

## ONE-SIDED COMPLEMENTS AND SOLUTIONS OF THE EQUATION $aXb = c$ IN SEMIRINGS

SAM L. BLYUMIN and JONATHAN S. GOLAN

Received 22 July 2001

Given multiplicatively-regular elements  $a$  and  $b$  in a semiring  $R$ , and given an element  $c$  of  $R$ , we find a complete set of solutions to the equation  $aXb = c$ . This result is then extended to equations over matrix semirings.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 16Y60.

**1. Semirings.** We follow the notation and terminology of [5], to which the reader is referred for all undefined notions and unproven assertions. Let  $R$  be a semiring. An element  $a$  is *multiplicatively regular* if and only if there exists an element  $a^-$  of  $R$ , called a *generalized inverse* of  $a$ , satisfying  $aa^-a = a$ . If such an element exists then the element  $a^\times = a^-aa^-$  satisfies the conditions  $aa^\times a = a$  and  $a^\times aa^\times = a^\times$ . We call the element  $a^\times$  of  $R$  a *Thierrin-Vagner inverse* of  $a$ . The details are given in [5].

If  $a$  is multiplicatively idempotent then it has a Thierrin-Vagner inverse and, indeed, we can choose  $a^\times = a$ . Thus we can always assume that  $0^\times = 0$  and  $1^\times = 1$ . If  $a$  has a multiplicative inverse, we can choose  $a^\times = a^{-1}$ . If  $R$  is a semifield we see that every element is multiplicatively regular. This happens, for example, in such important and applicable semirings as the schedule algebra  $(\mathbb{R} \cup \{-\infty\}, \max, +)$ .

Regularity in fuzzy matrix rings is studied in [2]. For algorithms to calculate Moore-Penrose pseudoinverses of matrices over additively-idempotent semirings, which are special cases of Thierrin-Vagner inverses, refer to [7]. Also refer to [3] for calculation of generalized inverses for semirings of matrices over bounded distributive lattices.

We note too that if  $a \in R$  is multiplicatively regular then so is  $a^\times$  and so are  $a^\times a$  and  $aa^\times$ , and indeed  $(a^\times a)^\times = a^\times a$  and  $(aa^\times)^\times = aa^\times$ . Moreover, both of these elements are multiplicatively idempotent. Thus we have two functions from the set of all multiplicatively-regular elements of  $R$  to the set  $I^\times(R)$  of all multiplicatively-idempotent elements of  $R$  given by  $\lambda : a \mapsto a^\times a$  and  $\rho : a \mapsto aa^\times$  and these functions satisfy  $\lambda^2 = \lambda$  and  $\rho^2 = \rho$ . Moreover, for each  $a \in R$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} a\lambda(a) &= a = \rho(a)a, \\ \lambda(a^\times)a^\times &= a^\times = a^\times\rho(a^\times). \end{aligned} \tag{1.1}$$

We are interested in the following problem: *given multiplicatively-regular elements  $a, b \in R$  and given an element  $c \in R$ , find a complete set of solutions to the equation  $aXb = c$  in  $R$* . Such problems arise in various contexts—for example in the theory of formal codes [1] or in the context of rewriting systems and similar problems in formal

language theory. Also see [9]. They also appear in the consideration of fuzzy and semiring-valued relations [4] and fuzzy bilinear equations [8], and arise naturally in control theory with coefficients taken from the  $(\max, +)$  algebra or from the semiring of fuzzy numbers. For certain noncommutative rings, such as rings of matrices or rings of operators over a linear space, they have an extensive literature, and the results there can often be extended to matrix semirings over semirings, for example.

