

# THE PRIME FILTER THEOREM OF LATTICE IMPLICATION ALGEBRAS

YOUNG BAE JUN

(Received 23 March 2000)

**ABSTRACT.** Using a special set  $x^{-1}F$ , we give an equivalent condition for a filter to be prime, and applying this result, we provide the prime filter theorem in lattice implication algebras.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 03G10, 06B10, 54E15.

**1. Introduction.** In order to research the logical system whose propositional value is given in a lattice, Xu [3] proposed the concept of lattice implication algebras, and discussed some of their properties. Xu and Qin [4] introduced the notion of filters and implicative filters in a lattice implication algebra and investigated their properties. The present author [1] gave an equivalent condition of a filter and provided some equivalent conditions for a filter to be an implicative filter. Also, by using these results, an extension property for implicative filter was constructed. In [2], Liu and Xu defined the notion of prime filters and studied a decomposition theorem of lattice implication algebras.

In this paper, we first give an equivalent condition for a filter to be prime by using a special set  $x^{-1}F$  and applying this result we provide the prime filter theorem in lattice implication algebras.

**2. Preliminaries.** First of all, we recall a few notions and properties.

By a *lattice implication algebra* we mean a bounded lattice  $(L, \vee, \wedge, 0, 1)$  with order-reversing involution “ $'$ ” and a binary operation “ $\rightarrow$ ” satisfying the following axioms:

- (I1)  $x \rightarrow (y \rightarrow z) = y \rightarrow (x \rightarrow z)$ ,
- (I2)  $x \rightarrow x = 1$ ,
- (I3)  $x \rightarrow y = y' \rightarrow x'$ ,
- (I4)  $x \rightarrow y = y \rightarrow x = 1 \Rightarrow x = y$ ,
- (I5)  $(x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow y = (y \rightarrow x) \rightarrow x$ ,
- (L1)  $(x \vee y) \rightarrow z = (x \rightarrow z) \wedge (y \rightarrow z)$ ,
- (L2)  $(x \wedge y) \rightarrow z = (x \rightarrow z) \vee (y \rightarrow z)$ ,

for all  $x, y, z \in L$ .

In what follows the binary operation “ $\rightarrow$ ” will be denoted by juxtaposition. We can define a partial ordering “ $\leq$ ” on a lattice implication algebra  $L$  by  $x \leq y$  if and only if  $xy = 1$ .

In a lattice implication algebra  $L$ , the following hold (see [3]):

- (1)  $0x = 1$ ,  $1x = x$ , and  $x1 = 1$ .

- (2)  $x' = x0$ .
- (3)  $xy \leq (yz)(xz)$ .
- (4)  $x \vee y = (xy)y$ .
- (5)  $x \leq y$  implies  $yz \leq xz$  and  $zx \leq zy$ .
- (6)  $x \leq (xy)y$ .

A subset  $F$  of a lattice implication algebra  $L$  is called a *filter* of  $L$  if it satisfies:

- (F1)  $1 \in F$ ,
- (F2)  $x \in F$  and  $xy \in F$  imply  $y \in F$ ,

for all  $x, y \in L$ .

Any filter  $F$  of a lattice implication algebra  $L$  has the property: if  $x \leq y$  and  $x \in F$ , then  $y \in F$ .

**3. The prime filter theorem.** In the rest of this paper, the letter  $L$  will be reserved, so far as is possible, for a lattice implication algebra.

Note that for a subset  $F$  of  $L$ ,

$$\langle F \rangle = \{x \in L \mid a_1(a_2 \cdots (a_n x) \cdots) = 1; a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n \in F\} \quad (3.1)$$

is the smallest filter containing  $F$  and is called the *filter generated by  $F$*  (see [4]).

For any nonnegative integer  $n$ , we define  $n(x)y$  recursively as follows:  $0(x)y = y$ ,  $1(x)y = xy$ , and  $(n+1)(x)y = x(n(x)y)$  for all  $x, y \in L$ . Using (I1) and (1) we know that  $y(n(x)y) = 1$ , that is,  $y \leq n(x)y$  for all  $x, y \in L$ .

**PROPOSITION 3.1.** *Let  $F$  be a filter of  $L$  and let  $x \in L$ . Then*

$$\langle F \cup \{x\} \rangle = \{y \in L \mid n(x)y \in F \text{ for some nonnegative integer } n\}. \quad (3.2)$$

**PROOF.** Let  $y \in \langle F \cup \{x\} \rangle$ . Then

$$m(x)(a_1(a_2 \cdots (a_n y) \cdots)) = 1 \quad (3.3)$$

for some  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n \in F$  and some nonnegative integer  $m$ . Using (I1) repeatedly, we know that

$$a_1(a_2 \cdots (a_n(m(x)y)) \cdots) = 1. \quad (3.4)$$

It follows from (F2) that  $m(x)y \in F$  so that

$$\langle F \cup \{x\} \rangle \subseteq \{y \in L \mid n(x)y \in F \text{ for some nonnegative integer } n\}. \quad (3.5)$$

