

## THE SECOND DUAL SPACES OF THE SETS OF $\Delta$ -STRONGLY CONVERGENT AND BOUNDED SEQUENCES

A. M. JARRAH and E. MALKOWSKY

(Received 19 November 1998)

**ABSTRACT.** We give the second  $\beta$ -,  $\gamma$ -, and  $f$ -duals of the sets  $w_0^p(\Delta)$ ,  $w_\infty^p(\Delta)$  ( $0 < p < \infty$ ),  $c_0^p(\Delta)$ ,  $c^p(\Delta)$ , and  $c_\infty^p(\Delta)$  ( $0 < p \leq 1$ ) and the second continuous dual spaces of  $w_0^p(\Delta)$ ,  $c_0^p(\Delta)$ , and  $c^p(\Delta)$  for  $0 < p \leq 1$ . Furthermore, we determine the  $\alpha$ -duals of  $c_0^p(\Delta)$ ,  $c^p(\Delta)$ , and  $c_\infty^p(\Delta)$  for  $1 < p < \infty$ .

**Keywords and phrases.** BK spaces, AK spaces, AD spaces, dual spaces.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 40H05, 46A45; Secondary 47B07.

**1. Introduction and well-known results.** We write  $\omega$  for the set of all complex sequences  $x = (x_k)_{k=0}^\infty$ ,  $\phi$ ,  $l_\infty$ ,  $c$  and  $c_0$  for the sets of all finite, bounded, convergent sequences, and sequences convergent to naught, respectively, further  $cs$ ,  $bs$ , and  $l_1$  for the sets of all convergent, bounded, and absolutely convergent series.

By  $e$  and  $e^{(n)}$  ( $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ ), we denote the sequences such that  $e_k = 1$  for  $k = 0, 1, \dots$ , and  $e_n^{(n)} = 1$  and  $e_k^{(n)} = 0$  for  $k \neq n$ . For any sequence  $x = (x_k)_{k=0}^\infty$ , let  $x^{[n]} = \sum_{k=0}^n x_k e^{(k)}$  be its  $n$ -section.

Let  $X, Y \subset \omega$  and  $z \in \omega$ . Then we write

$$z^{-1} \times X = \{x \in \omega : xz = (x_k z_k)_{k=0}^\infty \in X\},$$

$$M(X, Y) = \bigcap_{x \in X} x^{-1} \times Y = \{a \in \omega : ax \in Y \ \forall x \in X\} \tag{1.1}$$

for the *multiplier space of  $X$  and  $Y$* . The sets  $M(X, l_1)$ ,  $M(X, cs)$ , and  $M(X, bs)$  are called the  $\alpha$ -,  $\beta$ -, and  $\gamma$ -duals of  $X$ .

A Fréchet subspace  $X$  of  $\omega$  is called an FK space if it has continuous coordinates, that is, if convergence in  $X$  implies coordinatewise convergence. An FK space  $X \supset \phi$  is said to have AK if, for every sequence  $x = (x_k)_{k=0}^\infty \in X$ ,  $x^{[n]} \rightarrow x$  ( $n \rightarrow \infty$ ); and it is said to have AD if  $\phi$  is dense in  $X$ . A BK space is an FK space which is a Banach space.

If  $X$  is a  $p$ -normed space, then we write  $X^*$  for the set of all continuous linear functionals on  $X$ , the so-called *continuous dual of  $X$* , with its norm  $\|\cdot\|$  is given by

$$\|f\| = \sup \{|f(x)| : \|x\| = 1\} \quad \forall f \in X^*. \tag{1.2}$$

Let  $X \supset \phi$  be an FK space. Then the set  $X^f = \{(f(e^{(n)}))_{n=0}^\infty : f \in X^*\}$  is called the  *$f$ -dual of  $X$* .

Given any infinite matrix  $A = (a_{nk})_{n,k=0}^\infty$  of complex numbers and any sequence  $x \in \omega$ , let  $A_n(x) = \sum_{k=0}^\infty a_{nk} x_k$  ( $n = 0, 1, \dots$ ), and let  $A(x) = (A_n(x))_{n=0}^\infty$  provided the

series converge, and  $X_A = \{x \in \omega : A(x) \in X\}$ . If  $0 < p < \infty$ , then we write  $|x|^p = (|x_k|^p)_{k=0}^\infty$  and  $X_{[A]^p} = \{x \in \omega : A(|x|^p) \in X\}$ .