Note that if there exists a solution  $x$  to the equation

$$aXb = c, \tag{1.2}$$

then

$$c = axb = \rho(a)(axb)\lambda(b) = \rho(a)c\lambda(b). \tag{1.3}$$

Conversely, if  $c \in R$  satisfies  $\rho(a)c\lambda(b) = c$ , then  $a^\times cb^\times$  is a solution for (1.2). Thus (1.2) has a nonempty set of solutions if and only if  $c$  satisfies this condition. This allows us to rephrase our problem as follows: *given multiplicatively regular elements  $a, b \in R$  and given an element  $c \in R$  satisfying  $\rho(a)c\lambda(b) = c$ , find a complete set of solutions of (1.2) in  $R$ .*

Let  $a$  be an element of a semiring  $R$ . An element  $a^{[r]}$  of  $R$  is called a *right complement* of  $a$  if and only if  $aa^{[r]} = 0$  and  $a + a^{[r]} = 1$ . An element  $a^{[l]}$  of  $R$  is a *left complement* of  $a$  if and only if  $a^{[l]}a = 0$  and  $a^{[l]} + a = 1$ . If  $a$  has both a right complement  $a^{[r]}$  and a left complement  $a^{[l]}$ , then these must be equal. Indeed, we note that in this case

$$\begin{aligned} a^{[l]} &= a^{[l]}(a + a^{[r]}) = a^{[l]}a + a^{[l]}a^{[r]} = a^{[l]}a^{[r]} \\ &= aa^{[r]} + a^{[l]}a^{[r]} = (a + a^{[l]})a^{[r]} = a^{[r]}. \end{aligned} \tag{1.4}$$

Such an element is called a *complement* of  $a$  and is denoted by  $a^\perp$ . Complements, when they exist, are necessarily unique.

**EXAMPLE 1.1.** Right and left complements need not be the same. For example, let  $S$  be the ring of all upper-triangular matrices over the ring  $\mathbb{Z}$  of integers, and let  $R$  be the semiring ideal  $(S)$  consisting of  $S$  and of all (two-sided) ideals of  $S$ . The operations on  $R$  are the usual addition and multiplication of ideals. If  $I = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbb{Z} & \mathbb{Z} \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$  and  $H = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \mathbb{Z} \\ 0 & \mathbb{Z} \end{bmatrix}$  then it is easy to verify that  $H = I^{[l]}$  but  $H \neq I^{[r]}$ .

Complements of elements of a semiring are studied in [5, Chapter 5]; they play a very important role in the theory and applications of semirings. Since the inspiration for complements came from lattice theory, they were assumed to be two-sided. However, here we have to look at the notion of a one-sided complement.

Note that if  $a \in R$  has a right complement then  $a \in I^\times(R)$  since

$$a = a1 = a(a + a^{[r]}) = a^2 + aa^{[r]} = a^2 \tag{1.5}$$

and the same is, of course, true if  $a$  has a left complement. Thus, if we denote the set of all elements of  $R$  having a right (resp., left) complement by  $\text{rcomp}(R)$  (resp.,  $\text{lcomp}(R)$ ), and if we denote the set of all elements of  $R$  having a complement by  $\text{comp}(R)$ , we see that

$$\text{rcomp}(R) \cap \text{lcomp}(R) = \text{comp}(R), \tag{1.6}$$

and if we denote the set of all elements of  $R$  having a one-sided complement by  $\text{ocomp}(R)$ , that is,  $\text{ocomp}(R) = \text{rcomp}(R) \cup \text{lcomp}(R)$ , then we see that

$$\text{ocomp}(R) \subseteq I^\times(R). \quad (1.7)$$

Also, we note that if  $a \in \text{rcomp}(R)$  then any right complement  $a^{[r]}$  of  $a$  belongs to  $\text{lcomp}(R)$  and, indeed,  $a$  itself is a left complement of  $a^{[r]}$ . Similarly, if  $a \in \text{lcomp}(R)$  then any left complement of  $a$  belongs to  $\text{rcomp}(R)$ . Thus we see that  $\text{ocomp}(R)$  is closed under taking left and right complements.

Note that if  $\gamma : R \rightarrow S$  is a morphism of semirings, then  $\gamma(\text{ocomp}(R)) \subseteq \text{ocomp}(S)$ . Indeed, if  $a \in R$  has a right complement  $a^{[r]}$  then  $0_S = \gamma(0_R) = \gamma(aa^{[r]}) = \gamma(a)\gamma(a^{[r]})$  and  $1_S = \gamma(1_R) = \gamma(a + a^{[r]}) = \gamma(a) + \gamma(a^{[r]})$  so  $\gamma(a^{[r]})$  is a right complement of  $\gamma(a)$ . Similarly, if  $a$  has a left complement  $a^{[l]}$  then  $\gamma(a^{[l]})$  is a left complement of  $\gamma(a)$ .

