for all $x \in X$. Note that in this case each $x \in X$ determines an automorphism θ_x of R defined by $x\theta_x(r) = rx$ for all

 $r \in R$. A free normalizing extension S of R satisfies the essential condition if whenever $U \subseteq V$ are ideals of S with US-essential in V and $I \triangleleft R$ such that $IV \neq 0$, then $IV \cap U \neq 0$. If S is a free centralizing extension of R; that is, θ_x is the identity automorphism for all $x \in X$, then certainly S satisfies the essential condition because in this case $IV \triangleleft S$. Also, if G is a finite group of automorphisms of R and R has no |G|-torsion, then the crossed product R*G satisfies the essential condition. This is because a minor modification of the proof of Lemma 1.2 (ii) in Passman [3] shows that if U and V are ideals of R*G with UR*G-essential in V, then U is essential as an R-R*G subbimodule of V.

THEOREM 3. If S is a free normalizing extension of R which satisfies the essential condition and is such that N(S) = N(R)S, then EN(S) = EN(R)S.

PROOF. We first show that $EN(R)S \subseteq EN(S)$. Since EN(R) is invariant under automorphisms of R, EN(R)S is an ideal of S. We show that N(S) is S-essential in EN(R)S. Let $0 \neq T \triangleleft S, T \subseteq EN(R)S$ and denote the normalizing basis of S over R by $X = \{x_{\lambda} : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$. Choose $0 \neq v = \Sigma\{a_{\lambda}x_{\lambda} : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$ in T where $a_{\lambda} \in EN(R)$ and so that v has a minimal number of coefficients not in N(R). Suppose $\delta \in \Lambda$ and $a_{\delta} \notin N(R)$. Since $0 \neq Ra_{\delta}R \subseteq EN(R)$ there are $x_j, y_j \in R$ such that $0 \neq \sum_{j=1}^{n} x_j a_{\delta} y_j \in N(R)$. Then

$$w = \sum_{j=1}^n x_j v \theta_\delta(y_j) = \sum_{\lambda \neq \delta} a_\lambda' x_\lambda + \sum_{j=1}^n x_j a_\delta x_\delta \theta_\delta(y_j) = \sum_{\lambda \neq \delta} a_\lambda' x_\lambda + \sum_{j=1}^n x_j a_\delta y_j x_\delta$$

where the a'_{λ} are elements of R with the property that $a'_{\lambda} \in N(R)$ if $a_{\lambda} \in N(R)$. Since $\sum_{j=1}^{n} x_{j} a_{\delta} y_{j} \neq 0$,

 $w \neq 0$ and since w has fewer coefficients not in N(R) than does v we have reached a contradiction. It follows that $v \in N(R)S = N(S)$ and hence N(S) is S-essential in EN(R)S. Hence $EN(R)S \subseteq EN(S)$.

Suppose that $0 \neq v \in EN(S)$, $v \notin EN(R)S$. Let $v = \sum \{a_{\lambda}x_{\lambda} \colon \lambda \in \Lambda\}$ and assume $\delta \in \Lambda$ is such that $a_{\delta} \notin EN(R)$. Then N(R) is not R-essential in $(a_{\delta}) + N(R)$ where (a_{δ}) denotes the ideal of R which is generated by a_{δ} . Hence there is an ideal I of R, $0 \neq I \subseteq (a_{\delta}) + N(R)$ and $I \cap N(R) = 0$. It follows that $IEN(S) \cap N(R)S = 0$ because if $\sum \{r_{\lambda}x_{\lambda} \colon \lambda \in \Lambda, r_{\lambda} \in R\}$ is in IEN(S) then $r_{\lambda} \in I$ for all λ . Since I is not nilpotent and $IN(R) \subseteq I \cap N(R) = 0$, $Ia_{\delta} \neq 0$. Hence $Iv \neq 0$ and so $IEN(S) \neq 0$. Since N(S) is S-essential in EN(S) and S satisfies the essential condition, $IEN(S) \cap N(S) \neq 0$. This contradicts our previous conclusion that $IEN(S) \cap N(R)S = 0$ because N(S) = N(R)S. Hence $EN(S) \subseteq EN(R)S$.

