

ON QUASI h -PURE SUBMODULES OF QTAG-MODULES

MOHD. Z. KHAN and A. ZUBAIR

(Received 19 February 1999)

ABSTRACT. Different concepts and decomposition theorems have been done for QTAG-modules by number of authors. We introduce quasi h -pure submodules for QTAG-modules and we obtain several characterizations for quasi h -pure submodules and as a consequence we deduce a result done by Fuchs 1973.

Keywords and phrases. QTAG-module, h -neat submodules, h -pure submodules, h -dense submodules, quasi h -pure submodules and h -divisible modules.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 16D70, 20K10.

1. Introduction. Following [4] a module M_R is called QTAG-module if it satisfies the following condition:

(I) Any finitely generated submodule of any homomorphic image of M is a direct sum of uniserial modules.

The structure theory of such modules has been developed by various authors. Recently Singh and Khan [5] have characterized the modules in which h -neat submodules are h -pure. The main purpose of this paper is to introduce the concept of quasi h -pure submodules, a weaker version of h -pure submodules. In Section 3, some characterization of h -pure submodules are obtained (Theorems 3.2 and 3.4) for the subsequent use. In general it is known that $\text{soc}(A+B) \neq \text{soc}(A) + \text{soc}(B)$. The equality for some submodules motivated to define the concept of quasi h -pure submodules. Several characterizations of quasi h -pure submodules are obtained (Theorems 4.6 and 4.7) and as a consequence we deduce [1, Theorem 66.3] as Corollary 4.9.

2. Preliminaries. Rings considered in this paper are with $1 \neq 0$ and modules are unital QTAG-module. A module in which the lattice of its submodule is totally ordered is called a serial module; in addition if it has finite composition length it is called a uniserial module. An $x \in M$ is called a uniform element if xR is a nonzero uniform (hence uniserial) submodule of M . For any module A_R with a composition series, $d(A)$ denotes its length. If $x \in M$ is uniform, then $e(x) = d(xR)$, $H_M(x) = \sup\{d(yR/xR) \mid y \in M \text{ and } y \text{ is uniform with } x \in yR\}$ are called exponent of x and height of x , respectively. For any $n \geq 0$, $H_n(M) = \{x \in M \mid H(x) \geq n\}$. A submodule N of M is called h -pure in M if $N \cap H_k(M) = H_k(N)$ for every $k \geq 0$ and N is called h -neat if $N \cap H_1(M) = H_1(N)$. The module M is called h -divisible if $H_1(M) = M$. For any module K , $\text{soc}(K)$ denotes the socle of K . For other basic concepts of QTAG-module one may refer to [2, 3, 4, 5].

3. h -pure submodules. In this section, we have obtained some characterizations of h -neat and h -pure submodules which are used in Section 4.

First, we prove the following proposition.

PROPOSITION 3.1. *A submodule N of a QTAG-module M is h -neat if and only if $\text{soc}(M/N) = (\text{soc}(M) + N)/N$.*

PROOF. Suppose N is h -neat in M . Let \tilde{y} be a uniform element in $\text{soc}(M/N)$, where y may be chosen to be uniform in M . Then $\tilde{y}R = (yR + N)/N \cong yR/(yR \cap N)$. Hence $d(yR/(yR \cap N)) = 1$. Put $yR \cap N = zR$, then due to h -neatness of N there exist a uniform element $w \in N$ such that $y \in wR$ and $d(wR/zR) = 1$. Appealing to [4, Lemma 2.3] we get $e(y - z) \leq 1$, so $y - z \in \text{soc}(M)$ and we get $\tilde{y} \in (\text{soc}(M) + N)/N$. Thus $\text{soc}(M/N) = (\text{soc}(M) + N)/N$. Conversely, let x be a uniform element in $N \cap H_1(M)$, then we can find a uniform element $y \in M$ such that $d(yR/xR) = 1$. Hence $e(\tilde{y}) = 1$ and so $\tilde{y} \in \text{soc}(M/N)$. Therefore, $\tilde{y} = \tilde{z}$, where $z \in \text{soc}(M)$. Now $xR = H_1(yR) = H_1((y - z)R) \subseteq H_1(N)$. Hence N is h -neat submodule of M .

It is well known that $H_n(M/N) = (H_n(M) + N)/N$ for all nonnegative integer n . □

Similar to Proposition 3.1, we have the following.

