

RECURSIVE FORMULAE FOR THE MULTIPLICATIVE PARTITION FUNCTION

JUN KYO KIM and SANG GUEN HAHN

(Received 28 February 1996)

ABSTRACT. For a positive integer n , let $f(n)$ be the number of essentially different ways of writing n as a product of factors greater than 1, where two factorizations of a positive integer are said to be essentially the same if they differ only in the order of the factors. This paper gives a recursive formula for the multiplicative partition function $f(n)$.

Keywords and phrases. Partitions, multiplicative partitions.

1991 Mathematics Subject Classification. 11P82.

A multi-partite number of order j is a j -dimensional vector, the components of which are nonnegative integers. A partition of (n_1, n_2, \dots, n_j) is a solution of the vector equation

$$\sum_k (n_{1k}, n_{2k}, \dots, n_{jk}) = (n_1, n_2, \dots, n_j) \quad (1)$$

in multi-partition numbers other than $(0, 0, \dots, 0)$. Two partitions which differ only in the order of the multi-partite numbers are regarded as identical. We denote by $p(n_1, n_2, \dots, n_j)$ the number of different partitions of (n_1, n_2, \dots, n_j) . For example, $p(3) = 3$ since $3 = 2 + 1 = 1 + 1 + 1$ and $p(2, 1) = 4$ since $(2, 1) = (2, 0) + (0, 1) = (1, 0) + (1, 0) + (1, 0) = (1, 0) + (1, 1)$. Let $f(1) = 1$ and for any integer $n > 1$, let $f(n)$ be the number of essentially different ways of writing n as a product of factors greater than 1, where two factorizations of a positive integer are said to be essentially the same if they differ only in the order of the factors. For example, $f(12)p(2, 1) = 4$ since $12 = 2 \cdot 6 = 3 \cdot 4 = 2 \cdot 2 \cdot 3$. In general, if $n = p_1^{n_1} p_2^{n_2} \cdots p_j^{n_j}$, then $f(n) = p(n_1, n_2, \dots, n_j)$. We find recursive formulas for the multi-partite partition function $p(n_1, n_2, \dots, n_j)$. The most useful formula known to this day for actual evaluation of the multi-partite partition function is presented in Theorem 4.

For convenience, we define some sets used in this paper. For a positive integer r , let M_r^0 be the set of r -dimensional vectors with nonnegative integer components and M_r be the set of r -dimensional vectors with nonnegative integer components not all of which are zero. The following three theorems are well known.

THEOREM 1 (Euler [3]; see also [1, p. 2]). *If $n \geq 0$, then*

$$p(n) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{m+1} \left(p\left(n - \frac{1}{2}m(3m-1)\right) + p\left(n - \frac{1}{2}(3m+1)\right) \right), \quad (2)$$

where we recall that $p(k) = 0$ for all negative integers k .

THEOREM 2. *If $n \geq 0$, then $p(0) = 1$ and*

$$n \cdot p(n) = \sum_{k=1}^n \sigma(k) \cdot p(n-k), \tag{3}$$

where $\sigma(m) = \sum_{d|m} d$.

THEOREM 3 ([1, Ch. 12]). *If $g(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_r)$ is the generating function for $p(\vec{n})$ and $|x_i| < 1$ for $i \leq r$, then*

$$\begin{aligned} g(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_r) &= \prod_{\vec{n} \in M_r} \frac{1}{1 - x_1^{n_1} x_2^{n_2} \cdots x_r^{n_r}} \\ &= 1 + \sum_{\vec{m} \in M_r} p(\vec{m}) x_1^{m_1} x_2^{m_2} \cdots x_r^{m_r}. \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

Similarly, we can extend the equation of Theorem 2 to multi-partite numbers as follows.

THEOREM 4. *For $\vec{n} \in M_r$, we have*

$$n_i \cdot p(\vec{n}) = \sum_{\substack{l_j \leq n_j \text{ for } j \leq r \\ \vec{l} \in M_r}} \frac{\sigma(\gcd[\vec{l}])}{\gcd[\vec{l}]} \cdot l_i \cdot p(\vec{n} - \vec{l}). \tag{5}$$

PROOF. Let $g(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_r)$ be the function defined in Theorem 3. Taking the i th partial logarithmic derivative of the product formula for $g(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_r)$ in (4), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial g(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_r)}{\partial x_i} \cdot \frac{x_i}{g(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_r)} &= \sum_{\vec{l} \in M_r} \frac{l_i \cdot \prod_{j=1}^r x_j^{l_j}}{1 - \prod_{j=1}^r x_j^{l_j}} \\ &= \sum_{\vec{l} \in M_r} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} l_i \cdot \left(\prod_{j=1}^r x_j^{l_j} \right)^k. \end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

