ABSTRACT. In the paper we study the continuity properties of the solution set of upper semicontinuous differential inclusions in both regularly and singularly perturbed case. Using a kind of dissipative type of conditions introduced in [1] we obtain lower semicontinuous dependence of the solution sets. Moreover new existence result for lower semicontinuous differential inclusions is proved.
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1. INTRODUCTION

In the paper we consider the following regularly perturbed multivalued differential equation:

\[ \dot{z}(t) \in F(z(t), \alpha), \quad z(0) = x_0; \quad t \in [0, 1] \]  

(1.1)

Where \( z \in H \) (Hilbert space), \( \alpha \in D \) (metric space), \( F \) is a multi from \( H \times D \) into \( H \) and has closed convex bounded images. Moreover \( F(\cdot, \alpha) \) is upper semicontinuous, \( F(z, \cdot) \) is continuous in the sense of graph. Let \( H = H_1 \times H_2 \), \( H_i \) is Hilbert \( i = 1, 2 \). The following Cauchy problem:

\[ \begin{pmatrix} \dot{x}(t) \\ \dot{y}(t) \end{pmatrix} \in F(x, y), \quad x(0) = x_0, \quad y(0) = y_0 \]  

(1.2)

called singularly perturbed is also considered. For \( \epsilon = 0 \) one has

\[ \begin{pmatrix} \dot{x}(t) \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \in F(x, y), \quad x(0) = x_0 \]  

(1.3)

The last system is called reduced inclusion. The pair of AC \( x(\cdot) \) and \( L_2 - y(\cdot) \) is a solution of (1.3), when (1.3) holds for a.e. \( t \). Suppose \( F \) is one side Lipschitz on \( x \) we prove that the solution set \( Z(\alpha) \) of (1.1) depends continuously on \( \alpha \) in \( C(I, H) \). In the literature the continuous properties of \( Z(\cdot) \) are studied when \( F(\cdot, \alpha) \) is Lipschitz (in that case \( F(\cdot) \) is continuous). So our results are new also in case of finite dimensional spaces. For \( F(x, \cdot) \) with convex graph the upper semicontinuous properties of the solution set of (1.2) are studied in [2]. The lower semicontinuous properties of the last set are studied in [3] under different type of hypotheses then theses of [2]. The existence
of Lipschitz solution of (1.3) is proved in [4]. Using refined version of the lemma of Plis, Veliov
shows in [3] that the solution set of (1.2) is LSC at $\epsilon = 0^+$ with respect to $C(I, R^n) \times L_2(I, R^m)$
topology. In both papers $F$ is assumed to be Lipschitz. In our paper the Lipschitz continuity
requirement of $F$ is dispenced with. The LSC of the solution set for more general systems than
(1.1) is investigated in [1] for one side Lipschitz $F$. However $F$ is assumed to be continuous. When
$F$ is only USC it is difficult to show the existence of solutions when $F$ does not satisfy additional
compactness hypotheses. Such a problem is considered in [5] when $H^*$ is uniformly convex Banach
space. Here we use the techniques developed there (we generalise theorem 1 of [5]). In section
2 we extend the well known lemma of Plis [6]. In paragraph 3 as a trivial consequence of the
refined version of the last lemma we show the continuous dependence of $Z(\cdot)$ on $\alpha$ for (1.1). We
also obtain existence result for lower semicontinuous differential inclusions which do not satisfy
any compactness conditions. In the last section using similar ideas as in [3] we prove the LSC
dependence on $\epsilon$ of the solution set of (1.2) at $\epsilon = 0^+$. We note that the main results in the paper
can be proved also for Banach $H$ with uniformly convex dual $H^*$.