It is well-known that if S is a finite normalizing extension of R, then $N(S) \supseteq N(R)$ and so it follows from the proof of the theorem that if S is a finite free normalizing extension of R, then $EN(S) \supseteq EN(R)$.

COROLLARY 4. If $M_n(A)$ denotes the ring of $n \times n$ matrices with entries from A, then $EN(M_n(A)) = M_n(EN(A))$.

PROOF. First assume that A has an identity. Since $M_n(A)$ is a free centralizing extension of A and $N(M_n(A)) = M_n(N(A))$ it follows from the theorem that $EN(M_n(A)) = EN(A)M_n(A) = M_n(EN(A))$.

If A does not have an identity, let A' be the usual (Dorroh) unital extension of A. Then from 3 of Proposition 2,

$$EN(M_n(A)) = M_n(A) \cap EN(M_n(A'))$$

$$= M_n(A) \cap M_n(EN(A'))$$

$$= M_n(A \cap EN(A'))$$

$$= M_n(EN(A)).$$

COROLLARY 5. If G is a finite group of automorphisms of A and A has no |G|-torsion, then EN(A*G) = EN(A)*G where A*G is the crossed product.

PROOF. As in Corollary 4 we may assume that A has an identity, and it follows from [3, Theorem 2.2] that N(A*G) = N(A)*G so the theorem applies.

COROLLARY 6. EN(A[x]) = EN(A)[x].

PROOF. As above we may assume that A has an identity and [1, Lemma 2L] shows that N(A[x]) = N(A)[x]. So, since A[x] is a free centralizing extension of A, the theorem applies.

COROLLARY 7. If R and S are rings with identity which are Morita equivalent, then R is essentially nilpotent if and only if S is essentially nilpotent.

PROOF. This follows immediately from 5 of Proposition 2 and Corollary 4.

COROLLARY 8. Let R be a ring with identity and let G be a finite group of automorphisms of R such that |G| is invertible in R. Then $EN(R^G) \subseteq EN(R)$.

PROOF. Let $e = |G|^{-1} \sum_{g \in G} g$. Then e is idempotent in the skew group ring R*G and $e(R*G)e = R^G e \cong R^G$. From 4 of Proposition 2, $EN(R^G e) \subseteq EN(R*G)$ and EN(R*G) = EN(R)*G by Corollary 5. Since $EN(R^G e) = EN(R^G)e$ it follows that $EN(R^G) \subseteq EN(R)$.

We note that EN(R) = R does not in general imply that $EN(R^G) \neq 0$. For example, let

$$R = \left[\begin{array}{cc} \mathbf{Q} & \mathbf{Q} \\ 0 & \mathbf{Q} \end{array} \right]$$

where Q is the rational field. The cyclic group $G = \{e, \alpha\}$ of order 2 acts as automorphisms of R via

$$\alpha \left(\left[\begin{array}{cc} a & b \\ 0 & c \end{array} \right] \right) = \left[\begin{array}{cc} a & -b \\ 0 & c \end{array} \right]$$

and

$$R^G = \left[\begin{array}{cc} \mathbf{Q} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{Q} \end{array} \right].$$

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT. The first named author is grateful for the hospitality shown by Dalhousie University where he was on sabbatical leave when this paper was written. The research of the second named author was partially supported by NSERC grant #8789.

REFERENCES

- 1. AMITSUR, S.A., Radicals of polynomial rings, Canad. J. Math., 8 (1956), 355-361.
- FISHER, J.W., On the nilpotency of nil subrings, <u>Canad. J. Math.</u>, <u>22</u> (1970), 1211-1216.
- PASSMAN, D.S., It's essentially Maschke's theorem, <u>Rocky Mountain J. Math.</u>, <u>13</u> (1983), 37-54.

















Submit your manuscripts at http://www.hindawi.com





















