

## ABEL-TYPE WEIGHTED MEANS TRANSFORMATIONS INTO $\ell$

MULATU LEMMA and GEORGE TESSEMA

(Received 15 July 1999)

**ABSTRACT.** Let  $q_k = \binom{k+\alpha}{k}$  for  $\alpha > -1$  and  $Q_n = \sum_{k=0}^n q_k$ . Suppose  $A_q = \{a_{nk}\}$ , where  $a_{nk} = q_k/Q_n$  for  $0 \leq k \leq n$  and 0 otherwise.  $A_q$  is called the Abel-type weighted mean matrix. The purpose of this paper is to study these transformations as mappings into  $\ell$ . A necessary and sufficient condition for  $A_q$  to be  $\ell$ - $\ell$  is proved. Also some other properties of the  $A_q$  matrix are investigated.

**Keywords and phrases.**  $\ell$ - $\ell$  methods,  $G$ - $G$  methods,  $G_w$ - $G_w$  methods.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 40A05, 40D25; Secondary 40C05.

**1. Introduction.** Throughout this paper, we assume that  $\alpha > -1$  and  $Q_n$  is the partial sums of the sequence  $\{q_k\}$ , where  $q_k$  is as above. Let  $A_q = \{a_{nk}\}$ . Then the Abel-type weighted mean matrix, denoted by  $A_q$ , is defined by

$$a_{nk} = \begin{cases} \frac{q_k}{Q_n} & \text{for } 0 \leq k \leq n, \\ 0 & \text{for } k > n. \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

The  $A_q$  matrix is the weighted mean matrix that is associated with the Abel-type matrix introduced by M. Lemma in [5]. It is regular, indeed, totally regular.

**2. Basic notation and definitions.** Let  $A = (a_{nk})$  be an infinite matrix defining a sequence summability transformation given by

$$(Ax)_n = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_{nk} x_k, \quad (2.1)$$

where  $(Ax)_n$  denotes the  $n$ th term of the image sequence  $Ax$ . Let  $y$  be a complex number sequence. Throughout this paper, we use the following basic notation and definitions:

- (i)  $c = \{\text{The set of all convergent complex sequences}\}$ ,
- (ii)  $\ell = \{y : \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |y_k| < \infty\}$ ,
- (iii)  $\ell^p = \{y : \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |y_k|^p < \infty\}$ ,
- (iv)  $\ell(A) = \{y : Ay \in \ell\}$ ,
- (v)  $G = \{y : y_k = O(r^k) \text{ for some } r \in (0, 1)\}$ ,
- (vi)  $G_w = \{y : y_k = O(r^k) \text{ for some } r \in (0, w), 0 < w < 1\}$ .

**DEFINITION 1.** If  $X$  and  $Y$  are sets of complex number sequences, then the matrix  $A$  is called an  $X$ - $Y$  matrix if the image  $Au$  of  $u$  under the transformation  $A$  is in  $Y$ , whenever  $u$  is in  $X$ .

**3. Some basic facts.** The following facts are used repeatedly.

(1) For any real number  $\alpha > -1$  and any nonnegative integer  $k$ , we have

$$\binom{k + \alpha}{k} \sim \frac{k^\alpha}{\Gamma(\alpha + 1)} \quad (\text{as } k \rightarrow \infty). \tag{3.1}$$

(2) For any real number  $\alpha > -1$ , we have

$$\sum_{k=0}^n \binom{k + \alpha}{k} = \binom{n + \alpha + 1}{n}. \tag{3.2}$$

(3) Suppose  $\{a_n\}$  is sequence of nonnegative numbers with  $a_0 > 0$ , that

$$A_n = \sum_{k=0}^n a_k \rightarrow \infty. \tag{3.3}$$

Let

$$a(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k x^k, \quad A(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} A_k x^k, \tag{3.4}$$

and suppose that

$$a(x) < \infty \quad \text{for } 0 < x < 1. \tag{3.5}$$

Then it follows that

$$(1 - x)A(x) = a(x) \quad \text{for } 0 < x < 1. \tag{3.6}$$

**4. The main results**

**LEMMA 1.** *If  $A_q$  is an  $\ell$ - $\ell$  matrix, then  $1/Q \in \ell$ .*

**PROOF.** By the Knopp-Lorentz theorem [4],  $A_q$  is an  $\ell$ - $\ell$  matrix implies that

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |a_{n,0}| < \infty, \tag{4.1}$$

