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#### Abstract

We show that the complexification ( $\tilde{A}, \tilde{\tau}$ ) of a real locally pseudoconvex (locally absorbingly pseudoconvex, locally multiplicatively pseudoconvex, and exponentially galbed) algebra $(A, \tau)$ is a complex locally pseudoconvex (resp., locally absorbingly pseudoconvex, locally multiplicatively pseudoconvex, and exponentially galbed) algebra and all elements in the complexification $(\tilde{A}, \tilde{\tau})$ of a commutative real exponentially galbed algebra $(A, \tau)$ with bounded elements are bounded if the multiplication in $(A, \tau)$ is jointly continuous. We give conditions for a commutative strictly real topological division algebra to be a commutative real Gel'fand-Mazur division algebra.
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1. Introduction. Let $\mathbb{K}$ be one of the fields $\mathbb{R}$ of real numbers or $\mathbb{C}$ of complex numbers. A topological algebra $A$ is a topological vector space over $\mathbb{K}$ in which the multiplication is separately continuous. Herewith, $A$ is called a real topological algebra if $\mathbb{K}=\mathbb{R}$ and a complex topological algebra if $\mathbb{K}=\mathbb{C}$. We classify topological algebras in a similar way as topological vector spaces. For example, a topological algebra $A$ is
(a) a Fréchet algebra if it is complete and metrizable;
(b) an exponentially galbed algebra (see [3, 13]) if its underlying topological vector space is exponentially galbed, that is, for each neighborhood $O$ of zero in $A$, there exists another neighborhood $U$ of zero such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{\sum_{k=0}^{n} \frac{a_{k}}{2^{k}}: a_{0}, \ldots, a_{n} \in U\right\} \subset O \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$;
(c) a locally pseudoconvex algebra (see [5, 7]) if its underlying topological vector space is locally pseudoconvex, that is, $A$ has a base $\left\{U_{\alpha}, \alpha \in \mathscr{A}\right\}$ of neighborhoods of zero in which every set $U_{\alpha}$ is balanced (i.e., $\lambda U_{\alpha} \in U_{\alpha}$ whenever $|\lambda| \leqslant 1$ ) and pseudoconvex (i.e., $U_{\alpha}+U_{\alpha} \subset 2^{1 / k_{\alpha}} U_{\alpha}$ for some $\left.k_{\alpha} \in(0,1]\right)$. Herewith, every locally pseudoconvex algebra is an exponentially galbed algebra.
In particular, when $k_{\alpha}=k\left(k_{\alpha}=1\right)$ for each $\alpha \in \mathscr{A}$, then a locally pseudoconvex algebra $A$ is called a locally $k$-convex algebra (resp., locally convex
algebra). It is well known (see [14, page 4]) that the topology of a locally pseudoconvex algebra $A$ can be given by means of a family $\mathscr{P}=\left\{p_{\alpha}: \alpha \in A\right\}$ of $k_{\alpha}$-homogeneous seminorms, where $k_{\alpha} \in(0,1]$ for each $\alpha \in A$. A locally pseudoconvex algebra is called a locally absorbingly pseudoconvex (shortly, locally A-pseudoconvex) algebra (see [5]) if every seminorm $p \in \mathscr{P}$ is $A$-multiplicative, that is, for each $a \in A$ there are positive numbers $M_{p}(a)$ and $N_{p}(a)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
p(a b) \leqslant M_{p}(a) p(b), \quad p(b a) \leqslant N_{p}(a) p(b), \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for each $b \in A$. In particular, when $M_{p}(a)=N_{p}(a)=p(a)$ for each $a \in A$ and $p \in \mathscr{P}$, then $A$ is called a locally multiplicatively pseudoconvex (shortly, locally $m$-pseudoconvex) algebra.

Moreover, a topological algebra $A$ over $\mathbb{K}$ with a unit element is a $Q$-algebra (see $[10,15,16]$ ) if the set of all invertible elements of $A$ is open in $A$ and a $Q$-algebra $A$ is a Waelbroeck algebra (see $[4,10]$ ) or a topological algebra with continuous inverse (see [9, 11]) if the inversion $a \rightarrow a^{-1}$ in $A$ is continuous.

An element $a$ of a topological algebra $A$ is said to be bounded (see [6]) if for some nonzero complex number $\lambda_{a}$, the set

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{\left(\frac{a}{\lambda_{a}}\right)^{n}: n \in \mathbb{N}\right\} \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

is bounded in $A$. A topological algebra, in which all elements are bounded, will be called a topological algebra with bounded elements.

Let now $A$ be a topological algebra over $\mathbb{K}$ and $m(A)$ the set of all closed regular two-sided ideals of $A$, which are maximal as left or right ideals. In case when the quotient algebra $A / M$ (in the quotient topology) is topologically isomorphic to $\mathbb{K}$ for each $M \in m(A)$, then $A$ is called a Gel'fand-Mazur algebra (see [1, 4, 2]). Herewith, $A$ is a real Gel'fand-Mazur algebra if $\mathbb{K}=\mathbb{R}$ and a complex Gel'fand-Mazur algebra if $\mathbb{K}=\mathbb{C}$. Main classes of complex Gel'fandMazur algebras have been given in [4, 2, 5]. Several classes of real Gel'fandMazur division algebras are described in the present paper.
2. Complexification of real algebras. Let $A$ be a (not necessarily topological) real algebra and let $\tilde{A}=A+i A$ be the complexification of $A$. Then every element $\tilde{a}$ of $\tilde{A}$ is representable in the form $\tilde{a}=a+i b$, where $a, b \in A$ and $i^{2}=-1$. If the addition, scalar multiplication, and multiplication in $\tilde{A}$ are to be defined by

