# ELEMENTS IN EXCHANGE RINGS WITH RELATED COMPARABILITY

## HUANYIN CHEN

(Received 23 December 1998)

ABSTRACT. We show that if *R* is an exchange ring, then the following are equivalent: (1) *R* satisfies related comparability. (2) Given  $a, b, d \in R$  with aR + bR = dR, there exists a related unit  $w \in R$  such that a + bt = dw. (3) Given  $a, b \in R$  with aR = bR, there exists a related unit  $w \in R$  such that a = bw. Moreover, we investigate the dual problems for rings which are quasi-injective as right modules.

Keywords and phrases. Exchange ring, related comparability, related unit.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 16E50, 16L99.

Let *R* be an associative ring with identity. From [6], *R* is said to satisfy related comparability provided that for any idempotents  $e, f \in R$  with e = 1 + ab and f = 1 + bafor some  $a, b \in R$ , there exists a  $u \in B(R)$  such that  $ueR \leq^{\oplus} ufR$  and  $(1-u)fR \leq^{\oplus} (1-u)eR$ . The class of rings satisfying related comparability is quite large. It includes regular rings satisfying general comparability [10], one-sided unit regular rings [8] and partially unit-regular rings, while there still exist rings satisfying related comparability, which belong to none of the above classes (cf., [7, Example 10]).

In [4, 5], we studied related comparability over regular rings. In [6, 7], we investigated related comparability over exchange rings. It is shown that every exchange ring satisfying related comparability is separative [1]. Also, we show that related comparability over exchange rings is a Morita invariant. *R* is said to be an exchange ring if for every right *R*-module *A* and any two decompositions  $A = M \oplus N = \bigoplus_{i \in I} A_i$ , where  $M_R \cong R$  and the index set *I* is finite, then there exist submodules  $A'_i \subseteq A_i$  such that  $A = M \oplus (\bigoplus_{i \in I} A'_i)$ . Many authors have investigated exchange rings with some kind of comparability properties so as to study problems related partial cancellation properties of modules (see [1, 2, 6, 7, 12, 13]).

In this paper, we investigate related comparability over exchange rings by related units. Recall that  $w \in R$  is said to be a related unit of R if there exists some  $e \in B(R)$  such that w = eu + (1 - e)v for some  $u, v \in R$ , where eu is right invertible in eR and (1 - e)v is left invertible in (1 - e)R.  $w \in R$  is said to be a semi-related unit of R if  $w \in R$  is a related unit modulo J(R). By virtue of semi-related units, we also give some new element-wise properties of rings which are quasi-injective as right modules.

Throughout, all rings are associative with identities. B(R) denotes the set of all central idempotents of R and  $r \cdot ann(b)(1 \cdot ann(b))$  denotes the right (left) annihilator of  $b \in R$ .

**LEMMA 1.** Let *R* be an exchange ring. Then *R* satisfies related comparability if and only if so does the opposite ring  $R^{op}$  of *R*.

**PROOF.** Since *R* is an exchange ring, by virtue of [11, Proposition], so is the opposite ring  $R^{\text{op}}$  of *R*. Assume that *R* satisfies related comparability. Given  $a^{\text{op}}, b^{\text{op}} \in R^{\text{op}}$  with  $a^{\text{op}}x^{\text{op}} + b^{\text{op}} = 1^{\text{op}}$ , then we have xa + b = 1 in *R*. In view of [6, Theorem 4], there exists a  $y \in R$  such that x + by is a related unit of *R*. Thus, we have some  $e \in B(R)$  such that (x + by)e is right invertible in eR and (x + by)(1 - e) is left invertible in (1 - e)R. By [5, Lemma 4], we claim that there are  $z_1, z_2 \in R$  such that  $(a + z_1b)e$  is left invertible in eR and  $(a + z_2b)(1 - e)$  is right invertible in (1 - e)R. Let  $z = z_1e + z_2(1 - e)$ . Then a + zb is a related unit of *R*. Consequently,  $a^{\text{op}} + b^{\text{op}}z^{\text{op}}$  is a related unit of  $R^{\text{op}}$ . By [6, Theorem 4], we conclude that  $R^{\text{op}}$  satisfies related comparability. The converse is clear from  $R \cong (R^{\text{op}})^{\text{op}}$ .