Assume that  $a$  and  $b$  are multiplicatively-regular elements of  $R$  such that  $\lambda(a)$  has a right complement  $\lambda(a)^{[r]}$  and that  $\rho(b)$  has a left complement  $\rho(b)^{[l]}$ . Then we note that  $a\lambda(a)^{[r]} = \rho(a)a\lambda(a)^{[r]} = a\lambda(a)\lambda(a)^{[r]} = 0$  and  $\rho(b)^{[l]}b = \rho(b)^{[l]}b\lambda(b) = \rho(b)^{[l]}\rho(b)b = 0$ .

Given an element  $c$  of  $R$ , define a function  $\alpha_c : R \rightarrow R$  by setting

$$\alpha_c : \gamma \mapsto a^\times c b^\times + \lambda(a)\gamma\rho(b)^{[l]} + \lambda(a)^{[r]}\gamma. \quad (1.8)$$

Then the foregoing discussion leads us to the following result.

**PROPOSITION 1.2.** *If  $a$  and  $b$  are multiplicatively-regular elements of a semiring  $R$  satisfying the condition that  $\lambda(a) \in \text{rcomp}(R)$  and  $\rho(b) \in \text{lcomp}(R)$ , and if  $c$  is an element of  $R$  satisfying  $\rho(a)c\lambda(b) = c$ , then a complete set of solutions of (1.2) is given by  $\{\alpha_c(\gamma) \mid \gamma \in R\}$ . If  $c$  does not satisfy this condition then (1.2) has no solutions in  $R$ .*

**PROOF.** If  $c$  does not satisfy the given condition then we have already seen that (1.2) has no solutions in  $R$ . Assume therefore that it does. From the hypothesis of the theorem we then see that

$$\begin{aligned} a\alpha_c(\gamma)b &= \rho(a)c\lambda(b) + \rho(a)a\gamma\rho(b)^{[l]}b + a\lambda(a)^{[r]}\gamma b \\ &= \rho(a)c\lambda(b) \\ &= c, \end{aligned} \quad (1.9)$$

so  $\alpha_c(\gamma)$  is a solution to (1.2) for any  $\gamma \in R$ . Moreover, we note that if  $x \in R$  is a solution of (1.2) then  $\alpha_c(x) = x$ . Indeed, if  $axb = c$  then

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_c(x) &= a^\times c b^\times + \lambda(a)x\rho(b)^{[l]} + \lambda(a)^{[r]}x \\ &= \lambda(a)x\rho(b) + \lambda(a)x\rho(b)^{[l]} + \lambda(a)^{[r]}x \\ &= \lambda(a)x[\rho(b) + \rho(b)^{[l]}] + \lambda(a)^{[r]}x \\ &= \lambda(a)x + \lambda(a)^{[r]}x \\ &= [\lambda(a) + \lambda(a)^{[r]}]x \\ &= x \end{aligned} \quad (1.10)$$

and the proof is complete.  $\square$

In particular, we have the following examples.

**EXAMPLE 1.3.** Suppose that  $R$  is a semiring. If  $a$  and  $b$  are multiplicatively-regular elements of  $R$  satisfying the condition that both  $\lambda(a)$  and  $\rho(b)$  have additive inverses, then we can set  $\lambda(a)^{[r]} = 1 - \lambda(a)$  and  $\rho(b)^{[l]} = 1 - \rho(b)$ . In this case, both  $\lambda(a)$  and  $\rho(b)$  in fact belong to  $\text{comp}(R)$ . This surely happens if  $R$  is a ring.

**EXAMPLE 1.4.** Suppose that  $R$  is a Boolean algebra. If  $a$  and  $b$  are multiplicatively-regular elements of  $R$ , we can set  $\lambda(a)^{[r]} = a'$  and  $\rho(b)^{[l]} = \rho(b)'$ .