2. PRELIMINARIES.

In the paper $I := [0, T]$ (commonly $T = 1$), $H$ (for system (1.2) $H = H_1 \times H_2$) is a Hilbert
space with scalar product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$, while $\sigma(x, A)$ is the support function $\sup_{a \in A} \langle x, a \rangle$. The
graph of the multi $F : H \rightharpoonup P_I(H)$ (Nonempty closed convex bounded subsets of $H$) is the set
\[ \text{Graph} F := \{(x, y) \in H \times H : y \in F(x)\}. \]
When this set is closed in $H \times H$ we say that $F$
has a closed graph. We denote by $d(x, A) = \inf\{|x - y| : y \in A\}$. The Hausdorff distance is
\[ D_H(A, B) := \max\{\sup_{a \in A} d(a, B), \sup_{b \in B} d(b, A)\}. \]
The multi $F$ is called USC (LSC) at $x$, when to $\epsilon > 0$ there exists $\delta > 0$ such that
$F(x) + \epsilon U \supseteq F(y)$; ($F(x) \subset F(y) + \epsilon U$) whenever $|x - y| < \delta$. Here $U = \{x : |x| \leq 1\}$. The multi $F$ from $I \times E$ into $P_I(E)$ is said to be almost upper (lower)
semicontinuous (AUSC) if to $\epsilon > 0$ there exists $I_\epsilon$ with $\text{meas}(I \setminus I_\epsilon) > 1 - \epsilon$ such that $F$ is USC
(LSC) on $I_\epsilon \times E$. The Lipschitz function $x$ with constant $\leq N$ will be called $N$-Lipschitz. For
the system (1.2) we will use the following hypotheses:

**A1.** $F(\cdot, \cdot)$ is USC, closed convex valued bounded on bounded sets.

**A2.** (One side Lipschitz condition) There exist positive constants $L_1, L_2, L_3, \mu$.
If $(x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2) \in H_1 \times H_2$ and $f \in F(x_1, y_1)$, then there exists $g \in F(x_2, y_2)$ such that:

\[ \begin{align*}
&< x_1 - x_2, f^* - g^* > \leq L_1|x_1 - x_2|^2 + L_2|x_1 - x_2||y_1 - y_2| \\
&< y_1 - y_2, f' - g' > \leq L_3|x_1 - x_2||y_1 - y_2| - \mu|y_1 - y_2|^2.
\end{align*} \]

Here $f^*$ and $f'$ are the projections of $f$ on $H_1$ and $H_2$ respectively.

**REMARK.** Obviously if $F(x, y) = F_1(x, y) \times F_2(x, y)$ then A2 becomes:

\[ \begin{align*}
&\sigma(x_1 - x_2, F_1(x_1, y_1)) - \sigma(x_1 - x_2, F_1(x_2, y_2)) \leq \\
&L_1|x_1 - x_2|^2 + L_2|x_1 - x_2||y_1 - y_2| \\
&\sigma(y_1 - y_2, F_2(x_1, y_1)) - \sigma(y_1 - y_2, F_2(x_2, y_2)) \leq \\
&L_3|x_1 - x_2||y_1 - y_2| - \mu|y_1 - y_2|^2.
\end{align*} \]

A2 is a one-side Lipschitz condition combined with a stability-type condition. If the $y$ part of
(1.1) has the form

\[ f(y(t)) + V(x(t)) \]

then A2 is equivalent of $f$ is dissipative, i.e.

\[ < y_1 - y_2, f(y_1) - f(y_2) > \leq -\mu|y_1 - y_2|^2 \]
and \( V(.) \) is Lipschitz. If \( f(x) = Ax \) (\( f \) is linear) and \( H \) is finite dimensional then \( A2 \) is fulfilled, when the eigenvalues of the matrix \( A \) have negative real parts. Various prototypes of \( A2 \) are common in the singular perturbation literature.

**Proposition 2.1.** Let \( A1, A2 \) hold. Then there exist constants \( k_1, k_2; M \) such that
\[
|x_1(t)| \leq k_1; |y_1(t)| \leq k_2 \quad \text{and} \quad |F(x, y)| \leq M, t \in I \quad \text{for every} \quad \epsilon > 0 \quad \text{and every AC} \quad (x, y) \quad \text{with} \quad d((x, y, \xi, \eta, Graph F) \leq 1
\]

**Proof.** Using standard arguments \([7], [3]\) one can show that there exist \( r, s \) such that
\[
\begin{align*}
    r & = C_1 r + C_2 s + C_3, \quad r(0) = |x_1(0)|^2 \\
    s & = D_1 r - \frac{s}{s} + D_2, \quad s(0) = |y_1(0)|^2
\end{align*}
\]
where \( C_1, C_2, C_3, D_1, D_2 \) are positive constants. Since \( s \leq \mu_1(D_1r + D_2) \) or \( s < 0 \) one has that
\[ r \leq \exp(C_1 + C_2D_1/\mu)(C_3 + r(0)) \quad \text{and} \quad s \leq \mu_1(D_1r + D_2) + s(0). \]
QED.