and consequently we have  $1/Q \in \ell$ . □

**LEMMA 2.** *We have that  $1/Q \in \ell$  if and only if  $\alpha > 0$ .*

**PROOF.** By using (3.1), we have

$$\frac{1}{Q_n} \sim \frac{\Gamma(\alpha + 2)}{n^{\alpha + 1}} \tag{4.2}$$

and hence the assertion easily follows. □

**LEMMA 3.** *If  $1/Q \in \ell$ , then  $A_q$  is an  $\ell$ - $\ell$  matrix.*

**PROOF.** By Lemma 2, we have  $\alpha > 0$ . To show that  $A_q$  is an  $\ell$ - $\ell$  matrix, we must show that the condition of the Knopp-Lorentz theorem [4] holds. Using (3.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |a_{nk}| &= \binom{k+\alpha}{k} \sum_{n=k}^{\infty} \frac{1}{Q_n} = \binom{k+\alpha}{k} \sum_{n=k}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\binom{n+\alpha+1}{n}} \\ &\leq M_1 K^\alpha \sum_{n=k}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^{\alpha+1}} \quad \text{for some } M_1 > 0, \\ &\leq M_1 M_2 k^\alpha \int_k^{\infty} \frac{dx}{x^{\alpha+1}} \quad \text{for some } M_2 > 0, \\ &= \frac{M_1 M_2}{\alpha}. \end{aligned} \tag{4.3}$$

Hence, by the Knopp-Lorentz theorem [4],  $A_q$  is an  $\ell$ - $\ell$  matrix. □

**THEOREM 1.** *The following statements are equivalent:*

- (1)  $A_q$  is an  $\ell$ - $\ell$  matrix;
- (2)  $1/Q \in \ell$ ;
- (3)  $\alpha > 0$ .

**PROOF.** The theorem easily follows by Lemmas 1, 2, and 3. □

**REMARK 1.** In Theorem 1, we showed that  $A_q$  is an  $\ell$ - $\ell$  matrix if and only if  $1/Q \in \ell$ . But the converse is not true in general for any weighted mean matrix  $W_p$  that corresponds to a sequence-to-sequence variant of the general  $J_p$  power series method of summability [1]. To see this, let

$$p_k = (\ln(k+2))^\alpha, \quad \alpha > 1. \tag{4.4}$$

We show that  $1/P \in \ell$  but  $W_p$  is not an  $\ell$ - $\ell$  matrix. We have

$$\begin{aligned} P_n &= \sum_{k=0}^n (\ln(k+2))^\alpha \\ &\sim \int_0^n (\ln(x+2))^\alpha dx \quad (\text{by [6, Thm. 1.20]}) \\ &\sim (n+2)(\ln(n+2))^\alpha, \end{aligned} \tag{4.5}$$

using integration by parts repeatedly. This yields

$$\frac{1}{P_n} \sim \frac{1}{(n+2)(\ln(n+2))^\alpha} \tag{4.6}$$

and by the condensation test, it follows that  $1/P \in \ell$ .

Next, we show that  $W_p$  is not an  $\ell$ - $\ell$  matrix by showing that the condition of the Knopp-Lorentz theorem [4] fails to hold. Using (4.6), it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |a_{nk}| &= (\ln(k+2))^\alpha \sum_{n=k}^{\infty} \frac{1}{P_n} \\ &\geq M_1 (\ln(k+2))^\alpha \sum_{n=k}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(n+2)(\ln(n+2))^\alpha} \quad \text{for some } M_1 > 0 \\ &\geq M_1 M_2 (\ln(k+2))^\alpha \int_k^{\infty} \frac{dx}{(x+2)(\ln(x+2))^\alpha} \quad \text{for some } M_2 > 0 \\ &= \frac{M_1 M_2}{\alpha - 1} (\ln(k+2)). \end{aligned} \tag{4.7}$$

Thus, we have

$$\sup_k \left\{ \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{nk} \right\} = \infty, \tag{4.8}$$

and hence  $W_p$  is not an  $\ell$ - $\ell$  matrix. □

**COROLLARY 1.**  $A_Q$  is an  $\ell$ - $\ell$  matrix.

**PROOF.** Since  $Q_n = \binom{n+\alpha+1}{n}$  and  $\alpha > -1$  implies that  $\alpha + 1 > 0$ , the assertion easily follows by Theorem 1. □

