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#### Abstract

Let R be a ring, and let N and C denote the set of nilpotents and the center of R , respectively. $R$ is called generalized periodic if for every $x \in R \backslash(N \cup C)$, there exist distinct positive integers $m, n$ of opposite parity such that $x^{n}-x^{m} \in N \cap C$. We prove that a generalized periodic ring always has the set N of nilpotents forming an ideal in R . We also consider some conditions which imply the commutativity of a generalized periodic ring.
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## 1. INTRODUCTION.

Throughout the paper, $\mathbf{R}$ will denote a ring, $\mathbf{N}$ the set of nilpotents, $\mathbf{C}$ the center, J the Jacobson radical, and $C(R)$ the commutator ideal of $R$. The ring $R$ is called periodic if for every $x$ in $R$ there exist distinct positive integers $m, n$ such that $x^{m \cdot}=x^{n}$. An element $x$ of $R$ is called potent if, for some positive integer $n>1, x^{n}=x$. $R$ is called weakly periodic if every element $x$ of $R$ can be written as a sum of a potent element and a nilpotent element. It is well known that a periodic ring is necessarily weakly periodic. Whether a weakly periodic ring is necessarily periodic is apparently not known, except in the presence of other additional hypotheses. We now formally state the definition of a generalized periodic ring.

Definition. A ring $R$ is called generalized periodic if for every $x$ in $R, x \notin N \cup C$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{n}-x^{m} \in N \cap C \text {, for some positive int egers } m, n \text { of opposite parity. } \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Or, equivalently,

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{n}-x^{n+k} \in N \cap C ; n, k \in Z^{+} ; k \underline{\text { odd }} ;(x \notin N \cup C) . \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

We prove that the set of nilpotents in a generalized periodic ring $\mathbf{R}$ is always an ideal in $\mathbf{R}$. We also consider conditions which imply the commutativity of a generalized periodic ring.

## 2. STRUCTURE OF GENERALIZED PERIODIC RINGS.

We begin with some basic facts about generalized periodic rings.
Lemma 1. In a generalized periodic ring $R$, we have
(i) $\mathbf{C}(\mathrm{R}) \subseteq \mathrm{J}$;
(ii) $\mathrm{J} \subseteq \mathrm{N} \cup \mathrm{C}$;
(iii) $\mathrm{N} \subseteq \mathrm{J}$.

PROOF ( $\mathbf{i}$. By a well known theorem of Herstein [1], if $R$ is a division ring which satisfies (1.1), then $R$ is commutative. Next, suppose that $R$ is a primitive ring which satisfies (1.1). Since (1.1) is inherited by all subrings of $R$ and by all homomorphic images of $R$, it follows, by Jacobson's Density Theorem, that if $R$ is not a division ring, then some complete matrix ring $D_{m}$, with $m>1$, over a division ring $D$ satisfies (1.1). This, however, is false, as can be seen by taking $x=E_{12}+E_{21}$ in $D_{m}$. Hence, a primitive ring which satisfies (1.1) is necessarily a division ring, and hence is commutative by Herstein's Theorem. Therefore, any semisimple ring which satisfies (1.1) is commutative, which proves (i).
(ii). Suppose $x \in J, x \notin N, x \notin C$. Then, by (1.1), $x^{n}-x^{m} \in N, n \neq m$, and thus for some $q \in \mathbf{Z}^{+}, g(\lambda) \in Z[\lambda]$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{q}=x^{q+1} g(x) ; \quad(g(\lambda) \in Z[\lambda]) \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is readily verified that $e=[x g(x)]^{q}$ is an idempotent element in $J$ (since $x \in J$ ), and hence $e=[x g(x)]^{q}=0$. But, by (2.1), $x^{q}=x^{q} . e=0$, and hence $x \in N$, contradiction. This contradiction proves (ii).
(iii). First, we prove that

$$
\begin{equation*}
a x \in N \text { for all } a \in N \text { and all } x \in R \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

