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#### Abstract

ABSTRAC'T. This paper investigates smoothness properties of probability measures on lattices which imply regularity, and then considers weaker versions of regularity; in particular, weakly regular. vaguely regular. and slightly regular. They are derived from commonly used outer measures, and we analyze them mainly for the case of $I(\mathcal{L})$ or for those elements of $I(\mathcal{L})$ with added smoothness conditions.
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## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let $X$ be an arbitrary set and $\mathcal{L}$ a lattice of subsets of $X, A(\mathcal{C})$ denotes the algebra generated by $\mathcal{L}$ and $I(\mathcal{L})$ those non-trivial zero-one valued finitely additive measures on $A(\mathcal{L}) . I_{\sigma}(\mathcal{L})$ denotes those elements of $I(\mathcal{L})$ that are $\sigma$-smooth on $\mathcal{L}$; while $I_{R}(\mathcal{L})$ denotes those elements of $I(\mathcal{L})$ that are $\mathcal{L}$-regular. To each $\mu \in I(\mathcal{L})$ we will associate a finitely subadditive outer measure $\mu^{\prime}$ on $P(X)$, and to $\mu \in I_{\sigma}(\mathcal{L})$ is associated an outer measure $\mu^{\prime \prime}$. The relationships between $\mu^{\prime}$ and $\mu^{\prime \prime}$ on $\mathcal{L}$ and $\mathcal{L}^{\prime}$ (the complementary lattice) are investigated. We show, e.g., $\mu^{\prime}=\mu^{\prime \prime}$ on $\mathcal{L}^{\prime}$ if and only if $\mu \in J(\mathcal{L})$ : those $\mu \in I(\mathcal{L})$ such that for $L_{n} \downarrow \mathrm{~L}, L_{n}, L \in \mathcal{L}, \mu(L)=\inf _{n} \mu\left(L_{n}\right)$. Conditions for $\mu^{\prime}=\mu^{\prime \prime}$ or $\mu=\mu^{\prime \prime}$ on $\mathcal{L}$ are also investigated. This leads to a consideration of weak notions of regularity, two of which can be expressed in terms of $\mu^{\prime}$ and $\mu^{\prime \prime}$. In this respect the normal lattices are particularly important since for such lattices regularity of $\mu$ coincides with weak regularity. We also show that if $\mu \in J(\mathcal{L})$ and if $\mathcal{L}$ is complement generated then $\mu$ is weakly regular. Combining these results gives conditions for certain measures to be regular. We also give a complete characterization of those $\mu \in I(\mathcal{L})$ which are slightly regular (see below for definitions). We adhere to standard lattice and measure terminology which will be used throughout the paper (see e.g. $[1,4,5]$ ) and review some of this in section two for the reader's convenience.

## 2. DEFINITIONS AND NOTATIONS

Let $I$ be an abotract bet. Let $\mathcal{L}$ be a lattice of subsets of $X$. We assume throughout that $\emptyset$ and $X$ are in $\mathcal{L}$. If $A \subset X$, then we will denote the complement of $A$ by $A^{\prime}$ (i.e. $A^{\prime}=X^{\prime}-A$ ). If $\mathcal{L}$ is a lattice of subsets of $X$, then $\mathcal{L}^{\prime}=\left\{L^{\prime} \mid L \in \mathcal{L}\right\}$ is the complementan lattice of $\mathcal{L}$.

## Lattice Terminology

(2.1) DEFINITION: Let $\mathcal{L}$ be a lattice of subsets of $X$. We say that:
$1-\mathcal{L}$ is a $\delta$-lattice if it is closed under countable intersections; $\delta(\mathcal{L})$ is the lattice of countable intersections of sets of $\mathcal{L}$.
2- $\mathcal{L}$ is complement generated if $L \in \mathcal{L}$ implies $L=\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} L_{n}^{\prime}$, where $L_{n} \in \mathcal{L}$.
3- $\mathcal{L}$ is countably paracompact if, for every sequence $\left\{L_{n}\right\}$ in $\mathcal{L}$ such that $L_{n} \downarrow \emptyset$, there exists a sequence $\left\{\tilde{L}_{n}\right\}$ in $\mathcal{L}$ such that $L_{n} \subset \tilde{L}_{n}^{\prime}$ and $\tilde{L}_{n}^{\prime} \downarrow \emptyset$.
4- $\mathcal{L}$ is disjunctive if and only if $x \in X, L \in \mathcal{L}$, and $x \notin L$ imply there exists $A, B \in \mathcal{L}$ such that $x \in A, L \subset B$, and $A \cap B=\emptyset$.
5 - $\mathcal{L}$ is compact if and only if $X=\bigcup_{\alpha} L_{\alpha}{ }^{\prime}, L_{\alpha} \in \mathcal{L}$, implies there exists a finite number of $L_{\alpha}^{\prime}$ that cover $X$.
6- $\mathcal{L}$ is countably compact if and only if $X=\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} L_{i}^{\prime}, \quad L_{i} \in \mathcal{L} \quad$, implies there exists a finite number of the $L_{i}^{\prime}$ that cover $X$.
7- $\mathcal{L}$ is normal if and only if $A, B \in \mathcal{L}$ and $A \cap B=\emptyset$ imply there exists $C, D \in \mathcal{L}$ such that $A \subset C^{\prime}, B \subset D^{\prime}$, and $C^{\prime} \cap D^{\prime}=\emptyset$.

## MEASURE TERMINOLOGY

Let $\mathcal{L}$ be a lattice of subsets of $X . M(\mathcal{L})$ will denote the set of finite-valued, bounded, finitely additive measures on $A(\mathcal{L})$. We may clearly assume throughout that all measures are non-negative.

## (2.2) DEFINITIONS:

1- A measure $\mu \in M(\mathcal{L})$ is said to be $\sigma$-smooth on $\mathcal{L}$ if $L_{n} \in \mathcal{L}$ and $L_{n} \downarrow \emptyset$ imply $\mu\left(L_{n}\right) \rightarrow 0$.
2- A measure $\mu \in M(\mathcal{L})$ is said to be $\sigma$-smooth on $A(\mathcal{L})$ if $A_{n} \in A(\mathcal{L})$ and $A_{n} \downarrow \emptyset$ imply $\mu\left(A_{n}\right) \rightarrow 0$.
3- A measure $\mu \in M(\mathcal{L})$ is said to be $\mathcal{L}$-regular if, for any $A \in A(\mathcal{L})$, $\mu(A)=\sup \{\mu(L): L \subset A, L \in \mathcal{L}\}$.
(2.3) NOTATIONS: If $\mathcal{L}$ is a lattice of subsets of $X$, then we will denote by:
$M_{\sigma}(\mathcal{L})=$ the set of $\sigma$-smooth measures on $\mathcal{L}$ of $M(\mathcal{L})$
$M^{\sigma}(\mathcal{L})=$ the set of $\sigma$-smooth measures on $A(\mathcal{L})$ of $M(\mathcal{L})$
$M_{R}(\mathcal{L})=$ the set of $\mathcal{L}$-regular measures of $M(\mathcal{L})$
$M_{R}^{\sigma}(\mathcal{L})=$ the set of $\mathcal{L}$-regular measures of $M^{\sigma}(\mathcal{L})$

## (2.4) DEFINITIONS:

1- If $A \in A(\mathcal{L})$, then $\mu_{x}(A)=\{1$ if $x \in A$, and 0 if $x \notin A\}$ is the measure concentrated at
$x \in X$.
2- $I(\mathcal{L})$ is the sulbet of $M(\mathcal{L})$ which consists of non-trivial zero-one measures.
The respective zero-one valued subsets of (2.3) are designated by $I_{\sigma}(\mathcal{L}), I^{\sigma}(\mathcal{L}), I_{R}(\mathcal{L})$ and $I_{R}^{\sigma}(\mathcal{L})$.