**COROLLARY 2.**  $A_q$  is an  $\ell$ - $\ell$  matrix if and only if  $\lim_n(Q_n/nq_n) < 1$ .

**PROOF.** By Theorem 1,  $A_q$  is an  $\ell$ - $\ell$  matrix implies that  $\alpha > 0$ , and as a consequence we have  $1/(\alpha + 1) < 1$ . Now using (3.1), we have

$$\lim_n \left( \frac{Q_n}{nq_n} \right) = \lim_n \frac{n^{\alpha+1} \Gamma(\alpha + 1)}{\Gamma(\alpha + 2) n^{\alpha+1}} = \frac{1}{\alpha + 1} < 1. \tag{4.9}$$

Conversely, if  $\lim_n(Q_n/nq_n) < 1$ , then it follows from (4.9) that  $1/(\alpha + 1) < 1$  and consequently we have  $\alpha > 0$ , and hence, by Theorem 1,  $A_q$  is an  $\ell$ - $\ell$  matrix. □

**COROLLARY 3.** Suppose that  $z_k = \binom{k+\beta}{k}$  and  $\alpha < \beta$ ; then  $A_z$  is an  $\ell$ - $\ell$  matrix whenever  $A_q$  is an  $\ell$ - $\ell$  matrix.

**PROOF.** The corollary follows easily by Theorem 1. □

**LEMMA 4.** If the Abel-type matrix  $A_{\alpha,t}$  [5] is an  $\ell$ - $\ell$  matrix, then  $A_{\alpha+1,t}$  is also an  $\ell$ - $\ell$  matrix.

**PROOF.** By the Knopp-Lorentz theorem [4],  $A_{\alpha,t}$  is an  $\ell$ - $\ell$  matrix implies that

$$\sup_k \left\{ \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |a_{nk}| \right\} < \infty. \tag{4.10}$$

This is equivalent to

$$\sup_k \left\{ \binom{k+\alpha}{k} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} t_n^k (1-t_n)^{\alpha+1} \right\} < \infty. \tag{4.11}$$

Now from (4.11), we can easily conclude that

$$\sup_k \left\{ \binom{k + \alpha + 1}{k} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} t_n^k (1 - t_n)^{\alpha + 2} \right\} < \infty. \tag{4.12}$$

Hence,  $A_{\alpha+1,t}$  is an  $\ell$ - $\ell$  matrix. □

The next theorem compares the summability fields of the matrices  $A_q$  and  $A_{\alpha,t}$  [5].

**THEOREM 2.** *If  $A_{\alpha,t}$  and  $A_q$  are  $\ell$ - $\ell$  matrices, then  $\ell(A_q) \subseteq \ell(A_{\alpha,t})$ .*

**PROOF.** Let  $x \in \ell(A_q)$ . Then we show that  $x \in \ell(A_{\alpha,t})$ . Let  $y$  be the  $A_q$ -transform of the sequence  $x$ . Then we have

$$y_n Q_n = \sum_{k=0}^n q_k x_k. \tag{4.13}$$

Now since  $y_n Q_n$  is the partial sums of the sequence  $q_x$ , using (3.6) it follows that

$$(1 - t_n) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} Q_k y_k t_n^k = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} q_k x_k t_n^k. \tag{4.14}$$

This yields

$$(1 - t_n)^{\alpha + 2} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} Q_k y_k t_n^k = (1 - t_n)^{\alpha + 1} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} q_k x_k t_n^k, \tag{4.15}$$

and as a consequence we have  $(A_{\alpha+1,t} y)_n = (A_{\alpha,t} x)_n$ . By Lemma 4,  $A_{\alpha,t}$  is an  $\ell$ - $\ell$  matrix implies that  $A_{\alpha+1,t}$  is also an  $\ell$ - $\ell$  matrix, and from the assumption that  $x \in \ell(A_q)$ , it follows that  $y \in \ell$ . Consequently, we have  $A_{\alpha+1,t} y \in \ell$  and this is equivalent to  $A_{\alpha,t} x \in \ell$ . Thus,  $x \in \ell(A_{\alpha,t})$  and hence our assertion follows. □

**REMARK 2.** Theorem 2 gives an important inclusion result in the  $\ell$ - $\ell$  setting that parallels the famous inclusion result that exists between the power series method of summability and its corresponding weighted mean in the  $c$ - $c$  setting [1].