Let  $0 < p < \infty$  and  $\mu = (\mu_n)_{n=0}^\infty$  be a nondecreasing sequence of positive integers tending to infinity, throughout. We define the matrices  $\Delta$  and  $M$  by

$$\Delta_{nk} = \begin{cases} 1 & (k = n), \\ -1 & (k = n - 1), \\ 0 & (\text{otherwise}), \end{cases} \tag{1.3}$$

$$M_{nk} = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\mu_n^p} & (0 \leq k \leq n) \\ 0 & (k > n) \end{cases} \quad (n = 0, 1, \dots)$$

and use the convention that any symbol with a negative subscript has the value zero.

The sets

$$\begin{aligned} w_0^p(\mu) &= (c_0)_{[M]^p}, & w_\infty^p(\mu) &= (l_\infty)_{[M]^p}, \\ c_0^p(\mu) &= (\mu)^{-1} \times (w_0^p(\mu))_\Delta, & c_\infty^p(\mu) &= (\mu)^{-1} \times (w_\infty^p(\mu))_\Delta, & c^p(\mu) &= c_0^p(\mu) \oplus e \end{aligned} \tag{1.4}$$

were studied in [1], and their first duals were given there. If  $p = 1$ , then we omit the index  $p$ , i.e., we write  $w_0(\mu) = w_0^1(\mu)$ , etc.

Following the notation introduced in [3], we say that a nondecreasing sequence  $\Lambda = (\lambda_n)_{n=0}^\infty$  of positive reals tending to infinity is *exponentially bounded* if there are reals  $s$  and  $t$  with  $0 < s \leq t < 1$  such that for some subsequence  $(\lambda_{n(\nu)})_{\nu=0}^\infty$  of  $\Lambda$ , we have

$$s \leq \frac{\lambda_{n(\nu)}}{\lambda_{n(\nu+1)}} \leq t \quad \forall \nu = 0, 1, \dots; \tag{1.5}$$

such a subsequence  $(\lambda_{n(\nu)})_{\nu=0}^\infty$  is called an *associated subsequence*.

If  $(n(\nu))_{\nu=0}^\infty$  is a strictly increasing sequence of nonnegative integers, then we write  $K^{(\nu)}$  for the set of all integers  $k$  with  $n(\nu) \leq k \leq n(\nu + 1) - 1$ , and  $\sum_\nu$  and  $\max_\nu$  for the sum and maximum taken over all  $k$  in  $K^{(\nu)}$ .

If  $X^p(\Lambda)$  denotes any of the sets  $w_0^p(\Lambda)$ ,  $w_\infty^p(\Lambda)$ ,  $c_0^p(\Lambda)$ ,  $c^p(\Lambda)$ , or  $c_\infty^p(\Lambda)$ , then we write  $\tilde{X}^p(\Lambda)$  for the respective space with the sections  $1/\lambda_n^p \sum_{k=0}^n \dots$  replaced by the blocks  $1/\lambda_{n(\nu+1)}^p \sum_\nu \dots$ . Furthermore, we define

$$\begin{aligned} \|x\|_{w_0^p(\Lambda)} &= \begin{cases} \sup_n \left( \frac{1}{\lambda_n^p} \sum_{k=0}^n |x_k|^p \right) & (0 < p \leq 1), \\ \sup_n \left( \frac{1}{\lambda_n^p} \sum_{k=0}^n |x_k|^p \right)^{1/p} & (1 \leq p < \infty), \end{cases} \\ \|x\|_{\tilde{w}_0^p(\Lambda)} &= \begin{cases} \sup_\nu \left( \frac{1}{\lambda_{n(\nu+1)}^p} \sum_\nu |x_k|^p \right) & (0 < p \leq 1), \\ \sup_\nu \left( \frac{1}{\lambda_{n(\nu+1)}^p} \sum_\nu |x_k|^p \right)^{1/p} & (1 \leq p < \infty), \end{cases} \\ \|x\|_{c_0^p(\Lambda)} &= \|\Delta(\Lambda x)\|_{w_0^p(\Lambda)}, & \|x\|_{c_\infty^p(\Lambda)} &= \|\Delta(\Lambda x)\|_{\tilde{w}_0^p(\Lambda)}. \end{aligned} \tag{1.6}$$