To show this, first note that by (i) and (ii),

$$
\begin{equation*}
C(R) \subseteq N \cup C \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Suppose (2.2) is false, and let $a \in N, x \in R$, $a x \notin N$ (for some a and $x$ ). Let $\bar{R}=R / C(R)$, and let $\bar{x}=x+C(R)$ be an arbitrary element of $\bar{R}$. Since $\bar{R}$ is commutative, (2.2) implies that $\overline{a x}$ is nilpotent,
 hypothesis, ax $£ N$, therefore

$$
(\mathrm{ax})^{r} \in C \text { for some positive integer } r \text {. }
$$

Since $a \in N$, let $a^{d}=0$. Note that, since $(a x)^{r} \in C$,

$$
(a x)^{x}(a x)^{x}=a \cdot(a x)^{x} \cdot(x a)^{x-1} x=a^{2} x t_{1}\left(\text { some } t_{1} \in R\right) .
$$

Continuing this process, we see that

$$
\left[(a x)^{r}\right]^{\mathbf{k}}=a^{\mathbf{k}} \mathrm{kt}_{\mathbf{k}-1}\left(\text { some } \mathrm{t}_{\mathbf{k}-1} \in \mathrm{R}\right) \text {, for all } \mathbf{k} \in \mathbf{Z}^{+} \text {. }
$$

In particular,

$$
\left[(a x)^{x}\right]^{\sigma}=a^{\sigma} x t_{\sigma-1}=0\left(\text { since } a^{\sigma}=0\right)
$$

and hence $a x \in N$, contradiction. This contradiction proves (2.2). To complete the proof of (iii), let $a \in N, x \in R$. Then, by (2.2), $a x \in N$ and hence ax is right quasi-regular for all $x$ in $R$, which implies $a \in J$. This completes the proof of the lemma.

We are now in a position to prove the following fundamental theorem.
THEOREM 1. The set N of nilpotents of a generalized periodic ring R is an ideal of R .

PROOF. By Lemma 1 (iii), (ii), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{N} \subseteq \mathrm{~J} \subseteq \mathrm{~N} \cup \mathrm{C} . \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $a \in N, b \in N$. Then $a \in J, b \in J, a-b \in J$, and hence [see (2.4)] $a-b \in N$ or $a-b \in C$. If $a-b \in C$, then $a b=b a$ and hence $a-b \in N$. So, in any case, $a-b \in N$ for all $a \in N, b \in N$. We have already established [see 2.2)] that $a x \in N$ for all $a \in N, x \in R$, and a similar argument yields $\mathbf{x a} \in \mathbf{N}$. Therefore, $\mathbf{N}$ is an ideal.

THEOREM 2. Let $R$ be a generalized periodic ring. Then $R / N$ is commutative, and hence $C(R) \subseteq N$.
PROOF By Theorem 1, $N$ is an ideal, and hence $R / N$ makes sense. Let $x \in R, x \notin C$. Then, by (1.1),

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{n}-x^{m} \in N, \text { for some } n>m, \text { say. } \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is readily verified that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(x^{n-m+1}-x\right)^{m} & =\left(x^{n-m+1}-x\right) x^{m-1} g(x), \text { some } g(\lambda) \in Z[\lambda] \\
& =\left(x^{n}-x^{m}\right) g(x)
\end{aligned}
$$

and hence

$$
x^{n-m+1}-x \in N\left(\text { since } x^{n}-x^{m} \in N\right)
$$

Therefore, for all $x \in R$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
x-x^{n-m+1} \in N \text { or } x \in C, n>m,(x \in R) \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, $R$ / $N$ has the property that for each $x \in R / N$, there exists $k>1$ for which $x-x^{k}$ is central. By a well known theorem of Herstein [1], it follows that $R / N$ is commutative, and thus $C(R) \subseteq N$.