$$
\begin{align*}
(a+i b)+(c+i d) & =(a+c)+i(b+d), \\
(\alpha+i \beta)(a+i b) & =(\alpha a-\beta b)+i(\alpha b+\beta a),  \tag{2.1}\\
(a+i b)(c+i d) & =(a c-b d)+i(a d+b c),
\end{align*}
$$

for all $a, b, c, d \in A$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$, then $\tilde{A}$ is a complex algebra with zero element $\theta_{\tilde{A}}=\theta_{A}+i \theta_{A}$ (here and later on $\theta_{A}$ denotes the zero element of $A$ ). In case
when $A$ has the unit element $e_{A}$, then $e_{\tilde{A}}=e_{A}+i \theta_{A}$ is the unit element of $\tilde{A}$. Herewith, $\tilde{A}$ is an associative (commutative) algebra if $A$ is an associative (resp., commutative) algebra. Therefore, we can consider $A$ as a real subalgebra of $\tilde{A}$ under the imbedding $v$ from $A$ into $\tilde{A}$ defined by $v(a)=a+i \theta_{A}$ for each $a \in A$.

A real (not necessarily topological) algebra $A$ is called a formally real algebra if from $a, b \in A$ and $a^{2}+b^{2}=\theta_{A}$ that follows that $a=b=\theta_{A}$ and is called a strictly real algebra if $\operatorname{sp}_{\tilde{A}}\left(a+i \theta_{A}\right) \subset \mathbb{R}$ (here $\operatorname{sp}_{A}(a)$ denotes the spectrum of $a \in A$ in $A$ ). It is known (see, e.g., [7, Proposition 1.9.14]) that every formally real division algebra is strictly real and every commutative strictly real division algebra is formally real.

Let now $(A, \tau)$ be a real topological algebra and $\left\{U_{\alpha}: \alpha \in \mathscr{A}\right\}$ a base of neighborhoods of zero of $(A, \tau)$. As usual (see [7, 17]), we endow $\tilde{A}$ with the topology $\tilde{\tau}$ in which $\left\{U_{\alpha}+i U_{\alpha}: \alpha \in \mathscr{A}\right\}$ is a base of neighborhoods of zero. It is easy to see that ( $\tilde{A}, \tilde{\tau})$ is a topological algebra and the multiplication in $(\tilde{A}, \tilde{\tau})$ is jointly continuous if the multiplication in $(A, \tau)$ is jointly continuous (see [7, Proposition 2.2.10]). Moreover, the underlying topological space of $(\tilde{A}, \tilde{\tau})$ is a Hausdorff space if the underlying topological space of $(A, \tau)$ is a Hausdorff space.
3. Complexification of real locally pseudoconvex algebras. Let ( $A, \tau$ ) be a real locally pseudoconvex algebra and $\left\{p_{\alpha}: \alpha \in \mathscr{A}\right\}$ a family of $k_{\alpha}$-homogeneous seminorms on $A$ (where $k_{\alpha} \in(0,1]$ for each $\alpha \in \mathscr{A}$ ), which defines the topology $\tau$ on $A$ and $\tilde{A}$, the complexification of $A$,

$$
\begin{gather*}
\Gamma_{k_{\alpha}}\left(U_{\alpha}+i \theta_{A}\right) \\
=\left\{\sum_{k=1}^{n} \lambda_{k}\left(u_{k}+i \theta_{A}\right): n \in \mathbb{N}, u_{1}, \ldots, u_{n} \in U_{\alpha}, \lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{n} \in \mathbb{C} \text { and } \sum_{k=1}^{n}\left|\lambda_{k}\right|^{k_{\alpha}} \leqslant 1\right\}, \\
q_{\alpha}(a+i b)=\inf \left\{|\lambda|^{k_{\alpha}}:(a+i b) \in \lambda \Gamma_{k_{\alpha}}\left(U_{\alpha}+i \theta_{A}\right)\right\} \tag{3.1}
\end{gather*}
$$

for each $a+i b \in \tilde{A}$. Then $\Gamma_{k_{\alpha}}\left(U_{\alpha}+i \theta_{A}\right)$ is the absolutely $k_{\alpha}$-convex hull of $U_{\alpha}+i \theta_{A}$ for each $\alpha \in \mathscr{A}$ and $q_{\alpha}$ is a $k_{\alpha}$-homogeneous Minkowski functional of $\Gamma_{k_{\alpha}}\left(U_{\alpha}+i \theta_{A}\right)$. (For real normed algebras the following result has been proved in [8, pages 68-69] (see also [12, page 8]) and for $k$-seminormed algebras with $k \in(0,1]$ in [7, pages 183-184]).

Theorem 3.1. Let $(A, \tau)$ be a real locally pseudoconvex algebra, let $\left\{p_{\alpha}, \alpha \in\right.$ A\} be a family of $k_{\alpha}$-homogeneous seminorms on $A$ (with $k_{\alpha} \in(0,1]$ for each $\alpha \in \mathscr{A})$, which defines the topology $\tau$ on $A$, and let $U_{\alpha}=\left\{a \in A: p_{\alpha}(a)<1\right\}$.