## (2.5) DEFINITIONS:

1- Let $\mu \in M(\mathcal{L})$. Then $\mu \in N(\mathcal{L})$ if $L_{n} \in \mathcal{L}$ and $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} L_{n}=L \in \mathcal{L}$ (in particular, if $\mathcal{L}$ is $\delta$ ) , $\quad L_{n} \downarrow$, imply $\mu(L)=\inf \mu\left(L_{n}\right)$.
2- Let $\mu \in I(\mathcal{L})$. Then $\mu \in J(\mathcal{L})$ if $L_{n} \in \mathcal{L}$ and $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} L_{n}=L \in \mathcal{L}, L_{n} \downarrow$, imply $\mu(L)=\inf \mu\left(L_{n}\right)$.

## (2.6) DEFINITIONS:

1- If $\mu \in M(\mathcal{L})$, then the support of $\mu$ is $S(\mu)=\cap\{L \in \mathcal{L} \mid \mu(L)=\mu(X)\}$.
2- If $\mu \in I(\mathcal{L})$, then $S(\mu)=\cap\{L \in \mathcal{L} \mid \mu(L)=1\}$.

## (2.7) REMARKS:

1- $I(\mathcal{L})$ is in one-to-one correspondence with the set of all prime $\mathcal{L}$ - filters.
2- $I_{\sigma}(\mathcal{L})$ is in one-to-one correspondence with prime $\mathcal{L}$ - filters which have the countable intersection property.
3- $I_{R}(\mathcal{L})$ is in one-to-one correspondence with the set of all $\mathcal{L}$ - ultrafilters.

## SEPARATION TERMINOLOGY

(2.8) DEFINITIONS: Let $\mathcal{L}_{1}$ and $\mathcal{L}_{2}$ be two lattices of subsets of X.

1- $\mathcal{L}_{1}$ semi-separates $\mathcal{L}_{2}$ if $A_{1} \in \mathcal{L}_{1}, A_{2} \in \mathcal{L}_{2}$, and $A_{1} \cap A_{2}=\emptyset$ imply there exists $B_{1} \in \mathcal{L}_{1}$ such that $A_{2} \subset B_{1}$ and $A_{1} \cap B_{1}=\emptyset$.
2- $\mathcal{L}_{1}$ separates $\mathcal{L}_{2}$ if $A_{2}, B_{2} \in \mathcal{L}_{2}$ and $A_{2} \cap B_{2}=\emptyset$ imply there exists $A_{1}, B_{1} \in \mathcal{L}_{1}$ such that $A_{2} \subset A_{1}, B_{2} \subset B_{1}$, and $A_{1} \cap B_{1}=\emptyset$.
3- Let $\mathcal{L}_{1} \subset \mathcal{L}_{2} . \mathcal{L}_{2}$ is $\mathcal{L}_{1}$-countably bounded if, for any sequence $\left\{B_{n}\right\}$ of sets of $\mathcal{L}_{2}$ with $B_{n} \downarrow \emptyset$, there exists a sequence $\left\{A_{n}\right\}$ of sets of $\mathcal{L}_{1}$ such that $B_{n} \subset A_{n}$ and $A_{n} \downarrow \emptyset$.
(2.9) REMARKS: Listed below are a few basic important facts that will be used throughout the paper (see [2,3,6] for further details and related matters).
1- If $\mu \in M(\mathcal{L})$, then there exists $\nu \in M_{R}(\mathcal{L})$ such that $\mu \leq \nu(\mathcal{L})$ (i.e. $\mu(L) \leq \nu(L)$, all $L \in \mathcal{L})$ and $\mu(X)=\nu(X)$.
2- $\mathcal{L}$ is disjunctive if and only if $\mu_{x} \in I_{R}(\mathcal{L})$, all $x \in X$.
3- $\mathcal{L}$ is countably compact if and only if $I(\mathcal{L})=I_{\sigma}(\mathcal{L})$.
4- Suppose $\mu \leq \nu(\mathcal{L})$, where $\mu \in M(\mathcal{L})$ and $\nu \in M_{R}(\mathcal{L})$. If $\mathcal{L}$ is normal, then $\nu\left(L^{\prime}\right)=\sup \left\{\mu(\tilde{L}): \tilde{L} \subset L^{\prime} ; \quad L, \tilde{L} \in \mathcal{L}\right\}$.
5- Suppose $\mu \in M_{R}(\mathcal{L})$ and $\gamma \in M_{R}\left(\mathcal{L}^{\prime}\right)$ such that $\mu \leq \gamma\left(\mathcal{L}^{\prime}\right)$. Then $\mathcal{L}$ is normal if and only if $\mu\left(L^{\prime}\right)=\sup \left\{\gamma(A): A \subset L^{\prime} ; \quad A, L \in \mathcal{L}\right\}$.
6- Suppose $\mathcal{L}$ is normal and complement generated. Then $\mu \in N(\mathcal{L})$ implies $\mu \in M_{R}^{\sigma}(\mathcal{L})$.
7- Suppose $\mathcal{L}_{1} \subset \mathcal{L}_{2}$. Extend $\mu_{1} \in I\left(\mathcal{L}_{1}\right)$ to $\mu_{2} \in I\left(\mathcal{L}_{2}\right)$ and extend $\nu_{1} \in I_{R}\left(\mathcal{L}_{1}\right)$ to

$$
\nu_{2} \in I_{R}\left(\mathcal{L}_{2}\right) \text {. If } \mathcal{L}_{1} \text { opatatios } \mathcal{L}_{2} \text { and } \mu_{1} \leq \nu_{1}\left(\mathcal{L}_{1}\right) \text {, then } \mu_{2} \leq \nu_{2}\left(\mathcal{L}_{2}\right) \text {. }
$$

8- Suppose $\mathcal{L}_{1} \subset \mathcal{L}_{2}$. If $\mathcal{L}_{1}$ separates $\mathcal{L}_{2}$, then $\mathcal{L}_{1}$ is normal if and only if $\mathcal{L}_{2}$ is normal.

## 3.OUTER MEASURES

In this section we consider $\mu \in M(\mathcal{L})$, and associate with it certain "outer measures" $\mu^{\prime}$ and $\mu^{\prime \prime}$. In general, they differ from the customary induced "outer
measures" $\mu^{\bullet}$ and $\mu^{*}$. We scek to investigate the interplay of these outer measures on the lattice $\mathcal{L}$ and, conversely, the effect of $\mathcal{L}$ on them. We will consider mainly the case where $\mu \in I(\mathcal{L})$, and for this reason we will usually restrict discussion of $\mu^{\prime \prime}$ to the case where $\mu \in I_{\sigma}(\mathcal{L})$ since, otherwise, $\mu^{\prime \prime} \equiv 0$.
(3.1) DEFINITIONS: Let $\mu \in M(\mathcal{L})$ such that $\mu \geq 0$ and let $E$ be a subset of $X$.