**EXAMPLE 1.5.** Following the terminology of [5], we say that a semiring  $R$  is *plain* if and only if  $a + b = b$  for  $a, b \in R$  implies that  $a = 0$ . It is *simple* if and only if  $a + 1 = 1$  for all  $a \in R$ , and it is *yoked* if for each pair  $a, b$  of elements of  $R$  there exists an element  $c$  of  $R$  satisfying  $a + c = b$  or  $b + c = a$ . By [5, Example 5.6] we see that every multiplicatively-idempotent element of a plain simple yoked semiring has a complement and so, for such semirings,  $\lambda(a)^{[r]}$  and  $\rho(b)^{[l]}$  exist for all multiplicatively-regular elements  $a$  and  $b$  of  $R$ .

Among the most applicable families of semirings which are not rings are *zerosumfree* semirings, namely semirings which satisfy the condition that  $a + b = 0$  when and only when  $a = b = 0$ . Bounded distributive lattices are examples of such semirings, as are semirings of (two-sided) ideals of rings and information algebras in the sense of [6]. We make some remarks concerning the behavior of one-sided complements in such semirings.

**PROPOSITION 1.6.** *If  $R$  is a zerosumfree semiring and if  $a \in \text{rcomp}(R)$  while  $b \in \text{ocomp}(R)$  then  $aba^{[r]} = 0$ .*

**PROOF.** Indeed, if  $b'$  is a one-sided complement of  $b$  then

$$aba^{[r]} + ab'a^{[r]} = a(b + b')a^{[r]} = aa^{[r]} = 0, \tag{1.11}$$

and so  $aba^{[r]} = 0$  since  $R$  is zerosumfree. □

Similarly, if  $a \in \text{lcomp}(R)$  while  $b \in \text{ocomp}(R)$  then  $a^{[l]}ba = 0$ .

**PROPOSITION 1.7.** *If  $R$  is a zerosumfree semiring and if  $a, b \in \text{rcomp}(R)$  then  $a + a^{[r]}b \in \text{rcomp}(R)$ .*

**PROOF.** Indeed, we note that  $a + a^{[r]}b + a^{[r]}b^{[r]} = a + a^{[r]}(b + b^{[r]}) = a + a^{[r]} = 1$  while  $(a + a^{[r]}b)a^{[r]}b^{[r]} = a^{[r]}ba^{[r]}b^{[r]}$ . But we have already seen that  $a^{[r]} \in \text{ocomp}(R)$  so, by Proposition 1.6,  $ba^{[r]}b^{[r]} = 0$ . Thus  $a^{[r]}b^{[r]}$  is a right complement of  $a + a^{[r]}b$ . □

Similarly, we note that if  $a, b \in \text{lcomp}(R)$  then  $a + ba^{[l]} \in \text{rcomp}(R)$ .

**PROPOSITION 1.8.** *If  $R$  is a zerosumfree semiring and if  $a, b \in \text{rcomp}(R)$  then  $ab \in \text{rcomp}(R)$ . Moreover, if  $\text{rcomp}(R)$  is closed under sums then every element of  $\text{rcomp}(R)$  is additively idempotent.*

**PROOF.** Indeed, we note that  $ab + (a^{[r]} + ab^{[r]}) = a(b + b^{[r]}) + a^{[r]} = a + a^{[r]} = 1$  and  $(ab)(a^{[r]} + ab^{[r]}) = aba^{[r]} + a(bab^{[r]})$  and this equals 0, as we have already noted.

Now assume that  $\text{rcomp}(R)$  is closed under sums. Then, in particular,  $1 + 1 \in \text{rcomp}(R)$  so, if  $a \in \text{rcomp}(R)$  we see that  $a + a = a(1 + 1) \in \text{rcomp}(R)$ . Let  $b$  be a right complement of  $a + a$ . Then  $ab + ab = (a + a)b = 0$  and, by zerosumfreeness, we deduce that  $ab = 0$ . Therefore  $a = a1 = (a + a + b) = a^2 + a^2 = a + a$ , showing that  $a$  is additively idempotent.  $\square$

Similarly, we note that if  $a, b \in \text{lcomp}(R)$  then  $ab \in \text{lcomp}(R)$  and if  $\text{lcomp}(R)$  is closed under sums then each of its members is additively idempotent.