Then the following statements are true for each $\alpha \in \mathscr{A}$ :
(a) $q_{\alpha}$ is a $k_{\alpha}$-homogeneous seminorm on $\tilde{A}$;
(b) $\max \left\{p_{\alpha}(a), p_{\alpha}(b)\right\} \leqslant q_{\alpha}(a+i b) \leqslant 2 \max \left\{p_{\alpha}(a), p_{\alpha}(b)\right\}$ for each $a, b \in A$;
(c) $q_{\alpha}\left(a+i \theta_{A}\right)=p_{\alpha}(a)$ for each $a \in A$;
(d) $\Gamma_{k_{\alpha}}\left(U_{\alpha}+i \theta_{A}\right)=\left\{a+i b \in \tilde{A}: q_{\alpha}(a+i b)<1\right\}$.

Proof. (a) Let $\alpha \in \mathscr{A},(a+i b) \in \tilde{A} \backslash\left\{\theta_{\tilde{A}}\right\}$, and $\mu_{\alpha}^{k_{\alpha}}>\max \left\{p_{\alpha}(a), p_{\alpha}(b)\right\}$. Then $a / \mu_{\alpha}, b / \mu_{\alpha} \in U_{\alpha}$. Since

$$
\begin{gather*}
2^{-1 / k_{\alpha}}\left(\frac{a}{\mu_{\alpha}}+i \frac{b}{\mu_{\alpha}}\right)=2^{-1 / k_{\alpha}}\left(\frac{a}{\mu_{\alpha}}+i \theta_{A}\right)+i 2^{-1 / k_{\alpha}}\left(\frac{b}{\mu_{\alpha}}+i \theta_{A}\right),  \tag{3.2}\\
\left|2^{-1 / k_{\alpha}}\right|^{k_{\alpha}}+\left|i 2^{-1 / k_{\alpha}}\right|^{k_{\alpha}}=1,
\end{gather*}
$$

then

$$
\begin{equation*}
(a+i b) \in 2^{1 / k_{\alpha}} \mu_{\alpha} \Gamma_{k_{\alpha}}\left(U_{\alpha}+i \theta_{A}\right) \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence $(a+i b) \in \lambda_{\alpha} \Gamma_{k_{\alpha}}\left(U_{\alpha}+i \theta_{A}\right)$ for each $\alpha \in \mathscr{A}$ if $\left|\lambda_{\alpha}\right| \geqslant 2^{1 / k_{\alpha}} \mu_{\alpha}$. It means that the set $\Gamma_{k_{\alpha}}\left(U_{\alpha}+i \theta_{A}\right)$ is absorbing. Consequently (see [7, Proposition 4.1.10]), $q_{\alpha}$ is a $k_{\alpha}$-homogeneous seminorm on $\tilde{A}$.
(b) Let again $(a+i b) \in \tilde{A} \backslash\left\{\theta_{\tilde{A}}\right\}$. Then from (3.3), it follows that $q_{\alpha}(a+i b) \leqslant$ $2 \mu_{\alpha}^{k_{\alpha}}$. Since this inequality is valid for each $\mu_{\alpha}^{k_{\alpha}}>\max \left\{p_{\alpha}(a), p_{\alpha}(b)\right\}$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
q_{\alpha}(a+i b) \leqslant 2 \max \left\{p_{\alpha}(a), p_{\alpha}(b)\right\} \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let now $a+i b \in \Gamma_{k_{\alpha}}\left(U_{\alpha}+i \theta_{A}\right)$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
a+i b=\sum_{k=1}^{n}\left(\lambda_{k}+i \mu_{k}\right)\left(a_{k}+i \theta_{A}\right)=\sum_{k=1}^{n} \lambda_{k} a_{k}+i \sum_{k=1}^{n} \mu_{k} a_{k} \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n} \in U_{\alpha}$ and real numbers $\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{n}$ and $\mu_{1}, \ldots, \mu_{n}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k=1}^{n}\left|\lambda_{k}+i \mu_{k}\right|^{k_{\alpha}} \leqslant 1 \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\left|\lambda_{k}\right| \leqslant\left|\lambda_{k}+i \mu_{k}\right|$ and $\left|\mu_{k}\right| \leqslant\left|\lambda_{k}+i \mu_{k}\right|$ for each $k \in\{1, \ldots, n\}$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
a=\sum_{k=1}^{n} \lambda_{k} a_{k}, \quad b=\sum_{k=1}^{n} \mu_{k} a_{k} \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

belong to $\Gamma_{k_{\alpha}}\left(U_{\alpha}\right)=U_{\alpha}$.
Let now $\varepsilon>0$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu_{\alpha}>\left(\frac{1}{q_{\alpha}(a+i b)+\varepsilon}\right)^{1 / k_{\alpha}} \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then from $\mu_{\alpha}(a+i b) \in \Gamma_{k_{\alpha}}\left(U_{\alpha}+i \theta_{A}\right)$ follows that $\mu_{\alpha} a, \mu_{\alpha} b \in U_{\alpha}$ or $p_{\alpha}\left(\mu_{\alpha} a\right)<1$ and $p_{\alpha}\left(\mu_{\alpha} b\right)<1$. Therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
\max \left\{p_{\alpha}(a), p_{\alpha}(b)\right\}<\mu_{\alpha}^{-k_{\alpha}}<q_{\alpha}(+i b)+\varepsilon \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\varepsilon$ is arbitrary, then from (3.9) follows that $\max \left\{p_{\alpha}(a), p_{\alpha}(b)\right\} \leqslant q_{\alpha}(a+i b)$ for each $a, b \in A$. Taking this and inequality (3.4) into account, it is clear that statement (b) holds.
(c) Let $a \in A, \alpha \in \mathscr{A}$, and $\rho^{k_{\alpha}}>q_{\alpha}\left(a+i \theta_{A}\right)$. Then from

