A NEW LOOK AT MEANS ON TOPOLOGICAL SPACES

PETER HILTON

Department of Mathematics
University of Central Florida
Orlando, FL 32816-1364 USA

and

Department of Mathematical Sciences
SUNY, Binghamton
Binghamton, NY 13902-6000 USA

(Received June 5, 1997)

ABSTRACT. We use methods of algebraic topology to study when a connected topological space admits an n -mean map.

KEY WORDS AND PHRASES: Means, topological spaces, comeans

1991 AMS SUBJECT CLASSIFICATION CODES: 55PXX

1. INTRODUCTION

Carathéodory and Aumann (see [1],[2]) were among the pioneers who first considered the question of what path-connected regions X in $\mathbb{R}^m$ or $\mathbb{C}^m$ could support an n -mean, that is, a map $\mu : X^n \rightarrow X$ satisfying

(i) $\mu \Delta = 1; X \rightarrow X$, where Δ is the diagonal map $\Delta : X \rightarrow X^n$; and

(ii) $\mu \sigma = \mu : X^n \rightarrow X$, where $\sigma \in S_n$, the symmetric group on n letters, acting on X^n by permuting components. One of their main concerns was to find out if the existence of such an n -mean, $n \geq 2$, implied that X was simply connected.

In 1954, Beno Eckmann [4] attacked the question with the tools of algebraic topology. He supposed X to be a polyhedron and only required conditions (i), (ii) above up to homotopy. One of his principal conclusions was that if X is compact and admits a (homotopy) n -mean for all n , then X is contractible.

In 1962, Eckmann, together with Tudor Ganea and the author, returned to the study of n -means in a more general setting (see [5]). Thus the n -mean defined in [4] was a morphism in the category T_h of based connected CW-complexes and based homotopy classes of based maps. In this generality one was able to exploit the idea of mean-preserving functors. Thus if $\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D}$ are categories with products and $F : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ is a product-preserving functor, then $F\mu$ is an n -mean in $\mathcal{D}$ for any n -mean μ in $\mathcal{C}$. Moreover, one could also examine the dual question of the existence of n -comeans.

It turns out that the concept of P -local objects and P -localization, where P is a family of primes, and the results related to these concepts in the categories T_h and $\mathcal{N}$, the category of nilpotent groups (see [6]), enable one to simplify many arguments in [5] and to extend the results of that paper.

2. MEANS IN THE CATEGORY OF GROUPS

Let $\mathcal{G}$ be the category of groups. Let n be an integer, $n \geq 2$, and let P be the family of primes p such that $p \nmid n$. We then prove

THEOREM 2.1. The group G admits an n -mean μ in $\mathcal{G} \Leftrightarrow G$ is commutative and P -local. In that case, if we write G additively, μ is given by

$$\mu(g_1, g_2, \dots, g_n) = \frac{1}{n}(g_1 + g_2 + \dots + g_n). \quad (2.1)$$

PROOF. Note first that if G is commutative, then G is P -local if and only if G admits unique division by n . It is then plain that (2.1) defines an n -mean on G .

Conversely, let μ be an n -mean on G . For $g, h \in G$ (at this stage, we write G multiplicatively), set $\mu(g, e, \dots, e) = \gamma$, $\mu(h, e, \dots, e) = \delta$. Then, by condition (ii),

$$\mu(e, g, \dots, e) = \dots = \mu(e, e, \dots, g) = \gamma,$$

so that, by condition (i),

$$g = \mu(g, g, \dots, g) = \gamma^n.$$

Similarly, $h = \delta^n$. But $\mu(g, h, \dots, e) = \gamma\delta$, $\mu(h, g, \dots, e) = \delta\gamma$, and $\mu(g, h, \dots, e) = \mu(h, g, \dots, e)$. Thus γ commutes with δ , so that g commutes with h and G is commutative. To show that G is P -local it remains to show that n^{th} roots are unique in G . But, again using properties (i) and (ii), we conclude that $\mu(g^n, e, \dots, e) = \mu(g, g, \dots, g) = g$, so that g is determined by g^n . Thus G is commutative and P -local and, writing additively, we have

$$\mu(g_1, g_2, \dots, g_n) = \sum_{i=1}^n (g_i, 0, \dots, 0) = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{n} g_i = \frac{1}{n}(g_1 + g_2 + \dots + g_n).$$

□

COROLLARY 2.2. Let G be a group and let $n_1 \geq 2$, $n_2 \geq 2$ be integers. Then G admits an $n_1 n_2$ -mean if and only if G admits an n_1 -mean and an n_2 -mean.

3. MEANS IN THE CATEGORY $\mathcal{T}_h$

Let X be a connected CW-complex with base point. We prove, with n, P as in Section 2,

THEOREM 3.1. Suppose X admits an n -mean $\mu : X^n \rightarrow X$ in $\mathcal{T}_h$. Then X is a P -local commutative H -space.

