

A COMMUTATOR THEOREM FOR FRACTIONAL INTEGRALS IN SPACES OF HOMOGENEOUS TYPE

JORGE J. BETANCOR

(Received 22 October 1998)

ABSTRACT. We give a new proof of a commutator theorem for fractional integrals in spaces of homogeneous type.

Keywords and phrases. Commutator fractional integrals, homogeneous type spaces.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 42B20.

1. Introduction. Bramanti and Cerutti [3] and Bramanti [2] extended a classical commutator theorem for fractional integrals due to Chanillo [5] to the context of spaces of homogeneous type. In [3] Bramanti and Cerutti follow an idea contained in [7], based in holomorphic families of operators, used to study the L^p boundedness of singular integrals in Euclidean spaces. In [2] Bramanti investigated the boundedness of the commutator of certain integral operators having positive kernels. A fractional integral appears as a particular case. Bramanti deduces the boundedness of the commutator from a suitable inequality that involves the maximal sharp function. In this paper, we give a different proof to the commutator theorem for fractional integrals in spaces of homogeneous type. We follow the original proof of Chanillo [5] and a good λ inequality is essential.

We firstly recall the main definitions needed in the paper (see [8, 9, 11]). (X, δ, μ) will be a space of homogeneous type. That is, X is a nonvoid set, δ is a quasidistance on X , i.e., $\delta : X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is a function satisfying the following properties:

- (i) $\delta(x, y) = 0$ if and only if $x = y$,
- (ii) $\delta(x, y) = \delta(y, x)$, for every $x, y \in X$, and
- (iii) there exists a positive constant k such that for every $x, y, z \in X$

$$\delta(x, y) \leq k(\delta(x, z) + \delta(z, y)), \quad (1.1)$$

and μ is a positive regular measure on X defined on a σ -algebra of subsets of X which contains the open sets (in the topology induced by the uniform structure associated to δ) and the ball $B(x, r) = \{y \in X : \delta(x, y) < r\}$, for every $x \in X$ and $r > 0$, and that satisfies the doubling condition: there exists $A > 0$ for which

$$0 < \mu(B(x, 2r)) \leq A\mu(B(x, r)), \quad (1.2)$$

for each $x \in X$ and $r > 0$. Note that if X has more than one element, then $k \geq 1$. The trivial case $k < 1$ is not considered in this paper.

There are many interesting examples of spaces of homogeneous type. For instance, any C^∞ compact Riemannian manifold with the Riemannian metric and volume and

the boundary of any bounded Lipschitz domain in \mathbb{R}^n with the induced Euclidean metric and the Lebesgue measure are spaces of homogeneous type.

A space of homogeneous type is said to be normal if there exist positive constants A_1 and A_2 such that for every $x \in X$,

$$\begin{aligned} A_1 r &\leq \mu(B(x, r)), \quad \text{when } 0 < r < R_x, \\ \mu(B(x, r)) &\leq A_2 r, \quad \text{if } r \geq r_x, \end{aligned} \tag{1.3}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} R_x &= \begin{cases} \infty, & \text{if } \mu(X) = \infty, \\ \inf\{r > 0 : B(x, r) = X\}, & \text{if } \mu(X) < \infty, \end{cases} \\ r_x &= \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } \mu(\{x\}) = 0, \\ \sup\{r > 0 : B(x, r) = \{x\}\}, & \text{if } \mu(\{x\}) > 0. \end{cases} \end{aligned} \tag{1.4}$$

Sufficient conditions, in order that a space (X, δ, μ) of homogeneous type admits a quasidistance d that is equivalent to δ and such that (X, d, μ) is normal, are given in [14, Lemma 22].

A space of homogeneous type is of order ρ , $0 < \rho \leq 1$, if there is a positive constant C such that for every $x, y, z \in X$

$$|\delta(x, z) - \delta(y, z)| \leq C \delta(x, y)^\rho (\max\{\delta(x, z), \delta(y, z)\})^{1-\rho}. \tag{1.5}$$

For each $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, $L^p(X, \mu)$ and $\|\cdot\|_p$ have the usual meanings. We say that a complex valued measurable function f on X is in $L^p_{loc}(X, \mu)$, $1 \leq p < \infty$, if $\int_{B(x, r)} |f(x)|^p d\mu(x) < \infty$, for every $r > 0$ and for some (and then for all) $x \in X$.

Let $b \in L^1_{loc}(X, \mu)$. We define $b_\epsilon(x)$, with $x \in X$ and $\epsilon > 0$, as the mean value

$$b_\epsilon(x) = \frac{1}{\mu(B(x, \epsilon))} \int_{B(x, \epsilon)} b(y) d\mu(y). \tag{1.6}$$

If $1 \leq p < \infty$ we will say that a function $b \in L^p_{loc}(X, \mu)$ is in BMO_p if and only if,

$$\|b\|_{*,p} =: \left\| \sup_{\epsilon > 0} \left\{ \frac{1}{\mu(B(x, \epsilon))} \int_{B(x, \epsilon)} |b(y) - b_\epsilon(x)|^p d\mu(y) \right\}^{1/p} \right\|_\infty < \infty. \tag{1.7}$$

We define on BMO_p a “norm” as follows:

$$\|b\|^{(p)} = \begin{cases} \|b\|_{*,p}, & \text{if } \mu(X) = \infty, \\ \|b\|_{*,p} + \left| \int_X b(x) d\mu(x) \right|, & \text{if } \mu(X) < \infty. \end{cases} \tag{1.8}$$

When $\mu(X) < \infty$, $(BMO_p, \|\cdot\|^{(p)})$ is a Banach space. If $\mu(X) = \infty$, then we introduce in BMO_p the following relation: let b_1 and b_2 be in BMO_p ,

$$b_1 \sim b_2 \iff \text{there exists } C \in \mathbb{C} \text{ such that } b_1 - b_2 = C. \tag{1.9}$$

It is clear that if $b_1, b_2 \in BMO_p$ and $b_1 \sim b_2$, then $\|b_1\|^{(p)} = \|b_2\|^{(p)}$. The quotient space BMO_p / \sim will be denoted again by BMO_p and by considering on it the norm

induced by $\|\cdot\|^{(p)}$, BMO_p is a Banach space. As it was proved by Coifman and Weiss [9, page 594], if $1 \leq p, q < \infty$, the spaces BMO_p and BMO_q coincide and the norms $\|\cdot\|^{(p)}$ and $\|\cdot\|^{(q)}$ are equivalent. In the sequel, as usual, we will denote by BMO the space BMO_p , $1 \leq p < \infty$.

Let $0 \leq \alpha < 1$. The fractional maximal function $M_\alpha f$ of $f \in L^1_{loc}(X, \mu)$ is defined by

$$(M_\alpha f)(x) = \sup_{B: x \in B} \frac{1}{\mu(B)^{1-\alpha}} \int_B |f(y)| d\mu(y), \quad x \in X. \tag{1.10}$$

Here, for each $x \in X$, the supremum is taken over all those B balls in X containing to x . As usual we denote by M the maximal operator M_0 .

