

ON APPROXIMATION OF FUNCTIONS AND THEIR DERIVATIVES BY QUASI-HERMITE INTERPOLATION

G. MIN

CECM, Department of Mathematics and Statistics
Simon Fraser University
Burnaby, B.C., Canada V5A 1S6

(Received April 2, 1991 and in revised form April 18, 1994)

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we consider the simultaneous approximation of the derivatives of the functions by the corresponding derivatives of quasi-Hermite interpolation based on the zeros of $(1 - x^2)p_n(x)$ (where $p_n(x)$ is a Legendre polynomial). The corresponding approximation degrees are given. It is shown that this matrix of nodes is almost optimal.

KEY WORDS: Hermite interpolation, optimal nodes, derivatives, Legendre polynomials, best approximation.

1991 MATHEMATICS SUBJECT CLASSIFICATION: 41A05, 41A25, 41A28.

1 INTRODUCTION.

Let

$$-1 \leq x_n < \dots < x_1 < x_0 \leq 1 \quad (1.1)$$

be an arbitrary nodes system on $[-1,1]$ and let $f \in C^1[-1,1]$. We consider the Hermite interpolation operator:

$$H_n(f, x) := \sum_{k=0}^n f(x_k)h_k(x) + \sum_{k=0}^n f'(x_k)\sigma_k(x), \quad (1.2)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} h_k(x) &= v_k(x)l_k^2(x), & \sigma_k(x) &= (x - x_k)l_k^2(x), \\ l_k(x) &= \frac{\omega(x)}{\omega'(x_k)(x - x_k)}, \\ v_k(x) &= 1 - \frac{\omega''(x_k)}{\omega'(x_k)}(x - x_k), & \omega(x) &= \prod_{k=0}^n (x - x_k). \end{aligned}$$

It satisfies the following conditions:

$$H_n(f, x_k) = f(x_k), \quad k = 0, 1, \dots, n$$

and

$$H'_n(f, x_k) = f'(x_k), \quad k = 0, 1, \dots, n$$

There have been many articles considering the problem of approximation to $f(x)$ by $H_n(f, x)$. Generally, we consider approximation of $f'(x)$ by the derivative of Hermite interpolation. We know that the convergence

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|H'_n(f, x) - f'(x)\| = 0,$$

does not hold for all $f \in C^1[-1,1]$ (here $\|\cdot\|$ is the maximum norm). Pottinger [1] investigated this problem when $\{x_k\}_{k=0}^n$ are the zeros of the Tchebycheff polynomial of the first kind and obtained the following result:

$$\|H'_n(f, x) - f'(x)\| = O(n)E_{2n}(f'), \tag{1.3}$$

where $E_n(f)$ is the best approximation of $f(x)$. (The factor $O(n)$ is best possible, cf. Steinhaus [2].) In [3], Szabados and Varma introduced a norm for the higher derivatives of the operator (1.2):

$$\|H_n^{(r)}\| = \sup\{\|H_n^{(r)}(f, x)\| : |f^{(i)}(x_k)| \leq n^i(1 - x_k^2)^{r-1/2}, k = 1, \dots, n; i = 0, 1\}$$

($r, n = 1, 2, \dots$) and they proved that for any system of nodes ([3, Theorem 1])

$$\|H_n^{(r)}\| \geq c_r n^r \ln n, \quad (n, r = 1, 2, \dots) \tag{1.4}$$

where $c_r > 0$ depends only on r . Moreover, for the matrix of nodes:

$$\omega(x) = P_{n-2t+1}^{(\alpha, \alpha)}(x) \prod_{j=1}^t (x^2 - \cos^2 \frac{(j-1)\pi}{3t(n-2t+1)}), \tag{1.5}$$

they obtain ([3, Theorem 3])

$$\|H_n^{(r)}\| = O(n^r \ln n), \tag{1.6}$$

where $t = [\frac{r+3}{4}]$, $\alpha = 2t - \frac{r+1}{2}$ ($r \geq 1$ integer) and $P_{n-2t+1}^{(\alpha, \alpha)}(x)$ are the ultraspherical Jacobi polynomials of degree $n - 2t$. Moreover, α takes only the values $-1/2, 0, 1/2, 1$ according to $r = 0, 3, 2, 1 \pmod{4}$. (See [3, Remark, P305].) Therefore for the matrix of nodes defined by (1.5) we have

$$\|H_n^{(r)}(f, x) - f^{(r)}(x)\| = O(\ln n)\omega(f^{(r)}, \frac{1}{n}). \tag{1.7}$$