Since $N$ is an ideal of $R$ (Theorem 1), therefore $N \subseteq J$. Combining this with $C(R) \subseteq N$ and Lemma 1 (ii) we obtain

LEMMA 2. Let R be a generalized periodic ring. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{C}(\mathbf{R}) \subseteq \mathrm{N} \subseteq \mathrm{~J} \subseteq \mathrm{~N} \cup \mathbf{C} \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next, we consider a ring which is both weakly periodic and generalized periodic.
THEOREM 3. If a ring $\mathbf{R}$ is both generalized periodic and weakly periodic, then $\mathbf{R}$ is periodic.
PROOF. Let $x \in R$. Since $R$ is weakly periodic, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
x=a+b \text { for some } a \in N, \quad b \text { potent }\left(b^{q}=b, q>1\right) . \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, $x-a=(x-a)^{q}$; and since $N$ is an ideal, we have $x-x^{q} \in N$. By a well known theorem of Chacron [2], it follows that $R$ is periodic.

## 3. COMMUTATIVITY OF GENERALIZED PERIODIC RINGS.

We now turn our attention to some conditions which, when imposed on a generalized periodic ring, render it commutative. We begin with the following result, which is suggested by an old theorem on periodic rings.

THEOREM 4. Let $R$ be a generalized periodic ring, and suppose $N \subseteq C$. Then $R$ is commutative.

PROOF. By (2.6), for each $x \in R$, either $x \in C$ or $x-x^{k} \in N$ for some $k>1$. Since $N \subseteq C$, therefore, for every $\mathbf{x} \in \mathrm{R}, \mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{k}} \in \mathrm{C}$ for some $k>1$. Therefore, by Herstein's Theorem [1], R is commutative.

COLLARY 1. Let $R$ be a generalized periodic ring, and suppose $J \subseteq C$. Then $R$ is commutative.

PROOF. By Lemma 2, $\mathrm{N} \subseteq \mathrm{J}$, and hence $\mathrm{N} \subseteq \mathrm{C}$. Thus, R is commutative, by Theorem 4.
COLLARY 2. Let $R$ be a generalized periodic ring with Jacobson radical $J$. Then $J=N$ or $R$ is commutative.

PROOF. By Lemma 1 (ii), it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{J}=(\mathrm{J} \cap \mathrm{~N}) \cup(\mathrm{J} \cap \mathrm{C}) \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Viewing (3.1) as a relation holding on additive subgroups, we conclude that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{J}=\mathrm{J} \cap \mathrm{~N} \text { or } \mathrm{J}=\mathrm{J} \cap \mathrm{C} . \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

This implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{J} \subseteq \mathrm{~N} \text { or } \mathrm{J} \subseteq \mathrm{C} . \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $\mathrm{J} \subseteq \mathrm{N}$, then $\mathrm{J}=\mathrm{N}$ [see (2.7)]. On the other hand, if $\mathrm{J} \subseteq \mathrm{C}$, then R is commutative, by Collary 1 .
COROLLARY 3. Let $R$ be a generalized periodic ring which is not commutative. Then $J$ coincides with N .

Before stating the next theorem, let us first consider the following two examples, which show that neither centrality of idempotents nor commutativity of nilpotent elements implies commutativity of a generalized periodic ring. Note that, in each case, central elements are zero divisors.

EXAMPLE 1. Let

$$
\mathrm{R}=\left\{\left(\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 0 \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right),\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 0 \\
1 & 0
\end{array}\right),\left(\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 1 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right), \left.\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 1 \\
1 & 1
\end{array}\right) \right\rvert\, 0,1 \in \mathrm{GF}(2)\right\}
$$

It is readily verified that R is a generalized periodic ring with commuting nilpotents but its idempotents are not in the center.

EXAMPLE 2. Let

$$
R=\left\{\left.\left(\begin{array}{lll}
0 & a & b \\
0 & 0 & c \\
0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right) \right\rvert\, a, b, c, \in G F(3)\right\}
$$

It can be seen that $\mathbf{R}$ is, again a generalized periodic ring with central idempotents but its nilpotents do not commute with each other.