The fractional integral of order α of f , $I_\alpha f$, is given by

$$(I_\alpha f)(x) = \int_{X-\{x\}} \frac{f(y)}{\delta(x,y)^{1-\alpha}} d\mu(y). \tag{1.11}$$

In this paper, we study the boundedness of the commutator $[I_\alpha, b]$ of the fractional integral I_α and the multiplier operator associated to a measurable function b on X defined through

$$[I_\alpha, b](f) = bI_\alpha(f) - I_\alpha(bf). \tag{1.12}$$

Throughout this paper, for every $1 \leq p < \infty$, we will denote by p' the conjugate of p . By C we will always represent a positive constant not necessarily the same in each occurrence.

The following theorem is the main result of the paper.

THEOREM 1.1. *Let $0 < \alpha < 1$, $0 \leq \rho < 1$, $1 < p < 1/\alpha$, and $1/q = 1/p - \alpha$. Assume that (X, δ, μ) is a normal space of homogeneous type of order ρ such that $\mu(\{x\}) = 0$, $x \in X$. Then the commutator operator $[I_\alpha, b]$ is bounded from $L^p(X, \mu)$ into $L^q(X, \mu)$ provided that $b \in BMO$.*

Let now (X, δ, μ) be a normal space of homogeneous type and of order $\rho \in (0, 1)$, such that $\mu(X) = \infty$ and $\mu(\{x\}) = 0$, for every $x \in X$. Gatto, Segovia, and Vagi [10] defined, for every $0 < \alpha < 1$, a function δ_α on $X \times X$ as follows:

$$\delta_\alpha(x, y) = \left(\int_0^\infty t^{\alpha-1} s(x, y, t) dt \right)^{1/\alpha-1}, \quad \text{for } x \neq y, \tag{1.13}$$

where s represents a symmetric approximation to the identity in the sense of Coifman, and

$$\delta_\alpha(x, y) = 0, \quad \text{for } x = y. \tag{1.14}$$

In [10, Lemma 2] it is proved that, for every $0 < \alpha < 1$, δ_α is a quasidistance equivalent to δ . Moreover, for each $0 < \alpha < 1$, (X, δ_α, μ) is a normal space of homogeneous type of order ρ .

Also these authors introduced the fractional integral \tilde{I}_α of order $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ through

$$(\tilde{I}_\alpha f)(x) = \int_{X-\{x\}} \frac{f(y)}{\delta_\alpha(x, y)^{1-\alpha}} d\mu(y). \tag{1.15}$$

If we represent by $BMO(\alpha)$ the BMO -space associated to the quasidistance δ_α , $0 < \alpha < 1$, it is immediately deduced from Theorem 1.1 the following commutator theorem for the fractional integral \tilde{I}_α .

COROLLARY 1.2. *Assume that (X, δ, μ) is a normal space of homogeneous type and of order $p \in (0, 1)$, such that $\mu(X) = \infty$ and $\mu(\{x\}) = 0$, for every $x \in X$. Let $0 < \alpha < 1$. Then the commutator operator $[\tilde{I}_\alpha, b]$ defined by*

$$[\tilde{I}_\alpha, b](f) = b\tilde{I}_\alpha(f) - \tilde{I}_\alpha(bf), \tag{1.16}$$

is a bounded operator from $L^p(X, \mu)$ into $L^q(X, \mu)$ provided that $1 < p < 1/\alpha$, $1/q = 1/p - \alpha$ and $b \in BMO(\alpha)$.

2. The proof of the commutator theorem. In this section, we will prove Theorem 1.1. To see that result we previously establish six lemmas.

Boundedness of the fractional integral I_α was studied in [11, Theorem 1] and [12, Theorems 2.2 and 2.4].

LEMMA 2.1 (see [11, Theorem 1]). *Let $1 < p < 1/\alpha$ and $1/q = 1/p - \alpha$. If (X, δ, μ) is a normal space of homogeneous type, then*

- (i) I_α maps continuously $L^p(X, \mu)$ into $L^q(X, \mu)$.
- (ii) There exists $C_1 > 0$ such that

$$\mu(\{x \in X : |I_\alpha(f)(x)| > \lambda\}) \leq C_1 \left(\frac{\|f\|_1}{\lambda}\right)^{1/1-\alpha}, \tag{2.1}$$

for every $f \in L^1(X, \mu)$ and $\lambda > 0$.

Kokilashvili and Kufner [12, Theorem 3.2] proved a weighted version of [11, Theorem 1].

Kokilashvili and Kufner [12] established weighted inequalities for the maximal fractional operator M_α . Also Wheeden [15] and Bernardis and Salinas [1] gave characterizations for the pairs of weight functions for which M_α is a bounded operator between the corresponding weighted L^p -spaces.

The following result can be easily inferred from [15, Theorem 4] (also from [12, Proposition A]).

LEMMA 2.2. *Let $1 < p < 1/\alpha$ and $1/q = 1/p - \alpha$. Then M_α is a bounded operator from $L^p(X, \mu)$ into $L^q(X, \mu)$.*

We now define the auxiliar operator $C(b, f)$ on X as follows:

$$C(b, f)(x) = \sup_{\epsilon > 0} \left| \int_{X \setminus B(x, \epsilon)} \frac{b(y) - b_\epsilon(x)}{\delta(x, y)^{1-\alpha}} f(y) d\mu(y) \right|, \quad x \in X, \tag{2.2}$$

where b and f are measurable complex functions on X .

Next a useful weak type inequality for the operator $C(b, f)$ is established.

LEMMA 2.3. *Assume that (X, δ, μ) is a normal space of homogeneous type. Let $1 < p < 1/\alpha$. If $f \in L^p(X, \mu)$ and $b \in L^{p'}(X, \mu)$, then*

$$\mu(\{x \in X : C(b, f)(x) > \lambda\}) \leq C_0 \left(\frac{\|b\|_{p'} \|f\|_p}{\lambda} \right)^{1/1-\alpha}, \quad \text{for every } \lambda > 0. \tag{2.3}$$

PROOF. It is not hard to see that

$$\begin{aligned} C(b, f)(x) &\leq \sup_{\epsilon > 0} \int_{X \setminus B(x, \epsilon)} \frac{|b(y)| |f(y)|}{\delta(x, y)^{1-\alpha}} d\mu(y) \\ &\quad + \sup_{\epsilon > 0} |b_\epsilon(x)| \int_{X \setminus B(x, \epsilon)} \frac{|f(y)|}{\delta(x, y)^{1-\alpha}} d\mu(y) \\ &\leq I_\alpha(|bf|)(x) + I_\alpha(|f|)(x)M(b)(x), \quad x \in X. \end{aligned} \tag{2.4}$$

Moreover Holder inequality and Lemmas 2.1 and 2.2 lead to

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_X M(b)(x)^{1/1-\alpha} I_\alpha(|f|)(x)^{1/1-\alpha} d\mu(x) \\ &\leq \left(\int_X M(b)(x)^{p'} d\mu(x) \right)^{1/p'(1-\alpha)} \left(\int_X I_\alpha(|f|)(x)^{p'/p'(1-\alpha)-1} d\mu(x) \right)^{1-(1/p'(1-\alpha))} \\ &\leq C \|b\|_{p'}^{1/1-\alpha} \|f\|_p^{1/1-\alpha}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.5}$$

Hence if $\lambda > 0$, then

$$\mu(\{x \in X : M(b)(x)I_\alpha(|f|)(x) > \lambda\}) \leq C \left(\frac{\|b\|_{p'} \|f\|_p}{\lambda} \right)^{1/1-\alpha}. \tag{2.6}$$