THEOREM 3.2. *A submodule N of M is h -pure in M if and only if $\text{soc}(H_n(M/N)) = (\text{soc}(H_n(M)) + N)/N$, for all nonnegative integers n .*

PROOF. Suppose $\text{soc}(H_n(M/N)) = (\text{soc}(H_n(M)) + N)/N$ holds for all $n \geq 0$. Then by Proposition 3.1 N is h -neat in M . Now suppose $N \cap H_n(M) = H_n(N)$ and x be a uniform element in $N \cap H_{n+1}(M)$, then there exists a uniform element $t \in H_n(M)$ such that $d(tR/xR) = 1$, so $e(\tilde{t}) = 1$. Hence by assumption $\tilde{t} = \tilde{z}$, where $z \in \text{soc}(H_n(M))$. Trivially $t - z \in N \cap H_n(M) = H_n(N)$. Therefore, $xR = H_1(tR) = H_1((t - z)R) \subseteq H_{n+1}(N)$. Hence by induction, N is h -pure submodule of M . Conversely, suppose N is h -pure in M , then by, Proposition 3.1, $\text{soc}(M/N) = (\text{soc}(M) + N)/N$. Now for applying induction suppose $\text{soc}(H_k(M/N)) = (\text{soc}(H_k(M)) + N)/N$. Let \tilde{x} be a uniform element in $\text{soc}(H_{k+1}(M/N)) = \text{soc}((H_{k+1}(M) + N)/N)$, then x can be chosen to be a uniform element in $H_{k+1}(M)$. Now $\tilde{x}R = (xR + N)/N \cong xR/(xR \cap N) = xR/yR$. Then $d(xR/yR) = 1$ which yields $y \in N \cap H_{k+2}(M) = H_{k+2}(N)$. Therefore we can find a uniform element $t \in H_{k+1}(N)$ such that $d(tR/yR) = 1$. Hence appealing to [4, Lemma 2.3] we get $e(x - t) \leq 1$. Consequently, $x - t \in \text{soc}(H_{k+1}(M))$ and $\tilde{x} \in (\text{soc}(H_{k+1}(M) + N)/N)$. Hence we get the equality. □

NOTATION 3.3. *For any nonnegative integer n , we denote by $S^n(M)$ the submodule $\text{soc}(H_n(M/N))$ and by $S_n(M)$ the submodule $(\text{soc}(H_n(M)) + N)/N$ and by $S_n(M, N) = S^n(M)/S_n(M)$.*

In terms of the above notation and Theorem 3.2, we have the following.

THEOREM 3.4. *A submodule N of M is h -pure if and only if $S_t(M, N) = 0$ for all $t \geq 0$.*

Now we prove the following which is of independent interest.

THEOREM 3.5. *If N is a submodule of M and K is a proper h -pure submodule of M containing N , then the following holds*

- (i) $S^t(M) = S^t(K) + S_t(M)$,
- (ii) $S^t(K) \cap S_t(M) = S_t(K)$.

PROOF. (i) Let $\bar{x} \in S^t(M)$ be a uniform element where x is uniform in $H_t(M)$. Then we can get a uniform element $y \in N$ such that $d(xR/yR) = 1$, then $y \in N \cap K \cap H_{t+1}(M)$. As K is h -pure, $y \in H_{t+1}(K)$. Therefore there is a uniform element $z \in H_t(K)$ such that $d(zR/yR) = 1$. Hence $e(x-z) \leq 1$ and we get $x-z \in \text{soc}(H_t(M))$. Consequently, $\bar{x} = \bar{z} + \bar{w}$, where $w \in \text{soc}(H_t(M))$ and $\bar{x} \in S^t(K) + S_t(M)$. Hence $S^t(M) = S^t(K) + S_t(M)$.