**Remark.** In view of proposition 2.1 we suppose \( |F(x, y)| \leq M \), since we consider only AC functions \((x, y)\), satisfying the conditions of proposition 2.1.

The following lemma extend the well known lemma of Plis \([6]\). Using similar arguments as in \([5]\) we relax the continuity and Lipschitz assumptions of \([6]\) and refine the estimation as well.

**Lemma 2.1.** Let \( d((x, y, \xi, \eta), Graph F) \leq \epsilon \) and let \( y_\epsilon \) be \( N \)-Lipschitz. Then for every \( \lambda > 0 \) there exists a solution \((x, y)\) of (1.2) such that \( |x(t) - x_\epsilon(t)|^2 \leq r_1(t) + \lambda; \quad |y(t) - y_\epsilon(t)|^2 \leq r_2(t) + \lambda \), where \( r_1 \) and \( r_2 \) are the solutions of the system:
\[
\begin{align*}
    r_1 & = 4L_1 r_1 + L_2 r_2/L_1 + C_1 \delta, \quad r_1(0) = |x_\epsilon(0) - x(0)| \\
    r_2 & = \epsilon^{-1} - \frac{\mu}{\mu} + C_1 \delta, \quad r_2(0) = |y_\epsilon(0) - y(0)|
\end{align*}
\]
where \( C_1 \) and \( C_2 \) are constants (depend on \( M \) and \( N \), but not on \( \delta \)).

**Proof.** Fix \( \nu > 0 \). We claim that there exist \( M \)-Lipschitz \( u(.) \) and \( M/\epsilon \)-Lipschitz \( v(.) \) such that
\[
d((u, v, u', v'), Graph F) \leq \nu \quad \text{and moreover the following inequalities hold:}
\]
\[
\begin{align*}
    |u(t) - x_1(t)|^2 & \leq m(t); \quad |v(t) - y_1(t)|^2 \leq n(t), \quad \text{where} \\
    m(t) & = 4L_1 m + L_2 n/L_1 + C_1 (\delta + \nu), \quad m(0) = |x_\epsilon(0) - u(0)|^2 \\
    n(t) & = \epsilon^{-1} (2L_2 m + \mu n + C_2 (\delta + \nu)), \quad n(0) = |y_\epsilon(0) - v(0)|^2.
\end{align*}
\]
Obviously the claim holds for \( t = 0 \). Suppose that it also holds on \([0, r] \) with \( r \geq 0 \). If \( r < 1 \), then we let by \( A2 \) \((f(t), g(t)) \in F(u(\tau), v(\tau)) \) to be strongly measurable such that for \( |x - x_\epsilon| \leq \delta, |y - y_\epsilon| \leq \delta \) the following inequalities are valid:
\[
\begin{align*}
    & < x - u(\tau), x_\epsilon(\tau) - f(\tau) > \geq L_1|u(\tau) - x_\epsilon(\tau)|^2 + L_2|u(\tau) - x_\epsilon(\tau)||v(t) - y_\epsilon(t)| + C_1 \delta|x - u(\tau)|. \\
    & < y - v(\tau), y_\epsilon(\tau) - g(\tau) > \geq L_3|u(\tau) - x_\epsilon(\tau)||v(\tau) - y_\epsilon(\tau)| - \mu|v(\tau) - y_\epsilon(\tau)|^2 + C_2 \delta|y - v(\tau)|.
\end{align*}
\]
The existence of such \((f(.), g(.))\) follows immediately by \( A2 \), when \( x_\epsilon(.), y_\epsilon(.) \) are simple functions, because \( F(u(\tau), v(\tau)) \) is fixed set. The general case is a trivial consequence of the fact that every strongly measurable function is an uniform limit of simple functions. Since \(|\cdot - \cdot|\) and \(<\cdot, \cdot>\) are continuous there exists \( \tau' > \tau \) such that denoting \( u(t) = u(\tau) + \int_0^t f(s)ds; v(t) = v(\tau) + \int_0^t g(s)ds, \) one obtains
\[
\begin{align*}
    & < x_\epsilon(t) - u(t), \dot{x}_\epsilon(t) - \dot{u}(t) > \geq L_1|u(t) - x_\epsilon(t)|^2 + L_2|u(t) - x_\epsilon(t)||v(t) - y_\epsilon(t)|+ C_1 \delta|x - u(t)| + 2\delta M. \\
    & < y_\epsilon(t) - v(t), \dot{y}_\epsilon(t) - \dot{v}(t) > \geq L_3|u(t) - x_\epsilon(t)||v(t) - y_\epsilon(t)| - \mu|v(t) - y_\epsilon(t)|^2 + C_2 \delta|y - v(t)| + 2\delta M. \\
\end{align*}
\]
because $u(.)$ is $M$-Lipschitz and $v(.)$ is $M/\epsilon$-Lipschitz. Therefore:

