

## COMMUTATIVITY RESULTS FOR SEMIPRIME RINGS WITH DERIVATIONS

MOHAMAD NAGY DAIF

Department of Mathematics  
Faculty of Science  
Al-Azhar University  
Nasr City 11884  
Cairo, EGYPT

(Received March 8, 1996 and in revised form October 10, 1996)

**ABSTRACT.** We extend a result of Herstein concerning a derivation  $d$  on a prime ring  $R$  satisfying  $[d(x), d(y)] = 0$  for all  $x, y \in R$ , to the case of semiprime rings. An extension of this result is proved for a two-sided ideal but is shown to be not true for a one-sided ideal. Some of our recent results dealing with  $U^*$ - and  $U^{**}$ -derivations on a prime ring are extended to semiprime rings. Finally, we obtain a result on semiprime rings for which  $d(xy) = d(yx)$  for all  $x, y$  in some ideal  $U$ .

**KEY WORDS AND PHRASES:** Semiprime ring, derivation, commutator, and central ideal.

**1991 AMS SUBJECT CLASSIFICATION CODES:** 16W25, 16U80, 16N60.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

In his note on derivations, Herstein [1] showed that if a prime ring  $R$  of characteristic not 2 admits a nonzero derivation  $d$  such that  $[d(x), d(y)] = 0$  for all  $x, y$  in  $R$ , then  $R$  is commutative. Here, we give an easy but elegant extension of this result in the case when  $R$  is semiprime. Moreover, by making use of a more recent result of Bell and Martindale [2], we can get a more general theorem for a semiprime ring, which requires the condition  $[d(x), d(y)] = 0$  to hold only on some ideal of  $R$ . We notice that a one-sided ideal would not work in this new theorem, the example given by Bell and Daif [3] is a counter-example.

Recently, Bell and Daif [3] introduced the notions of  $U^*$ - and  $U^{**}$ -derivations  $d$  on a prime ring  $R$ , where  $U$  is a nonzero right ideal of  $R$ . If  $d$  is a derivation on  $R$  such that  $d(x)d(y) + d(xy) = d(y)d(x) + d(yx)$  for all  $x, y \in U$ , we say that  $d$  is a  $U^*$ -derivation; and if  $d(x)d(y) + d(yx) = d(y)d(x) + d(xy)$  for all  $x, y \in U$ , we call  $d$  a  $U^{**}$ -derivation. We proved that if  $d$  is a nonzero  $U^*$ - or  $U^{**}$ -derivation, then either  $R$  is commutative or  $d^2(U) = d(U)d(U) = \{0\}$ . This result yielded a result of Bell and Kappe [4]. We also studied derivations  $d$  satisfying  $d(xy) = d(yx)$  for all  $x, y \in U$ . For formal reasons, we call  $d$  a  $U^{***}$ -derivation if it satisfies this condition. In this note, we extend these results to the semiprime case. We will show for a nonzero  $U^*$ - or  $U^{**}$ -derivation  $d$  that  $d(U)$  centralizes  $[U, U]$ . In the event that  $U$  is a two-sided ideal, we show that  $R$  contains a nonzero central ideal. The same conclusion is obtained when  $R$  admits a  $U^{***}$ -derivation which is nonzero on  $U$ .

For the ring  $R$ ,  $Z$  will denote the center of  $R$ . For elements  $x, y \in R$ , the commutator  $xy - yx$  will be written as  $[x, y]$ ; and for a subset  $U$  of  $R$ , the set of all commutators of elements of  $U$  will be written as  $[U, U]$ . We will make extensive use of the familiar commutator identities  $[x, yz] = y[x, z] + [x, y]z$  and  $[xy, z] = x[y, z] + [x, z]y$ .

To achieve our purposes, we mention the following results.

- (A) [1, Theorem 1] Let  $R$  be any ring and  $d$  a derivation of  $R$  such that  $d^3 \neq 0$ . Then the subring of  $R$  generated by all  $d(r)$ ,  $r \in R$ , contains a nonzero ideal of  $R$ .
- (B) [2, Theorem 3] Let  $R$  be a semiprime ring and  $U$  a nonzero left ideal. If  $R$  admits a derivation  $d$  which is nonzero on  $U$  and centralizing on  $U$ , then  $R$  contains a nonzero central ideal.
- (C) [5, Lemma 1] Let  $R$  be a semiprime ring and  $U$  a nonzero two-sided ideal of  $R$ . If  $x \in R$  and  $x$  centralizes  $[U, U]$ , then  $x$  centralizes  $U$ .

2. EXTENSIONS OF HERSTEIN'S THEOREM

**THEOREM 2.1.** Let  $R$  be a semiprime ring and  $d$  a derivation of  $R$  with  $d^3 \neq 0$ . If  $[d(x), d(y)] = 0$  for all  $x, y \in R$ , then  $R$  contains a nonzero central ideal.

**PROOF.** By (A), the subring generated by  $d(R)$  contains a nonzero ideal  $U$  of  $R$ . By our hypothesis,  $U$  is commutative; hence  $U^2 \subseteq Z$ . But  $R$  is semiprime, hence  $U \neq \{0\}$  implies  $U^2 \neq \{0\}$ , which completes the proof.