(ii) Let $\bar{x} \in S^t(K) \cap S_t(M)$, then $\bar{x} = \bar{y} + \bar{z}$, $\bar{y} \in S^t(K)$ and $\bar{z} \in S_t(M)$. As $y-z \in N$, where $y \in H_t(K)$ and $z \in \text{soc}(H_t(M))$ we have $y-z \in K \cap H_t(M) = H_t(K)$ and so $y-z = w \in H_t(K)$. Consequently, $z = y-w \in \text{soc}(H_t(K))$. Hence $\bar{x} = \bar{z} = y-w + N \in (\text{soc}(H_t(K)) + N)/N = S_t(K)$ and we get $S^t(K) \cap S_t(M) = S_t(K)$. □

4. Quasi h -pure submodules. In this section, we introduce quasi h -pure submodule weakening the concept of h -pure submodules. As in Theorem 3.2, one can think of the equality of $\text{soc}(N + H_n(M))$ and $\text{soc}(N) + \text{soc}(H_n(M))$. It is well known that the equality, in general does not hold. Here we examine, the consequences of the equality of the two expressions.

NOTATION 4.1. For any nonnegative integer t , we denote by $N^t(M)$ the submodule $(N + H_{t+1}(M)) \cap \text{soc}(H_t(M))$ and by $N_t(M)$ the submodule $N \cap \text{soc}(H_t(M)) + \text{soc}(H_{t+1}(M))$ and by $Q_t(M, N) = N^t(M)/N_t(M)$.

THEOREM 4.2. If N and K are submodules of QTAG-module M such that $N \subseteq K$ and K is h -pure in M , then the module $Q_n(M, N)$ and $Q_n(K, N)$ are isomorphic.

PROOF. Define a map $\sigma : N^n(K)/N_n(K) \rightarrow N^n(M)/N_n(M)$ such that $\sigma(x + N_n(K)) = x + N_n(M)$. Obviously σ is an R -homomorphism. Now if for some $x \in N^n(K)$, $x \in N_n(M)$, then $x = y + z$, $y \in N \cap \text{soc}(H_n(M))$ and $z \in \text{soc}(H_{n+1}(M))$, then $y \in K \cap \text{soc}(H_n(M)) \subseteq H_n(K)$ gives $y \in N \cap \text{soc}(H_n(K))$. Also $z = x - y \in K \cap \text{soc}(H_{n+1}(M))$ yields $z \in \text{soc}(H_{n+1}(K))$. Hence $x \in N_n(K)$ and we get σ , a monomorphism. We now prove that σ is an epimorphism. Consider $s \in N^n(M)$ such that s is uniform and $s \notin N_n(M)$ then $s = a + b$, where $a \in N$, $b \in H_{n+1}(M)$. If $s \in N$ or $s \in H_{n+1}(M)$ we get $s \in N_n(M)$. Hence $aR \cap sR = 0 = bR \cap sR$. Consequently, $aR \subseteq bR \oplus sR$ with $a = -b + s$ gives $aR \cong bR$ under the correspondence $ar \leftrightarrow -br$. Then $H_1(aR) = H_1(bR)$ and the above correspondence is identity on $H_1(aR)$. Now $a = s - b \in K \cap H_n(M) = H_n(K)$, so that $H_1(aR) = H_1(bR) \subseteq H_{n+2}(M) \cap K = H_{n+2}(K)$ and we get $y \in H_{n+1}(K)$ such that $H_1(aR) = H_1(yR)$ and $\lambda : aR \rightarrow yR$ given by $\lambda(ar) = yr$ is identity on $H_1(aR)$. Consequently, $e(a-y) \leq 1$. So that $a-y \in \text{soc}(H_n(K))$. Then the mapping $\mu : bR \rightarrow yR$ such that $\mu(br) = -yr$ is also identity on $H_1(bR)$ and hence $b+y \in \text{soc}(H_{n+1}(M))$. Therefore, $b+y \in N_n(M)$. Also $a-y \in (N + H_{n+1}(K)) \cap \text{soc}(H_n(K))$. Hence

$$\sigma(a - y + N_n(K)) = a - y + N_n(M) = s - (b + y) + N_n(M) = s + N_n(M). \tag{4.1}$$

This proves that σ is an epimorphism. Hence the result follows. □

THEOREM 4.3. *If N is h -neat submodule of M , then N is h -pure in M if and only if $Q_n(M, N) = 0$ for every $n \geq 0$.*