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} [u(t) - x(t)]^2 \leq L_1 |u(t) - x(t)|^2 + L_2 |u(t) - x(t)||v(t) - y(t)| + C_1 (\delta + \nu) M(1 + L_2).$$

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} [y(t) - v(t)]^2 \leq L_3 |u(t) - x(t)||v(t) - y(t)| - \mu |v(t) - y(t)|^2 + C_2 \delta |y - v(r)| + C \delta$$

for a.e. $t \in [\tau, \tau^*]$. If moreover $|\tau^* - \tau| < \nu$, then $d([u, v, \tilde{u}, \tilde{v}, \epsilon v], \text{Graph} F) \leq \nu$. Thus the claim holds also on $[0, \tau^*]$ and hence on $[0, 1]$. Consider now the sequences $\{(x_i, y_i)\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ such that denoting $y_i = v; x_i = u$ one has $|x_{i+1}(t) - x_i(t)| + |y_{i+1}(t) - y_i(t)| \leq \lambda_i \cdot y_i$ and $y_{i+1}$ are $N/\epsilon$-Lipschitz. We prove that such sequences exist:

Let $d([x_i, y_i, \tilde{x}_i, \tilde{y}_i], \text{Graph} F) \leq \nu$, for $i = 1, 2, \ldots$ and $|x_{i+1} - x_i| \leq m_i$, $|y_{i+1} - y_i| \leq n_i$, where $m_i, n_i$ satisfy (2.1) and (2.2) with $\delta, \nu$ replaced by $\nu_i, y_{i+1}$ respectively and $m_i(0) = n_i(0) = 0$. Obviously $\nu_i, y_{i+1}$ can be chosen such that $|m_i(t)| + |n_i(t)| \leq \lambda_i$. (if $\lambda_i$ is given). If $\lambda_{i+1} < \lambda_i$, then the sequences $\{x_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ and $\{y_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ are Cauchy ones in $C(I, H_1)$ and $C(I, H_2)$ respectively. Obviously their cluster points $x(.)$ and $y(.)$ are solutions satisfying the conclusion of the lemma.

QED.

In the same fashion one can prove the next variant of lemma 2.1.

**Lemma 2.2.** Let $d([x_i, y_i, \tilde{x}_i, \tilde{y}_i], \text{Graph} F) \leq \delta$ on $I \times H$ with $\text{meas} I > 1 - \delta$ and $d([x_i, y_i, \tilde{x}_i, \tilde{y}_i], \text{Graph} F) \leq M$ on $A \times H; A = I \setminus I$. For every $\lambda > 0$ there exists a solution $(x y)$ of (1.2) such that $|x(t) - x_i|^2 \leq r_1(t) + \lambda; |y(t) - y_i|^2 \leq r_2(t) + \lambda$, where $r_1$ and $r_2$ are the solutions of the system:

\[
\begin{align*}
r_1 &\leq 4L_1 r_1 + L_2 r_2 / L_1 + C_1 (\delta + \alpha(t)) \quad r_1(0) = |x_0(t) - x(0)| \\
r_2 &\leq \epsilon^{-1} \mu^{-1} \{2L_3 r_2 - \mu^2 r_2 + C_2 (\delta + \alpha(t))\} \quad r_2(0) = |y_0(t) - y(0)|
\end{align*}
\]

Here $\alpha(t) = M, t \in A$; and $\alpha(t) = 0$ otherwise.