(see [3]) At the end of paper [3], they speculated that "it would be interesting to construct a matrix which is optimal for *all* the derivatives up to order r ." This is the problem of constructing matrix nodes so that the corresponding simultaneous approximation of $f(x)$ from the first derivative to the r -th derivative is optimal by the corresponding Hermite interpolation.

Remark: With respect to Lagrange interpolation, the complete solution of minimizing the corresponding derivatives norm to (1.4) was given by Szabados [4] (also see Vértesi [5]). The main idea is that adding nodes (near ± 1) to Jacobi nodes make the similar estimates of (1.4) optimal.

In this paper, we point out that for the quasi-Hermite interpolation $R_n(f, x)$ based on the zeros of $(1 - x^2)p_n(x)$ (where $p_n(x)$ is the Legendre polynomial with normalization: $p_n(1) = 1$), we have

THEOREM 1. If $f \in C^1[-1, 1]$, then

$$\|R'_n(f, x) - f'(x)\| = O(\ln n)E_{2n}(f'). \tag{1.8}$$

THEOREM 2. If $f \in C^r[-1, 1]$ ($r \geq 2$), then

$$\|R'_n(f, x) - f'(x)\| = O(\ln n)E_{2n}(f') = O(\frac{\ln n}{n})E_{2n-1}(f''), \tag{1.9}$$

$$\|\sqrt{1-x^2}(R''_n(f, x) - f''(x))\| = O(\ln n)E_{2n-1}(f''), \tag{1.10}$$

and

$$\|R_n^{(i)}(f, x) - f^{(i)}(x)\|_{[-\sigma, \sigma]} = O(\ln n)E_{2n-i+1}(f^{(i)}), \quad i = 2, \dots, r \tag{1.11}$$

where $0 < \sigma < 1$.

From this we see that the zeros of $(1 - x^2)p_n(x)$ are almost optimal and the corresponding simultaneous approximation is better than that of Hermite interpolation based on the zeros of Tchebysheff polynomial of the first kind.

Remark: We conjecture that the factor $\sqrt{1 - x^2}$ in (1.10) cannot be removed on the whole interval $[-1, 1]$, in which case the preceding results are optimal.

2 LEMMAS.

In order to prove the Theorems, we state some properties of Legendre polynomials (see Szegő [6]).

$$|p_n(x)| \leq 1, \tag{2.1}$$

$$(1 - x^2)^{1/4} |p_n(x)| \leq (2/\pi n)^{-1/2}, \quad n \geq 2 \tag{2.2}$$

$$(1 - x^2)^{3/4} |p'_n(x)| \leq (2n)^{1/2}, \quad n \geq 3 \tag{2.3}$$

$$\sin^2 \theta_k = 1 - x_k^2 > (k - 3/2)^2 n^{-2}, \quad k = 1, \dots, [n/2] \tag{2.4}$$

$$|p'_n(x_k)| > c(k - 3/2)^{-3/2} n^2, \quad k = 1, \dots, [n/2] \tag{2.5}$$

We note that in (2.4) and (2.5) similar estimates are hold for $k = [n/2], \dots, n$. On combining (2.4) and (2.5), it follows that

$$[(1 - x_k^2)^{3/4} |p'_n(x)|]^2 \geq cn, \quad k = 1, \dots, n \tag{2.6}$$

where c is an absolute positive constant independent of f and n , whose value may vary from line to line through our paper.