Experience shows that a condition which does not imply commutativity for general rings may do so for rings with 1 . Indeed, we can show that generalized periodic rings with 1 are commutative; in fact, in the following theorem, we can do better than that.

THEOREM 5. Suppose that $R$ is a generalized periodic ring containing a central element which is not a zero divisor. Then R is commutative.

PROOF. In view of Theorem 4, we need only show that $N \subseteq C$. Suppose not, and choose $a_{0} \in N \backslash C$. Let $\sigma_{0}>1$ be the minimal positive integer for which $a_{0}^{\sigma} \in C$ for all $\sigma \geq \sigma_{0}$; and let $a=a_{0}^{\sigma_{0}-1}$. Note that $a \notin C$, and $a^{\lambda} \in C$ for all $\lambda \geq 2$. Now if $c \in C$ is not a zero divisor, then $c+a \notin N \cup C$, so there exist $n, m$ of opposite parity with $n>m$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
(c+a)^{n}-(c+a)^{m} \in N \cap C,(n>m) \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

We shall assume that n is even and m is odd, the other case being only marginally different.
From (3.4) we have $n c^{n-1} a-m c^{m-1} a \in C$, from which it follows that (since $c$ is not a zero divisor)

$$
\begin{equation*}
n c^{\mathrm{n}-\mathrm{m}} \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{ma} \in C \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Another consequence of (3.4) is that $c^{n}-c^{m} \in N$ and hence $c^{j}-c \in N$, where $j$ is the even integer $n-m+1$. Replacing $c$ by $-c$ in our argument, we also get an even integer $k$ such that $(-c)^{k}-(-c) \in N$. Since $N$ is an ideal, we have $c^{1+s(j-1)}-c \in N$ and $(-c)^{1+i(k-1)}-(-c) \in N$ for all positive integers $s$ and $t$; and taking $q=1+(j-1)(k-1)$, we see that $q$ is even, $c^{q}-c \in N$ and $(-c)^{q}-(-c) \in N$. It follows at once that $2 c \in N$ and hence $2^{r} c^{r}=0$ for some positive integer r. Since $c$ is not a zero divisor, this yields $2^{r} R=\{0\}$; and, in particular,

$$
\begin{equation*}
2^{r} a \in C \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

By hypothesis, $n$ is even, say $n=2 n_{0}$, and hence (3.5) yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{ma}=2 \mathrm{n}_{0} \mathrm{c}^{\mathrm{n}-\mathrm{m}} \mathrm{a}+\mathrm{z}_{1}, \quad \mathrm{z}_{1} \in \mathrm{C} \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, using (3.7) we see that

$$
\begin{aligned}
m^{2} a & =2 n_{0} c^{n-m} m a+m z_{1} \\
& =2 n_{0} c^{n-m}\left(2 n_{0} c^{n-m} a+z_{1}\right)+m z_{1} \\
& =2^{2}\left(n_{0} c^{n-m}\right)^{2} a+z_{2}, \quad z_{2} \in C
\end{aligned}
$$

and proceeding inductively, we get (see (3.6))

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{m}^{\mathrm{r}} \mathrm{a} \in \mathrm{C} \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $m$ was odd, (3.6) and (3.8) are incompatible with the assumption that $a \notin C$. Therefore $N \subseteq C$, as required. This proves the theorem.

COLLARY 4. Let $R$ be a ring with 1 . If $R$ is generalized periodic, then $R$ is commutative.
COLLARY 5. Let $R$ be a prime ring with nonzero center. If $R$ is generalized periodic, then $R$ is commutative.