**2. The second duals of the sets  $w_0^p(\Lambda)$  and  $w_\infty^p(\Lambda)$  for  $0 < p < \infty$ .** Let  $\Lambda = (\lambda_n)_{n=0}^\infty$  be a nondecreasing exponentially bounded sequence of positive reals throughout and let  $(\lambda_{n(\nu)})_{\nu=0}^\infty$  be an associated subsequence. We put

$$\mathfrak{W}^p(\Lambda) = \begin{cases} \left\{ a \in \omega : \sum_{\nu=0}^\infty \lambda_{n(\nu+1)} \max_{\nu} |a_k| < \infty \right\} & (0 < p \leq 1), \\ \left\{ a \in \omega : \sum_{\nu=0}^\infty \lambda_{n(\nu+1)} \left( \sum_{\nu} |a_k|^p \right)^{1/p} < \infty \right\} & \left( 1 < p < \infty, q = \frac{p}{p-1} \right) \end{cases} \tag{2.1}$$

and, on  $\mathfrak{W}^p(\Lambda)$ ,

$$\|a\|_{\mathfrak{W}^p(\Lambda)} = \begin{cases} \sum_{\nu=0}^\infty \lambda_{n(\nu+1)} \max_{\nu} |a_k| & (0 < p \leq 1), \\ \sum_{\nu=0}^\infty \lambda_{n(\nu+1)} \left( \sum_{\nu} |a_k|^p \right)^{1/p} & \left( 1 < p < \infty, q = \frac{p}{p-1} \right). \end{cases} \tag{2.2}$$

In [1, Theorem 2], it was shown that if  $X^p(\Lambda) = w_0^p(\Lambda)$  or  $X^p(\Lambda) = w_\infty^p(\Lambda)$  and  $\dagger$  stands for  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma$ , or  $f$ , then  $(X^p(\Lambda))^\dagger = \mathfrak{W}^p(\Lambda)$ , that the continuous dual  $(w_0^p(\Lambda))^*$  of  $w_0^p(\Lambda)$  is norm isomorphic to  $\mathfrak{W}^p(\Lambda)$  when  $w_0^p(\Lambda)$  has the norm  $\|\cdot\|_{\tilde{w}_0^p(\Lambda)}$ , and finally that  $\|a\|_{\tilde{w}_0^p(\Lambda)}^* = \|a\|_{\mathfrak{W}^p(\Lambda)}$  on  $(w_0^p(\Lambda))^\beta$ . Furthermore,  $\mathfrak{W}^p(\Lambda)$  is a BK space with AK with  $\|\cdot\|_{\mathfrak{W}^p(\Lambda)}$  (cf. [2]). Therefore the following result gives the second duals of the sets  $w_0^p(\Lambda)$  and  $w_\infty^p(\Lambda)$ .

**THEOREM 2.1.** *We put  $p' = \max\{1, p\}$ . If  $\dagger$  stands for any of the symbols  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma$ , or  $f$ , then  $(\mathfrak{W}^p(\Lambda))^\dagger = w_\infty^{p'}(\Lambda)$  for  $0 < p < \infty$ , and the continuous dual  $(\mathfrak{W}^p(\Lambda))^*$  of  $\mathfrak{W}^p(\Lambda)$  is norm isomorphic to  $w_\infty^{p'}(\Lambda)$  with  $\|\cdot\|_{\tilde{w}_\infty^{p'}}$ .*

**PROOF.** The statements of the theorem with the exception of those concerning the  $\gamma$ - and  $f$ -duals are well known (cf. [2, Theorems 2, 4, 5, and 6]). Since  $\mathfrak{W}^p(\Lambda)$  has AK, it follows that  $(\mathfrak{W}^p(\Lambda))^\beta = (\mathfrak{W}^p(\Lambda))^f$  by [4, Theorem 7.2.7(ii), page 106], and so  $(\mathfrak{W}^p(\Lambda))^f = w_\infty^{p'}(\Lambda)$ . Further  $\mathfrak{W}^p(\Lambda)$  has AD, since it has AK, and so  $(\mathfrak{W}^p(\Lambda))^\beta = (\mathfrak{W}^p(\Lambda))^\gamma$  by [4, Theorem 7.2.7(iii), page 106], hence  $(\mathfrak{W}^p(\Lambda))^\gamma = w_\infty^{p'}(\Lambda)$ . □

**3. The  $\alpha$ -duals of the sets  $c_0^p(\Lambda), c^p(\Lambda)$ , and  $c_\infty^p(\Lambda)$  for  $1 < p < \infty$**

**THEOREM 3.1.** *We put*

$$\mathfrak{C}_\alpha^p(\Lambda) = \left\{ a \in \omega : \sum_{\nu=0}^\infty \lambda_{n(\nu+1)} \left( \sum_{\nu} \left( \sum_{k=n}^\infty \frac{|a_k|}{\lambda_k} \right)^q \right)^{1/q} < \infty \right\} \quad \left( 1 < p < \infty; q = \frac{p}{p-1} \right),$$

$$\|a\|_{\mathfrak{C}_\alpha^p(\Lambda)} = \sum_{\nu=0}^\infty \lambda_{n(\nu+1)} \left( \sum_{\nu} \left( \sum_{k=n}^\infty \frac{|a_k|}{\lambda_k} \right)^q \right)^{1/q} . \tag{3.1}$$