Let

$$-1 = x_{n+1} < x_n < \dots < x_1 < x_0 = 1$$

be the zeros of $(1 - x^2)p_n(x)$. Then its corresponding quasi-Hermite interpolation is the following

$$R_n(f, x) = \sum_{k=0}^{n+1} f(x_k) r_k(x) + \sum_{k=1}^n f'(x_k) \gamma_k(x), \tag{2.7}$$

where

$$r_0(x) = \frac{1+x}{2} p_n^2(x), \quad r_{n+1} = \frac{1-x}{2} p_n^2(x),$$

$$r_k(x) = \frac{1-x^2}{1-x_k^2} l_k^2(x), \quad k = 1, \dots, n$$

$$\gamma_k(x) = (x - x_k) r_k(x), \quad k = 1, \dots, n$$

$$l_k(x) = \frac{p_n(x)}{p'_n(x_k)(x - x_k)}, \quad k = 1, \dots, n$$

It satisfies that

$$R_n(f, x_k) = f(x_k), \quad k = 0, 1, \dots, n+1.$$

and

$$R'_n(f, x_k) = f'(x_k), \quad k = 1, \dots, n$$

LEMMA 1. We have

$$\sqrt{1 - x_k^2} \leq \sqrt{1 - x^2} + 2 \frac{|x - x_k|}{\sqrt{1 - x_k^2}}, \quad k = 1, \dots, n.$$

PROOF. One easily sees that

$$\begin{aligned} \sqrt{1 - x_k^2} &= \sqrt{1 - x^2} + \sqrt{1 - x_k^2} - \sqrt{1 - x^2} \\ &= \sqrt{1 - x^2} + \frac{x^2 - x_k^2}{\sqrt{1 - x_k^2} + \sqrt{1 - x^2}} \leq \sqrt{1 - x^2} + 2 \frac{|x - x_k|}{\sqrt{1 - x_k^2}}. \end{aligned}$$

This proves Lemma 1. \square

LEMMA 2. We have

$$(i) \quad I_1 := \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{|x - x_k|}{1 - x_k^2} l_k^2(x) = O(\ln n) \tag{2.8}$$

$$(ii) \quad I_2 := \sum_{k=1}^n |x - x_k| \frac{1 - x^2}{1 - x_k^2} |l_k(x) l'_k(x)| = O(\ln n) \tag{2.9}$$

PROOF. From Lemma 1 we have

$$I_1 \leq \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{\sqrt{1 - x^2} |x - x_k|}{(1 - x_k^2)^{3/2}} l_k^2(x) + 2 \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{|x - x_k|^2}{(1 - x_k^2)^2} l_k^2(x) := A_1(x) + A_2(x) \tag{2.10}$$

Throughout this paper we assume x_j to be the zero of $p_n(x)$ which is the nearest to x and $i = |k - j|$. By using (5.8) in Prasad and Varma[7] we have

$$\sqrt{1 - x^2} \frac{|x - x_j|}{1 - x_j^2} l_j^2(x) \leq \frac{c}{n}. \tag{2.11}$$

Notice that, with $x = \cos \theta$ ($0 \leq \theta \leq \pi$)

$$\sin \theta \leq \sin \theta + \sin \theta_k \leq 2 \sin \frac{\theta + \theta_k}{2},$$

so we have

$$\begin{aligned} A_1(x) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - x_j^2}} \frac{\sqrt{1 - x^2} |x - x_j|}{1 - x_j^2} l_j^2(x) + \sum_{k \neq j} \frac{\sqrt{1 - x^2} |x - x_k|}{(1 - x_k^2)^{3/2}} l_k^2(x) \\ &\leq \frac{c}{n} \frac{1}{\sin \theta_j} + \sum_{k \neq j} \frac{\sqrt{1 - x^2} p_n^2(x)}{[(1 - x_k^2)^{3/4} |p'_n(x_k)|]^2 |x - x_k|} \\ &= O(1) [1 + p_n^2(x) \sum_{k \neq j} \frac{1}{\sin \frac{\theta - \theta_k}{2}}] = O(1) [1 + \frac{p_n^2(x)}{n} \sum_{k \neq j} \frac{n}{i}] = O(\ln n). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$A_2(x) = \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{p_n^2(x)}{[(1 - x_k^2)^{3/4} |p'_n(x_k)|]^2 \sqrt{1 - x_k^2}} = O(1) \frac{p_n^2(x)}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - x_k^2}} = O(\ln n),$$

so we obtain (2.8).