PROOF. Let N be h -pure in M then by, Theorem 4.2, $N^t(N)/N_t(N) \cong N^t(M)/N_t(M)$ for all $t > 0$, but $N^t(N) = N_t(N)$. Therefore, $N^t(M) = N_t(M)$ and we get $Q_t(M, N) = 0$. Conversely, suppose $N \cap H_n(M) = H_n(N)$. Let x be a uniform element in $N \cap H_{n+1}(M)$ then there is a uniform element $y \in H_n(M)$ such that $d(yR/xR) = 1$ and also as $x \in N \cap H_{n+1}(M) \subseteq N \cap H_n(M) = H_n(N)$ we can find a uniform element $z \in H_{n-1}(N)$ such that $d(zR/xR) = 1$. Hence $e(y - z) \leq 1$ and so $y - z \in \text{soc}(M)$ but $y - z \in N + H_n(M)$ and $y - z \in \text{soc}(H_{n-1}(M))$. Therefore, $y - z \in (N + H_n(M)) \cap \text{soc}(H_{n-1}(M))$ but $N^{t-1}(M) = N_{t-1}(M)$, we get $y - z \in N \cap \text{soc}(H_{n-1}(M)) + \text{soc}(H_n(M))$. So $y - z = a + b$, $a \in N \cap \text{soc}(H_{n-1}(M))$, $b \in \text{soc}(H_n(M))$, which gives $y - b = a + z \in N \cap H_n(M) = H_n(N)$. Hence $xR = H_1(yR) = H_1((y - b)R) \subseteq H_{n+1}(N)$. Therefore, N is h -pure in M . □

The question: what are the submodules for which $Q_n(M, N) = 0$ for all $n \geq 0$? Gave the motivation to define the following.

DEFINITION 4.4. A submodule N of a QTAG-module M is quasi h -pure in M if $Q_n(M, N) = 0$ for all $n \geq 0$.

PROPOSITION 4.5. *If N is h -pure submodule of M or if N is a subsocle of M , then N is quasi h -pure.*

PROOF. If N is h -pure, then appealing to Theorem 4.3, we get N to be quasi h -pure. Now if $N \subseteq \text{soc}(M)$, then trivially $N^t(M) = N_t(M)$ for all $t \geq 0$. Hence N is quasi h -pure submodule of M . □

Now we give the following nice characterization of quasi h -pure submodule.

THEOREM 4.6. *If N is a submodule of a QTAG-module M , then the following are equivalent:*

- (a) N is quasi h -pure in M .
- (b) $\text{soc}(N + H_n(M)) = \text{soc}(N) + \text{soc}(H_n(M))$ for all $n \geq 1$.
- (c) $H_1(N \cap H_n(M)) = H_1(N) \cap H_{n+1}(M)$ for all $n \geq 1$.

PROOF. (a) \Leftrightarrow (b). Suppose N is quasi h -pure in M then $Q_n(M, N) = 0$ for all $n \geq 0$. Therefore, $N^t(M) = N_t(M)$ gives $\text{soc}(N + H_1(M)) = \text{soc}(N) + \text{soc}(H_1(M))$ for $t = 0$. Now suppose (b) holds for all $t \leq m$, then $\text{soc}(N + H_{m+1}(M)) \subseteq \text{soc}(N + H_m(M)) = \text{soc}(N) + \text{soc}(H_m(M))$. Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{soc}(N + H_{m+1}(M)) &= (N + H_{m+1}(M)) \cap [\text{soc}(N) + \text{soc}(H_m(M))] \\ &= \text{soc}(N) + (N + H_{m+1}(M)) \cap \text{soc}(H_m(M)) \\ &= \text{soc}(N) + N \cap \text{soc}(H_m(M)) + \text{soc}(H_{m+1}(M)) \\ &= \text{soc}(N) + \text{soc}(H_{m+1}(M)). \end{aligned} \tag{4.2}$$

Hence (b) holds for all $n \geq 1$. Now suppose (b) holds then trivially

$$(N + H_{n+1}(M)) \cap \text{soc}(H_n(M)) \subseteq N \cap \text{soc}(H_n(M)) + \text{soc}(H_{n+1}(M)). \tag{4.3}$$

Hence $Q_n(M, N) = 0$ for all $n \geq 1$. Therefore N is quasi h -pure in M .