Now we aim to extend the theorem of Herstein in the situation when the ring is semiprime and the condition  $[d(x), d(y)] = 0$  is merely satisfied on an ideal of the ring.

**THEOREM 2.2.** Let  $R$  be a two-torsion-free semiprime ring and  $U$  a nonzero two-sided ideal of  $R$ . If  $R$  admits a derivation  $d$  which is nonzero on  $U$  and  $[d(x), d(y)] = 0$  for all  $x, y \in U$ , then  $R$  contains a nonzero central ideal.

**PROOF.** We are given that

$$[d(x), d(y)] = 0 \text{ for all } x, y \in U. \tag{2.1}$$

Replacing  $y$  by  $yz$ , we therefore obtain

$$d(y)[d(x), z] + [d(x), y]d(z) = 0 \text{ for all } x, y, z \in U. \tag{2.2}$$

Putting  $z = zr$  where  $z \in U$  and  $r \in R$ , we now get

$$d(y)z[d(x), r] + [d(x), y]zd(r) = 0 \text{ for all } x, y, z \in U, r \in R. \tag{2.3}$$

Now substitute  $r = d(t)$ ,  $t \in U$ , to get

$$[d(x), y]z d^2(t) = 0 \text{ for all } x, y, z, t \in U. \tag{2.4}$$

Let  $\{P_\alpha: \alpha \in \Lambda\}$  be a family of prime ideals of  $R$  such that  $\bigcap_\alpha P_\alpha = \{0\}$ . Now (2.4) yields

$[d(x), y]zR d^2(t) = \{0\}$  for all  $x, y, z, t \in U$ ; hence for each  $P_\alpha$ , we either have

(a)  $[d(x), y]U \subseteq P_\alpha$  for all  $x, y \in U$ ,

or

(b)  $d^2(U) \subseteq P_\alpha$ .

Call  $P_\alpha$  an (a)-prime ideal or (b)-prime ideal according to which of these conditions is satisfied.

Note that  $[d(x), y]RU \subseteq P_\alpha$  for each (a)-prime  $P_\alpha$ , so either  $[d(x), y] \in P_\alpha$  for all  $x, y \in U$  or  $U \subseteq P_\alpha$ . In either event,

$$[d(x), y] \in P_\alpha \text{ for all } x, y \in U \text{ and all (a)-prime } P_\alpha. \tag{2.5}$$

Now consider (b)-prime ideals. Taking  $x, y \in U$ , we have  $d^2(xy) = d^2(x)y + xd^2(y) + 2d(x)d(y) \in P_\alpha$ , so  $2d(x)d(y) \in P_\alpha$  for all  $x, y \in U$ . Replacing  $y$  by  $zy$  shows that

$$2d(x)zd(y) \in P_\alpha \text{ for all } x,y,z \in U; \tag{2.6}$$

hence

$$2d(x)Rzd(y) \subseteq P_\alpha \text{ and } 2d(x)zRd(y) \subseteq P_\alpha \text{ for all } x,y,z \in U. \tag{2.7}$$

It follows that either  $d(U) \subseteq P_\alpha$ , or  $2d(x)y$  and  $2yd(x) \in P_\alpha$  for all  $x,y \in U$ . In either case,

$$2[d(x),y] \in P_\alpha \text{ for all } x,y \in U \text{ and (b)-prime } P_\alpha. \tag{2.8}$$

Thus, for all  $x,y \in U$  we have (by (2.5) and (2.8)) that  $2[d(x),y] \in \bigcap_\alpha P_\alpha = \{0\}$ ; and since  $R$  is 2-torsion-free,  $[d(x),y] = 0$  for all  $x,y \in U$ . In particular,  $[d(x),x] = 0$  for all  $x \in U$ , so the theorem follows by (B).

**REMARK.** We notice that Theorem 2.2 is not true in the case when  $U$  is one-sided. Let  $R$  be the ring of all  $2 \times 2$  matrices over a field  $F$ ; let  $U = \begin{bmatrix} F & \\ & 0 \end{bmatrix} R$ . Let  $d$  be the inner derivation given by  $d(x) = x \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \\ & 0 \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \\ & 0 \end{bmatrix} x$  for all  $x \in R$ . For any two elements  $x$  and  $y$  in  $U$ , we have that  $[d(x),d(y)] = 0$ , but the conclusion of the theorem is not true.

### 3. EXTENDING RESULTS ON $U^*$ - AND $U^{**}$ - DERIVATIONS

**THEOREM 3.1.** Let  $R$  be a semiprime ring and  $U$  a nonzero right ideal of  $R$ . If  $R$  admits a nonzero  $U^*$ -derivation  $d$ , then  $d(U)$  centralizes  $[U,U]$ .