1- $\mu^{\prime}(E)=\inf \left\{\mu\left(L^{\prime}\right): E \subset L^{\prime}, L \in \mathcal{L}\right\}$ is a finitely-subadditive outer measure.
2- $\mu^{\prime \prime}(E)=\inf \left\{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu\left(L_{n}^{\prime}\right): E \subset \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} L_{n}^{\prime}, \quad L_{n} \in \mathcal{L}\right\}$ is a countably-subadditive outer measure.
3- $\mu^{\bullet}(E)=\inf \{\mu(A): E \subset A, A \in A(\mathcal{L})\}$ is a finitely-subadditive outer measure.
4- $\mu^{*}(E)=\inf \left\{\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mu\left(A_{\imath}\right): E \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_{i}, \quad A_{\imath} \in A(\mathcal{L})\right\}$ is a countably-subadditive outer measure.
(3.2) DEFINITION: $\nu$ is said to be a regular outer measure (or regular finitelysubadditive outer measure) if $\nu$ is an outer measure (finitely subadditive) and if, for $A, E \subset X$, there exists $E \in \mathcal{S}_{\nu}$ (where $\mathcal{S}_{\nu}$ denotes the $\nu$-measurable sets) such that $A \subset E$ and $\nu(A)=\nu(E)$.

## (3.3) PROPERTIES:

1- Suppose $\mathcal{L}$ is $\delta$ and let $\mu \in N(\mathcal{L})$ (or just $\mu \in J(\mathcal{L})$ ).
Then $\mu\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} L_{i}^{\prime}\right) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mu\left(L_{i}^{\prime}\right), L_{i} \in \mathcal{L}, i=1,2, \ldots$.
2- Let $\mu \in M_{\sigma}(\mathcal{L})$. Then: (a) $\mu^{\prime \prime}(X)=\mu(X),(b) ~ \mu \leq \mu^{\prime \prime} \leq \mu^{\prime}(\mathcal{L})$, (c) $\mu^{\prime \prime} \leq \mu=\mu^{\prime}\left(\mathcal{L}^{\prime}\right)$.
3- Let $\mu \in I_{\sigma}(\mathcal{L})$. If $\mu\left(L_{k}\right)=1$, all $k, L_{k} \in \mathcal{L}$, then $\mu^{\prime \prime}\left(\bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} L_{k}\right)=1$.
4- Suppose $\nu$ is a finitely-subadditive, regular outer measure defined on $P(X)$, the set of all subsets of $X$. Then $E \in \mathcal{S}_{\nu}$ if and only if $\nu(X)=\nu(E)+\nu\left(E^{\prime}\right)$.
5- If $\mu \in J(\mathcal{L})$ and $\mathcal{L}$ is $\delta$, then $\mu^{\prime \prime}=\mu^{\prime}(\mathcal{L})$.
PROOF: We will just prove 2 and 5 .
2. (a) Clearly $\mu^{\prime \prime}(X) \leq \mu(X)$. If $\mu^{\prime \prime}(X)<\mu(X)$, then there exists $L_{1}^{\prime} \in \mathcal{L}^{\prime}$, $i=1,2, \ldots$, such that $X=\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} L_{i}^{\prime}$ and $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mu\left(L_{i}^{\prime}\right)<\mu(X)$.

$$
\text { But } \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mu\left(L_{i}^{\prime}\right)=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{1}^{n} \mu\left(L_{i}^{\prime}\right) \geq \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu\left(\bigcup_{1}^{n} L_{i}^{\prime}\right) \text {. Also } \bigcup_{1}^{n} L_{i}^{\prime} \uparrow X \text { and } \bigcup_{1}^{n} L_{i}^{\prime} \in \mathcal{L}^{\prime} \text {. }
$$

This implies that $\lim _{n} \mu\left(\bigcup_{1}^{n} L_{i}^{\prime}\right)=\mu(X)$ since $\mu \in M_{\sigma}(\mathcal{L})$. Therefore $\mu^{\prime \prime}(X)=\mu(X)$.
(b) Suppose there exists $L \in \mathcal{L}$ such that $\mu(L)>\mu^{\prime \prime}(L)$. Then

$$
\mu^{\prime \prime}(X) \leq \mu^{\prime \prime}(L)+\mu^{\prime \prime}\left(L^{\prime}\right)<\mu(L)+\mu^{\prime \prime}\left(L^{\prime}\right) .
$$

But $\mu^{\prime \prime} \leq \mu(\mathcal{L})$. implying $\mu^{\prime \prime}\left(X^{\prime}\right)<\mu(L)+\mu\left(L^{\prime}\right)=\mu\left(X^{-}\right)$. This contradicts $(a)$. Hence $\mu \leq \mu^{\prime \prime}(\mathcal{L})$; and $\mu^{\prime \prime} \leq \mu^{\prime}$ everywhere clearly:
Thus $\mu^{\prime \prime} \leq \mu^{\prime}(\mathcal{L})$. Therefore $\mu \leq \mu^{\prime \prime} \leq \mu^{\prime}(\mathcal{L})$.
(c) Clearly $\mu^{\prime \prime} \leq \mu^{\prime}\left(\mathcal{L}^{\prime}\right)$ and, by definition, $\mu=\mu^{\prime}\left(\mathcal{L}^{\prime}\right)$. Therefore $\mu^{\prime \prime} \leq \mu=\mu^{\prime}\left(\mathcal{L}^{\prime}\right)$.
5. Suppose $\mathcal{L}$ is $\delta$ and $\mu \in J(\mathcal{L})$. Then, by (3.3.1), $\mu\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} L_{i}^{\prime}\right) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mu\left(L_{i}^{\prime}\right)$, and $\mu \in$ $J(\mathcal{L}) \Longrightarrow \mu \in I_{\sigma}(\mathcal{L}) \Longrightarrow \mu \leq \mu^{\prime \prime} \leq \mu^{\prime}(\mathcal{L})$. Now suppose $\mu^{\prime \prime}(\underset{i=1}{L})=0$ and $\mu^{\prime}(L)=1, L \in \mathcal{L}$. Then $L \subset \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} L_{n}^{\prime}, L_{n} \in \mathcal{L}(n=1,2, \ldots)$, and $\mu\left(L_{n}^{\prime}\right)=0$, all $n$. This implies $\mu\left(L_{n}\right)=1$, all $n$, and $L \subset\left(\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} L_{n}\right)^{\prime}=\tilde{L}^{\prime} \in \mathcal{L}$ since $\mathcal{L}$ is $\delta$. Hence, since $\mu \in J(\mathcal{L}), \mu(\tilde{L})=\inf _{n} \mu\left(L_{n}\right)$. Consequently $\mu(\tilde{L})=1$, which implies $\mu\left(\tilde{L}^{\prime}\right)=0$. Thus $\mu^{\prime}(L)=0$, a contradiction. Therefore $\mu^{\prime \prime}=\mu^{\prime}(\mathcal{L})$.
(3.4) THEOREM: If $\mu \in I(\mathcal{L})$, then

$$
\mathcal{S}_{\mu^{\prime}}=\left\{E \subset \mathbb{X}^{-} \mid E \supset L, \mu(L)=1, L \in \mathcal{L}, \text { or } E^{\prime} \supset L, \mu(L)=1, L \in \mathcal{L}\right\}
$$