Conversely, assume that  $n(x)y \in F$  for some nonnegative integer  $n$ . It follows from  $F \subseteq \langle F \cup \{x\} \rangle$  that  $x((n-1)(x)y) = n(x)y \in \langle F \cup \{x\} \rangle$ . Since  $x \in \langle F \cup \{x\} \rangle$ , we have  $(n-1)(x)y \in \langle F \cup \{x\} \rangle$  by (F2). Repeating this process we know that  $y = 0(x)y \in \langle F \cup \{x\} \rangle$ . Hence

$$\{y \in L \mid n(x)y \in F \text{ for some nonnegative integer } n\} \subseteq \langle F \cup \{x\} \rangle, \quad (3.6)$$

This completes the proof. □

**DEFINITION 3.2.** For any nonempty subset  $F$  of  $L$  and  $x \in L$ , we define

$$x^{-1}F := \{y \in L \mid x \vee y \in F\}. \quad (3.7)$$

Note that if  $F$  is a filter of  $L$ , then  $1 \in x^{-1}F$ .

**PROPOSITION 3.3.** *If  $F$  is a filter of  $L$ , then  $x^{-1}F$  is a filter of  $L$  containing  $F$ .*

**PROOF.** Let  $y \in x^{-1}F$  and  $yz \in x^{-1}F$ . Then  $x \vee y \in F$  and  $x \vee (yz) \in F$ . Now

$$(x \vee y)(x \vee z) = ((yx)x)((zx)x) \geq (zx)(yx) \geq yz \quad (3.8)$$

and  $(x \vee y)(x \vee z) \geq x \vee z \geq x$ . It follows that  $x \vee (yz) \leq (x \vee y)(x \vee z)$  so that  $(x \vee y)(x \vee z) \in F$ . Using the fact that  $F$  is a filter and  $x \vee y \in F$ , we get  $x \vee z \in F$ , that is,  $z \in x^{-1}F$ . This shows that  $x^{-1}F$  is a filter of  $L$ . Let  $y \in F$ . Since  $y \leq x \vee y$ , it follows that  $x \vee y \in F$ , that is,  $y \in x^{-1}F$ . Hence  $F \subseteq x^{-1}F$ , this completes the proof.  $\square$

**PROPOSITION 3.4.** *Let  $F$  and  $G$  be filters of  $L$ . Then*

- (i)  $x^{-1}F = L$  if and only if  $x \in F$ ,
- (ii)  $x \leq y$  in  $L \Rightarrow x^{-1}F \subseteq y^{-1}F$ ,
- (iii)  $F \subseteq G \Rightarrow x^{-1}F \subseteq x^{-1}G$ ,
- (iv)  $x^{-1}(F \cap G) = x^{-1}F \cap x^{-1}G$  and  $x^{-1}(F \cup G) = x^{-1}F \cup x^{-1}G$ ,
- (v)  $(x \vee y)^{-1}F = x^{-1}(y^{-1}F)$ ,
- (vi)  $(x \wedge y)^{-1}F \subseteq x^{-1}F \cap y^{-1}F$ ,

for all  $x, y \in L$ .

**PROOF.** (i) If  $x \in F$ , then  $x \vee y \in F$  for all  $y \in L$ , that is,  $y \in x^{-1}F$ . Hence  $x^{-1}F = L$ . Conversely, assume that  $x^{-1}F = L$ . Then  $x \vee y \in F$  for all  $y \in L$ , in particular  $x = x \vee x \in F$ .

(ii) Assume that  $x \leq y$  in  $L$  and let  $z \in x^{-1}F$ . Then  $x \vee z \in F$  and  $x \vee z \leq y \vee z$ . It follows that  $y \vee z \in F$ , that is,  $z \in y^{-1}F$ .

(iii)–(vi) Clear.  $\square$

**DEFINITION 3.5** (see [2, Definition 4]). A proper filter  $P$  of  $L$  is said to be *prime* if for every  $x, y \in L$ ,  $x \vee y \in P$  implies  $x \in P$  or  $y \in P$ .

**PROPOSITION 3.6.** *Let  $P$  and  $F$  be filters of  $L$  such that  $F \subseteq P$ . If  $P$  is prime, then  $x^{-1}F \subseteq P$  for all  $x \in L \setminus P$ .*

**PROOF.** Let  $z \in x^{-1}F$  for all  $x \in L \setminus P$ . Then  $x \vee z \in F \subseteq P$ . Since  $P$  is prime, it follows that  $z \in P$  because  $x \notin P$ . Hence  $x^{-1}F \subseteq P$ .  $\square$

**PROPOSITION 3.7.** *If  $P$  is a prime filter of  $L$ , then  $L \setminus P$  is  $\vee$ -closed, that is,  $x \vee y \in L \setminus P$  whenever  $x \in L \setminus P$  and  $y \in L \setminus P$ .*

**PROOF.** The proof is straightforward.  $\square$

The following theorem gives a characterization of prime filters.