The only different step is to prove the existence of $u(.)$ and $v(.)$ such that

$$|u(t) - x(t)|^2 \leq m(t), |v(t) - y(t)|^2 \leq n(t), d([u, v, \tilde{u}, \tilde{v}, \epsilon v], \text{Graph} F) \leq \nu$$

$$m \leq 4L_1 m + L_2 n / L_1 + C_1 (\delta + \alpha(t) + \lambda) \quad m(0) = |u(0) - x(0)|$$

$$n \leq \epsilon^{-1} \mu^{-1} \{2L_3 m - \mu^2 n + C_2 (\delta + \alpha(t) + \lambda)\} \quad n(0) = |v(0) - y(0)|.$$  

The fashion however is the same and the proof is omitted. QED.

Fix $\alpha$ and consider the system (1.1) under the assumptions:

**C1.** $F(.)$ is USC closed convex valued bounded on the bounded sets.

**C2.** $\sigma(x - y, F(x)) - \sigma(x - y, F(y)) \leq L|x - y|^2$. Here $F(x) \equiv F(x, \alpha)$.

**Corollary 2.1.** If $y(.)$ is AC function such that $d([y, \tilde{y}, \epsilon y], \text{Graph} F) \leq \epsilon$, then there exists a solution $x(.)$ of (1.1) such that $|x(t) - y(t)|^2 \leq r(t) + \lambda$, where $r(.)$ is the solution of $\dot{r}(t) = 4Lr + C\epsilon, \quad r(0) = |x(0) - y(0)|$. Here $C$ depends on $M$ (see proposition 2.1), but not on $\epsilon$.

**Remark.** When $H = \mathcal{R}^n$ one can replace $\lambda$ by zero.

3. Regularly Perturbed Case.

Using lemma 2.1 and corollary 2.1 we will prove our main results, which are similar in the regularly and singularly perturbed case.

Let $M$ be metric space and let the parameter $\alpha \in M$. Suppose that C1, C2 hold uniformly on $\alpha$. Let $A \subset H$ be compact. Denote the restriction of $F$ on $A$ by $F_A$ and the solution set of (1.1) by $Z(\alpha)$. The following theorem is valid.

**Theorem 3.1.** If $\lim_{\alpha \to \beta} \text{Graph} F_A(\alpha, \alpha) = \text{Graph} F_A(\beta, \beta)$ for every compact $A \subset H$ in the sense of the Hausdorff distance, then $Z(.)$ is LSC. I.e. to every solution $x_{\beta}(\cdot)$ of (1.1$\beta$) there exists
a net $x_\alpha(\cdot)$ of solutions of (1.1a) such that $x_\alpha(\cdot)$ converges uniformly to $x_\alpha(\cdot)$ as $\alpha \to \beta$. Moreover, if $\lim_{\alpha \to \beta} \text{Graph} F(\cdot, \alpha) = \text{Graph} F(\cdot, \beta)$, then $Z(\cdot)$ is continuous.

**PROOF.** Let $x(\cdot)$ be a solution of (1.1a). The set

$$A := \{ z \in H : \exists t \in I : z = x(t) \}$$

is compact. Therefore

$$D_H(\text{Graph} F_A(\cdot, \alpha), \text{Graph} F_A(\cdot, \beta)) \to 0 \text{ as } \alpha \to \beta.$$ 

The proof is complete thanks to corollary 2.1. QED

If $\lim_{\alpha \to \beta} D_H^+(\text{Graph} F_A(\cdot, \alpha), \text{Graph} F_A(\cdot, \beta)) = 0$, where $D^+(C,D) = \sup_{c \in C} \inf_{d \in D} |c - d|$, then $Z(\cdot)$ is LSC.

Consider now the following nonautonomous problem.

$$\dot{x}(t) \in F(t, x), \quad x(0) = x_0 \quad (3.1)$$

**H1.** For every $x \in H, F(\cdot, x)$ admits a strongly measurable selector, $F(t, \cdot)$ has a closed graph, $F$ is convex compact valued and $|F(t, x)| \leq K(1 + |x|)$.

**H2.** $\sigma(x - y, F(t, x)) - \sigma(x - y, F(t, y)) \leq L|x - y|^2$, recall that $H$ is a Hilbert space.

Consider also the discretized version of (3.1).

$$\dot{x}(t) \in F(t, x(r_i)), \quad x(0) = x_0, \quad t \in [r_i, r_{i+1}) \quad (3.2)$$

Here $r_i = ih, h = 1/k$. Denote by $R(1)$ and $R(2)$ the solution set of (3.1) and (3.2).