(b) \Leftrightarrow (c). Suppose (b) holds. Trivially $H_1(N \cap H_n(M)) \subseteq H_1(N) \cap H_{n+1}(M)$. Let x be a uniform element in $H_1(N) \cap H_{n+1}(M)$, then we get uniform elements $y \in N$ and $z \in H_n(M)$ such that $d(yR/xR) = 1$ and $d(zR/xR) = 1$. Hence appealing to [4, Lemma 2.3] we get $e(y - z) \leq 1$, so $y - z \in \text{soc}(N + H_n(M)) = \text{soc}(N) + \text{soc}(H_n(M))$. Hence $y - z = u + v$, $u \in \text{soc}(N)$ and $v \in \text{soc}(H_n(M))$. Thus $y - u = v + z \in N \cap H_n(M)$, consequently $xR = H_1((y - u)R) = H_1((v + z)R) \subseteq H_1(N \cap H_n(M))$. Hence (c) follows. Now suppose (c) holds. Let x be a uniform element in $\text{soc}(N + H_n(M))$ then $x = w + t$, where $w \in N$ and $t \in H_n(M)$. Now $H_1(wR) = H_1((w - x)R) = H_1(-tR) \subseteq H_1(N) \cap H_{n+1}(M) = H_1(N \cap H_n(M))$. Hence, as done in the proof of Theorem 4.2, we get an element $s \in N \cap H_n(M)$ such that $H_1(wR) = H_1(-tR) = H_1(sR)$ and $e(w - s) \leq 1$ and $e(s + t) \leq 1$. Thus $x = w - s + s + t \in \text{soc}(N) + \text{soc}(H_n(M))$ and we get (b). \square

Although the following result follows from Theorem 4.3, but using the above characterization we get a new proof.

THEOREM 4.7. *If N is a submodule of M , then N is h -pure in M if and only if N is h -neat and quasi h -pure in M .*

PROOF. If N is h -pure in M , then Theorem 4.3 implies that N is quasi h -pure in M . Now suppose N is h -neat and quasi h -pure in M and $N \cap H_n(M) = H_n(N)$, then $H_{n+1}(N) = H_1(N \cap H_n(M)) = H_1(N) \cap H_{n+1}(M)$ by above Theorem 4.6. But $H_1(N) \cap H_{n+1}(M) = (N \cap H_1(M)) \cap H_{n+1}(M) = N \cap H_{n+1}(M)$. Hence by induction N is h -pure in M . \square

Now as an application of Theorem 4.6(b), we have the following.

THEOREM 4.8. *If N is a submodule of M , then the following hold:*

- (i) *If $\text{soc}(N)$ is h -dense in $\text{soc}M$, then N is quasi h -pure in M .*
- (ii) *If N is quasi h -pure in M , then every essential submodule of N is quasi h -pure in M .*

PROOF. (i) Since $\text{soc}(M) = \text{soc}(N) + \text{soc}(H_n(M))$ for all $n \geq 0$, so $\text{soc}(N + H_n(M)) = \text{soc}(N) + \text{soc}(H_n(M))$ for all $n \geq 0$. Therefore N is quasi h -pure in M .

(ii) Let K be an essential submodule of N , then $\text{soc}(K + H_n(M)) \subseteq \text{soc}(N + H_n(M)) = \text{soc}(N) + \text{soc}(H_n(M))$. Hence $\text{soc}(K + H_n(M)) = \text{soc}(K) + \text{soc}(H_n(M))$, consequently K is quasi h -pure in M . \square

COROLLARY 4.9 (see [1, Theorem 66.3]). *If S is a h -dense subsocle of M , then any submodule N with $\text{soc}(N) \subseteq S$ can be extended to an h -pure submodule K of M such that $\text{soc}(K) = S$.*

PROOF. Let K be an h -neat submodule such that $N \subseteq K$ and $S = \text{soc}(K)$. Then by Theorem 4.8, K is quasi h -pure in M . Hence by Theorem 4.7, K is h -pure submodule of M . \square

PROPOSITION 4.10. *If N is a submodule of M , then the following hold:*

- (i) $Q_{m+n}(M, N) = Q_m(H_n(M), N \cap H_n(M))$ for all $n, m \geq 0$.
- (ii) $Q_j(M, N) = 0$ for $j = 0, 1, \dots, n$ if and only if $\text{soc}(N + H_t(M)) = \text{soc}(N) + \text{soc}(H_t(M))$ for $t = 1, \dots, n + 1$.

(iii) If N is quasi h -pure in M , then $N \cap H_n(M)$ is quasi h -pure in $H_n(M)$ for all n . Also if for some $n \geq 1$, $N \cap H_n(M)$ is quasi h -pure in $H_n(M)$ and $\text{soc}(N + H_t(M)) = \text{soc}(N) + \text{soc}(H_t(M))$ for $t = 1, 2, \dots, n$, then N is quasi h -pure in M .