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{a}{\rho}+i \theta_{A}\right) \in \Gamma_{k_{\alpha}}\left(U_{\alpha}+i \theta_{A}\right) \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

it follows that $a \in \rho U_{\alpha}$ or $p_{\alpha}(a)<\rho^{k_{\alpha}}$. It means that the set of numbers $\rho^{k_{\alpha}}$ for which $\rho^{k_{\alpha}}>q_{\alpha}\left(a+i \theta_{A}\right)$ is bounded below by $p_{\alpha}(a)$. Therefore $p_{\alpha}(a) \leqslant$ $q_{\alpha}\left(a+i \theta_{A}\right)$.

Let now $\rho^{k_{\alpha}}>p_{\alpha}(a)$. Then $a \in \rho U_{\alpha}$ and from

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{a}{\rho}+i \theta_{A}\right) \in \Gamma_{k_{\alpha}}\left(U_{\alpha}+i \theta_{A}\right) \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

it follows that $q_{\alpha}\left(a+i \theta_{A}\right)<\rho^{k_{\alpha}}$. Hence $q_{\alpha}\left(a+i \theta_{A}\right) \leqslant p_{\alpha}(a)$. Thus $q_{\alpha}(a+$ $\left.i \theta_{A}\right)=p_{\alpha}(a)$ for each $a \in A$ and $\alpha \in \mathscr{A}$.
(d) It is clear that the set $\left\{a+i b \in \tilde{A}: q_{\alpha}(a+i b)<1\right\} \subset \Gamma_{k_{\alpha}}\left(U_{\alpha}+i \theta_{A}\right)$. Let now $a+i b \in \Gamma_{k_{\alpha}}\left(U_{\alpha}+i \theta_{A}\right)$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
a+i b=\sum_{k=1}^{n}\left(\lambda_{k}+i \mu_{k}\right)\left(a_{k}+i \theta_{A}\right) \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n} \in U_{\alpha}$ and real numbers $\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{n}$ and $\mu_{1}, \ldots, \mu_{n}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k=1}^{n}\left|\lambda_{k}+i \mu_{k}\right|^{k_{\alpha}} \leqslant 1 \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $p_{\alpha}\left(a_{k}\right)<1$ for each $k \in\{1, \ldots, n\}$, we can choose $\varepsilon_{\alpha}>0$ so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\max \left\{p_{\alpha}\left(a_{1}\right), \ldots, p_{\alpha}\left(a_{n}\right)\right\}<\varepsilon_{\alpha}^{k_{\alpha}}<1 \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $a_{k} \in \varepsilon_{\alpha} U_{\alpha}$ for each $\alpha \in \mathscr{A}$ and each $k \in\{1, \ldots, n\}$. Therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{a+i b}{\varepsilon_{\alpha}} \in \sum_{k=1}^{n}\left(\lambda_{k}+i \mu_{k}\right)\left(\frac{a_{k}}{\varepsilon_{\alpha}}+i \theta_{A}\right) \in \Gamma_{k_{\alpha}}\left(U_{\alpha}+i \theta_{A}\right) . \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
(a+i b) \in \varepsilon_{\alpha} \Gamma_{k_{\alpha}}\left(U_{\alpha}+i \theta_{A}\right) \tag{3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

or $q_{\alpha}(a+i b) \leqslant \varepsilon_{\alpha}^{k_{\alpha}}<1$. It means that statement (d) holds.
Corollary 3.2. If $(A, \tau)$ is a real locally pseudoconvex Fréchet algebra, then ( $\tilde{A}, \tilde{\tau}$ ) is a complex locally pseudoconvex Fréchet algebra.

Proof. Let $(A, \tau)$ be a real locally pseudoconvex Fréchet algebra and let $\left\{p_{n}, n \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$ be a countable family of $k_{n}$-homogeneous seminorms (with $k_{n} \in$ $(0,1]$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N})$, which defines the topology $\tau$ on $A$. Then $\left\{q_{n}: n \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$ defines on $\tilde{A}$ a metrizable locally pseudoconvex topology $\tilde{\tau}$ (see Theorem 3.1). If ( $a_{n}+i b_{n}$ ) is a Cauchy sequence in ( $\tilde{A}, \tilde{\tau}$ ), then $\left(a_{n}\right)$ and $\left(b_{n}\right)$ are Cauchy sequences in $(A, \tau)$ by Theorem 3.1(b). Because $(A, \tau)$ is complete, then ( $a_{n}$ ) converges to $a_{0} \in A$ and ( $b_{n}$ ) converges to $b_{0} \in A$. Hence $\left(a_{n}+i b_{n}\right)$ converges in $(\tilde{A}, \tilde{\tau})$ to $a_{0}+i b_{0} \in \tilde{A}$ by the same inequality (b). Thus ( $\tilde{A}, \tilde{\tau}$ ) is a complex locally pseudoconvex Fréchet algebra.