**THEOREM 3.2.** Under H1- H2 the differential inclusion (3.1) admits nonempty compact solution set. Moreover there exists a constant $C$ with $D_H(R(1), R(2)) \leq Ch^{1/2}$.

**PROOF.** First note that there exist $N \geq |F(t,x)|$ and $M \geq |x|$ when

$$\dot{x} \in F(t, x + U) + U, \quad x(0) = x_0$$

Let $x(\cdot)$ be a solution of (3.1). We construct $y(\cdot)$ on $[\tau_i, \tau_{i+1})$ as follows $y(t) \in F(t, y(\tau_i))$ is such that

$$< x(t) - y(\tau_i), \dot{x}(t) - \dot{y}(t) > \leq L|x(t) - y(\tau_i)|^2. \text{ Therefore}$$

$$< x(t) - y(t), \dot{x}(t) - \dot{y}(t) > \leq < x(t) - y(\tau_i), x(t) - y(t) > +$$

$$< y(\tau_i) - y(t), \dot{x}(t) - \dot{y}(t) > \leq L|x(t) - y(\tau_i)|^2 + (t - \tau_i)N|\dot{x}(t) - \dot{y}(t)|$$

$$\leq L|x(t) - y(t)|^2 + 2MN L h.$$

If $m = |x - y|^2$ then $m(t) \leq \exp(2Lt)4MN L h$, i.e. $|x(t) - y(t)| \leq \exp(Lt)(MN L)^{1/2}h^{1/2}$. That is $C = 2\exp(L)(MN L)^{1/2}$. Let now $y(\cdot)$ be a solution of (3.2). Consider another partition of $[0, 1]$ on subintervals $[\tau_i, \tau_{i+1}), \tau_j^x = jh_k$. Choose

$$\dot{x}(t) \in F(t, x(\tau_j^x))$$

with

$$< x(\tau_j^x) - y(\tau_i), \dot{x}(t) - \dot{y}(t) > \leq L|x(\tau_j^x) - y(\tau_i)|^2$$

Analogously following inequality holds

$$< x(t) - y(t), \dot{x}(t) - \dot{y}(t) > \leq L|x(t) - y(t)|^2 + 2MN L (h + h_k)$$

Using the construction in the proof of lemma 2.1 one can show that for every such $y(\cdot)$ and every so $\lambda$ there exists a solution $x(\cdot)$ of (3.1) such that $|x(t) - y(t)| \leq C h^{1/2} + \lambda$. Here $C$ is determined above. Since $F(\cdot, \cdot)$ is compact valued one has that the solution set $R(2)$ of (3.2) is $C(I, H)$ compact and hence the solution set of (3.1) is compact. Thus $\lambda$ can be replaced by 0. QED
Obviously using the same fashion and more careful estimations one can prove the variant of theorem 3.2 for Banach \( H \) with uniformly convex \( H^* \).

**THEOREM 3.3.** Under \( H_1 \) and \( \sigma(j(x-y), F(t, x)) - \sigma(j(x-y), F(t, y)) \leq L|x-y|^2 \), where \( j(x) := \{ e \in H^* : \langle e, x \rangle = |x|^2 \} \) and \( |e| = |x| \), the differential inclusion (3.1) admits nonempty compact solution set such that \( \lim_{h \to 0^+} D_H(R(1), R(2)) = 0 \).

Using this result one can obtain interesting existence result for LSC differential inclusions.

**Corollary 3.1.** Let \( G \) be closed valued almost LSC multi satisfying the inequality of theorem 3.3. Denote \( F(t, x) := \cap_{0 \leq t \leq 1} \text{clco} \{ u : u \in G(t, y) : |y - x| < \epsilon \} \). If \( F \) satisfies \( H_1 \) then the following differential inclusion admits a solution

\[
\dot{x}(t) \in G(t, x), \quad x(0) = x_0
\]

**PROOF.** Let \( N \) be as in the proof of theorem 3.2. From theorem 2 of [8] we know that there exists a \( \Gamma^{N+1} \) continuous selection \( g(t, x) \in G(t, x) \). Recall that \( f(., .) \) is called \( \Gamma^{N+1} \) continuous at \((t, x) \) when \( f(t, x) \to f(t, x) \) whenever \( |x - x| \leq (N + 1)(t - t) \) and \( t, t \). An obvious modification of the proof of theorem 6.1 of [9] shows the existence of solution of \( \dot{x} = g(t, x) \). QED.