PROOF. (i) Is straightforward.

(ii) If $\text{soc}(N + H_t(M)) = \text{soc}(N) + \text{soc}(H_t(M))$ for $t = 1, 2, \dots, n + 1$, then trivially $Q_j(M, N) = 0$ for $j = 0, 1, \dots, n$. Conversely, as $Q_0(M, N) = 0$ we get $\text{soc}(N + H_1(M)) = \text{soc}(N) + \text{soc}(H_1(M))$. Now suppose $\text{soc}(N + H_t(M)) = \text{soc}(N) + \text{soc}(H_t(M))$ for $t < n + 1$. Then $\text{soc}(N + H_{t+1}(M)) \subseteq \text{soc}(N) + \text{soc}(H_t(M))$. As done in Theorem 4.6 we get $\text{soc}(N + H_{t+1}(M)) = \text{soc}(N) + \text{soc}(H_{t+1}(M))$.

(iii) Due to (i), $N \cap H_n(M)$ is quasi h -pure in $H_n(M)$. Conversely, if $N \cap H_n(M)$ is quasi h -pure in $H_n(M)$, $Q_{m+n}(M, N) = 0$ for all $m \geq 0$. But from (ii) we have $Q_j(M, N) = 0$ for $j = 0, 1, \dots, n - 1$. Hence $Q_t(M, N) = 0$ for all $t \geq 0$. So that N is quasi h -pure in M . \square

Now we prove the following interesting result.

PROPOSITION 4.11. *If N is a submodule of M and K is h -neat submodule of N . Then any submodule T of M maximal with respect to $T \cap N = K$, is h -neat and $\text{soc}(M) \subseteq T + \text{soc}(N)$.*

PROOF. Trivially T/K is complement of N/K in M/K . Hence T/K is h -neat in M/K and $\text{soc}(M/K) = \text{soc}(T/K) = \text{soc}(N/K)$. Using Proposition 3.1 we have $\text{soc}(N/K) = (\text{soc}(N) + K)/K$. Hence $\text{soc}(M) \subseteq T + \text{soc}(N)$. Let x be a uniform element in $T \cap H_1(M)$, then there exists a uniform element $y \in M$ such that $d(\gamma R/xR = 1)$ if $y \in T$ we are done, otherwise h -neatness of T/K in M/K will result a uniform element $\bar{t} \in T/K$ such that $d(\bar{t}R/\bar{x}R) = 1$. Hence $e(\bar{y} - \bar{t}) \leq 1$. Therefore, $\bar{y} - \bar{t} \in \text{soc}(M/K)$. Hence we can find $u \in \text{soc}(N)$ and $v \in T$ such that $y - t - u - v \in K$. So $y = t + u + v + w$, $w \in K$. Hence $xR = H_1((t + u + v + w)R) = H_1((t + v + w)R) \subseteq H_1(T)$. Therefore T is h -neat in M . \square

THEOREM 4.12. *If K is h -pure submodule of $H_n(M)$, where $n \geq 0$. Then every submodule T of M maximal with respect to $T \cap H_n(M) = K$, is h -pure in M .*

PROOF. Proposition 4.11 yields that T is h -neat in M and $\text{soc}(M) \subseteq T + \text{soc}(H_n(M))$. Hence $\text{soc}(T + H_t(M)) = \text{soc}(T) + \text{soc}(H_t(M))$ for $t = 1, 2, \dots, n$. Trivially $T \cap H_n(M)$ is quasi h -pure in $H_n(M)$. Hence by Proposition 4.10(iii), T is quasi h -pure in M . Therefore by Theorem 4.7, T is h -pure in M . \square

As in [3] a submodule N of M is called h -dense if M/N is h -divisible. From the notation of $N^t(M)$ and $N_t(M)$ it is easy to see that $N^t(M) = \text{soc}(N \cap H_t(M) + H_{t+1}(M))$ and $N_t(M) = \text{soc}(\text{soc}(N) \cap H_t(M) + H_{t+1}(M))$. Now using Theorem 4.6 we establish the following results.