Theorem 3.3. Let $(A, \tau)$ be a real locally $A$-pseudoconvex (locally $m$ pseudoconvex) algebra and $\left\{p_{\alpha}, \alpha \in \mathscr{A}\right\}$ a family of $k_{\alpha}$-homogeneous $A$ multiplicative (resp., submultiplicative) seminorms on $A$ (with $k_{\alpha} \in(0,1]$ for each $\alpha \in \mathscr{A}$ ), which defines the topology $\tau$ on $A$. Then $(\tilde{A}, \tilde{\tau})$ is a complex locally A-pseudoconvex (resp., locally m-pseudoconvex) algebra. (Here $\tilde{\tau}$ denotes the topology on $\tilde{A}$ defined by the system $\left\{q_{\alpha}: \alpha \in \mathscr{A}\right\}$.)

Proof. Let $p_{\alpha}$ be an $A$-multiplicative seminorm on $A$. Then for each fixed element $a_{0} \in A$, there are numbers $M_{\alpha}\left(a_{0}\right)>0$ and $N_{\alpha}\left(a_{0}\right)>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{\alpha}\left(a_{0} a\right) \leqslant M_{\alpha}\left(a_{0}\right) p_{\alpha}(a), \quad p_{\alpha}\left(a a_{0}\right) \leqslant N_{\alpha}\left(a_{0}\right) p_{\alpha}(a) \tag{3.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

for each $a \in A$. If $a_{0}+i b_{0}$ is a fixed element and $a+i b$ an arbitrary element of $\tilde{A}$, then

$$
\begin{align*}
q_{\alpha}\left(\left(a_{0}+i b_{0}\right)(a+i b)\right) & =q_{\alpha}\left(\left(a_{0} a-b_{0} b\right)+i\left(a_{0} b+b_{0} a\right)\right) \\
& \leqslant 2 \max \left\{p_{\alpha}\left(a_{0} a-b_{0} b\right), p_{\alpha}\left(a_{0} b+b_{0} a\right)\right\} \tag{3.18}
\end{align*}
$$

by Theorem 3.1(b). If now $p_{\alpha}\left(a_{0} a-b_{0} b\right) \geqslant p_{\alpha}\left(a_{0} b+b_{0} a\right)$, then

$$
\begin{align*}
\max \left\{p_{\alpha}\right. & \left.\left(a_{0} a-b_{0} b\right), p_{\alpha}\left(a_{0} b+b_{0} a\right)\right\} \\
& =p_{\alpha}\left(a_{0} a-b_{0} b\right) \\
& \leqslant M_{\alpha}\left(a_{0}\right) p_{\alpha}(a)+M_{\alpha}\left(b_{0}\right) p_{\alpha}(b)  \tag{3.19}\\
& \leqslant \max \left\{p_{\alpha}(a), p_{\alpha}(b)\right\}\left(M_{\alpha}\left(a_{0}\right)+M_{\alpha}\left(b_{0}\right)\right) \\
& \leqslant \frac{1}{2} M_{\alpha}\left(a_{0}, b_{0}\right) q_{\alpha}(a+i b)
\end{align*}
$$

by Theorem 3.1(b) (here $\left.M_{\alpha}\left(a_{0}, b_{0}\right)=2\left(M_{\alpha}\left(a_{0}\right)+M_{\alpha}\left(b_{0}\right)\right)\right)$. Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
q_{\alpha}\left(\left(a_{0}+i b_{0}\right)(a+i b)\right) \leqslant M_{\alpha}\left(a_{0}, b_{0}\right) q_{\alpha}(a+i b) \tag{3.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

for each $a+i b \in \tilde{A}$.
The proof for the case when $p_{\alpha}\left(a_{0} a-b_{0} b\right)<p_{\alpha}\left(a_{0} b+b_{0} a\right)$ is similar. Thus inequality (3.20) holds for both cases. In the same way, it is easy to show that the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
q_{\alpha}\left((a+i b)\left(a_{0}+i b_{0}\right)\right) \leqslant N_{\alpha}\left(a_{0}, b_{0}\right) q_{\alpha}(a+i b) \tag{3.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds for each $a+i b \in \tilde{A}$. Consequently, $(\tilde{A}, \tilde{\tau})$ is a complex locally $A$-pseudoconvex algebra.