**2. Semimodules over matrix semirings.** If  $R$  is a semiring then so is the set  $\mathcal{M}_{n \times n}(R)$  of all  $n \times n$  matrices over  $R$ , with addition and multiplication defined in the standard manner. We denote the additive identity in  $\mathcal{M}_{n \times n}(R)$  by  $O_{n \times n}$  and the multiplicative identity in  $\mathcal{M}_{n \times n}(R)$  by  $I_{n \times n}$ . Moreover, if  $k$  and  $n$  are positive integers then the set  $\mathcal{M}_{k \times n}(R)$  of all  $k \times n$  matrices over  $R$  is canonically a left semimodule over  $\mathcal{M}_{k \times k}(R)$  and a right semimodule over  $\mathcal{M}_{n \times n}(R)$ . We denote the additive identity in  $\mathcal{M}_{k \times n}(R)$  by  $O_{k \times n}$ . Furthermore, if  $A \in \mathcal{M}_{k \times n}(R)$  and  $B \in \mathcal{M}_{n \times k}(R)$ , then the products  $AB \in \mathcal{M}_{k \times k}(R)$  and  $BA \in \mathcal{M}_{n \times n}(R)$  are defined in the usual manner. A *generalized inverse* of  $A \in \mathcal{M}_{k \times n}(R)$  is a matrix  $A^- \in \mathcal{M}_{n \times k}(R)$  satisfying  $AA^-A = A$ . If such a generalized inverse exists, then  $A$  is multiplicatively regular. Again, if  $A$  is multiplicatively regular then the *Thierrin-Vagner inverse* of  $A$  is defined to be  $A^\times = A^-AA^- \in \mathcal{M}_{n \times k}(R)$  and this matrix satisfies  $AA^\times A = A$  and  $A^\times AA^\times = A^\times$ . If  $A \in \mathcal{M}_{k \times n}(R)$  is regular then, as before, we define the matrices  $\lambda(A) = A^\times A \in \mathcal{M}_{n \times n}(R)$  and  $\rho(A) = AA^\times \in \mathcal{M}_{k \times k}(R)$ .

**EXAMPLE 2.1.** Consider the special case of  $A = \begin{bmatrix} a_1 \\ \vdots \\ a_k \end{bmatrix} \in \mathcal{M}_{k \times 1}(R)$ . Then  $A$  has a generalized inverse  $A^- = [b_1, \dots, b_k]$  if and only if the element  $e = \sum_{i=1}^k b_i a_i$  of  $R$  satisfies  $a_i e = a_i$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq k$ .

Given  $A \in \mathcal{M}_{k \times n}(R)$  and  $B \in \mathcal{M}_{n \times k}(R)$  having generalized inverses, and given  $C \in \mathcal{M}_{k \times k}(R)$ , we then note, as above, that whenever there exists a matrix  $T \in \mathcal{M}_{n \times n}(R)$  satisfying  $ATB = C$  we have

$$C = ATB = AA^\times ATBB^\times B = (AA^\times)C(B^\times B) = \rho(A)C\lambda(B). \tag{2.1}$$

A matrix  $A \in \mathcal{M}_{k \times n}(R)$  is *right regularly complemented* if and only if it has a generalized inverse  $A^- \in \mathcal{M}_{n \times k}(R)$  and there exists a multiplicatively-regular matrix  $A^{[r]} \in \mathcal{M}_{n \times n}(R)$  satisfying the conditions  $AA^{[r]} = O_{k \times n}$  and  $A^\times A + A^{[r]} = I_{n \times n}$ . Similarly,  $B \in \mathcal{M}_{n \times k}(R)$  is *left regularly complemented* if and only if it has a generalized inverse  $B^- \in \mathcal{M}_{k \times k}(R)$  and there exists a multiplicatively-regular matrix  $B^{[l]} \in \mathcal{M}_{n \times n}(R)$  satisfying the conditions  $B^{[l]}B = O_{n \times k}$  and  $BB^\times + B^{[l]} = I_{n \times n}$ .