PROOF: Since $\mu \in I(\mathcal{L}), \mu^{\prime}$ is regular and therefore, by (3.3.4), to show $E \in \mathcal{S}_{\mu^{\prime}}$ it is enough to show that $\mu^{\prime}(X)=\mu^{\prime}(E)+\mu^{\prime}\left(E^{\prime}\right)$. The proof now follows directly.
(3.5) COROLLARY: If $\mu \in I(L)$, then $\mathcal{S}_{\mu^{\prime}} \cap \mathcal{L}=\left\{L \in \mathcal{L} \mid \mu(L)=\mu^{\prime}(L)\right\}$.
(3.6) THEOREM: If $\mu \in I_{\sigma}(\mathcal{L})$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{S}_{\mu^{\prime \prime}}= & \left\{E \supset \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} L_{n}, \mu\left(L_{n}\right)=1, \text { all } n, L_{n} \in \mathcal{L},\right. \text { or } \\
& \left.E^{\prime} \supset \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} L_{n}, \mu\left(L_{n}\right)=1, \text { all } n, L_{n} \in \mathcal{L}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

## PROOF:

1.Again, $\mu \in I_{\sigma}(\mathcal{L})$ implies $\mu^{\prime \prime}$ is a non-trivial regular outer-measure. So $E \in \mathcal{S}_{\mu^{\prime \prime}}$ if and only if $\mu^{\prime \prime}(X)=\mu^{\prime \prime}(E)+\mu^{\prime \prime}\left(E^{\prime}\right)$, and the proof follows.
2. Suppose $E \supset \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} L_{n}, \mu\left(L_{n}\right)=1$, all $n, L_{n} \in \mathcal{L}$. Then $E^{\prime} \subset \cup L_{n}^{\prime}$ and $\mu\left(L_{n}^{\prime}\right)=0$, all $n$, which imply $\mu^{\prime \prime}\left(E^{\prime}\right)=0$. Thus $\mu^{\prime \prime}(E)=1$. Therefore $\mu^{\prime \prime}(X)=\mu^{\prime \prime}(E)+\mu^{\prime \prime}\left(E^{\prime}\right)$, and, by (3.3.3), $E \in \mathcal{S}_{\mu^{\prime \prime}}$. Similarly $E^{\prime} \supset \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} L_{n}, \mu\left(L_{n}\right)=1$, all $n, L_{n} \in \mathcal{L}$ imply $E \in \mathcal{S}_{\mu^{\prime \prime}}$.
(3.7) THEOREM: If $\mu \in I_{\sigma}(\mathcal{L})$, then $\mathcal{S}_{\mu^{\prime \prime}} \cap \mathcal{L}=\left\{L \in \mathcal{L} \mid \mu(L)=\mu^{\prime \prime}(L)\right\}$ if and only if $\mu \in J(\mathcal{L})$.

## PROOF:

1. Suppose $\mathcal{S}_{\mu^{\prime \prime}} \cap \mathcal{L}=\left\{L \in \mathcal{L} \mid \mu(L)=\mu^{\prime \prime}(L)\right\}$. Let $L_{n} \downarrow L, L_{n} \in \mathcal{L}, L \in \mathcal{L}$. Then $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} L_{n}=$
$L$. Suppose $\mu\left(L_{n}\right)=1$, all $n$, but $\mu(L)=0$. Then $\mu^{\prime \prime}\left(L_{n}\right)=1$, all $n$, which implies $L_{n} \in \mathcal{S}_{\mu^{\prime \prime}} \cap \mathcal{L}$. It follows that $\cap L_{n}=L \in \mathcal{S}_{\mu^{\prime \prime}} \cap \mathcal{L}$, but $\mu^{\prime \prime}$ is countably additive on $\mathcal{S}_{\mu^{\prime \prime}}$. Hence $\mu^{\prime \prime}(L)=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu^{\prime \prime}\left(L_{n}\right)=1$. Thus $\mu(L)=1$ since $L \in \mathcal{S}_{\mu^{\prime \prime}} \cap \mathcal{L}$, a contradiction. Therefore $\mu \in J(\underset{\mathcal{L}}{\boldsymbol{n}})$.
2. Suppose $\mu \in J(\mathcal{L})$. Clearly $\mathcal{S}_{\mu^{\prime \prime}} \cap \mathcal{L} \supset\left\{L \in \mathcal{L} \mid \mu(L)=\mu^{\prime \prime}(L)\right\}$.

Let $L \in\left\{L \in \mathcal{L} \mid \mu(L)=\mu^{\prime \prime}(L)\right\}$. Then

$$
\mu^{\prime \prime}\left(X^{\prime}\right)=\mu(L)+\mu\left(L^{\prime}\right) \geq \mu^{\prime \prime}(L)+\mu^{\prime \prime}\left(L^{\prime}\right)
$$

since $\mu(L)=\mu^{\prime \prime}(L)$ and $\mu^{\prime \prime} \leq \mu\left(\mathcal{L}^{\prime}\right)$. Hence $L \in \mathcal{S}_{\mu^{\prime \prime}} \cap \mathcal{L}$. Thus, by (3.6), $L \supset \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} L_{n}$. $\mu\left(L_{n}\right)=1$, all $n, L_{n} \in \mathcal{L}$. or $\bigcap_{1}^{\infty} L_{n} \subset L^{\prime}, \mu\left(L_{n}\right)=1, L_{n} \in \mathcal{L}$, all $n$. It can be shown that $L \in\left\{L \in \mathcal{L} \mid \mu(L)=\mu^{\prime \prime}(L)\right\}$. Thus $\mathcal{S}_{\mu^{\prime \prime}} \cap \mathcal{L} \subset\left\{L \in \mathcal{L} \mid \mu(L)=\mu^{\prime \prime}(L)\right\}$.
Therefore $\mathcal{S}_{\mu^{\prime \prime}} \cap \mathcal{L}=\left\{L \in \mathcal{L} \mid \mu(L)=\mu^{\prime \prime}(L)\right\}$.
(3.8) THEOREM: Suppose $\mu \in J(\mathcal{L}), \mathcal{L}$ is $\delta$, and $\mathcal{L} \subset \mathcal{S}_{\mu^{\prime \prime}}$. Then $\mu \in I_{R}^{\sigma}(\mathcal{L})$.

PROOF: Suppose $\mathcal{L} \subset \mathcal{S}_{\mu^{\prime \prime}}$. Then $\mathcal{L}=\mathcal{S}_{\mu^{\prime \prime}} \cap \mathcal{L}$. Hence, by (3.3.4), $\mathcal{L}=\mathcal{S}_{\mu^{\prime}} \cap \mathcal{L}$, which implies $\mu \in I_{R}(\mathcal{L})$. Clearly $\mu \in I_{\sigma}(\mathcal{L})$. Therefore $\mu \in I_{R}^{\sigma}(\mathcal{L})$.
(3.9) THEOREM: If $\mathcal{L}$ is countably compact and if $\mu \in I(\mathcal{L})$, then $\mu^{\prime \prime}=\mu^{\prime}(\mathcal{L})$.