**REMARK.** The question of the approximation of the solution set of (1.1) is studied in [10] for general nonautonomous systems. We note only that to the author’s knowledge all the existence results in the literature use compactness conditions on \( G \) or the nonemptiness of the interior of \( \text{clco} G(., .) \). (see e.g. §9, 10 of [9])

4. SINGULARLY PERTURBED CASE.

In this section we consider the differential inclusion (1.2). The next theorem shows the LSC dependence of \( Z(\epsilon) \) at \( \epsilon = 0^+ \) with respect to \( C \times L_2 \) topology.

**THEOREM 4.1.** Suppose \( A_1, A_2 \) hold. Let \((x, y) \) be solution of (1.3) and let \( y(.) \) be continuous. If \( \tau \in (0, 1) \) and if \( \delta \) is fixed then there exists \( \epsilon(\delta) \) such that to every \( \epsilon < \epsilon(\delta) \) we have \( |x(t) - x_\tau(t)| \leq \delta \) and \( |y(t) - y_\tau(t)| \leq \delta \) for some solution \((x_\epsilon, y_\epsilon) \) of (1.2).

**Proof.** Fix \( \lambda > 0 \) and \( \epsilon > 0 \). Let \( z(.) \) be \( N \)-Lipschitz function such that \( |z(t) - y(t)| \leq \lambda \). Therefore \( d[(x, z, \dot{z}, \epsilon z), \text{Graph} F] \leq \lambda + N\epsilon \), since \( d[(x, y, \dot{x}, 0), \text{Graph} F] = 0 \). From lemma 2.2 there exists a solution \((x_\epsilon, y_\epsilon) \) of (1.2) such that \( |x(t) - x_\epsilon(t)| \leq \tau(t), |z(t) - y_\epsilon(t)| \leq s(t) \), where \( s^2 \) and \( s^2 \) are the maximal solutions of the system:

\[
(r^2)' = 2L_1 r^2 + 2L_2 r s + 2M_\lambda \quad r(0) = 0
\]

\[
(s^2)' = 2L_3 s^2/\epsilon - 2\mu s^2/\epsilon + 2(\lambda/\epsilon + N)\lambda \quad s(0) = |z(0) - y_0|
\]

Let \( m \geq r^2 \) and \( n \geq s^2 \) be such that

\[
m = 3L_1 m + L_2 n/L_1 + M \lambda \quad m(0) = 0
\]

\[
n = 2L_3 m/\mu \epsilon - \mu n/\epsilon + 2(\lambda/\epsilon + N)\lambda \quad n(0) = |z(0) - y_0| = n_0
\]

Then \( m(t) \geq 0 \) for a.e. \( t \in I \). Using the Cauchy formulae and integrating by parts one obtains from (4.2) \( n(t) \leq \exp(-\mu t/\epsilon) n_0 + 2\lambda(N\epsilon + M) + 2L_3 m/\mu \). From (4.2) one obtains \( m(t) \leq (3L_1 + 2L_3/\mu) m + 2L_3/\lambda(M\epsilon + M)/(L_2 \mu) \). Denote \( c_1 = 3L_1 + 2L_3/\mu \) and \( c_2 = L_1(M + N\epsilon)/(L_2 \mu) \). From the Cauchy formulae follows

\[
m_1(t) \leq \exp(c_1 t)[n_0 \int_0^t \exp((-\mu/\epsilon - c_1) \tau) d\tau + c_2 \lambda \int_0^t \exp(-c_1 \tau) d\tau]
\]

\[
\leq \exp(c_1 t)[c_2 \lambda + n_0 \epsilon/\mu]
\]
Denote $c_3 = 2(M + N \epsilon + 2L_3/\mu)/\mu$; $c_4 = \exp(c_1 t)/\mu$ hence $n(t) \leq \exp(-\mu t/\epsilon) + c_3 \lambda + c_4 \epsilon$. Thus $n(t) \leq n_0 \epsilon/\mu + c_3 \lambda + c_4 \epsilon$ on $[\tau, 1]$ since $\exp(-\mu t/\epsilon) \leq \epsilon/(\mu t)$. Since $c_1, c_2, c_3$ and $c_4$ do not depend on $\delta$ one can find $\lambda$ and $\epsilon$ such that $n(t) \leq \delta$ and $m(t) \leq \delta$. QED.