*If  $X^p(\Lambda)$  denotes any of the sets  $c_0^p(\Lambda), c^p(\Lambda)$ , and  $c_\infty^p(\Lambda)$ , then  $(X^p(\Lambda))^\alpha = \mathfrak{C}_\alpha^p(\Lambda)$ . Furthermore,  $\mathfrak{C}_\alpha^p(\Lambda)$  is a BK space with  $\|\cdot\|_{\mathfrak{C}_\alpha^p(\Lambda)}$ .*

**PROOF.** First, we assume  $a \in \mathcal{C}_\alpha^p(\Lambda)$ , and let  $x \in c_\infty^p(\Lambda)$ . Then there is a constant  $M$  such that

$$\left( \sum_\nu |(\Delta(\Lambda x))_n|^p \right)^{1/p} \leq \lambda_{n(\nu+1)} M \quad \forall \nu = 0, 1, \dots \tag{3.2}$$

Putting  $R_n = \sum_{k=n}^\infty (|a_k|/\lambda_k)$  ( $n = 0, 1, \dots$ ) and using Hölder's inequality, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=0}^\infty |a_k x_k| &\leq \sum_{\nu=0}^\infty \frac{|a_k|}{\lambda_k} \sum_{n=0}^k |(\Delta(\Lambda x))_n| = \sum_{n=0}^\infty |(\Delta(\Lambda x))_n| \sum_{k=n}^\infty \frac{|a_k|}{\lambda_k} \\ &= \sum_{\nu=0}^\infty \sum_\nu |(\Delta(\Lambda x))_n| R_n \leq M \sum_{\nu=0}^\infty \lambda_{n(\nu+1)} \left( \sum_\nu R_n^q \right)^{1/q}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

This shows that  $\mathcal{C}_\alpha^p(\Lambda) \subset (c_\infty^p(\Lambda))^\alpha$  and that

$$\sum_{k=0}^\infty |a_k x_k| \leq \|a\|_{\mathcal{C}_\alpha^p(\Lambda)} \|x\|_{c_\infty^p(\Lambda)}. \tag{3.4}$$

Conversely, we assume  $a \in c_0^p(\Lambda)$ . We define the maps  $f_a^{(m)} : c_0^p(\Lambda) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  by  $f_a^{(m)}(x) = \sum_{k=0}^m |a_k x_k|$  ( $x \in X$ ). Then  $(f_a^{(m)})_{m=0}^\infty$  is a sequence of seminorms on  $c_0^p(\Lambda)$  which are continuous, since  $c_0^p(\Lambda)$  is a BK space by [1, Theorem 1]. Further,  $f_a^{(m)}(x) \leq \sum_{k=0}^\infty |a_k x_k| = M(x) < \infty$  for all  $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$  and for all  $x \in X$ . By the uniform boundedness principle, there is a constant  $M$  such that

$$\sum_{k=0}^\infty |a_k x_k| \leq M \quad \forall x \in c_0^p(\Lambda) \text{ with } \|x\|_{c_\infty^p(\Lambda)} \leq 1. \tag{3.5}$$

Since  $a \in (c_0^p(\Lambda))^\alpha$  and  $1/\Lambda = (1/\lambda_k)_{k=0}^\infty \in c_0^p(\Lambda)$ , the numbers  $R_n$  are defined for all  $n$ . We put

$$S_\mu = \sum_{l=n(\mu)}^{n(\mu+1)-1} R_l^q \quad \forall \mu = 0, 1, \dots \tag{3.6}$$

Let  $\nu(m) \in \mathbb{N}_0$  be given. We define the sequence  $x^{\nu(m)}$  by

$$x_n^{\nu(m)} = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \left( \sum_{\mu=0}^{\nu-1} \lambda_{n(\mu+1)} S_\mu^{-1/p} \sum_{k=n(\mu)}^{n(\mu+1)-1} R_k^{q-1} + \lambda_{n(\nu+1)} S_\nu^{-1/p} \sum_{k=n(\nu)}^n R_k^{q-1} \right) & (n \in N^{(\nu)}; 0 \leq \nu \leq \nu(m)), \\ \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \sum_{\mu=0}^{\nu(m)} \lambda_{n(\mu+1)} S_\mu^{-1/p} \sum_{k=n(\mu)}^{n(\mu+1)-1} R_k^{q-1} & (n \geq n(\nu(m)+1)). \end{cases} \tag{3.7}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} (\Delta(\Lambda x^{\nu(m)}))_n &= \begin{cases} \lambda_{n(\nu+1)} S_\nu^{-1/p} R_n^{q-1} & (n \in N^{(\nu)}; \nu = 0, 1, \dots, \nu(m)), \\ 0 & (n \in N^{(\nu)}; \nu \geq \nu(m)+1), \end{cases} \\ \sum_\nu |(\Delta(\Lambda x^{\nu(m)}))_n| &= \begin{cases} \lambda_{n(\nu+1)}^p S_\nu^{-1} \sum_\nu R_n^q = \lambda_{n(\nu+1)}^p & (0 \leq \nu \leq \nu(m)), \\ 0 & (\nu \geq \nu(m)+1). \end{cases} \end{aligned} \tag{3.8}$$