PROOF: Suppose $\mathcal{L}$ is countably compact and $\mu \in I(\mathcal{L})$.
Then $\mu \in I_{\sigma}(\mathcal{L})$ by (2.9.3), which implies $\mu \leq \mu^{\prime \prime} \leq \mu^{\prime}(\mathcal{L})$ by (3.3.1). Now suppose there exists $L \in \mathcal{L}$ such that $\mu^{\prime \prime}(L)=0$ and $\mu^{\prime}(L)=1$. Then there exists $L_{n} \in \mathcal{L}, n=1,2, \ldots$, such that $L \subset \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} L_{n}^{\prime}$ and $\mu\left(L_{n}^{\prime}\right)=0$, all $n$. By the definition of countably compact, $L \subset \bigcup_{1}^{N} L_{\imath}^{\prime}=\tilde{L}^{\prime} \in \mathcal{L}^{\prime} ;$ and $\mu\left(\tilde{L}^{\prime}\right)=\mu\left(\bigcup_{1}^{N} L_{\imath}^{\prime}\right) \leq \sum_{1}^{N} \mu\left(L_{\imath}^{\prime}\right)=0$.
Hence $\mu^{\prime}(L)=0$, a contradiction. Therefore $\mu^{\prime}=\mu^{\prime \prime}(\mathcal{L})$.
(3.10) THEOREM: $\mu \in I_{\sigma}(\mathcal{L})$ and $\mu^{\prime \prime}=\mu^{\prime}\left(\mathcal{L}^{\prime}\right)$ if and only if $\mu \in J(\mathcal{L})$.

## PROOF:

1. Suppose $\mu \in I_{\sigma}(\mathcal{L})$ and $\mu^{\prime}=\mu^{\prime \prime}\left(\mathcal{L}^{\prime}\right)$, but $\mu \notin J(\mathcal{L})$. Then there exists $L, L_{n} \in \mathcal{L}$ $(n=1,2, \ldots)$ such that $L_{n} \downarrow L, \mu\left(L_{n}\right)=1($ all $n)$, but $\mu(L)=0$. Thus $L^{\prime}=\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} L_{n}^{\prime}$ and $\mu\left(L^{\prime}\right)=1$, but $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu\left(L_{n}^{\prime}\right)=0$. Hence $\mu^{\prime \prime}\left(L^{\prime}\right)=0$, a contradiction since $\mu^{\prime \prime}=\mu^{\prime}=\mu\left(\mathcal{L}^{\prime}\right)$. Therefore $\mu \in J(\mathcal{L})$.
2. Suppose $\mu \in J(\mathcal{L})$. Then $\mu \in I_{\sigma}(\mathcal{L})$ and this implies $\mu^{\prime \prime} \leq \mu^{\prime}=\mu\left(\mathcal{L}^{\prime}\right)$. Now suppose, for some $L^{\prime} \in \mathcal{L}^{\prime}, \mu^{\prime \prime}\left(L^{\prime}\right)=0$ and $\mu^{\prime}\left(L^{\prime}\right)=1$. Then, by definition of $\mu^{\prime \prime}$, there exists $L_{n} \in \mathcal{L}, n=1,2, \ldots$, such that $L^{\prime} \subset \bigcup_{1}^{\infty} L_{n}^{\prime}$ and $\mu\left(L_{n}^{\prime}\right)=0$, all $n$. Thus
$L^{\prime} \subset \bigcup_{1}^{\infty}\left(L_{n}^{\prime} \cap L^{\prime}\right)=\bigcup_{1}^{\infty} A_{n}^{\prime}$, where $A_{n}=L_{n} \cup L \in \mathcal{L}$ and $\mu\left(A_{n}^{\prime}\right)=0$, all $n$. Consequently $L=\cap A_{n}$, and $\mu(L)=0$ since $\mu\left(L^{\prime}\right)=\mu^{\prime}\left(L^{\prime}\right)=1$. Hence $\mu\left(A_{n}\right)=1$, all $n$, which is a contradiction since $\mu \in J(\mathcal{L})$. Therefore $\mu^{\prime}=\mu^{\prime \prime}\left(\mathcal{L}^{\prime}\right)$.
(3.11) THEOREM: Suppose $\mu \leq \nu(\mathcal{L})$, where $\mu \in M(\mathcal{L})$ and $\nu \in M_{R}(\mathcal{L})$. Then:
(a) $\mu \leq \nu=\nu^{\prime} \leq \mu^{\prime}(\mathcal{L})$
(b) if $\mathcal{L}$ is normal, then $\nu^{\prime}=\mu^{\prime}(\mathcal{L})$.

## PROOF:

(a) Since $\nu \in M_{R}(\mathcal{L}), \nu(E)=\nu^{\prime}(E)=\inf \left\{\nu\left(L^{\prime}\right): E \subset L^{\prime}, L \in \mathcal{L}\right\}$.

Also, $\mu \leq \mu(\mathcal{L})$ implies $\nu \leq \mu\left(\mathcal{L}^{\prime}\right)$, which implies $\nu^{\prime} \leq \mu^{\prime}(\mathcal{L})$ and $\nu^{\prime} \leq \mu^{\prime}\left(\mathcal{L}^{\prime}\right)$. Therefore $\mu \leq \mu^{\prime}=\mu^{\prime} \leq \mu^{\prime}(\mathcal{L})$.
(b) Let $L \in \mathcal{L}$. Them. by nommality:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\nu^{\prime}(L) & =\nu(L)=\nu(\tilde{X})-\nu\left(L^{\prime}\right) \\
& =\nu\left(\mathrm{N}^{\prime}\right)-\sup \left\{\nu(\tilde{L}): \tilde{L} \subset L^{\prime}, \tilde{L} \in \mathcal{L}\right\} \\
& =\nu(\mathrm{X})-\sup \left\{\mu(\tilde{L}): \tilde{L} \subset L^{\prime}, \tilde{L} \in \mathcal{L}\right\} \\
& =\operatorname{mf}\left\{\mu\left(\tilde{L}^{\prime}\right): \tilde{L}^{\prime} \supset L\right\}=\mu^{\prime}(L) .
\end{aligned}
$$

## 4. WEAKER NOTIONS OF REGULARITY

Previously we have considered some properties related to $\mu \in M_{R}(\mathcal{L})$ or $\mu \in I_{R}(\mathcal{L})$. We now want to consider weaker notions of regularity, and see when they might coincide with regularity; and, in general, to investigate their properties and interplay with the underlying lattice.
(4.1) DEFINITIONS: Let $L \in \mathcal{L}$, where $\mathcal{L}$ is a lattice of subsets of $X$.

