ASYMPTOTIC OPTIMALITY OF SEQUENTIAL DESIGNS FOR ESTIMATION

KAMEL REKAB

Department of Applied Mathematics
Florida Institute of Technology
Melbourne, FL 32901

(Received November 5, 1992)

ABSTRACT. This paper is concerned with the problem of allocating a fixed number of trials between K independent populations from the exponential family, in order to estimate a linear combination of the means with squared error loss. Introducing independent conjugate priors, a batch sequential procedure is proposed and compared with the optimal.

KEY WORDS AND PHRASES. *Bayes risk; Exponential families; Sequential designs; Uniform integrability.*

1992 AMS SUBJECT CLASSIFICATION CODES. 62L05, 62L10

1. INTRODUCTION.

Given K independent populations $P_1, \dots, P_K$ indexed by unknown parameters $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_K$ respectively, it is wished to estimate a linear combination of their respective means based on a fixed total number of observations M . The problem is one of allocating the M observations between the K populations so as to minimize expected squared error loss. Several special cases of this problem have been studied.

For estimating the difference in two Binomial means, Alvo and Cabilio (1982) proposed an allocation procedure shown to be asymptotically optimal. Rekab (1989) considered problem of estimating the product of means of two normal populations. Robbins, Simon, and Starr (1967) considered the problem of estimating the difference of the means of two normal populations with unknown means and unknown variances. They proposed a sampling scheme that has been applied in a variety of sequential estimation problems. Their work was restricted entirely to the ad hoc design. Woodroffe and Hardwick (1990) considered the problem of estimating the difference between the means of two normal populations with ethical costs. Using a quasi Bayesian approach, they proposed a three stage procedure shown to be optimal to second order for squared error loss.

In the present article we adopt a fully Bayesian approach to the problem of estimating a linear combination of the means of K populations from the general exponential family. A lower bound on the Bayes risk is derived and shown to be achieved asymptotically as $M \rightarrow \infty$ by a batch sequential procedure.

2. THE BAYESIAN MODEL.

Let $P_1, \dots, P_K$ be K independent populations from the general exponential family indexed by $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_K$ respectively. That is, suppose that

$$dF_{\alpha_i}(x_i) = \exp\{\alpha_i x_i - \psi(\alpha_i)\} d\Lambda(x_i) \quad -\infty < x_i < \infty, \quad \alpha_i \in \Omega$$

for $i = 1, \dots, K$. It is assumed throughout that Ω is the natural parameter space of the family and that Ω is open. It is well known that $E_{\alpha_i}(X_i) = \psi'(\alpha_i)$ and $V_{\alpha_i}(X_i) = \psi''(\alpha_i)$. Let these in turn be assigned independent conjugate priors, that is suppose that α_i are independent random variables which have distributions

$$d\Pi(\alpha_i) = \frac{\exp\{r_i \mu_i \alpha_i - r_i \psi(\alpha_i)\}}{c(r_i, \mu_i)} d\alpha_i$$

where

$$c(r_i, \mu_i) = \int \exp\{r_i \mu_i \alpha_i - r_i \psi(\alpha_i)\} d\alpha_i.$$

Let M be the fixed total number of observations and $M_1, \dots, M_K$ be the random variables which allocate observations to $P_1, \dots, P_K$ with $\sum_{i=1}^K M_i = M$. In estimating $\sum_{i=1}^K c_i \psi'(\alpha_i)$ with squared error loss, the terminal Bayes estimator is $\sum_{i=1}^K c_i \mu_{i, M_i}$, where μ_{i, M_i} is the mean of the posterior distribution $\psi'(\alpha_i)$ based on M_i observations. Due to independence of the priors, the terminal Bayes risk r_β is given by

$$r_\beta = E\left(\sum_{i=1}^K \frac{c_i^2 U_{i, M_i}}{M_i + r_i}\right), \quad (2.1)$$

where $U_{i, M_i} = E\{\psi''(\alpha_i) | X_{i,1}, \dots, X_{i, M_i}\}$.

3. LOWER BOUND ON THE BAYES RISK.

We begin this section by deriving a lower bound on the risk incurred by the optimal procedure.