Also by taking into account Lemma 2.1 we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(\{x \in X : I_\alpha(|bf|)(x) > \lambda\}) &\leq C \left(\frac{\|bf\|_1}{\lambda} \right)^{1/1-\alpha} \\ &\leq C \left(\frac{\|b\|_{p'} \|f\|_p}{\lambda} \right)^{1/1-\alpha}, \quad \lambda > 0. \end{aligned} \tag{2.7}$$

Now to finish the proof of this lemma it is sufficient to combine (2.4), (2.6), and (2.7). □

LEMMA 2.4. *Assume that (X, δ, μ) is a normal space of homogeneous type such that $\mu(\{x\}) = 0, x \in X$. Let $0 < \alpha < 1, 1 < p < 1/\alpha, 0 < \beta < 1/k$, and $d, \gamma > 0$. Let $b \in BMO$ and f be a measurable function. Then*

$$d^\gamma \int_{X \setminus B(x, d)} \frac{|b(y) - b_d(x)|}{\delta(x, y)^{1+\gamma-\alpha}} |f(y)| d\mu(y) \leq C (M_{\alpha p}(|f|^p)(x_0))^{1/p} \|b\|_{*, p'}, \tag{2.8}$$

provided that $\delta(x, x_0) \leq \beta d$. Here C is a constant that does not depend on d .

PROOF. Suppose that $\mu(X) = \infty$. If $\mu(X) < \infty$ we can proceed in a similar way. Holder inequality implies that

$$\begin{aligned} d^y \int_{X \setminus B(x,d)} \frac{|b(y) - b_d(x)|}{\delta(x,y)^{1+y-\alpha}} |f(y)| d\mu(y) &\leq \left(d^y \int_{X \setminus B(x,d)} \frac{|b(y) - b_d(x)|^{p'}}{\delta(x,y)^{1+y}} d\mu(y) \right)^{1/p'} \\ &\times \left(d^y \int_{X \setminus B(x,d)} \frac{|f(y)|^p}{\delta(x,y)^{1+y-p\alpha}} d\mu(y) \right)^{1/p}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.9}$$

Since μ is doubling we can write for every $x \in X$ and $j \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\begin{aligned} |b_{2^{j-1}d}(x) - b_{2^j d}(x)| &\leq \frac{1}{\mu(B(x, 2^{j-1}d))} \int_{B(x, 2^{j-1}d)} |b(y) - b_{2^j d}(x)| d\mu(y) \\ &\leq C \frac{1}{\mu(B(x, 2^j d))} \int_{B(x, 2^j d)} |b(y) - b_{2^j d}(x)| d\mu(y) \\ &\leq C \|b\|_{*,1}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.10}$$

Hence, it concludes that

$$|b_d(x) - b_{2^j d}(x)| \leq Cj \|b\|_{*,1}, \quad j \in \mathbb{N}, x \in X. \tag{2.11}$$

Then, since (X, δ, μ) is normal, it follows

$$\begin{aligned} &d^y \int_{X \setminus B(x,d)} \frac{|b(y) - b_d(x)|^{p'}}{\delta(x,y)^{1+y}} d\mu(y) \\ &\leq d^y \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \int_{2^{j+1}d > \delta(x,y) \geq 2^j d} \frac{|b(y) - b_d(x)|^{p'}}{\delta(x,y)^{1+y}} d\mu(y) \\ &\leq Cd^y \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} (2^j d)^{-1-y} \int_{2^{j+1}d > \delta(x,y) \geq 2^j d} |b(y) - b_d(x)|^{p'} d\mu(y) \\ &\leq C \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{2^{-jy}}{2^j d} \left(\int_{B(x, 2^{j+1}d)} |b(y) - b_{2^{j+1}d}(x)|^{p'} d\mu(y) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + ((j+1) \|b\|_{*,1})^{p'} \mu(B(x, 2^{j+1}d)) \right) \\ &\leq C \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} 2^{-jy} \left(\frac{1}{\mu(B(x, 2^{j+1}d))} \int_{B(x, 2^{j+1}d)} |b(y) - b_{2^{j+1}d}(x)|^{p'} d\mu(y) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + ((j+1) \|b\|_{*,1})^{p'} \right) \leq \|b\|_{*,p'}^{p'}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.12}$$

On the other hand, if $\delta(x_0, y) \leq \beta d$ and $\delta(x, y) \leq d$, then $\delta(x_0, y) \geq ((1 - k\beta)/k)d$ and $\delta(x_0, y) \leq k(\beta + 1)\delta(x, y)$. Hence, by invoking again the normality of (X, δ, μ) we can write

$$\begin{aligned}
 & d^y \int_{X \setminus B(x,d)} \frac{|f(y)|^p}{\delta(x,y)^{1+y-p\alpha}} d\mu(y) \\
 & \leq C d^y \int_{X \setminus B(x_0, ((1-k\beta)/k)d)} \frac{|f(y)|^p}{\delta(x_0,y)^{1+y-p\alpha}} d\mu(y) \\
 & \leq C d^y \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \int_{2^{j+1}((1-k\beta)/k)d > \delta(x_0,y) \geq 2^j((1-k\beta)/k)d} \frac{|f(y)|^p}{\delta(x_0,y)^{1+y-p\alpha}} d\mu(y) \\
 & \leq C d^y \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} (d2^j)^{-1-y+p\alpha} \int_{B(x_0, 2^{j+1}((1-k\beta)/k)d)} |f(y)|^p d\mu(y) \\
 & \leq C \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} 2^{-jy} \frac{1}{\mu(B(x_0, 2^{j+1}((1-k\beta)/k)d))^{1-p\alpha}} \int_{B(x_0, 2^{j+1}((1-k\beta)/k)d)} |f(y)|^p d\mu(y) \\
 & \leq CM_{p\alpha}(|f|^p)(x_0).
 \end{aligned} \tag{2.13}$$

Thus the result is proved. □

The following Whitney type covering lemma will be useful in the sequel.

LEMMA 2.5 (see [4, Lemma 1] and [13, Lemma 2]). *Let Ω be a proper open subset of X and let B be a ball in X such that $B \cap \Omega \neq \emptyset$ and $B \cap (X \setminus \Omega) \neq \emptyset$. Then there exists a sequence $(B_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ of balls in X satisfying the following three properties:*

- (i) $\Omega \cap B \subset \cup_{j \in \mathbb{N}} B_j \subset \Omega \cap (B^*)^*$,
- (ii) $B_j^* \cap (X \setminus \Omega) \neq \emptyset, j \in \mathbb{N}$, and
- (iii) $\mu(\Omega \cap B) \leq \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \mu(B_j) \leq C\mu(\Omega \cap (B^*)^*)$.

Here if $B = B(x, r)$, with $x \in X$ and $r > 0$, B^* denotes the ball $B(x, rk(2k+1))$.

Next we will prove in the main lemma a good- λ inequality.