1- A measure $\mu \in M(\mathcal{L})$ is said to be weakly regular if

$$
\mu\left(L^{\prime}\right)=\sup \left\{\mu^{\prime}(\tilde{L}): \tilde{L} \subset L^{\prime}, \tilde{L} \in \mathcal{L}\right\}
$$

2- A measure $\mu \in M_{\sigma}(\mathcal{L})$ is said to be vaguely regular if

$$
\mu\left(L^{\prime}\right)=\sup \left\{\mu^{\prime \prime}(\tilde{L}): \tilde{L} \subset L^{\prime}, \tilde{L} \in \mathcal{L}\right\}
$$

3- A measure $\mu \in I(\mathcal{L})$ is thus weakly regular if $\mu\left(L^{\prime}\right)=1$ implies $L^{\prime} \supset \tilde{L} \in \mathcal{L}$ such that $\mu^{\prime}(\tilde{L})=1$.
4- A measure $\mu \in I_{\sigma}(\mathcal{L})$ is thus vaguely regular if $\mu\left(L^{\prime}\right)=1$ implies $L^{\prime} \supset \tilde{L} \in \mathcal{L}$ such that $\mu^{\prime \prime}(\tilde{L})=1$.
5- A measure $\mu \in I_{\sigma}(\mathcal{L})$ is said to be slightly regular if $\mu\left(L^{\prime}\right)=1$ implies $L^{\prime} \supset \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} L_{n}$ such that $\mu\left(L_{n}\right)=1, L_{n} \in \mathcal{L}$, all $n$.

## (4.2) NOTATIONS:

$M_{W}(\mathcal{L})=$ the set of weakly regular measures of $M(\mathcal{L})$
$M_{V}(\mathcal{L})=$ the set of vaguely regular measures of $M_{\sigma}(\mathcal{L})$
$I_{W}(\mathcal{L})=$ the set of weakly regular measures of $I(\mathcal{L})$
$I_{V}(\mathcal{L})=$ the set of vaguely regular measures of $I_{\sigma}(\mathcal{L})$
$I_{S}(\mathcal{L})=$ the set of slightly regular measures of $I_{\sigma}(\mathcal{L})$
(4.3) LEMMA: $I_{R}^{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}(\mathcal{L}) \subset I_{V}(\mathcal{L}) \subset I_{W}(\mathcal{L}) \cap I_{\sigma}(\mathcal{L})$
(4.4) REMARK: If $\mu^{\prime \prime}=\mu^{\prime}(\mathcal{L})$, then $I_{V}(\mathcal{L})=I_{W}(\mathcal{L}) \cap I_{\sigma}(\mathcal{L})$. This occurs if:
(a) $\mathcal{L}$ is countably compact (3.9),
(b) $\mu \in J(\mathcal{L})$ and $\mathcal{L}$ is $\delta$ (3.3.4),
(c) $\mathcal{L}$ is normal and complement generated,
(d) $\mathcal{L}$ is $\delta$-normal.
(4.5) THEOREM: Suppose $\mathcal{L}$ is complement generated.

Then $J(\mathcal{L}) \subset I_{V}(\mathcal{L}) \subset I_{W}(\mathcal{L}) \cap I_{\sigma}(\mathcal{L})$.

PROOF: Suppone $\mathcal{L}$ is complement generated and $\mu \in J(\mathcal{L})$; and let $L \in \mathcal{L}$ such that $\mu\left(L^{\prime}\right)=1$. Then $L=\bigcap_{n=1}^{x} L_{n}^{\prime}\left(L_{n} \in \mathcal{L}\right.$. all $\left.n\right), \mu \in I_{\sigma}(\mathcal{L})$, and $\mu^{\prime \prime}=\mu^{\prime}=\mu\left(\mathcal{L}^{\prime}\right)$ by $(3.10)$. This implics $L^{\prime}=\bigcup_{1}^{\infty} L_{n}$ and $\mu^{\prime \prime}\left(L^{\prime}\right)=1$. Also, $\mu^{\prime \prime}\left(L^{\prime}\right) \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu^{\prime \prime}\left(L_{n}\right)$ since $\mu^{\prime \prime}$ is an outer measure. Hence $\mu^{\prime \prime}\left(L_{n}\right)=1$ for some $n$. Thus $\mu \in I_{V}(\mathcal{L})$, which implies $\mu \in I_{W}(\mathcal{L})$ since $\mu^{\prime}\left(L_{n}\right)=1$ for some $n$. Therefore $J(\mathcal{L}) \subset I_{V}(\mathcal{L}) \subset I_{W}(\mathcal{L}) \cap I_{\sigma}(\mathcal{L})$.
(4.6) THEOREM: Suppose $\mathcal{L}$ is normal and $\mu \in M_{W^{\prime}}(\mathcal{L})$. Then $\mu \in M_{R}(\mathcal{L})$.

PROOF: Suppose $\mathcal{L}$ is normal and $\mu \in M_{W}(\mathcal{L})$. Let $\mu \leq \nu(\mathcal{L})$, where $\nu \in M_{R}(\mathcal{L})$. Then, using (3.11),

$$
\begin{aligned}
\nu\left(L^{\prime}\right) & =\sup \left\{\nu(\tilde{L}): \tilde{L} \subset L^{\prime} ; L, \tilde{L} \in \mathcal{L}\right\} \\
& =\sup \left\{\nu^{\prime}(\tilde{L}): \tilde{L} \subset L^{\prime} ; L, \tilde{L} \in \mathcal{L}\right\} \\
& =\sup \left\{\mu^{\prime}(\tilde{L}): \tilde{L} \subset L^{\prime} ; L, \tilde{L} \in \mathcal{L}\right\} \\
& =\mu\left(L^{\prime}\right) \text { since } \mu \in M_{W}(\mathcal{L}) .
\end{aligned}
$$

So $\mu=\nu\left(\mathcal{L}^{\prime}\right)$, which implies $\mu=\nu$ since $\mu(X)=\nu(X)$. Therefore $\mu \in M_{R}(\mathcal{L})$.
(4.7) COROLLARY: If $\mathcal{L}$ is normal and $\mu \in I_{W}(\mathcal{L})$, then $\mu \in I_{R}(\mathcal{L})$.
(4.8) THEOREM: Suppose $\mathcal{L}$ is normal and complement generated.

Then $\mu \in J(\mathcal{L})$ implies $\mu \in I_{R}(\mathcal{L})$.
PROOF: Suppose $\mathcal{L}$ is normal and complement generated; and let $\mu \in J(\mathcal{L})$. Then, by (4.5), $\mu \in I_{W}(\mathcal{L})$. Therefore $\mu \in I_{R}(\mathcal{L})$ by (4.7).
(4.9) REMARK: We saw in Corollary(4.7) that if $\mathcal{L}$ is normal, then $I_{W}(\mathcal{L})=I_{R}(\mathcal{L})$. However, the converse is not true. For example, let $\mathcal{L}=\{\emptyset, X, A, B, A \cup B\}$,
where $A, B \subset X(A, B \neq \emptyset)$ such that $A \cap B=\emptyset$ and $A \cup B \neq X$.
Here $\mathcal{L}$ is clearly not normal, but $I_{W}(\mathcal{L})=I_{R}(\mathcal{L})$.
(4.10) THEOREM: (a) $\mu \in I_{S}(\mathcal{L})$ if and only if $\mu=\mu^{\prime \prime}(\mathcal{L})$ and $\mu \in I_{\sigma}(\mathcal{L})$
(b) $\mu \in I_{S}(\mathcal{L})$ implies $\mu^{\prime \prime}=\mu^{\prime}=\mu\left(\mathcal{L}^{\prime}\right)$ and $\mu \in J(\mathcal{L})$