Taking the i th partial derivative of the right-hand side of (4), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\vec{n} \in M_r} n_i \cdot p(\vec{n}) x_1^{n_1} x_2^{n_2} \cdots x_r^{n_r} &= \frac{\partial g(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_r)}{\partial x_i} \cdot x_i \\ &= g(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_r) \sum_{\vec{l} \in M_r} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} t_i \cdot \left(\prod_{j=1}^r x_j^{t_j} \right)^k \\ &= \left(\sum_{\vec{m} \in M_r^0} p(\vec{m}) x_1^{m_1} x_2^{m_2} \cdots x_r^{m_r} \right) \sum_{\vec{l} \in M_r} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} t_i \cdot \left(\prod_{j=1}^r x_j^{t_j} \right)^k. \end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

Comparing the coefficients of both sides of (7), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 n_i \cdot p(\vec{n}) &= \sum_{\substack{\vec{m}, \vec{l} \in M_r^0, k \in M_1 \\ \vec{m} + k\vec{l} = \vec{n}}} t_i \cdot p(\vec{m}) \\
 &= \sum_{\vec{l} \in M_r} p(\vec{n} - \vec{l}) \sum_{k | \gcd(\vec{l})} \frac{l_i}{k} \\
 &= \sum_{\substack{l_j \leq n_j \text{ for } j \leq r \\ \vec{l} \in M_r}} \frac{\sigma(\gcd[\vec{l}])}{\gcd[\vec{l}]} \cdot l_i \cdot p(\vec{n} - \vec{l}).
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{8}$$

The theorem is proved. □

COROLLARY 5. For $\vec{n} \in M_r$, we have

$$\left(\sum_{i=1}^r n_i \right) \cdot p(\vec{n}) = \sum_{\substack{l_j \leq n_j \text{ for } j \leq r \\ \vec{l} \in M_r}} \frac{\sigma(\gcd[\vec{l}])}{\gcd[\vec{l}]} \left(\sum_{i=1}^r l_i \right) \cdot p(\vec{n} - \vec{l}).
 \tag{9}$$

For positive integers m and n , let

$$(m, n)_{\neq} = \max_{\substack{k|m \\ n^{1/k} \text{ is an integer}}} k.
 \tag{10}$$

The following properties of $(m, n)_{\neq}$ are easy to obtain:

- (1) $(m, p_1^{n_1} p_2^{n_2} \dots p_k^{n_k})_{\neq} = \gcd(m, n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k)$
- (2) $(m, nk)_{\neq} = \gcd((m, n)_{\neq}, (mk)_{\neq})$ for $\gcd(n, k) = 1$
- (3) $(mk, n)_{\neq} = (m, n)_{\neq} \cdot (k, n)_{\neq}$ for $\gcd(m, k) = 1$.

From the point of view of the multiplicative partition function, Theorem 4 can be restated as the following theorem.

THEOREM 6. let n, t be positive integers and let p be a prime number such that $p \nmid m$. Then we get

$$t \cdot f(mp^t) = \sum_{i=1}^t \sum_{l|m} \frac{\sigma((i, l)_{\neq})}{(i, l)_{\neq}} i \cdot f\left(\frac{m}{l} p^{t-i}\right).
 \tag{11}$$

In [4], MacMahon presents a table of values of $f(n)$ for those n which divide one of $2^{10} \cdot 3^8$, $2^{10} \cdot 3 \cdot 5$, $2^9 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 5^1$, $2^8 \cdot 3^3 \cdot 5^1$, $2^6 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 5^2$, $2^5 \cdot 3^3 \cdot 5^2$. In [2], Canfield, Erdős, and Pomerance commented that they doubted the correctness of MacMahon’s figures. Specifically,

$$p(10, 5) = 3804, \quad \text{not } 3737,
 \tag{12}$$

$$p(9, 8) = 13715, \quad \text{not } 13748,
 \tag{13}$$

$$p(10, 8) = 21893, \quad \text{not } 21938,
 \tag{14}$$

$$p(4, 1, 1) = 38, \quad \text{not } 28.
 \tag{15}$$

From Theorem 4 we can easily be sure that Canfield, Erdős and Pomerance comment is true.

REFERENCES

- [1] G. E. Andrews, *The theory of partitions*, Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications, vol. 2, Addison-Wesley Publishing Co., London, Amsterdam, 1976. MR 58 27738. Zbl 371.10001.
- [2] E. R. Canfield, Paul Erdos, and Carl Pomerance, *On a problem of Oppenheim concerning "factorisatio numerorum"*, J. Number Theory **17** (1983), no. 1, 1-28. MR 85j:11012. Zbl 513.10043.
- [3] L. Euler, *Introductio in Analysin Infinitorum*, ch. 16, Marcum-Michaelem Bousquet Lausanae, 1748 (Latin).
- [4] P. A. Macmahon, *The enumeration of the partitions of multipartite numbers*, Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. **22** (1927), 951-963.

KIM AND HAHN: KOREA ADVANCED INSTITUTE OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY 373-1, KUSONG DON, YUSUNG GU, TAEJON 305-701, SOUTH KOREA



Hindawi

Submit your manuscripts at
<http://www.hindawi.com>

