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#### Abstract

In this paper we define a subset of metric preserving functions and give some examples and a characterization of this subset.


KEY WORDS AND PHRASES: Metrics, metric preserving functions, derivatives.
1991 AMS SUBJECT CLASSIFICATION CODE: 54E35.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

We call a function $f: \mathbb{R}^{+} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{+}$a metric preserving function if and only if $f(\rho): M \times M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{+}$is a metric for every metric $\rho: M \times M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{+}$, where ( $M, \rho$ ) is an arbitrary metric space and $\mathbb{R}^{+}$denotes the nonnegative reals. We will denote the collection of metric preserving functions by $\mathcal{M}$. There are many papers out there which deal with these functions (see the references). Of particular interest is the derivative of metric preserving functions. In [1] J. Borsík and J. Doboš show that if $f \in \mathcal{M}$ is differentiable then $\left|f^{\prime}(x)\right| \leq f^{\prime}(0)$. J. Doboš and Z. Piotrowski in [2] construct two examples concerning differentiation and metric preserving functions. The first $f \in \mathcal{M}$ is continuous and nowhere differentiable. The other is metric preserving, differentiable and the derivative is infinite exactly on $\{0\} \cup 2^{-n}, n=1,2,3, \ldots$. In [9] this author answers a question of Doboš and Piotrowski by showing how for any measure zero, $\mathcal{G}_{6}$ set in $[0, \infty)$ there is a continuous metric preserving function whose derivative is infinite on that set union zero.

The subset of metric preserving functions we wish to consider is defined below.
DEFINITION. Let $f \in \mathcal{M}$ be differentiable on ( $0, \infty$ ). Define $g(x)$ as

$$
g(x)= \begin{cases}f^{\prime}(x) & x \in(0, \infty)  \tag{1.1}\\ 0 & x=0\end{cases}
$$

We say $f \in \mathcal{D}$ if and only if $f, g \in \mathcal{M}$.
The purpose of this paper is to give examples of these types of functions and to characterize the type of $f$ which can be in $\mathcal{D}$.

## 2. MAIN RESULTS

We note here that the set $\mathcal{D}$ is nonempty. It is easy to see that $\mathcal{D}$ contains all functions of the form $f(x)=k x, k>0$. A natural question to then ask is if it is possible that there are functions $f$ such that $g$ defined above is continuous at the origin (which is not that case for $f(x)=k x$ ). The answer is no and is given in the following theorem.

THEOREM 1. If $f$ is differentiable on $[0, \infty)$ and metric preserving $f^{\prime}(x)$ is not a metric preserving function.

PROOF. If $f^{\prime} \in \mathcal{M}$ then $f^{\prime}(0)$ would have to be zero and $f^{\prime}>0$ on $(0, \infty)$ implies there must be some $[0, \epsilon)$ where $f$ must be strictly convex. Then $f \notin \mathcal{M}$ from Prop. 10 in [1].

Nor can we go in the opposite direction and assume that if $g$ is metric preserving its integral will also be metric preserving.

EXAMPLE. There exists a metric preserving function $g$ whose integral, $\int_{0}^{x} g(t), d t$, is not also metric preserving.

PROOF. Let $g(x)=1-e^{-x}$. Then $\int_{0}^{x} 1-e^{-t} d t$ is strictly convex in a neighborhood of the origin.

Note that $g(x)=2 x$ would also serve in the example above. While both are continuous, $1-e^{-x}$ has the added strength of being bounded. We now can look at some properties of these functions in $\mathcal{D}$.

THEOREM 2. If $f \in \mathcal{D}, f$ is nondecreasing.
PROOF. This is a consequence of the fact that the function $g(x)$ must be greater than zero since $g$ is metric preserving.

LEMMA. Let $f \in \mathcal{M}$ and $\limsup \sup _{x \rightarrow 0^{+}} f(x)=a$. Then for all $x \in[0, \infty), f(x) \geq a / 2$.
PROOF. This is a property of $f$ being metric preserving. See Corollary 1 in [1].
THEOREM 3. Let $f(x)=x^{k}$. Only $f \in \mathcal{D}$ if and only if $k=1$.
PROOF.
If $k>1$ then $f \notin \mathcal{M}$ since $f$ would be strictly convex around the origin.
If $k \in(0,1)$ then $g$ violates the lemma above.
If $k=0$ then $g \notin \mathcal{M}$ since $g$ would be identically zero.
If $k<0$ then $f$ violates the lemma above.
In order to characterize functions in the set $\mathcal{D}$ we need the notion of a triangle triplet. The 3-tuple $(a, b, c) \in\left(\mathbb{R}^{+}\right)^{3}$ is called a triangle triplet if $a \leq b+c, b \leq a+c$, and $c \leq a+b$. This is another way to determine if a function is metric preserving (see F . Terpe [8]). A function $f$ is a metric preserving function if and only if $f(0)=0$ and $(f(a), f(b), f(c))$ is a triangle triplet whenever $(a, b, c)$ is one. This gives us a way to describe these functions in $\mathcal{D}$.

THEOREM 4. Let $g(x): \mathbb{R}^{+} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{+}$be a function satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\forall a>0 \int_{0}^{a} g(x) d x \geq \int_{b}^{c} g(x) d x \text { where } c-b=a \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

If there exists an $A>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
A \leq N+M g(x) \leq 2 A \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

then both $G(x)=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}N+M g(x) & x>0 \\ 0 & x=0\end{array}\right.$ and $F(x)=\int_{0}^{x} G(t) d t$ are in $\mathcal{M}$.
PROOF. The condition (2.2) gives us $G(x)$ is metric preserving (Proposition 3 in [1]). Condition (2.1) assures that $F(x)$ will satisfy the triangle triplet condition. Assume $a<b<c$. Then $F(a) \leq F(b)+F(c)$ and $F(b) \leq F(a)+F(c)$ are automatic. Lastly,

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(c)=F(b)+\int_{b}^{c} G(t) d t \leq F(b)+\int_{0}^{a} G(t) d t=F(a)+F(b) \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

This describes such examples in $\mathcal{D}$ using $1+e^{-x}, 3+\frac{1}{2} \cos (1 / x)$, and $3+e^{-x} \cos x$ for $N+M g(x)$.
To close we note that this gives another way to create metric preserving functions.
COROLLARY. If $g(x)$ meets condition (2.1) and $0 \leq g(x)$ almost everywhere then $g(x)$ need not be in $\mathcal{M}$, but $\int_{0}^{x} g(t) d \lambda$ is in $\mathcal{M}$ where $\lambda$ denotes Lebesgue measure.
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