Thus  $x^{\nu(m)} \in c_0^p(\Lambda)$  and  $\|x^{\nu(m)}\|_{c_0^p(\Lambda)} = 1$ . Now, by (3.5) and (3.8) and since  $x_k^{\nu(m)} \geq 0$  for all  $k = 0, 1, \dots$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \sum_{\nu=0}^{\nu(m)} \lambda_{n(\nu+1)} \left( \sum_{\nu} R_n^q \right)^{1/q} &= \sum_{\nu=0}^{\nu(m)} \lambda_{n(\nu+1)} \left( \sum_{\nu} R_n^q \right) S_{\nu}^{-1/p} = \sum_{\nu=0}^{\nu(m)} \sum_{\nu} \left( \lambda_{n(\nu+1)} S_{\nu}^{-1/p} R_n^{q-1} \right) R_n \\
 &= \sum_{\nu=0}^{\nu(m)} \sum_{\nu} |(\Delta(\Lambda x^{\nu(m)}))_n| R_n \leq \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |(\Delta(\Lambda x^{\nu(m)}))_n| \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \frac{|a_k|}{\lambda_k} \\
 &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{|a_k|}{\lambda_k} \left| \sum_{n=0}^k (\Delta(\Lambda x^{\nu(m)}))_n \right| = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{|a_k|}{\lambda_k} \lambda_k |x_k^{\nu(m)}| \\
 &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |a_k| |x_k^{\nu(m)}| \leq M.
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.9}$$

Since  $\nu(m) \in \mathbb{N}_0$  was arbitrary, we have

$$\sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} \lambda_{n(\nu+1)} \left( \sum_{\nu} R_n^q \right)^{1/q} \leq \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |a_k x_k| < \infty, \tag{3.10}$$

that is,  $a \in \mathcal{C}_{\alpha}^p(\Lambda)$ .

Therefore we have shown  $(c_{\infty}^p(\Lambda))^{\alpha} = (c_0^p(\Lambda))^{\alpha} = \mathcal{C}_{\alpha}^p(\Lambda)$ . Since  $c_0^p(\Lambda) \subset c^p(\Lambda) \subset c_{\infty}^p(\Lambda)$  for  $1 < p < \infty$  (cf. [1, Lemma 1(b)]), we also have  $(c^p(\Lambda))^{\alpha} = \mathcal{C}_{\alpha}^p(\Lambda)$ .

Finally,  $\mathcal{C}_{\alpha}^p(\Lambda)$  is a BK space with  $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{C}_{\alpha}^p(\Lambda)}$  by [4, Theorem 4.3.15, page 64], (3.4), and (3.10).  $\square$

#### 4. The second duals of the sets $c_0^p(\Lambda)$ , $c^p(\Lambda)$ , and $c_{\infty}^p(\Lambda)$ for $0 < p \leq 1$ . We put

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathcal{C}(\Lambda) &= \left\{ a \in \omega : \sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} \lambda_{n(\nu+1)} \max_{\nu} \left| \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \frac{a_k}{\lambda_k} \right| < \infty \right\}, \\
 \|a\|_{\mathcal{C}(\Lambda)} &= \sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} \lambda_{n(\nu+1)} \max_{\nu} \left| \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \frac{a_k}{\lambda_k} \right|.
 \end{aligned} \tag{4.1}$$