Our final theorem confronts the impediments of Examples 1 and 2 in a more direct way.
THEOREM 6. Suppos $R$ is a generalized periodic ring, $N$ the set of nilpotents, and $E$ the set of idempotents of $R$. Suppose that
(i) $\mathrm{E} \subseteq \mathrm{C}$ (center of R ); and
(ii) Every commutator $[a, b]=a b-b a$ with $a \in N$ and $b \in N$ is potent

$$
\text { (i.e., }[a, b]^{q}=[a, b] \text { for some } q>1 \text { ). }
$$

Then $R$ is commutative.
PROOF. By (2.7), $C(R) \subseteq N$, and hence $[a, b] \in N$. By hyothesis, $[a, b]=[a, b]^{q}=[a, b]^{1+\lambda(q-1)}$ for all positive integers $\lambda$, and hence $[a, b]=0($ since $[a, b] \in N)$. Thus,

$$
\begin{equation*}
[a, b]=0 \text { for all } a, b \in N \text { i.e., } N \text { is commutative. } \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Recall also that, in (2.6), we proved that, for ever $x$ in $R$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
x-x^{k} \in N \text { for some } k>1 \text {, or } x \in C, \quad(x \in R) \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining (3.9), (3.10), we see that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { For all } x, y \text { in } R,\left[x-x^{k}, y-y^{r}\right]=0 \text { for some } k>1, . r>1 . \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

As is well known,

$$
\begin{equation*}
R \cong \text { a subdirect sum of subdirectly irreducible rings } R_{1}(i \in \Gamma) \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

We now take a closer look at the structure of each of these subdirect summands $R_{i}$, with an eye towards proving their commutativity.

CASE 1: $\mathbf{R}_{\mathrm{i}}$ does not have an identity.
Let $\sigma: R \rightarrow R_{i}$ be the natural homomorphism of $R$ onto $R_{i}$, and let $\sigma: x \rightarrow x_{i}$. Let $N_{i}$ and $C_{i}$ denote the set of nilpotents and the center of $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{i}}$, respectively. We claim that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{i}} \subseteq \mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{i}} \cup \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{i}} \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Suppose not. Let $x_{i} \in R_{i}, x_{i} \notin N_{i}, x_{i} \notin C_{i}$, and let $\sigma: x \rightarrow x_{i},(x \in R)$. Then, clearly, $x \notin N$ and $x \notin C$, and hence by (1.1),

$$
x^{n}-x^{m} \in N \text { for some positive integers } n \text { and } m, n \neq m
$$

This implies (see the proof of Lemma 1 (ii)) that

$$
x^{q}=x^{q} e \text { for some positive integer } q \text { and some idempotent } e \text { in } R .
$$

By hypothesis (i), e is a central idempotent, and hence

$$
x^{q}=x^{q} e, e^{2}=e \in C
$$

This implies, in $\mathrm{R}_{1}$, that

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{1}^{q}=x_{1}^{q} e_{1}, \quad e_{1}^{2}=e_{1} \in C_{1} . \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $e_{1}$ is a central idempotent in the subdirectly irreducible ring $R_{1}$, therefore $e_{1}=0$ (recall that $R_{1}$ does not have an identity), and hence by (3.14), $x_{1}^{q}=0$, a contradiction, since $x_{1}$ is not nilpotent. This contradiction proves (3.13).

Returning to (3.11), we see that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[x_{1}-x_{1}^{k}, y_{1}-y_{1}^{r}\right]=0 ; k>1, r>1 ; x_{1}, y_{1} \in R_{1} \quad \text { (arbitrary). } \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, by a trivial minimality argument, it is readily verified tht (3.15) implies:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[a_{i}, b_{i}\right]=0 \text { for all nilpotents } a_{i}, b_{1} \text { in } R_{1} \text {; (i.e., } N_{1} \text { is commutative). } \tag{3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining (31.13) and (3.16), we see tha $R_{i}$ is commutative.
CASE 2: $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{i}}$ has an identity.
Since the homomorphic image of a generalized periodic ring is also generalized periodic, it follows that $R_{i}$ is commutative, by Corollary 4.

- Since each $R_{i}$ in the subdirect sum representation (3.12) is commutative, therefore the ground ring R itself is also commutative, and the theorem is proved.

COLLARY 6. Any generalized periodic ring with central idempotents and commuting nilpotents is commutative.
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