**THEOREM 2.** Let *R* be an exchange ring. Then the following are equivalent:

(1) *R* satisfies related comparability.

(2) Given  $a, b, d \in R$  with aR + bR = dR, there exists a related unit  $w \in R$  such that a+bt = dw.

(3) Given a, b with aR = bR, there exists a related unit  $w \in R$  such that a = bw.

(4) Given  $a, b, d \in R$  with Ra + Rb = Rd, there exists a related unit  $w \in R$  such that a + tb = wd.

(5) Given a, b with Ra = Rb, there exists a related unit  $w \in R$  such that a = wb.

**PROOF.**  $(2) \Rightarrow (1)$ . Trivial from [6, Theorem 4].

 $(1) \Longrightarrow (2)$ . Given  $a, b, d \in R$  with aR + bR = dR. Let  $g: dR \to dR/bR$  be the canonical map,  $f_1: R \to aR$  given by  $r \mapsto ar$  for any  $r \in R$ ,  $f_2: R \to bR$  given by  $r \mapsto br$  for any  $r \in R$ ,  $f_3: R \to dR$  given by  $r \mapsto dr$  for any  $r \in R$ . Since aR + bR = dR, we know that  $gf_1, gf_3$  are epimorphisms. On the other hand, R is a projective R-module. So there is some  $\alpha \in \operatorname{End}_R R$  such that  $gf_1 = gf_3\alpha$ . Since  $gf_1$  is a epimorphism, we also have some  $\psi \in \operatorname{End}_R R$  such that  $gf_3\alpha\psi=gf_3$ . From  $\alpha\psi+(1-\alpha\psi)=1$ , there is a  $\gamma \in \operatorname{End}_R R$  such that  $\alpha+(1-\alpha\psi)\gamma=w$  is a related unit of  $\operatorname{End}_R R$ . Therefore, we see that  $gf_1 = gf_3\alpha = gf_3(\alpha + (1-\alpha\psi)\gamma) = gf_3w$ , and then  $g(f_1 - f_3w) = 0$ . Thus, we have  $\operatorname{Im}(f_1 - f_3w) \leq \operatorname{Ker} g = bR$ . By the projectivity of right R-module R, there exists some  $\beta \in \operatorname{End}_R R$  such that  $f_2\beta = f_1 - f_3w$ . Therefore, we claim that  $a+b\beta(1)=f_1(1)+f_2(1)\beta(1)=f_3(1)w(1)=dw(1)$ . It is easy to verify that w(1) is a related unit of R.

(1)⇒(3). Given  $a, b \in R$  with aR = bR, there exist  $s, t \in R$  such that a = bs and b = at. Thus, b = bst. Since st + (1 - st) = 1, by virtue of [6, Theorem 4], there exists some  $z \in R$  such that s + (1 - st)z = w is a related unit of R. Hence a = bs = b(s + (1 - st)z) = bw, as desired.

 $(3) \Longrightarrow (1)$ . Given any regular  $a \in R$ . Then there exists some  $b \in R$  such that a = aba, so aR = abR. Thus a = abw for some related unit  $w \in R$ . Since ab + (1 - ab) = 1, we see that a + (1 - ab)w = (ab + (1 - ab))w = w. By [5, Lemma 4], there is some  $z \in R$  such that b + z(1 - ab) = m is a related unit of *R*. Hence a = aba = a(b + z(1 - ab))a = ama. According to [6, Theorem 2], we claim that *R* satisfies related comparability.