**COROLLARY 4.1.** Under A1, A2 the solution set $Z(\epsilon)$ depends lower semicontinuously on $\epsilon$ at $\epsilon = 0^+$.

**PROOF.** Let $(x, y)$ be a solution of (1.3). Fix $\delta$ and $\varphi$. Since $y(.)$ is bounded one has that there exists $K > 0$ and $K-$ Lipschitz $z(.)$ such that $|z(t) - y(t)| \leq \varphi$ on $I_\varphi$ and $|z(t) - y(t)| \leq M$ on $A$. Here $I_\varphi$ and $A$ are as in lemma 2.2. Thus $d((x, z, \dot{x}, \epsilon),$ Graph $F] \leq \delta$ on $I_\varphi \times H$ with $m$ as $I_\varphi > 1 - \delta$ and $d((x, z, \dot{x}, \epsilon),$ Graph $F] \leq M$ on $A \times H$ for small $\epsilon$. From lemma 2.2 there exists a solution $(u, v)$ of (1.2) with $|u(t) - u(t)|^2 \leq r_1(t) + \lambda; \quad |z(t) - u(t)|^2 \leq r_2(t) + \lambda$, where $r_1$ and $r_2$ are the solutions of the system:

\[r_1(t) = 4L_1 r_1 + L_2 r_2/L_1 + C_1(\delta + \alpha(t)) \quad r_1(0) = |x_i(0) - z(0)|\]
\[r_2(t) = \epsilon^{-1} \mu^{-1} \{2L_3 r_1 - \mu r_2 + C_2(\delta + \alpha(t)) + K\} \quad r_2(0) = |y_i(0) - y(0)|\]

One has only to prove that $(r_1, r_2)$ converges to zero in $C \times L_2$ as $\epsilon \to 0$, which is standard and is omitted. QED.

**EXAMPLE 4.1.** Consider the system

\[\dot{x}(t) = x^{1/3} + |y| + [0, 1] \quad x(0) = 0.\]
\[\epsilon \dot{y}(t) = -y + [0, 1] \quad y(0) = 1.\]

Obviously the solution set of this system is not LSC at $\epsilon = 0$, because the first inclusion is not Lipschitz. Consider however

\[\dot{x}(t) = -x^{1/3} + |y| + [0, 1] \quad x(0) = 0.\]
\[\epsilon \dot{y}(t) = -y + [0, 1] \quad y(0) = 1.\]

The solution set of last system is LSC since theorem 4.1 holds, however the right-hand side is not Lipschitz. This is true also for the first inclusion (without $y(.)$ and without singular perturbation). In that case theorem 3.2 is valid.

As we have seen the LSC dependence on parameters in regular and singularly perturbed cases can be investigated under the same approach. The USC dependence however can not. We give an example for system which is not USC at $\epsilon = 0^+$.

**EXAMPLE 4.2.** Consider the system

\[\epsilon \dot{x}(t) = -x + w(t) \quad x(0) = 0, \text{ here } w \in [-1, 1].\]
\[\epsilon \dot{y}(t) = -2y + w(t) \quad y(0) = 0\]

For $\epsilon = 0$ the solution set of this system is $R(t) = (w(t), w(t)/2)$ where $w(.)$ is arbitrary measurable $w(t) \in [-1, 1]$. Let $w_n(t) = 1, t \in [(2k)/(2n), (2k + 1)/(2n)]; \quad w_n(t) = -1$ otherwise. Consider the sequence $\epsilon_n = 1/(2n)$. Let $(x_n, y_n)$ be the solution of the system for $w = w_n$. It is easy to show that $\lim_{\epsilon_n \to 0} \int_0^1 |x_n(t) - 2y_n(t)| dt \geq (e - 1)^4/(\epsilon^4 - 1)$. Thus the solution set of this system does not depend USC on $\epsilon$ at $0^+$ in $L_1 - strong$ topology (of course $x_n - 2y_n \to 0$ in $L_2$-weak). This example is studied in [7].

**5. CONCLUSION REMARK.**

We note that using the properties of the duality map $j(.)$ (see theorem 3.3 for definition and [9] for the properties) one can prove similar results as theorem 3.1 and theorem 4.1 in case of
uniformly convex Banach space $H^*$. Using technique as in the proof of theorem 3.2 and by more careful estimations one can obtain similar results also in case of nonautonomous system.
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