**THEOREM 3.8.** *A filter  $P$  of  $L$  is prime if and only if  $x^{-1}P = P$  for all  $x \in L \setminus P$ .*

**PROOF.** Suppose  $P$  is a prime filter of  $L$  and let  $x \in L \setminus P$ . The inclusion  $P \subseteq x^{-1}P$  follows from Proposition 3.3. Let  $y \in x^{-1}P$ . Then  $x \vee y \in P$  and so  $y \in P$  because  $P$  is prime and  $x \notin P$ . This proves that  $x^{-1}P = P$ . Conversely, assume that  $x^{-1}P = P$  for all  $x \in L \setminus P$ . Let  $y \vee z \in P$  and  $z \notin P$ . It follows from the hypothesis that  $z^{-1}P = P$  so that  $y \in z^{-1}P = P$ . This shows that  $P$  is prime.  $\square$

**PROPOSITION 3.9.** *If  $F$  is a filter of  $L$ , then  $F = x^{-1}F \cap \langle F \cup \{x\} \rangle$  for all  $x \in L \setminus F$ .*

**PROOF.** Clearly,  $F \subseteq x^{-1}F \cap \langle F \cup \{x\} \rangle$ . Let  $y \in x^{-1}F \cap \langle F \cup \{x\} \rangle$ . Then  $x \vee y \in F$  and  $y \in \langle F \cup \{x\} \rangle$ . It follows from Proposition 3.1 that there exists a nonnegative integer  $n$  such that  $n(x)y \in F$ . Now

$$n(x)y = x((n-1)(x)y) = (x \vee (n-1)(x)y)(n-1)(x)y. \quad (3.9)$$

Since  $y \leq (n-1)(x)y$ , therefore  $x \vee y \leq x \vee (n-1)(x)y$  and so  $x \vee (n-1)(x)y \in F$ . From  $n(x)y = (x \vee (n-1)(x)y)(n-1)(x)y \in F$  it follows that  $(n-1)(x)y \in F$ . Continuing this process, we get  $y \in F$  and, consequently,  $x^{-1}F \cap \langle F \cup \{x\} \rangle \subseteq F$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

Finally, we provide the prime filter theorem. This is a generalization of Liu and Xu's result [2, Theorem 4] because every lattice ideal is necessarily  $\vee$ -closed.

**THEOREM 3.10** (prime filter theorem). *Let  $F$  be a filter of  $L$  and  $S$  a  $\vee$ -closed subset of  $L$  such that  $F \cap S = \emptyset$ . Then there exists a prime filter  $P$  of  $L$  such that  $F \subseteq P$  and  $P \cap S = \emptyset$ .*

**PROOF.** The existence of a filter  $P$  being the maximal element of the family of all filters that contain  $F$  and have empty intersection with  $S$  follows from an application of Zorn's lemma. We now prove that  $P$  is prime. Suppose  $P$  is not prime. By Theorem 3.8, there exists an element  $x \in L \setminus P$  such that  $x^{-1}P \neq P$ . Now  $P$  is properly contained in both  $x^{-1}P$  and  $\langle P \cup \{x\} \rangle$ ; therefore the maximality of  $P$  implies that  $x^{-1}P \cap S \neq \emptyset$  and  $\langle P \cup \{x\} \rangle \cap S \neq \emptyset$ . Let  $y \in x^{-1}P \cap S$  and  $z \in \langle P \cup \{x\} \rangle \cap S$ . Then  $y \in x^{-1}P$  and  $z \in \langle P \cup \{x\} \rangle$  and hence  $y \vee z \in x^{-1}P \cap \langle P \cup \{x\} \rangle = P$  by Proposition 3.9. Also  $y \vee z \in S$  because  $S$  is  $\vee$ -closed. Consequently,  $y \vee z \in P \cap S$  and so  $P \cap S \neq \emptyset$ , a contradiction. This completes the proof.  $\square$

## REFERENCES

- [1] Y. B. Jun, *Implicative filters of lattice implication algebras*, Bull. Korean Math. Soc. **34** (1997), no. 2, 193-198. MR 98g:03142. Zbl 876.03035.
- [2] J. Liu and Y. Xu, *On prime filters and decomposition theorem of lattice implication algebras*, J. Fuzzy Math. **6** (1998), no. 4, 1001-1008. MR 2000b:03228. Zbl 920.03064.
- [3] Y. Xu, *Lattice implication algebras*, J. Southwest Jiaotong Univ. (1993), no. 1, 20-27. Zbl 784.03035.
- [4] Y. Xu and K. Y. Qin, *On filters of lattice implication algebras*, J. Fuzzy Math. **1** (1993), no. 2, 251-260. MR 94b:06016. Zbl 787.06009.

YOUNG BAE JUN: DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS EDUCATION, GYEONGSANG NATIONAL UNIVERSITY, CHINJU 660-701, KOREA

E-mail address: ybjun@nongae.gsnu.ac.kr