Notice that

$$l'_k(x) = \frac{p'_n(x)(x - x_k) - p_n(x)}{(x - x_k)^2 p'_n(x_k)},$$

and we have

$$I_2 \leq \sum_{k=1}^n |x - x_k| \frac{(1 - x^2) |x - x_k| |p'_n(x)|}{(1 - x_k^2) (x - x_k)^2 |p'_n(x_k)|} |l_k(x)| + \sum_{k=1}^n r_k(x) := B_1(x) + B_2(x)$$

One notes Prasad and Varma [7]

$$\frac{(1 - x^2)^{1/4}}{(1 - x_k^2)^{1/4}} |l_k(x)| \leq c,$$

so we have

$$\begin{aligned} B_1(x) &= \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{(1 - x^2)^{3/4} |p'_n(x)|}{(1 - x_k^2)^{3/4} |p'_n(x_k)|} \frac{(1 - x^2)^{1/4}}{(1 - x_k^2)^{1/4}} |l_k(x)| \\ &= O(1) \frac{(1 - x^2)^{3/4} |p'_n(x)|}{(1 - x_j^2)^{3/4} |p'_n(x_j)|} + \sum_{k \neq j} \frac{(1 - x^2) |p_n(x) p'_n(x)| \sqrt{1 - x_k^2}}{[(1 - x_k^2)^{3/4} |p'_n(x_k)|]^2 |x - x_k|} \\ &= O(1) [1 + \frac{(1 - x^2) |p_n(x) p'_n(x)|}{n} \sum_{k \neq j} \frac{\sin \theta_k}{|x - x_k|}] \\ &= O(1) [1 + \ln n (1 - x^2) |p_n(x) p'_n(x)|] = O(\ln n). \end{aligned}$$

Obviously,

$$B_2(x) \leq \sum_{k=0}^{n+1} r_k(x) \equiv 1.$$

Therefore we obtain (2.9). \square

LEMMA 3. We have

$$I_3 := \sum_{k=0}^{n+1} (1 - x_k^2) |r_k(x)| = O(\ln n)(1 - x^2), \tag{2.12}$$

and

$$I_4 := \sum_{k=1}^n \sqrt{1 - x_k^2} |\gamma_k(x)| = O\left(\frac{\ln n}{n}\right) \sqrt{1 - x^2}. \tag{2.13}$$

Proof. Since

$$I_3 = (1 - x^2) \sum_{k=1}^n l_k^2(x),$$

from Nevai and Vértesi [8] we have

$$\sum_{k=1}^n l_k^2(x) = O(1) \left(1 + \frac{J_n^2(x)}{n} + \frac{\ln n}{n} J_n^2(x)\right),$$

where $J_n(x)$ is the orthonormal Legendre polynomials:

$$\int_{-1}^1 J_n(x) J_m(x) dx = \delta_{nm},$$

and notice that Natanson [9] gives

$$\|J_n(x)\| = O(1)n^{1/2}.$$

It follows that

$$\sum_{k=1}^n l_k^2(x) = O(\ln n),$$

this implies (2.12). Also, we have

$$\begin{aligned} I_4 &= \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{(1 - x^2) |x - x_k|}{\sqrt{1 - x_k^2}} l_k^2(x) \\ &= (1 - x^2) \frac{(1 - x_j^2)^{1/4} |p_n(x)|}{(1 - x_j^2)^{3/4} |p_n'(x_j)|} |l_j(x)| + \sum_{k \neq j} \frac{(1 - x^2) p_n^2(x)}{[(1 - x_k^2)^{3/4} |p_n'(x_k)|]^2} \frac{1 - x_k^2}{|x - x_k|}. \end{aligned}$$