PROPOSITION 4.13. *If N is a submodule of M and K is a quasi h -pure h -dense submodule of N , then $Q_t(M, K) = Q_t(M, N)$ for all $t \geq 0$.*

PROOF. Due to h -divisibility of N/K , we have $N = K + H_t(N)$ for all $t \geq 0$. Hence $N^t(M) = K^t(M)$ for all t . Since K is quasi h -pure in N , so by Theorem 4.6, $\text{soc}(N) =$

$\text{soc}(K) = + \text{soc}(H_t(N))$ for all $t \geq 0$. Now

$$\begin{aligned} N_t(M) &= \text{soc}(\text{soc}(N) \cap H_t(M) + H_{t+1}(M)) = (\text{soc}(N))^t(M) \\ &= (\text{soc}(N) + H_{t+1}(M)) \cap \text{soc}(H_t(M)) \\ &= (\text{soc}(K) + \text{soc}(H_{t+1}(N) + H_{t+1}(M)) \cap \text{soc}(H_t(M))) \\ &= (\text{soc}(K) + H_{t+1}(M)) \cap \text{soc}(H_t(M)) = (\text{soc}(K))^t(M) = K_t(M). \end{aligned} \tag{4.4}$$

Therefore, $Q_t(M, K) = Q_t(M, N)$. \square

PROPOSITION 4.14. *If N is quasi h -pure in M and $\text{soc}(N) \subseteq \cap_1^\infty H_n(M)$, then $N \subseteq \cap_1^\infty H_n(M)$.*

PROOF. Suppose every uniform element of N of exponent t lies inside $\cap H_n(M)$. Let x be a uniform element in N such that $e(x) = t + 1$. Then we can find a uniform element $y \in xR$ such that $d(xR/yR) = 1$. Hence $y \in \cap H_n(M)$ and we get $y \in H_n(M)$ for every n . Consequently, there is a uniform element $z_i \in H_i(M)$ such that $d(z_iR/yR) = 1$ which in turn will give $e(x - z_i) \leq 1$. So $x - z_i \in \text{soc}(N + H_i(M)) = \text{soc}(N) + \text{soc}(H_i(M))$. Let $x - z_i = u + v$, $u \in \text{soc}(N)$ and $v \in \text{soc}(H_i(M))$. Since $\text{soc}(N) \subset \cap H_n(M)$, so $x \in \cap H_n(M)$ and we get $N \subseteq \cap_1^\infty H_n(M)$. \square

Finally appealing to Theorem 4.2 and Proposition 4.13 we have the following.

THEOREM 4.15. *If N is a submodule of M , then the following hold:*

- (a) *If N is quasi h -pure in M and K is h -pure in M such that $N \subseteq K$, then N is quasi h -pure in K .*
- (b) *If N is quasi h -pure in an h -pure submodule K of M , then N is quasi h -pure in M .*
- (c) *If N is quasi h -pure in M , then every quasi h -pure and h -dense submodule K of N is quasi h -pure in M .*
- (d) *If N has a quasi h -pure and h -dense submodule K such that K is also quasi h -pure in M , then N is quasi h -pure in M .*

REFERENCES

- [1] L. Fuchs, *Infinite Abelian Groups. Vol. II*, Academic Press, New York, 1973. MR 50#2362. Zbl 257.20035.
- [2] M. Z. Khan, *On h -purity in QTAG-modules*, Comm. Algebra **16** (1988), no. 12, 2649-2660. MR 89m:16044. Zbl 659.16017.
- [3] M. Z. Khan and R. Bano, *On h -purity in QTAG-modules. II*, Comm. Algebra **17** (1989), no. 6, 1387-1394. MR 90e:16038. Zbl 676.16019.
- [4] S. Singh, *Abelian groups like modules*, Acta Math. Hungar. **50** (1987), no. 1-2, 85-95. MR 88g:16023. Zbl 628.16014.
- [5] S. Singh and M. Z. Khan, *TAG-modules with complement submodules h -pure*, Internat. J. Math. Math. Sci. **21** (1998), no. 4, 801-814. MR 99i:16005. Zbl 931.16004.

MOHD. Z. KHAN: DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, ALIGARH MUSLIM UNIVERSITY, ALIGARH-202 002, INDIA

A. ZUBAIR: DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, ALIGARH MUSLIM UNIVERSITY, ALIGARH-202 002, INDIA



Hindawi

Submit your manuscripts at
<http://www.hindawi.com>