 $(1) \Leftrightarrow (4) \Leftrightarrow (5)$ . By [11, Proposition], we see that the opposite ring  $R^{op}$  of R is

exchange. Using Lemma 1, we see that *R* satisfies related comparability if and only if so does the opposite ring  $R^{\text{op}}$  of *R*. Applying (1) $\iff$ (2) $\iff$ (3). To  $R^{\text{op}}$ , we easily derive the result.

**COROLLARY 3.** Let R be an exchange ring. Then the following are equivalent: (1) R satisfies related comparability.

(2) Given  $a, b \in R$  with  $aR + r \cdot ann(b) = R$ , there exists some  $k \in r \cdot ann(b)$  such that a + k is a related unit.

(3) Given  $a, b \in R$  with  $Ra + 1 \cdot \operatorname{ann}(b) = R$ , there exists some  $k \in 1 \cdot \operatorname{ann}(b)$  such that a + k is a related unit.

**PROOF.** (1) $\Rightarrow$ (2). Given  $a, b \in R$  with  $aR + r \cdot ann(b) = R$ , then there exist  $x \in R$ ,  $k \in r \cdot ann(b)$  such that ax + k = 1. Since R satisfies related comparability, by virtue of [6, Theorem 4], we can find a  $y \in R$  such that a + ky is a related unit of R. It is easy to check that  $ky \in r \cdot ann(b)$ , as required.

 $(2) \Longrightarrow (1)$ . Given  $a, b \in R$  with aR = bR, there exist  $s, t \in R$  such that a = bs and b = at. Obviously,  $1 - st \in r \cdot ann(b)$ . Since st + (1 - st) = 1, we have  $sR + r \cdot ann(b) = R$ . Thus we can find some  $k \in r \cdot ann(b)$  such that s + k = w is a related unit of R, and then a = bs = b(s + k) = bw, as asserted.

 $(1) \Leftrightarrow (3)$ . Trivial by the symmetry of related comparability.

Recall that *n* is in the stable range of *R* provided that  $a_1R + \cdots + a_{n+1}R = R$  with  $a_1, \ldots, a_{n+1} \in R$  implies that  $(a_1 + a_{n+1}b_1)R + \cdots + (a_n + a_{n+1}b_n)R = R$  for some  $b_1, \ldots, b_n \in R$ . If no such *n* exists, we say the stable range of *R* is  $\infty$ .  $x \in R$  is said to be related unit-regular if x = xwx for some related unit  $w \in R$ . Now, we investigate related comparability by related unit-regularity as follows.

**PROPOSITION 4.** Let *R* be an exchange ring with the finite stable range. Then the following are equivalent:

(1) R satisfies related comparability.

(2) Given  $a, b, d \in R$  with aR+bR = dR, there exist some related unit-regular  $w_1, w_2 \in R$  such that  $aw_1 + bw_2 = d$ .

(3) Given  $a, b, d \in \mathbb{R}$  with  $\mathbb{R}a + \mathbb{R}b = \mathbb{R}d$ , there exist some related unit-regular  $w_1, w_2 \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $w_1a + w_2b = d$ .