**LEMMA 5.** *Suppose  $A = \{a_{nk}\}$  is an  $\ell$ - $\ell$  matrix such that  $a_{nk} = 0$  for  $k > n, m > s$  (both positive integers); then  $\ell(A^s) \subseteq \ell(A^m)$ , where the interpretation for  $A^s$  and  $A^m$  is as given in [6, p. 28].*

**THEOREM 3.** *If  $B = A_q$  is an  $\ell$ - $\ell$  matrix, then  $B^m$  is also an  $\ell$ - $\ell$  matrix (for  $m$  a positive integer greater than 1.)*

**PROOF.** Let  $x \in \ell$ .  $B$  is an  $\ell$ - $\ell$  matrix implies that  $x \in \ell(B)$ . By Lemma 5, we have  $\ell(B) \subseteq \ell(B^m)$  and hence it follows that  $x \in \ell(B^m)$ . Hence,  $B^m$  is an  $\ell$ - $\ell$  matrix. □

**REMARK 3.** Theorem 3 gives a result that goes parallel to a  $c$ - $c$  result given on [6, Thm. 2.4, p. 28].

In Corollary 1, we showed that  $A_Q$  is an  $\ell$ - $\ell$  matrix. Here, a question may be raised as to whether  $A_Q$  maps  $\ell^p$  into  $\ell$  for  $p > 1$ . But this is answered negatively by the following theorem.

**THEOREM 4.**  $A_Q$  does not map  $\ell^p$  into  $\ell$  for  $p > 1$ .

**PROOF.** Let  $A_Q = \{b_{nk}\}$ . Note that if  $A_{Q,\alpha}$  maps  $\ell^p$  into  $\ell$ , then by [3, Thm. 2], we must have

$$\lim_k \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |b_{nk}| = 0. \tag{4.16}$$

Let

$$R_n = \sum_{k=1}^n Q_k, \tag{4.17}$$

then it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_{nk} &= \binom{k+\alpha+1}{k} \sum_{n=k}^{\infty} \frac{1}{R_n} = \binom{k+\alpha+1}{k} \sum_{n=k}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\binom{n+\alpha+2}{n}} \\ &\geq M_1 k^{\alpha+1} \sum_{n=k}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^{\alpha+2}} \text{ for some } M_1 > 0 \\ &\geq M_1 M_2 k^{\alpha+1} \int_k^{\infty} \frac{dx}{x^{\alpha+2}} \text{ for some } M_2 > 0 \\ &= \frac{M_1 M_2}{\alpha+1} > 0. \end{aligned} \tag{4.18}$$

Thus, it follows that

$$\lim_k \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |b_{nk}| > 0, \tag{4.19}$$

and hence  $A_Q$  does not map  $\ell^p$  into  $\ell$  for  $p > 1$  by [3, Thm. 2]. □

Our next theorem has the form of an extension mapping theorem. It indicates that a mapping of  $A_q$  from  $G$  or  $G_w$  into  $\ell$  can be extended to a mapping of  $\ell$  into  $\ell$ .

**THEOREM 5.** *The following statements are equivalent:*

- (1)  $A_q$  is an  $\ell$ - $\ell$  matrix;
- (2)  $A_q$  is a  $G$ - $\ell$  matrix;
- (3)  $A_q$  is a  $G_w$ - $\ell$  matrix.

**PROOF.** Since  $G$  is a subset of  $\ell$  and  $G_w$  a subset of  $G$ , (1) $\Rightarrow$ (2) $\Rightarrow$ (3) follow easily. The assertion that (3) $\Rightarrow$ (1) follows by [7, Thm. 1.1] and Theorem 1. □

**COROLLARY 4.** (1)  $A_Q$  is a  $G$ - $\ell$  matrix.  
 (2)  $A_Q$  a  $G_w$ - $\ell$  matrix.

**PROOF.** Since  $A_Q$  is an  $\ell$ - $\ell$  matrix by Corollary 1, the assertion follows by Theorem 5. □

**COROLLARY 5.** (1) If  $A_q$  is a  $G$ - $G$  matrix, then  $A_q$  is an  $\ell$ - $\ell$  matrix.  
 (2) If  $A_q$  is a  $G_w$ - $G_w$  matrix, then  $A_q$  is an  $\ell$ - $\ell$  matrix.