In [1, Theorem 4], it was shown that if  $X^p(\Lambda)$  is any of the sets  $c_0^p(\Lambda)$  or  $c_{\infty}^p(\Lambda)$  and  $\dagger$  stands for any of the symbols  $\beta$ ,  $\gamma$ , or  $f$ , then  $(X^p(\Lambda))^{\dagger} = \mathcal{C}(\Lambda)$  and that this also holds when  $X^p(\Lambda) = c(\Lambda)$  or  $X^p(\Lambda) = c^p(\Lambda)$  for  $0 < p < 1$  whenever

$$\sup_n \frac{1}{\mu_n^p} \sum_{k=0}^n |(\Delta(\mu x))_k|^p < \infty; \tag{4.2}$$

otherwise  $(c^p(\Lambda))^{\beta} = \mathcal{C}(\Lambda) \cap c s$  and  $(c^p(\Lambda))^{\gamma} = \mathcal{C}(\Lambda) \cap b s$ . Furthermore, it was shown that the continuous dual  $(c_0^p(\Lambda))^*$  of  $c_0^p(\Lambda)$  is norm isomorphic to  $\mathcal{C}(\Lambda)$  when  $c_0^p(\Lambda)$  has the  $p$ -norm  $\|\cdot\|_{c_0^p(\Lambda)}$  and  $\|a\|_{c_0^p(\Lambda)}^* = \|a\|_{\mathcal{C}(\Lambda)}$  on  $c_{\infty}^p(\Lambda)$ . Finally, that  $f \in c^*(\Lambda)$  if and only if  $f(x) = l\chi_f + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n x_n$  for all  $x \in c(\Lambda)$  where  $a \in \mathcal{C}(\Lambda)$ ,  $l \in \mathbb{C}$  with  $x - le \in c_0(\Lambda)$  and  $\chi_f = f(e) - \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n$ , and that  $\|f\|$  is equivalent to  $|\chi_f| + \|a\|_{\mathcal{C}(\Lambda)}$ ; if condition (4.2) is satisfied, then this also holds for  $c^p(\Lambda)$  ( $0 < p < 1$ ).

Therefore the following result gives the second duals.

- THEOREM 4.1.** (a) *The space  $\mathcal{C}(\Lambda)$  with  $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{C}(\Lambda)}$  is a BK space with AK.*  
 (b) *The set  $c_\infty(\Lambda)$  is  $\beta$  perfect, that is,  $c_\infty^{\beta\beta}(\Lambda) = c_\infty(\Lambda)$ . Further  $\|a\|_{\mathcal{C}(\Lambda)}^* = \|a\|_{c_\infty(\Lambda)}$  for all  $a \in \mathcal{C}^\beta(\Lambda)$ .*  
 (c) *Finally,  $\mathcal{C}^f(\Lambda) = \mathcal{C}^y(\Lambda) = \mathcal{C}^\beta(\Lambda)$ .*

**PROOF.** We apply Abel’s summation by parts. If  $a \in cs$ , then we write  $R(a)$  for the sequence with  $R_n(a) = \sum_{k=n}^\infty a_k$  ( $n = 0, 1, \dots$ ). Then

$$\sum_{n=0}^{m-1} a_n y_n = \sum_{n=0}^m R_n(a)(\Delta y)_n - R_m(a)y_m \quad \forall m = 0, 1, \dots \tag{4.3}$$

(a) The space  $\mathcal{W}(\Lambda)$  is a BK space with  $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{W}(\Lambda)}$  (cf. [2, Theorem 2]). Further, the matrix  $A$  defined by  $a_{nk} = 1/\lambda_k$  for  $k \geq n$  and  $a_{nk} = 0$  for  $0 \leq n-1$  ( $n = 0, 1, \dots$ ) is one-to-one, and  $x = A(y) \in \mathcal{C}(\Lambda)$  if and only if  $y \in \mathcal{W}(\Lambda)$ . So, by [4, Theorem 4.3.2, page 61],  $\mathcal{C}(\Lambda)$  is a BK space with  $\|x\|_{\mathcal{C}(\Lambda)} = \|A(y)\|_{\mathcal{W}(\Lambda)}$ . Now, we show that  $\mathcal{C}(\Lambda)$  has AK. First, we observe that  $\phi \subset \mathcal{C}(\Lambda)$ , since  $\mathcal{C}(\Lambda)$  is the  $\beta$ -dual of a sequence space. Now, let  $x \in \mathcal{C}(\Lambda)$  and let  $\varepsilon > 0$ . For each  $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , let  $v_m$  denote the uniquely determined integer for which  $m \in N^{(v_m)}$ . We choose  $m_0 \in \mathbb{N}_0$  such that

$$\sum_{v=v_m}^\infty \lambda_{n(v+1)} \max_v |R_n(x/\Lambda)| < \varepsilon \quad \forall m \geq m_0. \tag{4.4}$$