**PROOF.** (1)=>(2). Given aR + bR = dR with  $a, b, d \in R$ . For right *R*-module  $R^2$ , the two sets  $\{a, b\}$  and  $\{0, d\}$  generate the same right *R*-submodule of  $R^2$ . Thus, we can find  $A, B \in M_2(R)$  such that (a, b) = (0, d)A, (0, d) = (a, b)B. Assume that  $A = (a_{ij}), B = (b_{ij}), I_2 - AB = (c_{ij}) \in M_2(R)$ . Since  $AB + (I_2 - AB) = I_2$ , we have  $(a_{21}, a_{22})(b_{12}, b_{22})^T + c_{22} = 1$ . Since *R* is an exchange ring satisfying related comparability, its stable range can only be 1, 2 or  $\infty$  by [7, Theorem 3]. So 2 is in the stable range of *R*. Thus, we have some  $(y_1, y_2) \in R^2$  such that  $(a_{21}, a_{22}) + c_{22}(y_1, y_2) \in R^2$  is unimodular. Set  $Y = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ y_1 & y_2 \end{pmatrix}$ . Then, we claim that the second row of  $A + (I_2 - AB)Y = U$  is unimodular. Clearly, (0, d)U = (0, d)A = (a, b). Since  $u_{21}R + u_{22}R = R$ , we can find orthogonal idempotents  $e_1 \in u_{21}R$ ,  $e_2 \in u_{22}R$  such that  $e_1 + e_2 = 1$ . Assume that  $e_1 = u_{21}x_1$ ,  $e_2 = u_{22}x_2$ . Let  $w_1 = x_1e_1$ ,  $w_2 = x_2e_2$ . Then  $w_1$  and  $w_2$  are both regular in *R*. Moreover, we have  $u_{21}w_1 + u_{22}w_2 = 1$ . By the related comparability of *R*, we claim that both  $w_i$  are related unit-regular, as asserted.

#### HUANYIN CHEN

(2) $\Rightarrow$ (1). Given any regular  $x \in R$ . Then x = xyx for a  $y \in R$ . So we have xR + (1 - xy)R = R, and then  $xw_1 + (1 - xy)w_2 = 1$  for some related unit-regular  $w_1, w_2 \in R$ . We easily check that  $x + (1 - xy)w_2s \in R$  is related unit for some  $s \in R$ . Hence y + t(1 - xy) = w, i.e., a related unit of *R*. Consequently, we show that x = xyx = xwx, as desired.

 $(1) \Leftrightarrow (3)$ . Clear from the symmetry of related comparability.

Recall that a module M is quasi-injective if any homomorphism of a submodule of M into M extends to an endomorphism of M. Now, we investigate rings which are quasi-injective as right modules. These extend the corresponding results in [3].

**LEMMA 5.** Let *R* be quasi-injective as a right *R*-module. Given  $a, b \in R$  with aR + bR = R, there exists some  $t \in R$  such that a + bt is a semi-related unit.

**PROOF.** Given  $a, b \in R$  with aR + bR = R, then  $\bar{a}(R/J(R)) + \bar{b}(R/J(R)) = R/J(R)$ . Since *R* is quasi-injective as a right *R*-module, by virtue of [9, Theorem 1], R/J(R) is a regular, right self-injective ring. Hence *R* is an exchange ring satisfying related comparability. According to Theorem 2, we can find a  $y \in R$  such that  $\bar{a} + \bar{b}\bar{y} = \bar{w}$  is a related unit of R/J(R). Therefore a + by = w + r for some  $r \in J(R)$ . Clearly, w + r is a semi-related unit of *R*, as desired.

**THEOREM 6.** Let *R* be quasi-injective as a right *R*-module. Then the following hold: (1) Given  $a, b \in R$  with  $r \cdot ann(a) = r \cdot ann(b)$ , there exists a semi-related unit  $w \in R$  such that a = wb.

(2) Given  $a, b \in R$  with  $1 \cdot \operatorname{ann}(a) = 1 \cdot \operatorname{ann}(b)$ , there exists a semi-related unit  $w \in R$  such that a = bw.

**PROOF.** (1) Given  $a, b \in R$  with  $r \cdot ann(a) = r \cdot ann(b)$ . Since R is quasi-injective as a right R-module, by [3, Lemma 3.2], we have Ra = Rb. Assume that a = sb, b = ta for some  $s, t \in R$ . Then b = tsb. Consequently, there exists some  $y \in R$  such that t + (1 - ts)y is a semi-related unit of R by Lemma 5. Using [5, Lemma 4], we have some  $z \in R$  such that s + z(1 - ts) = w is a semi-related unit of R. Therefore, we claim that a = sb = (s + z(1 - ts))b = wb, as desired.