LEMMA 2.6. *Let $0 \leq \rho < 1$ and $1 < p < 1/\alpha$. Assume that (X, δ, μ) is a normal space of homogeneous type that is of order ρ and such that $\mu(\{x\}) = 0, x \in X$. Let $b \in BMO$ and f be a measurable function on X . Then there exists β_0 such that for every $\beta \geq \beta_0$ and $\gamma > 1$*

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \mu\left(\left\{x \in X : C(b, f)(x) > \beta\lambda, \|b\|_{*,p'} \left(I_\alpha(|f|)(x) + (M_{p\alpha}(|f|^p)(x))^{1/p}\right) \leq \gamma\lambda\right\}\right) \\
 & \leq C\gamma\mu(\{x \in X : C(b, f)(x) > \lambda\}),
 \end{aligned} \tag{2.14}$$

provided that one of the following two conditions holds:

- (i) $\lambda > 0$ and $\mu(X) = \infty$,
- (ii) $\lambda > (C_0/\mu(X))^{1-\alpha} \|b\|_{p'} \|f\|_p$ and $\mu(X) < \infty$, where C_0 is the positive constant appearing in Lemma 2.3.

PROOF. Let $\beta, \gamma > 0$ and λ satisfying the imposed conditions. We define the following sets:

$$\begin{aligned}
 E_\lambda(\beta, \gamma) &= \left\{x \in X : C(b, f)(x) > \beta\gamma, \right. \\
 & \quad \left. \|b\|_{*,p'} \left(I_\alpha(|f|)(x) + (M_{p\alpha}(|f|^p)(x))^{1/p}\right) \leq \gamma\lambda\right\}, \\
 W_\lambda &= \{x \in X : C(b, f)(x) > \lambda\}.
 \end{aligned} \tag{2.15}$$

Note that we can assume, without loss of generality, that $W_\lambda \neq \emptyset$ and $W_\lambda \neq X$. Indeed, suppose firstly that $\mu(X) = \infty$. If $W_\lambda = X$, then (2.14) is clear for every $\beta > 0$ and $\gamma > 0$. On the other hand, if $\mu(X) < \infty$, then Lemma 2.3 implies that $\mu(W_\lambda) < \mu(X)$ when $\lambda > (C_0/\mu(X))^{1-\alpha} \|b\|_{p'} \|f\|_p$ and where C_0 is the positive constant that appears in Lemma 2.3. Also if $\mu(X) \leq \infty$ and $W_\lambda = \emptyset$, then (2.14) holds for every $\beta > 1$ and $\gamma > 0$.

Let B be a ball in X such that $B \cap W_\lambda \neq \emptyset$ and $B \cap (X \setminus W_\lambda) \neq \emptyset$. Then there exists a sequence $(B_j)_{j=1}^\infty$ of balls in X satisfying conditions (i), (ii), and (iii) in Lemma 2.5 by replacing Ω by W_λ .

Let $j \in \mathbb{N}$. Write $B_j = B(a, d)$, with $a \in X$ and $d > 0$. We define $B_j^1 = B(a, \alpha_1 d)$ and $B_j^2 = B(a, \alpha_2 d)$, where $\alpha_1 \leq k(2k^2(1+k(2k+1))+1)$ and $\alpha_2 > k(1+k(\alpha_1+1))$.

Assume that $B_j \cap E_\lambda(\beta, \gamma) \neq \emptyset$ and choose $x_1 \in B_j \cap E_\lambda(\beta, \gamma)$. We write $f = f_1 + f_2$, where $f_1 = f\chi_{B_j^1}$, and $b = b_1 + b_2$, being $b_1 = (b - b_{B_j^2})\chi_{B_j^2}$ and $b_{B_j^2} = 1/\mu(B_j^2) \times \int_{B_j^2} b(y) d\mu(y)$.

We have that $C(b, f_1)(x) \leq C(b_1, f_1)(x)$, for every $x \in B_j$. Indeed, let $x \in B_j$ and $\epsilon > 0$. Since $\alpha_2 > k(1+k(\alpha_1+1))$, if $B(x, \epsilon) \cap (X \setminus B_j^2) \neq \emptyset$, then $B_j^1 \subset B(x, \epsilon)$. Hence we can write

$$\begin{aligned} (b_1)_\epsilon(x) &= \frac{1}{\mu(B(x, \epsilon))} \int_{B(x, \epsilon)} b_1(y) d\mu(y) \\ &= \frac{1}{\mu(B(x, \epsilon))} \int_{B(x, \epsilon) \cap B_j^2} (b(y) - b_{B_j^2}) d\mu(y) \\ &= \frac{1}{\mu(B(x, \epsilon))} \int_{B(x, \epsilon)} b(y) d\mu(y) - b_{B_j^2} = b_\epsilon(x) - b_{B_j^2}, \end{aligned} \tag{2.16}$$

provided that $B_j^1 \cap (X \setminus B(x, \epsilon)) \neq \emptyset$.

Then, since $B_j^1 \subset B_j^2$, one has

$$\begin{aligned} C(b, f_1)(x) &= \sup_{\epsilon > 0} \left| \int_{X \setminus B(x, \epsilon)} \frac{b(y) - b_\epsilon(x)}{\delta(x, y)^{1-\alpha}} f_1(y) d\mu(y) \right| \\ &= \sup_{\epsilon > 0} \left| \int_{(X \setminus B(x, \epsilon)) \cap B_j^1} \frac{b_1(y) + b_{B_j^2} - b_\epsilon(x)}{\delta(x, y)^{1-\alpha}} f_1(y) d\mu(y) \right| \\ &\leq \sup_{\epsilon > 0} \left| \int_{X \setminus B(x, \epsilon)} \frac{b_1(y) - (b_1)_\epsilon(x)}{\delta(x, y)^{1-\alpha}} f_1(y) d\mu(y) \right| = C(b_1, f_1)(x). \end{aligned} \tag{2.17}$$

Moreover from Lemma 2.3 we deduce that for every $\beta > 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} &\mu(\{x \in B_j : C(b_1, f_1)(x) > \beta\lambda\}) \\ &\leq C \left(\frac{\|b_1\|_{p'} \|f_1\|_p}{\beta\lambda} \right)^{1/1-\alpha} \\ &= C \left(\int_{B_j^2} |b(y) - b_{B_j^2}|^{p'} d\mu(y) \right)^{1/p'(1-\alpha)} \left(\int_{B_j^1} |f(y)|^p d\mu(y) \right)^{1/p(1-\alpha)} \\ &\leq C\lambda^{1/\alpha-1} \mu(B_j) \left(\|b\|_{*,p'} (M_{p\alpha}(|f|^p)(x_1))^{1/p} \right)^{1/1-\alpha}, \end{aligned} \tag{2.18}$$

because μ is doubling.

Hence, since $x_1 \in B_j \cap E_\lambda(\beta, \gamma)$ if $\gamma < 1$, then

$$\mu(\{x \in B_j : C(b, f_1)(x) > \beta\lambda\}) \leq C\gamma\mu(B_j). \tag{2.19}$$

By virtue of (ii) in Lemma 2.5, $B_j^* \cap (X \setminus W_\lambda) \neq \emptyset$. Choose $x_0 \in B_j^* \cap (X \setminus W_\lambda)$, that is, $x_0 \in B_j^*$ and $C(b, f)(x_0) \leq \lambda$.

Now our objective is to estimate

$$\mu(\{x \in B_j : C(b, f_2)(x) > \beta\lambda\}). \tag{2.20}$$

We consider two cases.