LEMMA 3.1: Let r_β be defined as in (2.1). Then

$$r_\beta \geq \frac{E(\sum_{i=1}^K (|c_i| \sqrt{\psi''(\alpha_i)})^2)}{M + \sum_{i=1}^K r_i}.$$

PROOF: The terminal Bayes risk can be written as the sum of

$$(M + \sum_{i=1}^K r_i)^{-1} E\left(\sum_{i=1}^K |c_i| \sqrt{U_{i, M_i}}\right)^2$$

and

$$(M + \sum_{i=1}^K r_i)^{-1} E\left\{\sum_{i=1}^{K-1} \sum_{j=i+1}^K \frac{((M_i + r_i) |c_j| \sqrt{U_{j, M_j}} - (M_j + r_j) |c_i| \sqrt{U_{i, M_i}})^2}{(M_i + r_i)(M_j + r_j)}\right\}.$$

The lemma follows by the martingale properties of U_{i, M_i} .

4. A BATCH SEQUENTIAL PROCEDURE.

In this section a batch sequential procedure is proposed and shown to be asymptotically optimal. Let $M_{i,b}$ denote the number of observations sampled from population P_i up to stage b . Then at stage b the Bayes risk is minimized by

$$(b + \sum_{i=1}^K r_i)^{-1} E(\sum_{i=1}^K |c_i| \sqrt{U_{i,M_{i,b}}})^2$$

and achieves its minimum when the following equality is satisfied for all i and j

$$\frac{M_{i,b} + r_i}{M_{j,b} + r_j} = \frac{|c_i| \sqrt{U_{i,M_{i,b}}}}{|c_j| \sqrt{U_{j,M_{j,b}}}}.$$

With a motivation to move $\frac{M_{i,b} + r_i}{M_{j,b} + r_j}$ toward $\frac{|c_i| \sqrt{U_{i,M_{i,b}}}}{|c_j| \sqrt{U_{j,M_{j,b}}}}$, we propose a batch sequential procedure as follows. First start with an initial sample of K observations, one observation from each population. The remaining $M - K$ observations will be allocated in B batches where each batch consists of $K - 1$ observations. Suppose up to batch b we already observed $M_{1,b}, \dots, M_{K,b}$, then select one additional observation from each population excluding population P_i in next batch if

$$M_{i,b} + r_i > \max_{j \neq i} \left\{ \frac{(M_{j,b} + r_j) |c_i| \sqrt{U_{i,M_{i,b}}}}{|c_j| \sqrt{U_{j,M_{j,b}}}} \right\}.$$

The following lemmas are needed for the proof of the theorem.

LEMMA 4.1: Using the proposed batch sequential procedure, $M_{i,b} \rightarrow \infty$ almost surely as $b \rightarrow \infty$ for all i .

PROOF: The batch sequential procedure can be written as follows: Sample one observation from each population excluding P_i if

$$\frac{(M_{i,b} + r_i) |c_j| \sqrt{U_{j,M_{j,b}}}}{|c_i| \sqrt{U_{i,M_{i,b}}} + |c_j| \sqrt{U_{j,M_{j,b}}}} > \frac{(M_{j,b} + r_j) |c_i| \sqrt{U_{i,M_{i,b}}}}{|c_i| \sqrt{U_{i,M_{i,b}}} + |c_j| \sqrt{U_{j,M_{j,b}}}}$$

for all $j \neq i$. Suppose that $M_{i,b}$ is bounded. Then $M_{j,b}$ are bounded for all $j \neq i$. Hence we have a contradiction.

LEMMA 4.2: For b large enough, let

$$k^{(i)} = \sup \{k \leq b : M_{i,k} + r_i > \max_{j \neq i} \left\{ \frac{(M_{j,k} + r_j) |c_i| \sqrt{U_{i,M_{i,k}}}}{|c_j| \sqrt{U_{j,M_{j,k}}}} \right\}\}$$

for all $i = 1, \dots, K$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{|c_i| \sqrt{U_{i,M_{i,k^{(i)}}}}}{|c_j| \sqrt{U_{j,M_{j,k^{(i)}}}}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{M_{j,k^{(i)}} + r_j}\right) &\leq \frac{M_{i,b} + r_i}{M_{j,b} + r_j} \\ &\leq \frac{|c_i| \sqrt{U_{i,M_{i,k^{(i)}}}}}{|c_j| \sqrt{U_{j,M_{j,k^{(i)}}}}} + \left(\frac{1}{M_{j,k^{(i)}} + r_j}\right). \end{aligned}$$