Let  $m \geq m_0$ . Since the sequence  $\Lambda = (\lambda_n)_{n=0}^\infty$  is exponentially bounded, there is  $t \in (0, 1)$  such that, by (1.5),

$$\begin{aligned} \|x - x^{[m]}\|_{\mathcal{C}(\Lambda)} &= \sum_{v=0}^\infty \lambda_{n(v+1)} \max_v |R_n((x - x^{[m]})/\Lambda)| \\ &\leq \sum_{v=0}^{v_m-1} \lambda_{n(v+1)} |R_{m+1}(x/\Lambda)| + \sum_{v=v_m}^\infty \lambda_{n(v+1)} \max_v |R_n(x/\Lambda)| \\ &< \varepsilon + \sum_{v=0}^{v_m-1} \frac{\lambda_{n(v+1)}}{\lambda_{n(v_m+1)}} \lambda_{n(v_m+1)} \max_{v_m} |R_n(x/\Lambda)| \\ &< \varepsilon + \varepsilon \sum_{v=0}^{v_m-1} t^{v_m-v} < \varepsilon \frac{1}{1-t}. \end{aligned} \tag{4.5}$$

This shows that  $\mathcal{C}(\Lambda)$  has AK.

(b) First, we show that  $\mathcal{C}^\beta(\Lambda) = c_\infty(\Lambda)$ .

For any  $X \subset \omega$ ,  $X \subset X^{\beta\beta}$  by [4, Theorem 7.1.2, page 105]. So we have to show  $c_\infty(\Lambda) \subset \mathcal{C}^\beta(\Lambda)$  by [1, Theorem 4].

Let  $a \in c_\infty(\Lambda)$ . We define  $f_a : \mathcal{C}(\Lambda) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  by  $f_a(x) = \sum_{k=0}^\infty a_k x_k$  for all  $x \in \mathcal{C}(\Lambda)$ . Then  $f_a \in \mathcal{C}^*(\Lambda)$  by [4, Theorem 7.2.9, page 107], and so

$$|f_a(x)| \leq \|f_a\| \|x\|_{\mathcal{C}(\Lambda)} < \infty \quad \forall x \in \mathcal{C}(\Lambda). \tag{4.6}$$

Let  $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$  be given and  $\nu_m$  the uniquely determined integer such that  $m \in N^{(\nu_m)}$ . Since  $\Lambda$  is exponentially bounded, there are  $s, t \in (0, 1)$  such that, by (1.5),

$$\begin{aligned} \|e^{(m)}\|_{\mathcal{C}(\Lambda)} &= \sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} \lambda_{n(\nu+1)} \max_{\nu} \left| R_n \left( \frac{e^{(m)}}{\Lambda_k} \right) \right| = \sum_{\nu=0}^{\nu_m} \frac{\lambda_{n(\nu+1)}}{\lambda_m} \\ &\leq \sum_{\nu=0}^{\nu_m} \frac{\lambda_{n(\nu+1)}}{\lambda_{n(\nu_m+1)}} \frac{\lambda_{n(\nu_m+1)}}{\lambda_{n(\nu_m)}} \leq \frac{1}{s} \sum_{\nu=0}^{\nu_m} t^{\nu_m-\nu} \leq \frac{1}{s(1-t)} < \infty. \end{aligned} \tag{4.7}$$

Now (4.6) implies

$$|a_m| = |f_a(e^{(m)})| \leq \|f_a\| \|e^{(m)}\|_{\mathcal{C}(\Lambda)} \leq \|f_a\| \frac{1}{s(1-t)} \quad \forall m \in \mathbb{N}_0, \tag{4.8}$$

and so  $a \in l_{\infty}$ . Further,  $x \in \mathcal{C}(\Lambda)$  implies that  $R_n(x/\Lambda) \in cs$  for all  $n$ , and  $\Lambda R(x/\Lambda) \in c_0$ . Therefore  $a\Lambda R(x/\Lambda) \in c_0$ . Now (4.3) yields

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n x_n = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} R_n(x/\Lambda) (\Delta(\Lambda a))_n \quad \forall x \in \mathcal{C}(\Lambda). \tag{4.9}$$

Thus  $R(x/\Lambda)\Delta(\Lambda a) \in cs$  for all  $x \in \mathcal{C}(\Lambda)$ . Now  $x \in \mathcal{C}(\Lambda)$  if and only if  $R(x/\Lambda) \in \mathcal{W}(\Lambda)$  and, by [2, Theorem 4],  $\Delta(\Lambda a) \in \mathcal{W}^{\beta}(\Lambda) = w_{\infty}(\Lambda)$ . But this means that  $a \in c_{\infty}(\Lambda)$ . Thus we have shown that  $\mathcal{C}^{\beta}(\Lambda) \subset c_{\infty}(\Lambda)$ .