Let now $p_{\alpha}$ be a submultiplicative seminorm on $A$. Then $p_{\alpha}(a b) \leqslant p_{\alpha}(a) p_{\alpha}(b)$ for each $a, b \in A$. If $a+i b, a^{\prime}+i b^{\prime} \in \tilde{A}$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
q_{\alpha}\left((a+i b)\left(a^{\prime}+i b^{\prime}\right)\right) \leqslant 2 \max \left\{p_{\alpha}\left(a a^{\prime}-b b^{\prime}\right), p_{\alpha}\left(a b^{\prime}+b a^{\prime}\right)\right\} \tag{3.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

by Theorem 3.1(b). If now $p_{\alpha}\left(a a^{\prime}-b b^{\prime}\right) \geqslant p_{\alpha}\left(a b^{\prime}+b a^{\prime}\right)$, then

$$
\begin{align*}
\max \{ & \left.p_{\alpha}\left(a a^{\prime}-b b^{\prime}\right), p_{\alpha}\left(a b^{\prime}+b a^{\prime}\right)\right\} \\
& =p_{\alpha}\left(a a^{\prime}-b b^{\prime}\right) \leqslant p_{\alpha}(a) p_{\alpha}\left(a^{\prime}\right)+p_{\alpha}(b) p_{\alpha}\left(b^{\prime}\right) \\
& \leqslant 2 \max \left\{p_{\alpha}(a), p_{\alpha}(b)\right\} \max \left\{p_{\alpha}\left(a^{\prime}\right), p_{\alpha}\left(b^{\prime}\right)\right\}  \tag{3.23}\\
& \leqslant 2 q_{\alpha}(a+i b) q_{\alpha}\left(a^{\prime}+i b^{\prime}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

by Theorem 3.1(b). Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
q_{\alpha}\left((a+i b)\left(a^{\prime}+i b^{\prime}\right)\right) \leqslant 4 q_{\alpha}(a+i b) q_{\alpha}\left(a^{\prime}+i b^{\prime}\right) \tag{3.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Putting $r_{\alpha}=4 q_{\alpha}$ for each $\alpha \in \mathscr{A}$, we see that

$$
\begin{equation*}
r_{\alpha}\left((a+i b)\left(a^{\prime}+i b^{\prime}\right)\right) \leqslant r_{\alpha}(a+i b) r_{\alpha}\left(a^{\prime}+i b^{\prime}\right) \tag{3.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

for each $a+i b, a^{\prime}+i b^{\prime} \in \tilde{A}$.
The proof for the case when $p_{\alpha}\left(a a^{\prime}-b b^{\prime}\right)<p_{\alpha}\left(a b^{\prime}+b a^{\prime}\right)$ is similar. Hence inequality (3.25) holds for both cases. Since the families $\left\{q_{\alpha}: \alpha \in \mathscr{A}\right\}$ and $\left\{r_{\alpha}: \alpha \in \mathscr{A}\right\}$ define on $\tilde{A}$ the same topology, then $(\tilde{A}, \tilde{\tau})$ is a complex locally $m$-pseudoconvex algebra.
4. Complexification of real exponentially galbed algebras. Next, we will show that the complexification ( $\tilde{A}, \tilde{\tau}$ ) of ( $A, \tau$ ) is a complex exponentially galbed algebra if $(A, \tau)$ is a real exponentially galbed algebra, and all elements of $(\tilde{A}, \tilde{\tau})$ are bounded in $(\tilde{A}, \tilde{\tau})$ if $(A, \tau)$ is a commutative exponentially galbed algebra in which all elements are bounded and the multiplication in $(A, \tau)$ is jointly continuous.

THEOREM 4.1. Let $(A, \tau)$ be a real exponentially galbed algebra (commutative real exponentially galbed algebra with jointly continuous multiplication and bounded elements). Then ( $\tilde{A}, \tilde{\tau}$ ) is a complex exponentially galbed algebra (resp., commutative complex exponentially galbed algebra with bounded elements).

Proof. Let $(A, \tau)$ be a real exponentially galbed algebra and $\tilde{O}$ a neighborhood of zero in $(\tilde{A}, \tilde{\tau})$. Then there are a neighborhood $O$ of zero of $(A, \tau)$ such that $O+i O \subset \tilde{O}$ and another neighborhood $U$ of zero of $(A, \tau)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{\sum_{k=0}^{n} \frac{a_{k}}{2^{k}}: a_{0}, \ldots, a_{n} \in U\right\} \subset O \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Since $U+i U$ is a neighborhood of zero in $(\tilde{A}, \tilde{\tau})$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{\sum_{k=0}^{n} \frac{a_{k}+i b_{k}}{2^{k}}: a_{0}+i b_{0}, \ldots, a_{n}+i b_{n} \in U+i U\right\} \subset O+i O \subset \tilde{O} \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then ( $\tilde{A}, \tilde{\tau}$ ) is a complex exponentially galbed algebra.
Let now $(A, \tau)$ be a commutative real exponentially galbed algebra with jointly continuous multiplication and bounded elements, $\tilde{O}$ an arbitrary neighborhood of zero of ( $\tilde{A}, \tilde{\tau}$ ), and $a+i b \in \tilde{A}$ an arbitrary element. Then there are a neighborhood $O$ of zero of $(A, \tau)$ such that $O+i O \subset \tilde{O}$ and $\lambda_{a}, \lambda_{b} \in \mathbb{C} \backslash\{0\}$ and the sets

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{\left(\frac{a}{\lambda_{a}}\right)^{n}: n \in \mathbb{N}\right\}, \quad\left\{\left(\frac{b}{\lambda_{b}}\right)^{n}: n \in \mathbb{N}\right\} \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

are bounded in $(A, \tau)$. The neighborhood $O$ defines now a balanced neighborhood $U$ of zero of $(A, \tau)$ such that (4.2) holds and $U$ defines a balanced neighborhood $V$ of zero of $(A, \tau)$ such that $V V \subset U$ (because the multiplication in ( $A, \tau$ ) is jointly continuous). Now there are numbers $\mu_{a}, \mu_{b}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{a}{\left|\lambda_{a}\right|}\right)^{n} \in \mu_{a} V, \quad\left(\frac{b}{\left|\lambda_{b}\right|}\right)^{n} \in \mu_{b} V, \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $\kappa=4\left(\left|\lambda_{a}\right|+\left|\lambda_{b}\right|\right)$. Since $a+i b=\left(a+i \theta_{A}\right)+i\left(b+i \theta_{A}\right)$, then