**PROOF.** The condition that  $d$  is a  $U^*$ - derivation yields

$$[d(x),d(y)] = [d(y),x] + [y,d(x)] \text{ for all } x,y \in U. \tag{3.1}$$

Proceeding exactly as in [3], we see that

$$[d(x),x]UR(d(x) + d^2(x)) = \{0\} \text{ for all } x \in U. \tag{3.2}$$

Since  $R$  is semiprime, it must have a family  $\{P_\alpha: \alpha \in \Lambda\}$  of prime ideals such that  $\bigcap_\alpha P_\alpha = \{0\}$ . Let  $P$  be a typical one of these. By (3.2) we see that for each  $x \in U$ , either  $[d(x),x]U \subseteq P$  or  $d(x) + d^2(x) \in P$ . We now use the kind of argument employed in the proof of Theorem 2.2, in effect performing the calculations of [3] modulo  $P$ ; we arrive at the conclusion that

$$\text{either } d(U)U \subseteq P \text{ or } [x + d(x), R] \subseteq P \text{ for all } x \in U. \tag{3.3}$$

In the first case, we can again employ the argument of [3] modulo  $P$ , obtaining the result that

$$\text{either } U \subseteq P \text{ or } [d(x),d(t)] \in P \text{ for all } x,t \in U. \tag{3.4}$$

Returning to the second possibility in (3.3), we assume that  $[x + d(x), R] \subseteq P$ . We then have  $[x,d(t)] + [d(x),d(t)] \in P$  for all  $x,t \in U$ . But from (3.1) we have  $[d(x),d(t)] + [x,d(t)] = [t,d(x)]$ , hence we have

$$[t,d(x)] \in P \text{ for all } x,t \in U. \tag{3.5}$$

Putting  $t = td(y)$  and using (3.5), we get

$$t[d(y),d(x)] \in P \text{ for all } x,y,t \in U. \tag{3.6}$$

From (3.6) we have  $UR[d(y),d(x)] \subseteq P$  for all  $x,y \in U$ . Consequently, either  $U \subseteq P$  or  $[d(x),d(t)] \in P$  for all  $x,t \in U$ , which are the same alternatives as in (3.4).

If we consider the case  $U \subseteq P$ , then from (3.1) we get  $[d(x), d(t)] \in P$  for all  $x, t \in U$ . Therefore, we always have  $[d(x), d(t)] \in P$  for all  $x, t \in U$ . Now using the fact that  $\bigcap_{\alpha} P_{\alpha} = \{0\}$ , we conclude that  $[d(x), d(t)] = 0$  for all  $x, t \in U$ . From our hypothesis, we have  $d(xt) = d(tx)$  for all  $x, t \in U$ . This means that  $d([x, t]) = 0$  for all  $x, t \in U$ . But  $d([x, t]z) = d(z[x, t])$ , hence  $[x, t]d(z) = d(z)[x, t]$  for all  $x, z, t \in U$ . Thus  $d(U)$  centralizes  $[U, U]$  as required.

Similar conclusions as in the proof of Theorem 3.1 lead us to the same conclusion in the case that  $d$  is a  $U^{**}$ - derivation. Therefore, we have

**THEOREM 3.2.** Let  $R$  be a semiprime ring and  $U$  a nonzero right ideal of  $R$ . If  $R$  admits a nonzero  $U^{**}$ - derivation, then  $d(U)$  centralizes  $[U, U]$ .

**COROLLARY.** Let  $R$  be a semiprime ring and  $U$  a nonzero two-sided ideal of  $R$ . If  $R$  admits a  $U^*$ - or  $U^{**}$ - derivation  $d$  which is nonzero on  $U$ , then  $R$  contains a nonzero central ideal.

**PROOF.** By Theorems 3.1 and 3.2,  $d(U)$  centralizes  $[U, U]$ . By (C), we get that  $d(U)$  centralizes  $U$ . The result now follows by (B).

**THEOREM 3.3.** Let  $R$  be a semiprime ring and  $U$  a nonzero two-sided ideal of  $R$ . If  $R$  admits a  $U^{***}$ - derivation  $d$  which is nonzero on  $U$ , then  $R$  contains a nonzero central ideal.

**PROOF.** Since  $d(xy) = d(yx)$  for all  $x, y \in U$ , the argument at the end of the proof of Theorem 3.1 shows that  $d(U)$  centralizes  $[U, U]$ . The result now follows as in the proof of the Corollary.

**ACKNOWLEDGEMENT.** I am truly indebted to Prof. Howard E. Bell for his sincere suggestions and great help which made the paper in its present form.

## REFERENCES

- [1] HERSTEIN, I. N., "A note on derivations," *Canad. Math. Bull.* 21(1978), 369-370.
- [2] BELL, H. E. and MARTINDALE, W. S. III, "Centralizing mappings of semiprime rings," *Canad. Math. Bull.* 30(1987), 92-101.
- [3] BELL, H. E. and DAIF, M. N., "On derivations and commutativity in prime rings," *Acta Math. Hungar.* 66(4)(1995), 337-343.
- [4] BELL, H. E. and KAPPE, L. C., "Rings in which derivations satisfy certain algebraic conditions," *Acta Math. Hungar.* 53(1989), 339-346.
- [5] DAIF, M. N. and BELL, H. E., "Remarks on derivations on semiprime rings," *Internat. J. Math. & Math. Sci.* 15(1992), 205-206.



# Hindawi

Submit your manuscripts at  
<http://www.hindawi.com>