Now we show

$$\|a\|_{\mathcal{C}^{\beta}(\Lambda)}^* = \|a\|_{\tilde{c}_{\infty}(\Lambda)} \quad \forall a \in \mathcal{C}^{\beta}(\Lambda). \tag{4.10}$$

Let  $a \in \mathcal{C}^{\beta}(\Lambda) = c_{\infty}(\Lambda)$ , by what we have just shown. Then by (4.9), for all  $x \in \mathcal{C}(\Lambda)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n x_n \right| &\leq \sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} \lambda_{n(\nu+1)} \max_{\nu} |R_n(x/\Lambda)| \frac{1}{\lambda_{n(\nu+1)}} \sum_{\nu} |(\Delta(\Lambda a))_n| \\ &\leq \|a\|_{\tilde{c}_{\infty}(\Lambda)} \|x\|_{\mathcal{C}(\Lambda)}, \end{aligned} \tag{4.11}$$

and so

$$\|a\|_{\mathcal{C}^{\beta}(\Lambda)}^* \leq \|a\|_{\tilde{c}_{\infty}(\Lambda)}. \tag{4.12}$$

Let  $\nu_m \in \mathbb{N}_0$ . By  $\nu_{0,m}$ , we denote the smallest integer with  $0 \leq \nu_{0,m} \leq \nu_m$  for which

$$\frac{1}{\lambda_{n(\nu_{0,m}+1)}} \sum_{\nu_{0,m}} |(\Delta(\Lambda a))_n| = \max_{0 \leq \nu \leq \nu_m} \left( \frac{1}{\lambda_{n(\nu+1)}} \sum_{\nu} |(\Delta(\Lambda a))_n| \right). \tag{4.13}$$

We define the sequences  $R^{(m)}$  and  $x^{(m)}$  by

$$R_n^{(m)} = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\lambda_{n(\nu_{0,m}+1)}} \operatorname{sgn}((\Delta(\Lambda a))_n) & \text{for } n \in N^{(\nu_{0,m})}, \\ 0 & \text{for } n \notin N^{(\nu_{0,m})}, \end{cases} \tag{4.14}$$

and  $x_n^{(m)} = R_n^{(m)} - R_{n+1}^{(m)}$  ( $n = 0, 1, \dots$ ). Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|x^{(m)}\|_{\mathcal{C}(\Lambda)} &= \sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} \lambda_{n(\nu+1)} \max_{\nu} |R_n^{(m)}| = \lambda_{n(\nu_{0,m}+1)} \max_{\nu_{0,m}} |R_n^{(m)}| \leq 1, \\ \left| \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n x_n^{(m)} \right| &= \max_{0 \leq \nu \leq \nu_m} \frac{1}{\lambda_{n(\nu+1)}} \sum_{\nu} |(\Delta(\Lambda a))_n| \leq \|a\|_{\mathcal{C}(\Lambda)}^* \|x\|_{\mathcal{C}(\Lambda)} \leq \|a\|_{\mathcal{C}(\Lambda)}^*. \end{aligned} \quad (4.15)$$

Since  $\nu_m$  was arbitrary, we obtain  $\|a\|_{\tilde{c}_{\infty}(\Lambda)} \leq \|a\|_{\mathcal{C}(\Lambda)}^*$ . Together with (4.12), this yields (4.10).

(c) Since  $\mathcal{C}(\Lambda)$  has AK by part (b) and so AD, part (c) follows from [4, Theorem 7.2.7(ii) and (iii), page 106].  $\square$

### REFERENCES

- [1] A. M. Jarrah and E. Malkowsky, *The dual spaces of  $\Lambda$ -strongly convergent and bounded sequences*, to appear.
- [2] E. Malkowsky, *Matrix Transformations in a New Class of Sequences that Includes Spaces of Absolutely and Strongly Summable Sequences*, Habilitationsschrift, Giessen, Univ. Giessen, 1989. Zbl 684.46011.
- [3] ———, *The continuous duals of the spaces  $c_0(\Lambda)$  and  $c(\Lambda)$  for exponentially bounded sequences  $\Lambda$* , Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged) **61** (1995), no. 1-4, 241–250. MR 97d:46005. Zbl 846.40008.
- [4] A. Wilansky, *Summability through Functional Analysis*, North-Holland Mathematics Studies, 85, North-Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam-New York, 1984, Notas de Matemática [Mathematical Notes], 91. MR 85d:40006. Zbl 531.40008.

A. M. JARRAH: DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FACULTY OF SCIENCE, YARMOUK UNIVERSITY, IRBID, JORDAN

*E-mail address:* ajarrah@yu.edu.jo

E. MALKOWSKY: C/O DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FACULTY OF SCIENCE, YARMOUK UNIVERSITY, IRBID, JORDAN

*E-mail address:* malkowsky@math.uni-giessen.de





# Hindawi

Submit your manuscripts at  
<http://www.hindawi.com>