**EXAMPLE 2.2.** Again, consider the special case of  $A = \begin{bmatrix} a_1 \\ \vdots \\ a_k \end{bmatrix} \in \mathcal{M}_{k \times 1}(R)$ . Then  $A$  is right regularly complemented if and only if it has a generalized inverse  $A^- = [b_1, \dots, b_k]$  and if there exists a multiplicatively-regular element  $c = A^{[r]} \in R$  satisfying  $a_i c = 0$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq n$  and  $\sum_{i=1}^k b_i a_i + c = 1$ . Note that, in this case,  $c$  is a right complement of  $\sum_{i=1}^k b_i a_i$ . Similarly,  $A$  is left regularly complemented if and only if it has a generalized inverse  $A^- = [b_1, \dots, b_k]$  and there exists a multiplicatively-regular

matrix  $A^{[l]} = [d_{ij}] \in \mathcal{M}_{k \times k}(R)$  satisfying  $\sum_{i=1}^k b_i a_i = 0$  and

$$a_i b_j + d_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } i = j, \\ 0 & \text{if } i \neq j. \end{cases} \quad (2.2)$$

Suppose that  $A \in \mathcal{M}_{k \times n}(R)$  and  $B \in \mathcal{M}_{n \times k}(R)$  are matrices having generalized inverses and satisfying the condition that  $A$  is right regularly complemented while  $B$  is left regularly complemented. Then each matrix  $C \in \mathcal{M}_{k \times k}(R)$  defines a function  $\alpha_C : \mathcal{M}_{n \times n}(R) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{n \times n}(R)$  by setting

$$\alpha_C : Y \mapsto A^\times C B^\times + \lambda(A) Y B^{[l]} + \lambda(A)^{[r]} Y. \quad (2.3)$$

We can now generalize [Proposition 1.2](#) as follows.

**PROPOSITION 2.3.** *Let  $R$  be a semiring. Let  $A \in \mathcal{M}_{k \times n}(R)$  and  $B \in \mathcal{M}_{n \times k}(R)$  be matrices having generalized inverses and satisfying the condition that  $A$  is right regularly complemented while  $B$  is left regularly complemented. Furthermore, let  $C \in \mathcal{M}_{k \times k}(R)$  be such that there exists a matrix  $T \in \mathcal{M}_{n \times n}(R)$  that satisfies  $ATB = C$ . Then a complete set of solutions of (1.2) is given by*

$$\{\alpha_C(Y) \mid Y \in \mathcal{M}_{n \times n}(R)\}. \quad (2.4)$$

If  $T$  does not satisfy this equation then (1.2) has no solutions in  $\mathcal{M}_{n \times n}(R)$ .

The proof is essentially the same as that of [Proposition 1.2](#).

#### REFERENCES

- [1] J. Berstel and D. Perrin, *Theory of Codes*, Pure and Applied Mathematics, vol. 117, Academic Press, Florida, 1985.
- [2] H. H. Cho, *On the regular fuzzy matrices*, Prospects of Modern Algebra (M.-H. Kim, ed.), Proceedings of Workshops in Pure Mathematics, vol. 12, Pure Mathematics Research Association, The Korean Academic Council, Seoul, 1992.
- [3] Z. Cui-Kui, *On matrix equations in a class of complete and completely distributive lattices*, Fuzzy Sets and Systems **22** (1987), no. 3, 303–320.
- [4] J. S. Golan, *Power Algebras over Semirings. With Applications in Mathematics and Computer Science*, Mathematics and Its Applications, vol. 488, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, 1999.
- [5] ———, *Semirings and Their Applications*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, 1999.
- [6] J. Kuntzmann, *Théorie des Réseaux (Graphes)*, Dunod, Paris, 1972 (French).
- [7] S. Pati, *Moore-Penrose inverse of matrices on idempotent semirings*, SIAM J. Matrix Anal. Appl. **22** (2000), no. 2, 617–626.
- [8] F. C. Tang, *Fuzzy bilinear equations*, Fuzzy Sets and Systems **28** (1988), no. 2, 217–226.
- [9] Q. Wang and C. Yang, *The Re-nonnegative definite solutions to the matrix equation  $AXB = C$* , Comment. Math. Univ. Carolin. **39** (1998), 7–13.

SAM L. BLYUMIN: LIPETSK STATE TECHNICAL UNIVERSITY, 398055 LIPETSK, RUSSIA

JONATHAN S. GOLAN: DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF HAIFA, 31905 HAIFA, ISRAEL



# Hindawi

Submit your manuscripts at  
<http://www.hindawi.com>