Assume firstly that $\epsilon > \sigma d$, where $\alpha_2/k - 1 > \sigma > (\alpha_1 + 1)k$. Since $\sigma > (\alpha_1 + 1)k$, for every $x \in B_j$, $B_j^1 \subset B(x, \epsilon)$. Let $x \in B_j$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{X \setminus B(x, \epsilon)} \frac{b(y) - b_\epsilon(x)}{\delta(x, y)^{1-\alpha}} f_2(y) d\mu(y) &= \int_{X \setminus B(x, \epsilon)} \frac{b(y) - b_\epsilon(x)}{\delta(x, y)^{1-\alpha}} f(y) d\mu(y) \\ &= I_1 + I_2 + I_3, \end{aligned} \tag{2.21}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 &= \int_{X \setminus B(x, \epsilon)} \frac{b(y) - b_\epsilon(x)}{\delta(x, y)^{1-\alpha}} f(y) d\mu(y) - \int_{X \setminus B(x, \epsilon)} \frac{b(y) - b_\epsilon(x_0)}{\delta(x, y)^{1-\alpha}} f(y) d\mu(y), \\ I_2 &= \int_{X \setminus B(x, \epsilon)} \frac{b(y) - b_\epsilon(x_0)}{\delta(x, y)^{1-\alpha}} f(y) d\mu(y) - \int_{X \setminus B(x_0, \epsilon)} \frac{b(y) - b_\epsilon(x_0)}{\delta(x_0, y)^{1-\alpha}} f(y) d\mu(y), \\ I_3 &= \int_{X \setminus B(x_0, \epsilon)} \frac{b(y) - b_\epsilon(x_0)}{\delta(x_0, y)^{1-\alpha}} f_2(y) d\mu(y). \end{aligned} \tag{2.22}$$

We are going to estimate I_i , $i = 1, 2, 3$.

As mentioned above if $\delta(x, y) > \epsilon$, then $y \notin B_j^1$. Hence $\delta(x, y) > \epsilon$ implies that $\delta(x, y) \geq ((\alpha_1 - k)/k)d > 0$. Then we can write

$$\frac{\delta(x_1, y)}{\delta(x, y)} \leq \frac{k(\delta(x, x_1) + \delta(x, y))}{\delta(x, y)} \leq \frac{2k^3}{\alpha_1 - k} + k, \tag{2.23}$$

provided that $\delta(x, y) > \epsilon$.

Therefore it follows

$$\begin{aligned} |I_1| &= \left| \int_{X \setminus B(x, \epsilon)} \frac{b(y) - b_\epsilon(x)}{\delta(x, y)^{1-\alpha}} f(y) d\mu(y) - \int_{X \setminus B(x, \epsilon)} \frac{b(y) - b_\epsilon(x_0)}{\delta(x, y)^{1-\alpha}} f(y) d\mu(y) \right| \\ &\leq \int_{X \setminus B(x, \epsilon)} \frac{|b_\epsilon(x_0) - b_\epsilon(x)|}{\delta(x, y)^{1-\alpha}} |f(y)| d\mu(y) \\ &\leq C |b_\epsilon(x_0) - b_\epsilon(x)| \int_{X \setminus B_j^1} \frac{|f(y)|}{\delta(x_1, y)^{1-\alpha}} d\mu(y) \\ &\leq C |b_\epsilon(x_0) - b_\epsilon(x)| I_\alpha(|f|)(x_1). \end{aligned} \tag{2.24}$$

Moreover if $y \in B(x_0, \epsilon)$, then

$$\delta(x, y) \leq k(\delta(y, x_0) + \delta(x_0, x)) \leq k(\epsilon + kd(1 + k(2k + 1))) < 2^m \epsilon, \tag{2.25}$$

where $m \in \mathbb{N}$ is large enough and m is not depending on d and ϵ .

Hence, since (X, δ, μ) is normal we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
 & |b_\epsilon(x_0) - b_\epsilon(x)| \\
 & \leq \frac{1}{\mu(B(x_0, \epsilon))} \int_{B(x_0, \epsilon)} |b(\gamma) - b_\epsilon(x)| d\mu(\gamma) \\
 & \leq C \frac{1}{\mu(B(x, 2^m \epsilon))} \int_{B(x, 2^m \epsilon)} |b(\gamma) - b_\epsilon(x)| d\mu(\gamma) \\
 & \leq C \left(\frac{1}{\mu(B(x, 2^m \epsilon))} \int_{B(x, 2^m \epsilon)} |b(\gamma) - b_{2^m \epsilon}(x)| d\mu(\gamma) + |b_{2^m \epsilon}(x) - b_\epsilon(x)| \right) \\
 & \leq C \|b\|_{*, p'}.
 \end{aligned} \tag{2.26}$$

Thus we conclude that

$$|I_1| \leq C \|b\|_{*, p'} I_\alpha(|f|)(x_1) \leq C \gamma \lambda. \tag{2.27}$$

On the other hand, to estimate I_2 we will use that (X, δ, μ) is a space of homogeneous type which is of order $\rho \in (0, 1)$. It is clear that

$$\begin{aligned}
 |I_2| & \leq \int_{\delta(x, \gamma) \geq \epsilon \text{ and } \delta(x_0, \gamma) \geq \epsilon} |b(\gamma) - b_\epsilon(x_0)| |f_2(\gamma)| \left| \delta(x, \gamma)^{\alpha-1} - \delta(x_0, \gamma)^{\alpha-1} \right| d\mu(\gamma) \\
 & + \left| \int_{\delta(x, \gamma) \geq \epsilon \text{ and } \delta(x_0, \gamma) < \epsilon} \frac{b(\gamma) - b_\epsilon(x_0)}{\delta(x, \gamma)^{1-\alpha}} f_2(\gamma) d\mu(\gamma) \right. \\
 & \quad \left. - \int_{\delta(x_0, \gamma) \geq \epsilon \text{ and } \delta(x, \gamma) < \epsilon} \frac{b(\gamma) - b_\epsilon(x_0)}{\delta(x_0, \gamma)^{1-\alpha}} f_2(\gamma) d\mu(\gamma) \right|.
 \end{aligned} \tag{2.28}$$

Note that, since $\sigma > 2k^2(1 + k(2k+1))$, $\delta(x_0, \gamma) \leq 2k\delta(x_0, x)$ provided that $\delta(x_0, \gamma) > \epsilon$. Hence, according to [11, Lemma II.3] and Lemma 2.4, since $\delta(x_0, x_1) < (1/2k)\epsilon$, we have,

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \int_{\delta(x, \gamma) \geq \epsilon \text{ and } \delta(x_0, \gamma) \geq \epsilon} |b(\gamma) - b_\epsilon(x_0)| |f_2(\gamma)| \left| \delta(x, \gamma)^{\alpha-1} - \delta(x_0, \gamma)^{\alpha-1} \right| d\mu(\gamma) \\
 & \leq C \delta(x, x_0)^\rho \int_{X \setminus B(x_0, \epsilon)} |b(\gamma) - b_\epsilon(x_0)| |f_2(\gamma)| \left| \delta(x_0, \gamma)^{\alpha-\rho-1} \right| d\mu(\gamma) \\
 & \leq C \epsilon^\rho \int_{X \setminus B(x_0, \epsilon)} |b(\gamma) - b_\epsilon(x_0)| |f_2(\gamma)| \left| \delta(x_0, \gamma)^{\alpha-\rho-1} \right| d\mu(\gamma) \\
 & \leq C \|b\|_{*, p'} (M_{p\alpha}(|f|^p)(x_1))^{1/p} \leq C \gamma \lambda.
 \end{aligned} \tag{2.29}$$