PROOF. We regard the i^{th} homotopy group π_i as defining a product-preserving functor from $\mathcal{T}_h$ to $\mathcal{G}$. Then $\mu_* = \pi_i \mu : (\pi_i X)^n \rightarrow \pi_i X$ is an n -mean in $\mathcal{G}$. It follows that $\pi_i X$ is commutative (this is only significant for $i = 1$) and P -local and that μ_* has the form (2.1).

Let $i_1 : X \rightarrow X^n$ be the obvious embedding. Then $(\mu i_1)_*$ is the endomorphism $g \mapsto \frac{1}{n}g$ of the commutative P -local group $\pi_i X$. It follows that $(\mu i_1)_*$ is an automorphism for all i , so that μi_1 is a self-homotopy-equivalence of X . Let $\rho : X \rightarrow X$ be homotopy inverse to μi_1 . Let $i_{12}' : X^2 \rightarrow X^n$ be the obvious embedding and let $m = \rho \mu i_{12}' : X^2 \rightarrow X$. Then it is easy to see that m is a commutative H -structure on X . We conclude that X is a P -local commutative H -space. □

From Theorem 2.1 we deduce, more easily than in [5],

THEOREM 3.2. If a compact, connected polyhedron X admits an n -mean for some $n \geq 2$, then X is contractible.

PROOF. Since the homotopy groups of X are P -local, so are the homology groups $H_i X$, $i \geq 1$ (see [6]). Now Browder has shown [3] that a compact, connected polyhedron X which is an H -space satisfies Poincaré duality. Thus, if X is not contractible, there exists a positive dimension N which contains the universal class giving rise to the duality isomorphism $H_1(X) \simeq H^{N-1}(X)$. In particular, $H_N X = \mathbb{Z}$, but this is absurd, since $\mathbb{Z}$ is not divisible by n . □

REMARK 1. We have not invoked commutativity of the H -structure in this argument. If we do so, we may apply a theorem of Hubbuck showing that X would be equivalent to a product of circles, which is also impossible for a non-contractible P -local space.

REMARK 2. Theorem 3.2 is delicate. The n -solenoid is compact and admits an n -mean but is not a polyhedron. The Eilenberg-MacLane space $K(\mathbb{Q}, m)$ is a polyhedron and admits an n -mean for every n , but is not compact.

We have not proved—and doubt the truth of—the converse of Theorem 3.1. However, one may readily prove

THEOREM 3.3. If X is a P -local, connected, commutative, associative H -space, then X admits a unique homomorphic n -mean. Further, if the connected H -space (X, m) admits a homomorphic n -mean, then (X, m) is commutative and associative.

The case $n = 2$ admits a very neat and precise statement. If $\mu : X^2 \rightarrow X$ is a 2-mean on X , we define ρ as in the proof of Theorem 3.1 as homotopy inverse to μi_1 , and $m = \rho\mu$ is a commutative H -structure on the P -local space X , where P is the family of odd primes. Conversely, if $m : X^2 \rightarrow X$ is a commutative H -structure on the P -local space X , we define τ to be homotopy inverse to $m\Delta : X \rightarrow X$ (notice that $m\Delta$ induces doubling on the homotopy groups of X and is therefore a self-homotopy-equivalence). Then $\mu = \tau m$ is a 2-mean on X .

THEOREM 3.4. The function $\mu \mapsto \rho\mu$ sets up a one-one correspondence between 2-means on the P -local connected CW-complex X and commutative H -structures on X .

PROOF. If $m = \rho\mu$, then $\mu\Delta = \rho\mu\Delta = \rho$, so τ , defined above, is homotopy inverse to ρ and $\tau m = \mu$. If $\tau\mu = \mu$, then $\tau = ui_1$ so, again, ρ is homotopy inverse to τ and $\rho\mu = m$. Thus the function $m \mapsto \tau m$ is inverse to the function $\mu \mapsto \rho\mu$.

4. THE DUAL STORY

Whereas the product in a familiar category (like $\mathcal{T}_h, \mathcal{G}$) takes a familiar form essentially independent of the category, the form of the coproduct depends very much on the category in question. The three categories which will come into question here are $\mathcal{T}_h, \mathcal{G}$, and $\mathcal{Ab}$, the category of abelian groups.

Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a category admitting finite coproducts, we will write $C \vee D$ for the coproduct of C and D in $\mathcal{C}$ and C_n for the coproduct of n copies of C in $\mathcal{C}$. Obviously, the symmetric group S_n acts on C_n , we will write $\nabla : C_n \rightarrow C$ for the codiagonal, which is the morphism that coincides with the identity on each copy of C in C_n . Then an n -comean on C is a morphism $\mu : C \rightarrow C_n$ such that (i) $\nabla\mu = 1 : C \rightarrow C$, and (ii) $\sigma\mu = \mu$, for all $\sigma \in S_n$. We prove

THEOREM 4.1. In $\mathcal{G}$ only the trivial group admits an n -comean, $n \geq 2$.