Recall that (Erdős [10]) for $-1 \leq x \leq 1$,

$$|l_k(x)| \leq 1, \quad k = 1, \dots, n$$

therefore, similar to the estimates of I_1 and I_2 , we have

$$I_4 = O(1) \frac{1 - x^2}{n} + \frac{(1 - x^2) p_n^2(x)}{n} \sum_{k \neq j} \frac{1}{\sin \left| \frac{\theta - \theta_k}{2} \right|} = O\left(\frac{\ln n}{n}\right) \sqrt{1 - x^2}.$$

This proves Lemma 3. \square

Remark: If we need not want to obtain the factor $(1 - x^2)$, we can obtain a better estimate of I_3 .

LEMMA 4. Let $f \in C^r[-1, 1]$, then there exist polynomials $q_n(x)$ of degree $n \geq 4r + 5$ such that ($j = 0, 1, \dots, r$)

$$|f^{(j)}(x) - q_n^{(j)}(x)| = O(1) \left(\frac{\sqrt{1 - x^2}}{n}\right)^{r-j} E_{n-r}(f^{(r)}). \tag{2.14}$$

PROOF. From Gopengauz's Theorem [11] we know that there exist polynomials $t_n(x)$ of degree $n \geq 4r + 5$ such that

$$|f^{(j)}(x) - t_n^{(j)}(x)| \leq c \left(\frac{\sqrt{1-x^2}}{n}\right)^{r-j} \omega(f^{(r)}, \frac{\sqrt{1-x^2}}{n})$$

Let $s_n(x)$ be the polynomial of degree $n > r$ such that

$$||f^{(r)}(x) - s_n^{(r)}(x)|| \leq E_{n-r}(f^{(r)}),$$

then we have

$$\begin{aligned} |f^{(j)}(x) - q_n^{(j)}(x)| &:= |f^{(j)}(x) - (s_n^{(j)}(x) + t_n^{(j)}(x))| \\ &\leq c \left(\frac{\sqrt{1-x^2}}{n}\right)^{r-j} \omega((f - s_n)^{(r)}, \frac{1}{n}) = O(1) \left(\frac{\sqrt{1-x^2}}{n}\right)^{r-j} ||f^{(r)} - s_n^{(r)}|| \\ &= O(1) \left(\frac{\sqrt{1-x^2}}{n}\right)^{r-j} E_{n-r}(f^{(r)}). \end{aligned}$$

This proves Lemma 4. \square

LEMMA 5. Let $s_j(x)$ be a polynomial of degree $\leq n$, and suppose that the inequality

$$\sum_{j=1}^m |s_j(x)| = O(1), \quad -1 \leq x \leq 1.$$

holds. Then

$$(1-x^2)^{i/2} \sum_{j=1}^m |s_j^{(i)}(x)| = O(1)n^i, \tag{2.15}$$

where $m \geq 1$ and $1 \leq i \leq n$.

PROOF. Although Ramm [12, Lemma 1, p285] only proved the case of $i=1$, (26) can be obtained by using a completely similar method. \square

3 PROOFS OF THEOREMS.

PROOF OF THEOREM 1. Notice that

$$\begin{aligned} R_n(f, x) - f(x) &= \sum_{k=0}^{n+1} (f(x_k) - f(x)) r_k(x) + \sum_{k=1}^n f'(x_k) \gamma_k(x) \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{n+1} \int_x^{x_k} f'(t) dt r_k(x) + \sum_{k=1}^n f'(x_k) \gamma_k(x). \end{aligned}$$

This implies

$$||R'_n|| \leq \left(\sum_{k=0}^{n+1} |x - x_k| r'_k(x)\right) + \sum_{k=1}^n |\gamma'_k(x)| ||f'|| \tag{3.1}$$

One easily sees that

$$(1-x)|r'_0(x)| \leq (1-x) \left[\frac{p_n^2(x)}{2} + (1+x)|p_n(x)p'_n(x)|\right] = O(1).$$