Moreover, $\delta(x, \gamma) < \epsilon$ implies that $\delta(x_0, \gamma) \leq \epsilon(k + (1/2))$ and this inequality implies that $\delta(x_1, \gamma) \leq \epsilon(k(k + (1/2)) + (1/2))$. Then, by taking into account the normality of

(X, δ, μ) , Holder inequality leads to

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \int_{\delta(x,y) \geq \epsilon \text{ and } \delta(x_0,y) < \epsilon} \frac{b(y) - b_\epsilon(x_0)}{\delta(x,y)^{1-\alpha}} f_2(y) d\mu(y) \right. \\ & \quad \left. - \int_{\delta(x_0,y) \geq \epsilon \text{ and } \delta(x,y) < \epsilon} \frac{b(y) - b_\epsilon(x_0)}{\delta(x_0,y)^{1-\alpha}} f_2(y) d\mu(y) \right| \\ & \leq C \epsilon^{\alpha-1} \int_{B(x_0, \epsilon(k+(1/2)))} |b(y) - b_\epsilon(x_0)| |f_2(y)| d\mu(y) \tag{2.30} \\ & \leq C \frac{1}{\mu(B(x_0, \epsilon(k+(1/2))))^{1-\alpha}} \int_{B(x_0, \epsilon(k+(1/2)))} |b(y) - b_\epsilon(x_0)| |f_2(y)| d\mu(y) \\ & \leq \|b\|_{*,p'} (M_{p\alpha}(|f|^p)(x_1))^{1/p} \leq C\gamma\lambda. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, since $x_0 \notin W_\lambda$, we have

$$|I_3| \leq \lambda. \tag{2.31}$$

By combining (2.21), (2.27), and (2.31) we conclude that

$$\sup_{\epsilon > d\sigma} \left| \int_{X \setminus B(x, \epsilon)} \frac{b(y) - b_\epsilon(x)}{\delta(x,y)^{1-\alpha}} f_2(y) d\mu(y) \right| \leq C\gamma\lambda + \lambda. \tag{2.32}$$

Assume now $0 < \epsilon \leq d\sigma$. Let $x \in B_j$. It is not hard to see that if y is in the support of f_2 then $\delta(x, y) \geq ((\alpha_1 - k)/k)d$ and $\delta(x_0, y) \geq ((\alpha_1 - k^2(2k + 1))/k)d$. We choose $\omega > 0$ such that $\omega < (\alpha_1 - k)/k$.

We can write

$$\int_{X \setminus B(x, \epsilon)} \frac{b(y) - b_\epsilon(x)}{\delta(x,y)^{1-\alpha}} f_2(y) d\mu(y) = J_1 + J_2 + J_3, \tag{2.33}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} J_1 &= \int_{X \setminus B(x, \epsilon)} \frac{b_{\omega d}(x_0) - b_\epsilon(x)}{\delta(x,y)^{1-\alpha}} f_2(y) d\mu(y), \\ J_2 &= \int_{X \setminus B(x, \epsilon)} \frac{b(y) - b_{\omega d}(x_0)}{\delta(x,y)^{1-\alpha}} f_2(y) d\mu(y) - \int_{X \setminus B(x_0, \epsilon)} \frac{b(y) - b_{\omega d}(x_0)}{\delta(x_0,y)^{1-\alpha}} f_2(y) d\mu(y), \\ J_3 &= \int_{X \setminus B(x_0, \epsilon)} \frac{b(y) - b_{\omega d}(x_0)}{\delta(x_0,y)^{1-\alpha}} f_2(y) d\mu(y). \end{aligned} \tag{2.34}$$

We will estimate $J_i, i = 1, 2, 3$.

By proceeding as in the study of I_1 , since $k(\sigma + 1) < \alpha_2$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |J_1| &\leq C |b_{\omega d}(x_0) - b_\epsilon(x)| I_\alpha(|f|)(x_1) \\ &\leq C \frac{1}{\mu(B(x, \epsilon))} \int_{B(x, \epsilon)} |b(y) - b_{\omega d}(x_0)| \chi_{B_j^2}(y) d\mu(y) I_\alpha(|f|)(x_1) \tag{2.35} \\ &\leq CM \left((b - b_{\omega d}(x_0)) \chi_{B_j^2} \right) (x) I_\alpha(|f|)(x_1). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
 J_2 &= \int_{X \setminus B(x, \epsilon)} \frac{b(y) - b_{\omega d}(x_0)}{\delta(x, y)^{1-\alpha}} f_2(y) d\mu(y) - \int_{X \setminus B(x_0, \epsilon)} \frac{b(y) - b_{\omega d}(x_0)}{\delta(x, y)^{1-\alpha}} f_2(y) d\mu(y) \\
 &= \int_{\delta(x, y) \geq \epsilon \text{ and } \delta(x_0, y) \geq \epsilon} (b(y) - b_{\omega d}(x_0)) f_2(y) (\delta(x, y)^{\alpha-1} - \delta(x_0, y)^{\alpha-1}) d\mu(y) \\
 &\quad + \int_{\delta(x, y) \geq \epsilon \text{ and } \delta(x_0, y) < \epsilon} \frac{b(y) - b_{\omega d}(x_0)}{\delta(x, y)^{1-\alpha}} f_2(y) d\mu(y) \\
 &\quad - \int_{\delta(x, y) < \epsilon \text{ and } \delta(x_0, y) \geq \epsilon} \frac{b(y) - b_{\omega d}(x_0)}{\delta(x_0, y)^{1-\alpha}} f_2(y) d\mu(y).
 \end{aligned} \tag{2.36}$$

Since (X, δ, μ) is a space of homogeneous type of order $\rho \in (0, 1)$, by virtue of [11, Lemma 2.3], we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\left| \int_{\delta(x, y) \geq \epsilon \text{ and } \delta(x_0, y) \geq \epsilon} (b(y) - b_{\omega d}(x_0)) f_2(y) (\delta(x, y)^{\alpha-1} - \delta(x_0, y)^{\alpha-1}) d\mu(y) \right| \\
 &\leq C \delta(x, x_0)^\rho \int_{\delta(x, y) \geq \epsilon \text{ and } \delta(x_0, y) \geq \epsilon} |b(y) - b_{\omega d}(x_0)| |f_2(y)| \delta(x, y)^{\alpha-\rho-1} d\mu(y),
 \end{aligned} \tag{2.37}$$

because if y is in the support of f_2 , then $\delta(x, y) \geq ((\alpha_1 - k)/k)d \geq 2k^2(k(2k+1) + 1)d \geq 2k\delta(x_0, x)$. Hence, since $y \in \text{supp } f_2$ implies that $\delta(x_1, y) > \omega d$, by proceeding as in the proof of Lemma 2.4 we conclude