## PROOF:

(a)
1.Suppose $\mu \in I_{S}(\mathcal{L})$. Then $\mu \in I_{\sigma}(\mathcal{L})$, by definition, and hence $\mu \leq \mu^{\prime \prime}(\mathcal{L})$. Now let $\mu(L)=0$, where $L \in \mathcal{L}$. Then $\mu\left(L^{\prime}\right)=1$. Since $\mu \in I_{S}(\mathcal{L}), L^{\prime} \supset \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} L_{n}$ and $\mu\left(L_{n}\right)=1$, all $n, L_{n} \in \mathcal{L}$. In other words $L \subset \bigcup_{1}^{\infty} L_{n}^{\prime}$ and $\mu\left(L_{n}^{\prime}\right)=0$, all $n$. Hence $\mu^{\prime \prime}(L)=\inf \left\{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu\left(L_{n}^{\prime}\right): L \subset \cup L_{n}^{\prime}, L_{n} \in \mathcal{L}\right\}=0$. Therefore $\mu=\mu^{\prime \prime}(\mathcal{L})$.
2. Suppose $\mu \in I_{\sigma}(\mathcal{L})$ and $\mu=\mu^{\prime \prime}(\mathcal{L})$. Let $\mu\left(L^{\prime}\right)=1, L \in \mathcal{L}$. Then $\mu(L)=0$, which implies $\mu^{\prime \prime}(L)=0$. Thus there exists $L_{n} \in \mathcal{L}, n=1,2, \ldots$, such that $L \subset \cup L_{n}^{\prime}$ and $\mu\left(L_{n}^{\prime}\right)=0$, all $n$. Hence $\mu\left(L_{n}\right)=1$, all $n$; and $L \subset \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} L_{n}^{\prime}$ implies $L^{\prime} \supset \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} L_{n}$. Therefore $\mu \in I_{S}(\mathcal{L})$.
(b) Suppose $\mu \in I_{S}(\mathcal{L})$. Then $\mu \in I_{\sigma}(\mathcal{L})$, and hence $\mu^{\prime \prime} \leq \mu=\mu^{\prime}\left(\mathcal{L}^{\prime}\right)$. Now suppose $\mu^{\prime \prime}\left(L^{\prime}\right)=0$ and $\mu\left(L^{\prime}\right)=1$. Then $L^{\prime} \supset \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} L_{n}$ such that $\mu\left(L_{n}\right)=1$, all $n, L_{n} \in \mathcal{L}$. In other words $L \subset \bigcup_{1}^{\infty} L_{n}^{\prime}$ such that $\mu\left(L_{n}^{\prime}\right)=0$, all $n$. Consequently $\mu^{\prime \prime}(L)=0$. Thus $1=\mu^{\prime \prime}(\mathbb{X}) \leq \mu^{\prime \prime}(L)+\mu^{\prime \prime}\left(L^{\prime}\right)=0$, a contradiction. Therefore $\mu^{\prime \prime}=\mu^{\prime}=\mu \quad\left(\mathcal{L}^{\prime}\right)$, and $\mu \in J(\mathcal{L})$ by $(3.10)$.
(4.11) THEOREM: If $\mu \in I_{S}(\mathcal{L})$, then $\sigma(\mathcal{L}) \subset \mathcal{S}_{\mu^{\prime \prime}}$ (where $\sigma(\mathcal{L})$ is the $\sigma$-algebra generated by $\mathcal{L}$ ).

PROOF: Suppose $\mu \in I_{S}(\mathcal{L})$, which implies $\mu \in I_{\sigma}(\mathcal{L})$, and let $L \in \mathcal{L}$. Now if $\mu(L)=0$, then $\mu^{\prime \prime}(L)=0$, which implies $L \in \mathcal{S}_{\mu^{\prime \prime}}$. If instead $\mu(L)=1$, then $\mu\left(L^{\prime}\right)=0$, which implies $\mu^{\prime \prime}\left(L^{\prime}\right)=0$ by $(4.10(b))$. Thus $L^{\prime} \in S_{\mu^{\prime \prime}}$, and once again $L \in \mathcal{S}_{\mu^{\prime \prime}}$. Therefore $\sigma(\mathcal{L}) \subset \mathcal{S}_{\mu^{\prime \prime}}$ since $\mathcal{S}_{\mu^{\prime \prime}}$ is a $\sigma$-algebra.
(4.12) LEMMA: $I_{R}^{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}(\mathcal{L}) \subset I_{S}(\mathcal{L})$
(4.13) THEOREM: If $\mu \in I_{S}(\mathcal{L})$ and $\mathcal{L}$ is $\delta$, then $\mu \in I_{R}^{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}(\mathcal{L})$.

PROOF: Suppose $\mu \in I_{S}(\mathcal{L})$ and $\mathcal{L}$ is $\delta$. Let $\mu\left(L^{\prime}\right)=1, L \in \mathcal{L}$. Then there exists $L_{n} \in \mathcal{L}$ such that $L^{\prime} \supset \bigcap_{1}^{\infty} L_{n}=\tilde{L} \in \mathcal{L}$ and $\mu\left(L_{n}\right)=1$, all $n$. Hence $\mu\left(L_{n}^{\prime}\right)=0$, all $n$. We know $\mu \in I_{S}(\mathcal{L})$ implies $\mu \in I_{\sigma}(\mathcal{L})$ and $\mu^{\prime \prime}=\mu=\mu^{\prime}\left(\mathcal{L}^{\prime}\right)$. Consequently $\mu^{\prime \prime}\left(L_{n}^{\prime}\right)=0$, all $n$, but $\cup L_{n}^{\prime} \uparrow \tilde{L}^{\prime}$. Hence $\mu^{\prime \prime}\left(\tilde{L}^{\prime}\right)=0$ since $\mu^{\prime \prime}$ is a regular outer measure. This implies $\mu(\tilde{L})=1$. Thus $\mu \in I_{R}(\mathcal{L})$. Therefore $\mu \in I_{R}^{\sigma}(\mathcal{L})$.
(4.14) REMARK: We see in Lemma(4.12) and Theorem(4.13) that if $\mathcal{L}$ is $\delta$, then $I_{S}(\mathcal{L})$ $=I_{R}^{\sigma}(\mathcal{L})$. Therefore, by Lemma $(4.3), I_{S}(\mathcal{L}) \subset I_{V}(\mathcal{L}) \subset I_{W}(\mathcal{L}) \cap I_{\sigma}(\mathcal{L})$, in this case.
(4.15) THEOREM: Suppose $\mathcal{L}_{1}$ and $\mathcal{L}_{2}$ are two lattices of subsets of $X$ such that $\mathcal{L}_{1} \subset \mathcal{L}_{2}$. Let $\nu \in I_{W}\left(\mathcal{L}_{2}\right)$. If $\mathcal{L}_{1}$ semi-separates $\mathcal{L}_{2}$, then $\mu \in I_{W}\left(\mathcal{L}_{1}\right)\left(\right.$ where $\left.\mu=\left.\nu\right|_{A\left(\mathcal{L}_{1}\right)}\right)$.