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(\frac{a+i b}{\kappa}\right)^{n} & =\sum_{k=0}^{n}\binom{n}{k}\left(\left(\frac{a}{\kappa}\right)^{k}+i \theta_{A}\right) i^{n-k}\left(\left(\frac{b}{\kappa}\right)^{n-k}+i \theta_{A}\right)  \tag{4.5}\\
& =\mu_{a} \mu_{b} \sum_{k=0}^{n} \frac{\tilde{x}_{k}}{2^{k}}
\end{align*}
$$

for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, where

$$
\begin{align*}
\tilde{x}_{k} & =\varrho_{n k} \frac{1}{\mu_{a} \mu_{b}}\left(\left(\frac{a}{\left|\lambda_{a}\right|}\right)^{k}\left(\frac{b}{\left|\lambda_{b}\right|}\right)^{n-k}+i \theta_{A}\right) \\
\varrho_{n k} & =2^{k} i^{n-k}\binom{n}{k}\left(\frac{\left|\lambda_{a}\right|}{\kappa}\right)^{k}\left(\frac{\left|\lambda_{b}\right|}{\kappa}\right)^{n-k} \tag{4.6}
\end{align*}
$$

for each $k \leqslant n$. Herewith

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left|\varrho_{n k}\right|=\frac{2^{k}}{\kappa^{n}}\binom{n}{k}\left|\lambda_{a}\right|^{k}\left|\lambda_{b}\right|^{n-k} \leqslant \frac{2^{n}}{\kappa^{n}}\left(\left|\lambda_{a}\right|+\left|\lambda_{b}\right|\right)^{n} \leqslant\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{n}<1, \\
\left(\frac{a}{\left|\lambda_{a}\right|}\right)^{k}\left(\frac{b}{\left|\lambda_{b}\right|}\right)^{n-k}+i \theta_{A} \in \mu_{a} \mu_{b} V V+i \theta_{A} \subset \mu_{a} \mu_{b}(U+i U) \tag{4.7}
\end{gather*}
$$

Since $U$ is a balanced set, then $\tilde{x}_{k} \in U+i U$ for each $k \in\{0, \ldots, n\}$. Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{a+i b}{\kappa}\right)^{n} \in \mu_{a} \mu_{b}(O+i O) \subset \mu_{a} \mu_{b} \tilde{O} \tag{4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

by (4.2) for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. It means that $a+i b$ is bounded in ( $\tilde{A}, \tilde{\tau})$. Consequently, $(\tilde{A}, \tilde{\tau})$ is a commutative complex exponentially galbed algebra with bounded elements.
5. Real Gel'fand-Mazur division algebras. To describe main classes of real Gel'fand-Mazur division algebras, we first describe these real topological division algebras $(A, \tau)$ for which the complexification $(\tilde{A}, \tilde{\tau})$ of $(A, \tau)$ is a complex Gel'fand-Mazur division algebra.

Proposition 5.1. If $(A, \tau)$ is a commutative strictly real topological Hausdorff division algebra with continuous inversion, then the complexification ( $\tilde{A}, \tilde{\tau}$ ) of $(A, \tau)$ is a commutative complex topological Hausdorff division algebra with continuous inversion.

Proof. Let $A$ be a commutative strictly real division algebra. Then $\tilde{A}$ is a complex division algebra (see [7, Proposition 1.6.20]). Since the underlying topological space of $(A, \tau)$ is a Hausdorff space, then $(A, \tau)$ is a $Q$-algebra. Hence $(A, \tau)$ is a commutative real Waelbroeck algebra with a unit element. Therefore ( $\tilde{A}, \tilde{\tau}$ ) is a commutative Waelbroeck algebra (see [7, Proposition 3.6 .31 ] or [17, proposition on page 237]). Thus, $(\tilde{A}, \tilde{\tau})$ is a commutative complex Hausdorff division algebra with continuous inversion.

Proposition 5.2. Let $(A, \tau)$ be a real topological algebra and $\tilde{A}$ the complexification of $A$. If the topological dual $(A, \tau)^{*}$ of $(A, \tau)$ is nonempty, then the topological dual $(\tilde{A}, \tilde{\tau})^{*}$ of $(\tilde{A}, \tilde{\tau})$ is also nonempty.

Proof. If $\psi \in(A, \tau)^{*}$, then $\tilde{\psi}$, defined by $\tilde{\psi}(a+i b)=\psi(a)+i \psi(b)$ for each $a+i b \in \tilde{A}$, is an element of $(\tilde{A}, \tilde{\tau})^{*}$.