**PROOF.** The assertion follows easily by Theorem 5. □

**THEOREM 6.**  $A_q$  is a  $G$ - $G$  matrix if and only if  $1/Q \in G$ .

**PROOF.** If  $A_q$  is a  $G$ - $G$  matrix, then the first column of  $A_q$  is must in  $G$ . This gives  $1/Q \in G$  since  $a_{n,0} = q_0/Q_n$ . Conversely, suppose  $1/Q \in G$ . Then  $1/Q_n \leq M_1 r^n$  for  $M_1 > 0$  and  $r \in (0, 1)$ . Now let  $u \in G$ , say  $|u_k| \leq M_2 t^k$  for some  $M_2 > 0$  and  $t \in (0, 1)$ . Let  $Y$  be the  $A_q$ -transform of the sequence  $u$ . Then we have

$$|Y_n| \leq M_1 M_2 r^n \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{k+\alpha}{k} t^k < M_1 M_2 r^n (1-t)^{-(\alpha+1)} < M_3 r^n \quad \text{for some } M_3 > 0. \quad (4.20)$$

Therefore,  $Y \in G$  and hence it follows that  $A_q$  is a  $G$ - $G$  matrix.  $\square$

**THEOREM 7.**  $A_q$  is a  $G_w$ - $G_w$  matrix if and only if  $1/Q \in G_w$ .

**PROOF.** The proof follows easily using the same steps as in the proof of Theorem 6 by replacing  $G$  with  $G_w$ .  $\square$

**LEMMA 6.** If the Abel-type matrix  $A_{\alpha,t}$  [5] is a  $G$ - $G$  matrix, then  $A_{\alpha+1,t}$  is also a  $G$ - $G$  matrix.

**PROOF.** By [5, Thm. 7],  $A_{\alpha,t}$  is  $G$ - $G$  implies that  $(1-t)^{\alpha+1} \in G$ . But  $(1-t)^{\alpha+1} \in G$  yields  $(1-t)^{\alpha+2} \in G$ , and hence by [5, Thm. 7], it follows that  $A_{\alpha+1,t}$  is a  $G$ - $G$  matrix.  $\square$

**LEMMA 7.** If the Abel-type matrix  $A_{\alpha,t}$  [5] is a  $G_w$ - $G_w$  matrix, then  $A_{\alpha+1,t}$  is also a  $G_w$ - $G_w$  matrix.

**PROOF.** The assertion easily follows by replacing  $G$  with  $G_w$  in the proof of Lemma 6.  $\square$

**THEOREM 8.** If  $A_{\alpha,t}$  [5] and  $A_q$  are  $G$ - $G$  matrices, then the  $G(A_{\alpha,t})$  contains  $G(A_q)$ .

**PROOF.** The proof easily follows using the same techniques as in the proof of Theorem 3 by replacing  $\ell$  with  $G$  and applying Lemma 6.  $\square$

**THEOREM 9.** If  $A_{\alpha,t}$  [3] and  $A_q$  are  $G_w$ - $G_w$  matrices, then  $G_w(A_{\alpha,t})$  contains  $G_w(A_q)$ .

**PROOF.** The proof easily follows using the same techniques as in the proof of Theorem 3 by replacing  $\ell$  with  $G_w$  and applying Lemma 7.  $\square$

## REFERENCES

- [1] D. Borwein and W. Kratz, *On relations between weighted mean and power series methods of summability*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **139** (1989), no. 1, 178-186. MR 90j:40009. Zbl 714.40001.
- [2] G. H. Fricke and J. A. Fridy, *Sequence transformations that guarantee a given rate of convergence*, Pacific J. Math. **146** (1990), no. 2, 239-246. MR 91i:40002. Zbl 722.40005.
- [3] J. A. Fridy, *Properties of absolute summability matrices*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **24** (1970), 583-585. MR 42#724. Zbl 187.32103.
- [4] K. Knopp and G. G. Lorentz, *Beitrage zur absoluten Limitierung*, Arch. Math. **2** (1949), 10-16. MR 11,346i.
- [5] M. Lemma, *The Abel-type transformations into  $l$* , to appear on the Internat. J. Math. Math. Sci.

- [6] R. E. Powell and S. M. Shah, *Summability theory and applications*, Prentice-Hall of India, New Delhi, 1988, Rev. ed. Zbl 665.40001.
- [7] S. Selvaraj, *Matrix summability of classes of geometric sequences*, Rocky Mountain J. Math. **22** (1992), no. 2, 719-732. MR 93i:40002. Zbl 756.40005.

LEMMA AND TESSEMA: SAVANNAH STATE UNIVERSITY, SAVANNAH, GEORGIA 31404, USA





# Hindawi

Submit your manuscripts at  
<http://www.hindawi.com>