PROOF: Given $\mathcal{L}_{1} \subset \mathcal{L}_{2}$ such that $\mathcal{L}_{1}$ semi-separates $\mathcal{L}_{2}$ and $\nu \in I_{W}\left(\mathcal{L}_{2}\right)$. Then $\mu=$ $\nu \mid \in I\left(\mathcal{L}_{1}\right)$. Now suppose $\mu\left(L_{1}^{\prime}\right)=1, L_{1} \in \mathcal{L}_{1}$. Then $\nu\left(L_{1}^{\prime}\right)=1$. Since $\nu \in I_{W}\left(\mathcal{L}_{2}\right)$ there exists $L_{2} \in \mathcal{L}_{2}$ such that $L_{1}^{\prime} \supset L_{2}$ and $\nu^{\prime}\left(L_{2}\right)=1$. By semi-separation there exists $\tilde{L}_{1} \in \mathcal{L}_{1}$ such that $\tilde{L}_{1} \supset L_{2}$ and $L_{1} \cap \tilde{L}_{1}=\emptyset$. Hence $\nu^{\prime}\left(\tilde{L}_{1}\right)=1$, which implies $\mu^{\prime}\left(\tilde{L}_{1}\right)=1$ since $\nu^{\prime} \leq \mu^{\prime}(\mathcal{L})$. Therefore $\mu \in I_{W}\left(\mathcal{L}_{1}\right)$.
(4.16) THEOREM: Suppose $\mathcal{L}_{1}$ and $\mathcal{L}_{2}$ are two lattices of subsets of $X$ where $\mathcal{L}_{1} \subset \mathcal{L}_{2}$. Let $\nu \in I_{V}\left(\mathcal{L}_{2}\right)$. If $\mathcal{L}_{1}$ semi-separates $\mathcal{L}_{2}$, then $\mu \in I_{V}\left(\mathcal{L}_{1}\right)$ (where $\left.\mu=\left.\nu\right|_{A\left(\mathcal{L}_{1}\right)}\right)$.

PROOF: The proof is similar to that of Theorem(4.15) and will be omitted.
(4.17) THEOREM: Suppose $\delta\left(\mathcal{L}^{\prime}\right)$ separates $\mathcal{L}$.

$$
\text { Then } \mu \in I_{\sigma}\left(\mathcal{L}^{\prime}\right) \cap I_{W}(\mathcal{L}) \text { implies } \mu \in I_{R}(\mathcal{L})
$$

PROOF: Suppose $\delta\left(\mathcal{L}^{\prime}\right)$ separates $\mathcal{L}$. Let $\mu \in I_{\sigma}\left(\mathcal{L}^{\prime}\right) \cap I_{W}(\mathcal{L})$ such that $\mu \leq \nu(\mathcal{L})$, where $\nu \in I_{R}(\mathcal{L})$. Now suppose $\mu \neq \nu$. Then there exists $A \in \mathcal{L}$ such that $\nu(A)=1$ and
$\mu(A)=0$, which implies $\mu\left(A^{\prime}\right)=1$. Thus $A^{\prime} \supset B \in \mathcal{L}$ where $\mu^{\prime}(B)=1$ since $\mu \in I_{W}(\mathcal{L})$. Now $\delta\left(\mathcal{L}^{\prime}\right)$ separates $\mathcal{L}$ implies:
(1) $A \subset \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} L_{n}^{\prime}, L_{n} \in \mathcal{L}$, all $n$
(2) $B \subset \bigcap_{m=1}^{\infty} \tilde{L}_{m}^{\prime}, \tilde{L}_{m} \in \mathcal{L}$, all $m$
(3) $\bigcap_{n, m} L_{n}^{\prime} \cap \tilde{L}_{m}^{\prime}=\emptyset$

It follows that $\nu(A)=1$ implies $\nu\left(L_{n}^{\prime}\right)=1$, all $n$, which implies $\mu\left(L_{n}^{\prime}\right)=1$, all $n$. Also $\mu^{\prime}(B)=1$ implies $\mu\left(\tilde{L}_{m}^{\prime}\right)=1$, all $m$. Hence $\mu\left(L_{n}^{\prime} \cap \tilde{L}_{m}^{\prime}\right)=1$, all $n, m$; and recall $\bigcap_{n, m} L_{n}^{\prime} \cap \tilde{L}_{m}^{\prime}=\emptyset$. This is a contradiction since $\mu \in I_{\sigma}\left(\mathcal{L}^{\prime}\right)$. Thus $\mu=\nu$. Therefore $\mu \in I_{R}(\mathcal{L})$.
(4.18) THEOREM: $\mu \in I_{S}(\mathcal{L})$ if and only if there exists $\nu \in I_{R}[\delta(\mathcal{L})]$

$$
\text { such that }\left.\nu\right|_{A(\mathcal{L})}=\mu
$$

## PROOF:

1. Suppose $\mu \in I_{S}(\mathcal{L})$. Then $\mu \in I_{\sigma}(\mathcal{L}), \mu^{\prime \prime}=\mu(\mathcal{L})$, and $\mathcal{S}_{\mu^{\prime \prime}} \supset \sigma(\mathcal{L})$ (which implies $\left.\mathcal{S}_{\mu^{\prime \prime}} \supset A[\delta(\mathcal{L})]\right)$. Let $\bar{\mu}=\left.\mu^{\prime \prime}\right|_{\mu^{\prime \prime}}$. Then $\bar{\mu}$ is countably additive and $\left.\bar{\mu}\right|_{A[\delta(\mathcal{L})]}=\nu \in$ $I_{R}[\delta(\mathcal{L})]$. Now suppose $\nu\left(D^{\prime}\right)=1, D \in \delta(\mathcal{L})$. Then, since $D \in \delta(\mathcal{L}), D=\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} L_{n}, L_{n} \in \mathcal{L}$. It follows that $1=\nu\left[\left(\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} L_{n}\right)^{\prime}\right]=\nu\left(\cup L_{n}^{\prime}\right)=\mu^{\prime \prime}\left(\cup L_{n}^{\prime}\right) \leq \sum \mu^{\prime \prime}\left(L_{n}^{\prime}\right)$. Hence $\mu^{\prime \prime}\left(L_{N}^{\prime}\right)=1$, some $N$, which implies $\nu\left(L_{N}^{\prime}\right)=1$ and $\mu\left(L_{N}^{\prime}\right)=1$, some $N$. Also $\left(\cap L_{n}\right)^{\prime}=\cup L_{n}^{\prime} \supset L_{N}^{\prime} \supset$ $\cap A_{n}, A_{n} \in \mathcal{L}$; and $\mu\left(A_{n}\right)=1$, all $n$, since $\mu \in I_{S}(\mathcal{L})$. Thus $\nu\left(\cap A_{n}\right)=\mu^{\prime \prime}\left(\cap A_{n}\right)=1$ and $\cap A_{n} \in \delta(\mathcal{L})$. Therefore $\nu \in I_{R}[\delta(\mathcal{L})]$.
2. The proof of the converse will be omitted.
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