Proposition 5.3. Let a be a commutative strictly real (not necessarily topological) division algebra and $\tilde{A}$ the complexification of $A$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{sp}_{\tilde{A}}(a+i b)=\left\{\alpha+i \beta \in \mathbb{C}: \alpha \in \operatorname{sp}_{A}(a) \text { and } \beta \in \operatorname{sp}_{A}(b)\right\} \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Let $\alpha+i \beta \in \operatorname{sp}_{\tilde{A}}(a+i b)$. Since $A$ is a commutative strictly real division algebra, then $\tilde{A}$ is a commutative complex division algebra (see [7, Proposition 1.6.20]). Therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
a+i b-(\alpha+i \beta)\left(e_{A}+i \theta\right)=\left(a-\alpha e_{A}\right)+i\left(b-\beta e_{A}\right)=\theta_{A}+i \theta_{A} \tag{5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

if and only if $\alpha \in \operatorname{sp}_{A}(a)$ and $\beta \in \operatorname{sp}_{A}(b)$.
The main result of the present paper is the following theorem.

THEOREM 5.4. Let $(A, \tau)$ be a commutative strictly real topological division algebra and $\tilde{A}$ the complexification of $A$. If there is a topology $\tau^{\prime}$ on $A$ such that ( $A, \tau^{\prime}$ ) is
(a) a locally pseudoconvex Hausdorff algebra with continuous inversion;
(b) a Hausdorff algebra with continuous inversion for which $(A, \tau)^{*}$ is nonempty;
(c) an exponentially galbed Hausdorff algebra with jointly continuous multiplication and bounded elements;
(d) a topological Hausdorff algebra for which the spectrum $\mathrm{sp}_{A}(a)$ is nonempty for each $a \in A$,
then $(A, \tau)$ and $\mathbb{R}$ are topologically isomorphic.
Proof. If $A$ is a commutative strictly real division algebra, then $\tilde{A}$ is a commutative complex division algebra (by [7, Proposition 1.6.20]). In case (a) the complexification ( $\tilde{A}, \tilde{\tau}^{\prime}$ ) of ( $A, \tau^{\prime}$ ) is a commutative complex locally pseudoconvex Hausdorff division algebra with continuous inversion (by Theorem 3.1 and Proposition 5.1); in case (b) ( $\left.\tilde{A}, \tilde{\tau}^{\prime}\right)$ of $\left(A, \tau^{\prime}\right)$ is a commutative complex topological Hausdorff algebra with continuous inversion for which the set $\left(\tilde{A}, \tilde{\tau}^{\prime}\right)^{*}$ is nonempty (by Propositions 5.1 and 5.2); in case (c) ( $\left.\tilde{A}, \tilde{\tau}^{\prime}\right)$ of $\left(A, \tau^{\prime}\right)$ is a commutative complex exponentially galbed Hausdorff division algebra with bounded elements (by Theorem 4.1); and in case (d) ( $\left.\tilde{A}, \tilde{\tau}^{\prime}\right)$ of $\left(A, \tau^{\prime}\right)$ is such a commutative topological Hausdorff division algebra for which the spectrum $\operatorname{sp}_{\tilde{A}}(a+i b)$ is nonempty for each $a+i b \in \tilde{A}$ (by Proposition 5.3), therefore $(\tilde{A}, \tilde{\tau})$ and $\mathbb{C}$ are topologically isomorphic (see [4, Theorem 1] and [2, Proposition 1]). Hence every element $a+i b \in \tilde{A}$ is representable in the form $a+i b=\lambda e_{\tilde{A}}$ for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$. It means that for each $a \in A$ there is a real number $\mu$ such that $a=\mu e_{A}$. Consequently, $A$ is an isomorphism to $\mathbb{R}$. In the same way as in complex case (see, e.g., [4, page 122]) it is easy to show that this isomorphism is a topological isomorphism because $(A, \tau)$ is a Hausdorff space.

COROLLARY 5.5. Let A be a commutative strictly real division algebra. If A has a topology $\tau$ such that $(A, \tau)$ is
(a) a locally pseudoconvex Hausdorff algebra with continuous inversion;
(b) a locally A-pseudoconvex (in particular, locally m-pseudoconvex) Hausdorff algebra;
(c) a locally pseudoconvex Fréchet algebra;
(d) an exponentially galbed Hausdorff algebra with jointly continuous multiplication and bounded elements;
(e) a topological Hausdorff algebra for which the spectrum $\mathrm{sp}_{A}(a)$ is nonempty for each $a \in A$,
then $(A, \tau)$ is a commutative real Gel'fand-Mazur division algebra.
Proof. It is easy to see that $(A, \tau)$ is a commutative real Gel'fand-Mazur division algebra (by Theorem 5.4) in cases (a), (d), and (e). Since the inversion
is continuous in every locally $m$-pseudoconvex algebra and every locally $A$ pseudoconvex Hausdorff algebra with a unit element having a topology $\tau^{\prime}$ such that ( $A, \tau^{\prime}$ ) is a locally $m$-pseudoconvex Hausdorff algebra (see [5, Lemma 2.2]), then $(A, \tau)$ is a commutative real Gel'fand-Mazur division algebra in case (b) by (a) and Theorem 5.4.

Let now $(A, \tau)$ be a commutative strictly real locally pseudoconvex Fréchet division algebra. Then $(A, \tau)$ is a commutative strictly real locally pseudoconvex Fréchet $Q$-algebra by Corollary 3.2. Therefore the inversion in $(A, \tau)$ is continuous (see [15, Corollary 7.6]). Hence $(A, \tau)$ is also a commutative real Gel'fand-Mazur division algebra by Theorem 5.4.
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