(2) Given  $a, b \in R$  with  $1 \cdot ann(a) = 1 \cdot ann(b)$ . Similarly to the consideration above, we have aR = bR. Assume that a = bs, b = at for some  $s, t \in R$ . Then b = bst. From st + (1 - st) = 1, we can find a  $y \in R$  such that s + (1 - st)y = w is a semi-related unit of R. Therefore a = bs = b(s + (1 - st)y) = bw, whence the result.

**COROLLARY 7.** Let *R* be quasi-injective as a left *R*-module. Then the following hold: (1) Given  $a, b \in R$  with  $r \cdot ann(a) = r \cdot ann(b)$ , there exists a semi-related unit  $w \in R$  such that a = wb.

(2) Given  $a, b \in R$  with  $1 \cdot \operatorname{ann}(a) = 1 \cdot \operatorname{ann}(b)$ , there exists a semi-related unit  $w \in R$  such that a = bw.

**PROOF.** Applying Theorem 6 to the opposite ring  $R^{op}$  of R, we complete the proof.

**THEOREM 8.** Let *R* be a ring which is quasi-injective as a right *R*-module. Then the following hold:

(1) Given  $a, b \in R$  with  $r \cdot ann(a) \cap r \cdot ann(b) = 0$ , there exists  $t \in R$  such that a + tb is a semi-related unit.

(2) Given  $a, b \in R$  with  $1 \cdot \operatorname{ann}(a) \cap 1 \cdot \operatorname{ann}(b) = 0$ , there exists  $t \in R$  such that a + bt is a semi-related unit.

**PROOF.** (1) Given  $a, b \in R$  with  $r \cdot ann(a) \cap r \cdot ann(b) = 0$ , by virtue of [3, Proposition 3.4], we know that Ra + Rb = R. Thus,  $(R/J(R))\bar{a} + (R/J(R))\bar{b} = R/J(R)$ . Since R is a quasi-injective ring, from [9, Theorem 1], R/J(R) is a regular, right self-injective ring. Moreover, we see that R/J(R) satisfies related comparability. In view of Theorem 2, there exists  $t \in R$  such that  $\bar{a} + \bar{t}\bar{b} = \bar{w}$  with w is a semi-related unit of R. Thus, there is some  $k \in J(R)$  such that a + tb = w + k. Clearly, w + k is also a semi-related unit. Thus, we claim that a + tb is a semi-related unit of R.

(2) Given  $a, b \in R$  with  $1 \cdot \operatorname{ann}(a) \cap 1 \cdot \operatorname{ann}(b) = 0$ , analogously to [3, Proposition 3.4], we claim that aR + bR = R. Thus  $\bar{a}(R/J(R)) + \bar{b}(R/J(R)) = R/J(R)$ . Similarly to the consideration above, we show that R/J(R) satisfies related comparability. In view of Theorem 2, there exists  $t \in R$  such that a + bt = w + k with w is a semi-related unit and  $k \in J(R)$ . Since w + k is also a semi-related unit, the result follows.

**COROLLARY 9.** *Let R be a ring which is quasi-injective as a left R-module. Then the following hold:* 

(1) Given  $a, b \in R$  with  $r \cdot ann(a) \cap r \cdot ann(b) = 0$ , there exists  $t \in R$  such that a + tb is a semi-related unit.

(2) Given  $a, b \in R$  with  $1 \cdot \operatorname{ann}(a) \cap 1 \cdot \operatorname{ann}(b) = 0$ , there exists  $t \in R$  such that a + bt is a semi-related unit.

**PROOF.** Applying Theorem 8 to the opposite ring  $R^{op}$  of R, we easily obtain the result.

Since every regular, right (left) self-injective ring is a quasi-injective ring with trivial Jacobson. As an immediate consequence of Theorem 6, Corollary 7, Theorem 8, and Corollary 9, we now derive the following.