PROOF:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{M_{i,b} + r_i}{M_{j,b} + r_j} &\geq \frac{M_{i,k(i)} + r_i}{M_{j,k(i)} + r_j + 1} = \frac{M_{i,k(i)} + r_i}{M_{j,k(i)} + r_j} \left(1 - \frac{1}{M_{j,k(i)} + r_j + 1}\right) \\ &\geq \frac{|c_i| \sqrt{U_{i,M_{i,k(i)}}}}{|c_j| \sqrt{U_{j,M_{j,k(i)}}}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{M_{j,k(i)} + r_j}\right). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{M_{i,b} + r_i}{M_{j,b} + r_j} &\leq \frac{M_{i,k(i)} + r_i + 1}{M_{j,k(i)} + r_j} = \frac{M_{i,k(i)} + r_i}{M_{j,k(i)} + r_j} + \frac{1}{M_{j,k(i)} + r_j} \\ &\leq \frac{|c_i| \sqrt{U_{i,M_{i,k(i)}}}}{|c_j| \sqrt{U_{j,M_{j,k(i)}}}} + \frac{1}{M_{j,k(i)} + r_j}. \end{aligned}$$

THEOREM 4.1: Let r_p be the risk incurred by the proposed batch sequential procedure. Suppose there exists $p > 1$ such that $E(\psi''(\alpha_i))^p < \infty$ for all $i = 1, \dots, K$.

$$r_p = \frac{E(\sum_{i=1}^K (|c_i| \sqrt{\psi''(\alpha_i)})^2)}{M + \sum_{i=1}^K r_i} + o(1/M)$$

as $M \rightarrow \infty$.

PROOF: The proof of the theorem will follow if we establish

$$E\left(\sum_{i=1}^K |c_i| \sqrt{U_{i,M_i}}\right)^2 - E\left(\sum_{i=1}^K |c_i| \sqrt{\psi''(\alpha_i)}\right)^2 \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } M \rightarrow \infty \quad (4.1)$$

and

$$E\left\{\sum_{i=1}^{K-1} \sum_{j=i+1}^K \frac{((M_i + r_i) |c_j| \sqrt{U_{j,M_j}} - (M_j + r_j) |c_i| \sqrt{U_{i,M_i}})^2}{(M_i + r_i)(M_j + r_j)}\right\} \rightarrow 0 \quad (4.2)$$

as $M \rightarrow \infty$. Let $M_1, \dots, M_K$ be the allocation variables for the batch sequential procedure. Since $M_i \rightarrow \infty$ and U_{i,M_i} are uniformly integrable, (4.1) is satisfied. Using Lemma (4.2),

$$\frac{M_i}{M_j} \rightarrow \frac{|c_i| \sqrt{\psi''(\alpha_i)}}{|c_j| \sqrt{\psi''(\alpha_j)}} \quad \text{a.s. as } M \rightarrow \infty.$$

The proof will be established if $\frac{M_i + r_i}{M_j + r_j} (c_j)^2 U_{j,M_j}$ is uniformly integrable. Using Lemma (4.2),

$$\frac{M_i + r_i}{M_j + r_j} (c_j)^2 U_{j,M_j} < |c_i| |c_j| \max_k \sqrt{U_{i,M_i,k}} \sqrt{U_{j,M_j,k}} + \frac{c_j^2}{r_j} U_{j,M_j}.$$

The proof follows by Doob's inequality for non negative submartingales.

REFERENCES

- [1] Alvo, M. and Cabilio, P. (1982). Bayesian estimation of the difference between two proportions. Canadian J. Statist. **10**, 139-145.
- [2] Rekab, K. (1989). Asymptotic efficiency in sequential designs for estimation. Sequential Analysis **8**, 269-280.
- [3] Robbins, H., Simons, G., and Starr, N. (1967). A sequential analogue of the Behren-Fisher problem. Ann. Math. Stat **38**, 1384-1388.
- [4] Woodroffe, M., Hardwick, J. (1990). Sequential allocation for estimation problem with ethical costs. Ann. Stat **18**, 1358-1377.