Similarly we have

$$(1+x)|r'_{n+1}(x)| = O(1).$$

Notice that

$$r'_k(x) = -\frac{2x}{1-x_k^2} l_k^2(x) + \frac{2(1-x^2)}{1-x_k^2} l_k(x) l'_k(x)$$

and

$$\gamma'_k(x) = r_k(x) + (x - x_k)r'_k(x).$$

From Lemma 2 we have

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n+1} |x - x_k| |r'_k(x)| = O(\ln n) \quad (3.2)$$

and also we have

$$\sum_{k=1}^n |\gamma'_k(x)| = O(\ln n). \quad (3.3)$$

It now follows that

$$\|R'_n\| = O(\ln n) \|f'\|. \quad (3.4)$$

Combining Lemma 4, (3.2) and (3.3), we obtain Theorem 1. \square

PROOF OF THEOREM 2. Theorem 1 implies (9). Here we only prove the case $i = 2$. The other cases are completely similar. By using Lemma 5 (or see Borwein and Erdelyi [13]) and from Lemma 3 we obtain the following

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n+1} (1 - x_k^2) |r''_k(x)| = O(n^2 \ln n) \quad (3.5)$$

and

$$\sqrt{1 - x^2} \sum_{k=1}^n \sqrt{1 - x_k^2} |\gamma''_k(x)| = O(n \ln n) \quad (3.6)$$

Notice that

$$R''_n(f, x) - f''(x) = R''_n(f - q_{2n+1}, x) + q''_{2n+1}(x) - f''(x)$$

and

$$R''_n(f - q_{2n+1}, x) = \sum_{k=0}^{n+1} (f(x_k) - q_{2n+1}(x_k)) r''_k(x) + \sum_{k=1}^n (f'(x_k) - q'_{2n+1}(x_k)) \gamma''_k(x).$$

Combining Lemma 4, (3.5) and (3.6), we obtain (1.10). \square

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT: The author thanks Professor P. Borwein and referees for their valuable suggestions and comments.

References

- [1] Pottinger, P., On the approximation of functions and their derivatives by Hermite interpolation, *J. Approx. Th.*, 23(1978), 267-273.
- [2] Steinhaus, B., On the C^1 -norm of the Hermite interpolation, *J. Approx. Th.*, 50(1987), 160-166.
- [3] Szabados, J., and Varma, A. K., On the derivatives of Hermite-Fejér interpolating polynomials, *Acta Math. Hung.*, 55(1990), 301-309.
- [4] Szabados, J., On the convergence of the derivatives of projection operators, *Analysis*, 7(1987), 349-357.
- [5] Vértesi, P., Derivatives of projection operators, *Analysis*, 9(1989), 145-156.
- [6] Szegő, G., *Orthogonal polynomials*, American Math. Soc. Coll. Publ., New York, 1979.
- [7] Prasad, J. and Varma, A. K., A study of some interpolatory processes based on the roots of Legendre polynomials, *J. Approx. Th.*, 31(1981), 244-252.
- [8] Nevai, P., and Vértesi, P., Mean convergence of Hermite-Fejér interpolation, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 105(1985), 26-58.

- [9] Natanson, I. P., *Constructive function theory*, Vol. II, Ungar, New York, 1965 .
- [10] Erdős, P., On the maximum of the fundamental functions of the ultraspherical polynomials, *Ann. of Math.*, 45(1944), 335-339.
- [11] Gopengauz, I. E., On a theorem of A. F. Timan on approximation of functions by polynomials on a finite interval, *Mat. Zametki*, 1(1967), 163-172.
- [12] Ramm, A. G., On simultaneous approximation of a function and its derivative by interpolation polynomials, *Bull. London. Math. Soc.*, 9(1977), 283-288.
- [13] Borwein, P., and Erdeli, T., *Polynomials and polynomials inequalities*, Springer-Verlag, (to appear)



Hindawi

Submit your manuscripts at
<http://www.hindawi.com>