**COROLLARY 10.** *Let R be a regular, right (left) self-injective ring. Then the following hold:* 

(1) Given  $a, b \in R$  with  $r \cdot ann(a) = r \cdot ann(b)$ , there exists a related unit  $w \in R$  such that a = wb.

(2) Given  $a, b \in R$  with  $1 \cdot \operatorname{ann}(a) = 1 \cdot \operatorname{ann}(b)$ , there exists a related unit  $w \in R$  such that a = bw.

(3) Given  $a, b \in R$  with  $r \cdot ann(a) \cap r \cdot ann(b) = 0$ , there exists  $t \in R$  such that a + tb is a related unit.

(4) Given  $a, b \in R$  with  $1 \cdot \operatorname{ann}(a) \cap 1 \cdot \operatorname{ann}(b) = 0$ , there exists  $t \in R$  such that a + bt is a related unit.

### References

- P. Ara, K. R. Goodearl, K. C. O'Meara, and E. Pardo, *Separative cancellation for projective modules over exchange rings*, Israel J. Math. **105** (1998), 105–137. MR 99g:16006. Zbl 908.16002.
- [2] V. P. Camillo and H. P. Yu, *Stable range one for rings with many idempotents*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **347** (1995), no. 8, 3141–3147. MR 95j:16006. Zbl 848.16008.

#### HUANYIN CHEN

- [3] M. J. Canfell, Completion of diagrams by automorphisms and Bass' first stable range condition, J. Algebra 176 (1995), no. 2, 480–503. MR 97a:16004. Zbl 839.16007.
- [4] H. Chen, *Related comparability over regular rings*, Algebra Colloq. 3 (1996), no. 3, 277–282. MR 97j:16015. Zbl 857.16011.
- [5] \_\_\_\_\_, On related unit-regular elements, Algebra Colloq. 4 (1997), no. 3, 323–328.
  CMP 1 681 549. Zbl 887.16009.
- [6] \_\_\_\_\_, Exchange rings, related comparability and power-substitution, Comm. Algebra 26 (1998), no. 10, 3383-3401. MR 99f:16005. Zbl 914.16001.
- [7] \_\_\_\_\_, Related comparability over exchange rings, Comm. Algebra 27 (1999), no. 9, 4209–4216. CMP 1 705 862.
- [8] G. Ehrlich, Units and one-sided units in regular rings, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 216 (1976), 81–90. MR 52#8183. Zbl 315.16008.
- [9] K. R. Goodearl, *Direct sum properties of quasi-injective modules*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 82 (1976), no. 1, 108-110. MR 53#5665. Zbl 321.16016.
- [10] \_\_\_\_\_, von Neumann Regular Rings, Pitman (Advanced Publishing Program), Boston, Mass. London, 1979. MR 80e:16011. Zbl 411.16007.
- W. K. Nicholson, On exchange rings, Comm. Algebra 25 (1997), no. 6, 1917-1918.
  CMP 1 446 139. Zbl 883.16003.
- [12] E. Pardo, *Comparability, separativity, and exchange rings*, Comm. Algebra 24 (1996), no. 9, 2915–2929. MR 97k:16007. Zbl 859.16001.
- [13] H. P. Yu, Stable range one for exchange rings, J. Pure Appl. Algebra 98 (1995), no. 1, 105-109. MR 96g:16006. Zbl 837.16009.

Chen: Department of Mathematics, Hunan Normal University, Changsha 410006, China

E-mail address: chyzx1@sparc2.hunnu.edu.cn

644



Advances in **Operations Research** 



**The Scientific** World Journal







Hindawi

Submit your manuscripts at http://www.hindawi.com



Algebra



Journal of Probability and Statistics



International Journal of Differential Equations





Complex Analysis





Mathematical Problems in Engineering



Abstract and Applied Analysis



Discrete Dynamics in Nature and Society



International Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences





Journal of **Function Spaces** 



International Journal of Stochastic Analysis