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\left| \int_{\delta(x, y) \geq \epsilon \text{ and } \delta(x_0, y) \geq \epsilon} (b(y) - b_{\omega d}(x_0)) f_2(y) (\delta(x, y)^{\alpha-1} - \delta(x_0, y)^{\alpha-1}) d\mu(y) \right| \\
 &\leq C \delta(x, x_0)^\rho \int_{\delta(x_1, y) > \omega d} \frac{|b(y) - b_{\omega d}(x_0)|}{\delta(x_1, y)^{1+\rho-\alpha}} |f(y)| d\mu(y) \\
 &\leq C \|b\|_{*, p'} (M_{p, \alpha}(|f|^p)(x_1))^{1/p} \leq C y \lambda.
 \end{aligned} \tag{2.38}$$

Also, since if $\delta(x, y) < \epsilon$, then $\delta(x_0, y) < dk(k(k(2k+1)+1) + \sigma)$ and since $\omega < \alpha_1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\left| \int_{\delta(x, y) \geq \epsilon \text{ and } \delta(x_0, y) < \epsilon} \frac{b(y) - b_{\omega d}(x_0)}{\delta(x, y)^{1-\alpha}} f_2(y) d\mu(y) \right. \\
 &\quad \left. - \int_{\delta(x, y) < \epsilon \text{ and } \delta(x_0, y) \geq \epsilon} \frac{b(y) - b_{\omega d}(x_0)}{\delta(x_0, y)^{1-\alpha}} f_2(y) d\mu(y) \right| \\
 &\leq \int_{\omega d < \delta(x_0, y) < k(k(k(2k+1)+1) + \sigma)d} |b(y) - b_{\omega d}(x_0)| |f_2(y)| \\
 &\quad \times (\delta(x, y)^{\alpha-1} + \delta(x_0, y)^{\alpha-1}) d\mu(y) \\
 &\leq C \int_{\omega d < \delta(x_0, y) < k(k(k(2k+1)+1) + \sigma)d} \frac{|b(y) - b_{\omega d}(x_0)|}{\delta(x_0, y)^{1-\alpha}} |f_2(y)| d\mu(y).
 \end{aligned} \tag{2.39}$$

Now by proceeding as in the proof of Lemma 2.4 we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \int_{\delta(x,y) \geq \epsilon \text{ and } \delta(x_0,y) < \epsilon} \frac{b(y) - b_{\omega d}(x_0)}{\delta(x,y)^{1-\alpha}} f_2(y) d\mu(y) \right. \\ & \quad \left. - \int_{\delta(x,y) < \epsilon \text{ and } \delta(x_0,y) \geq \epsilon} \frac{b(y) - b_{\omega d}(x_0)}{\delta(x_0,y)^{1-\alpha}} f_2(y) d\mu(y) \right| \\ & \leq C \|b\|_{*,p'} (M_{p\alpha}(|f|^p)(x_1))^{1/p} \leq C\gamma\lambda. \end{aligned} \tag{2.40}$$

In a similar way we can see that

$$\begin{aligned} |J_3| & \leq \int_{X \setminus B(x_0, \omega d)} \frac{|b(y) - b_{\omega d}(x_0)|}{\delta(x_0,y)^{1-\alpha}} |f_2(y)| d\mu(y) \\ & \leq C \|b\|_{*,p'} (M_{p\alpha}(|f|^p)(x_1))^{1/p} \leq C\gamma\lambda. \end{aligned} \tag{2.41}$$

By combining the above estimates we can conclude

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{0 < \epsilon \leq d\sigma} \left| \int_{X \setminus B(x, \epsilon)} \frac{b(y) - b_\epsilon(x)}{\delta(x,y)^{1-\alpha}} f_2(y) d\mu(y) \right| \\ & \leq C(\lambda\gamma + I_\alpha(|f|)(x_1)) M((b - b_{\omega d}(x_0))\chi_{B_j^2})(x). \end{aligned} \tag{2.42}$$

From (2.32) and (2.42) follows that for every $x \in B_j$

$$C(b, f_2)(x) \leq C(\lambda\gamma + \lambda + I_\alpha(|f|)(x_1)) M((b - b_{\omega d}(x_0))\chi_{B_j^2})(x). \tag{2.43}$$

Hence if β is large enough, then according to Lemma 2.2 and since μ is doubling

$$\begin{aligned} & \mu(\{x \in B_j : C(b, f_2)(x) > \beta\lambda\}) \\ & \leq \mu(\{x \in B_j : I_\alpha(|f|)(x_1) M((b - b_{\omega d}(x_0))\chi_{B_j^2})(x) > \lambda\}) \\ & \leq C\lambda^{-1} I_\alpha(|f|)(x_1) \int_{B_j^2} |b(y) - b_{\omega d}(x_0)| d\mu(y) \\ & \leq C\lambda^{-1} I_\alpha(|f|)(x_1) \|b\|_{*,p'} \mu(B_j) \leq C\gamma\mu(B_j). \end{aligned} \tag{2.44}$$

Thus we obtain that for $\beta \geq \beta_0$ and $\gamma < 1$, where β_0 is large enough,

$$\mu(B_j \cap E_\lambda(\beta, \gamma)) \leq C\gamma\mu(B_j). \tag{2.45}$$

Hence

$$\mu(B \cap E_\lambda(\beta, \gamma)) \leq C\gamma \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \mu(B_j) \leq C\gamma\mu(W_\lambda), \quad \beta \geq \beta_0, \gamma < 1. \tag{2.46}$$

Arbitrariness of B allows to conclude that

$$\mu(E_\lambda(\beta, \gamma)) \leq C\gamma\mu(W_\lambda), \quad \beta \geq \beta_0, \gamma < 1, \tag{2.47}$$

and the proof is finished. □

PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1. To prove Theorem 1.1 we proceed as in the proof of [6, Theorem III]. We start proving that the operator $C(b, f)$ is bounded from $L^p(X, \mu)$ into $L^q(X, \mu)$, when $1 < p < 1/\alpha$ and $1/q = 1/p - \alpha$. Assume that $b \in L^\infty(X, \mu)$.

Let $1 < p_1 < p < 1/\alpha$ and $1/q = 1/p - \alpha$. Assume firstly that $\mu(X) = \infty$. According to Lemma 2.6, $f \in L^{p_1}(X, \mu)$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_X (C(b, f)(x))^q d\mu(x) \\ &= \beta^q q \int_0^\infty \lambda^{q-1} \mu(\{x \in X : C(b, f)(x) > \beta\lambda\}) d\lambda \\ &\leq C\beta^q \left(\gamma \int_0^\infty \lambda^{q-1} \mu(\{x \in X : C(b, f)(x) > \lambda\}) d\lambda \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \int_0^\infty \lambda^{q-1} \mu(\{x \in X : \|b\|_{*,p'} (I_\alpha(|f|)(x) + (M_{p_1\alpha}(|f|^{p_1})(x))^{1/p_1}) > \gamma\lambda\}) d\lambda \right) \\ &= C\beta^q \left(\gamma \int_X (C(b, f)(x))^q d\mu(x) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \gamma^{-q} \|b\|_{*,p'}^q \int_X (I_\alpha(|f|)(x) + (M_{p_1\alpha}(|f|^{p_1})(x))^{1/p_1})^q d\mu(x) \right), \end{aligned} \tag{2.48}$$

provided that $\beta \geq \beta_0$ and $0 < \gamma < 1$, where β_0 is given in Lemma 2.6.