PROOF. Let G be a non-trivial group and let $g \in G, g \neq e$. If $\mu : G \rightarrow G_n$ is an n -comean, $n \geq 2$, then it follows from (i) that $\mu g \neq e$. Now G_n is the free product of n copies of G , so a non-trivial element of G_n is uniquely expressible as $h_{i_1} h_{i_2} \cdots h_{i_k}$, where $G_{(i)}$ is the i^{th} copy of G in G_n , $h_i \in G_{(i)}$, $h_i \neq e$, and $i_q \neq i_{q+1}$, $q = 1, 2, \dots, k-1$. Such an element is obviously moved under any permutation σ which moves i_1 , so that condition (ii) is violated. $\square$

THEOREM 4.2. In $\mathcal{Ab}$, the abelian group A admits an n -comean, $n \geq 2$, if and only if it admits an n -mean. In that case $\mu : A \rightarrow A_n$ is given by

$$\mu(a) = \left(\frac{a}{n}, \frac{a}{n}, \dots, \frac{a}{n} \right). \quad (4.1)$$

PROOF. We note first that, in $\mathcal{Ab}$, $C \vee D = C \oplus D$, so that $A_n = A^n$. If A admits an n -mean, then, by Theorem 2.1, it is clear that (4.1) is an n -comean. Suppose conversely that $\mu : A \rightarrow A_n$ is an n -comean. It is then plain from (ii) that $\mu(a) = (\alpha, \alpha, \dots, \alpha)$ for some $\alpha \in A$ such that, by (i), $n\alpha = a$. It remains to show that division by n is unique in A . But

$$\mu(na) = (n\alpha, n\alpha, \dots, n\alpha) = (a, a, \dots, a),$$

so that a is determined by na . $\square$

REMARK. Note that the situations for means and comeans are very different. Means in $\mathcal{G}$ coincide with means in $\mathcal{A}b$, on the other hand, there are no non-trivial comeans in $\mathcal{G}$ but there are non-trivial comeans in $\mathcal{A}b$, and, moreover, the objects in $\mathcal{A}b$ admitting n -comeans coincide with those admitting n -means.

We now study n -comeans in $\mathcal{T}_h$. Using the same notation as in Theorem 3.1, we prove

THEOREM 4.3. Suppose X is a connected CW-complex admitting an n -comean $\mu : X \rightarrow X_n$ in $\mathcal{T}_h$, $n \geq 2$. Then X is a simply connected P -local commutative H' -space.

PROOF. Now X_n is just a bouquet of n copies of X . Since $\pi_1 : \mathcal{T}_h \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ is coproduct-preserving, $\pi_1 \mu$ is an n -comean on the fundamental group $\pi_1 X$, so that, by Theorem 4.1, X is simply connected. Now the homology groups H_i , $i \geq 1$, are coproduct-preserving functors $\mathcal{T}_h \rightarrow \mathcal{A}b$, so that, by Theorems 2.1 and 4.2, the homology groups $H_i X$ are the P -local. Since X is simply connected, this implies that X is P -local. Finally we adopt a line of reasoning entirely analogous to that in the proof of Theorem 3.1 to conclude that X admits a commutative H' -structure $m : X \rightarrow X_2$. (Notice that, since X is simply connected, a map $f : X \rightarrow X$ inducing homology isomorphisms is a homotopy equivalence.) $\square$

Notice that there are straightforward and valid duals of Theorems 3.3 and 3.4. On the other hand, Theorem 3.2 does *not* dualize. For example, the Moore space $M(\mathbb{Z}/2, m)$, $m \geq 2$, characterized as the unique simply connected homotopy type with $H_2 = \mathbb{Z}/2$, $H_i = 0$, $i \geq 3$, is a compact $(m+1)$ -dimensional polyhedron which admits an n -comean for every odd n .

REFERENCES

- [1] AUMANN, G., *Math. Annalen* **111** (1935), 713-730.
- [2] AUMANN, G., *Math. Annalen* **119** (1943), 210-215.
- [3] BROWDER, W., On torsion in H -spaces, *Ann. Math. (2)* **74** (1961), 24-51.
- [4] ECKMANN, B., Räume mit Mittelbildung, *Comm. Math. Helv.* **28** (1954), 329-340.
- [5] ECKMANN, B., GANEA, T. and HILTON, T., Generalized means, *Studies in Mathematical Analysis and Related Topics*, Stanford University Press (1962), 82-92.
- [6] HILTON, P., MISLIN, G. and ROITBERG, J., *Localization of nilpotent groups and spaces*, Mathematical Studies 15, North Holland (1975).