Hence by (2.4) and Lemma 2.1 and by taking γ so small we can conclude that

$$\|C(b, f)\|_q \leq C \|b\|_{*,p'} \left(\|I_\alpha(|f|)\|_q + \|M_{p_1\alpha}(|f|^{p_1})\|_{q/p_1}^{1/p_1} \right). \tag{2.49}$$

According to Lemmas 2.1 and 2.2 it follows

$$\|C(b, f)\|_q \leq C \|b\|_{*,p'} \|f\|_p. \tag{2.50}$$

Suppose now that $\mu(X) < \infty$. Since $C(b, f) = C(b - a, f)$, for every $a \in \mathbb{C}$, we can assume, without loss of generality, that $\int_X b d\mu = 0$. Then Lemma 2.6 leads, for every $f \in L^{p_1}(X, \mu)$, to

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_X (C(b, f)(x))^q d\mu(x) \\ &= \beta^q q \int_0^\infty \lambda^{q-1} \mu(\{x \in X : C(b, f)(x) > \beta\lambda\}) d\lambda \\ &\leq C\beta^q \left(\int_0^{\|b\|_{p'} \|f\|_p (C_0/\mu(X))^{1-\alpha}} \lambda^{q-1} d\lambda + \gamma \int_X (C(b, f)(x))^q d\mu(x) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \gamma^{-q} \|b\|_{*,p'}^q \int_X (I_\alpha(|f|)(x) + (M_{p_1\alpha}(|f|^{p_1})(x))^{1/p_1})^q d\mu(x) \right) \\ &\leq C\beta^q \left(\|b\|_{p'}^q \|f\|_p^q + \gamma \int_X (C(b, f)(x))^q d\mu(x) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \gamma^{-q} \|b\|_{*,p'}^q \int_X (I_\alpha(|f|)(x) + (M_{p_1\alpha}(|f|^{p_1})(x))^{1/p_1})^q d\mu(x) \right), \end{aligned} \tag{2.51}$$

when $\beta \geq \beta_0$ and $0 < \gamma < 1$, β_0 being as in Lemma 2.6.

Thus we deduce from Lemmas 2.1 and 2.2 that

$$\|C(b, f)\|_q \leq C \|b\|_{*,p'} \|f\|_p. \tag{2.52}$$

Now we note that

$$\begin{aligned}
 [b, I_\alpha](f)(x) &= \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \left(b(x) \int_{X \setminus B(x, \epsilon)} \frac{f(y)}{\delta(x, y)^{1-\alpha}} d\mu(y) - \int_{X \setminus B(x, \epsilon)} \frac{b(y)f(y)}{\delta(x, y)^{1-\alpha}} d\mu(y) \right) \\
 &= \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \left((b(x) - b_\epsilon(x)) \int_{X \setminus B(x, \epsilon)} \frac{f(y)}{\delta(x, y)^{1-\alpha}} d\mu(y) \right. \\
 &\quad \left. - \int_{X \setminus B(x, \epsilon)} \frac{b(y) - b_\epsilon(x)}{\delta(x, y)^{1-\alpha}} f(y) d\mu(y) \right) \\
 &= - \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \int_{X \setminus B(x, \epsilon)} \frac{b(y) - b_\epsilon(x)}{\delta(x, y)^{1-\alpha}} f(y) d\mu(y),
 \end{aligned} \tag{2.53}$$

for every $f \in L^p(X, \mu)$, and a.e. $x \in X$.

Then

$$\| [b, I_\alpha] \|_q \leq \| C(b, f) \|_q, \tag{2.54}$$

for each $f \in L^p(X, \mu)$.

To finish the proof it is sufficient to take into account [3, Lemma 2.5] and Fatou's lemma. \square

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT. The research was partially supported by DGICYT Grant PB 94-0591 (Spain).

REFERENCES

- [1] A. Bernardis and O. Salinas, *Two-weight norm inequalities for the fractional maximal operator on spaces of homogeneous type*, Studia Math. **108** (1994), no. 3, 201-207. MR 94m:42038. Zbl 846.42013.
- [2] M. Bramanti, *Commutators of integral operators with positive kernels*, Matematiche (Catania) **49** (1994), no. 1, 149-168 (1995). MR 97c:47059. Zbl 840.42009.
- [3] M. Bramanti and M. C. Cerutti, *Commutators of singular integrals and fractional integrals on homogeneous spaces*, Harmonic Analysis and Operator Theory (Caracas, 1994) (Providence, RI), Contemp. Math., 189, Amer. Math. Soc., 1995, pp. 81-94. MR 96m:42024. Zbl 837.43013.
- [4] N. Burger, *Espace des fonctions a variation moyenne bornee sur un espace de nature homogene*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. A-B **286** (1978), no. 3, A139-A142. MR 57#7041. Zbl 368.46037.
- [5] S. Chanillo, *A note on commutators*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. **31** (1982), no. 1, 7-16. MR 84j:42027. Zbl 523.42015.
- [6] R. R. Coifman and C. Fefferman, *Weighted norm inequalities for maximal functions and singular integrals*, Studia Math. **51** (1974), 241-250. MR 50#10670. Zbl 291.44007.
- [7] R. R. Coifman, R. Rochberg, and G. Weiss, *Factorization theorems for Hardy spaces in several variables*, Ann. of Math. (2) **103** (1976), no. 3, 611-635. MR 54#843. Zbl 326.32011.
- [8] R. R. Coifman and G. Weiss, *Analyse Harmonique Non-Commutative sur Certains Espaces Homogenes*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 242, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, New York, 1971. MR 58#17690. Zbl 224.43006.
- [9] ———, *Extensions of Hardy spaces and their use in analysis*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **83** (1977), no. 4, 569-645. MR 56#6264. Zbl 358.30023.
- [10] A. E. Gatto, C. Segovia, and S. Vagi, *On fractional differentiation and integration on spaces of homogeneous type*, Rev. Mat. Iberoamericana **12** (1996), no. 1, 111-145. MR 97k:42028. Zbl 921.43005.

- [11] A. E. Gatto and S. Vagi, *Fractional integrals on spaces of homogeneous type*, Analysis and Partial Differential Equations (New York), Dekker, 1990, pp. 171–216. MR 91e:42032. Zbl 695.43006.
- [12] V. M. Kokilashvili and A. Kufner, *Fractional integrals on spaces of homogeneous type*, Comment. Math. Univ. Carolin. **30** (1989), no. 3, 511–523. MR 91e:42027. Zbl 686.42013.
- [13] R. L. Long and L. Yang, *BMO functions in spaces of homogeneous type*, Sci. Sinica Ser. A **27** (1984), no. 7, 695–708. MR 85m:42022. Zbl 572.42011.
- [14] J. Stromberg and A. Torchinsky, *Weighted Hardy Spaces*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 1381, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1989. MR 90j:42053. Zbl 676.42021.
- [15] R. L. Wheeden, *A characterization of some weighted norm inequalities for the fractional maximal function*, Studia Math. **107** (1993), no. 3, 257–272. MR 94m:42044. Zbl 809.42009.

JORGE J. BETANCOR: DEPARTAMENTO DE ANÁLISIS MATEMÁTICO, UNIVERSIDAD DE LA LAGUNA,
38271-LA LAGUNA, TENERIFE ISLAS CANARIAS, ESPAÑA
E-mail address: jbetanco@ull.es



Hindawi

Submit your manuscripts at
<http://www.hindawi.com>

