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For functions $p$ analytic in the open unit disc $U=\{z:|z|<1\}$ with the normalization $p(0)=1$, we consider the families $\mathscr{P}[A,-1],-1<A \leq 1$, consisting of $p$ such that $p(z)$ is subordinate to $(1+A z) /(1-z)$ in $U$ and $\mathscr{P}(1, b), b>0$, consisting of $p$, which have the disc formulation $|p-1|<b$ in $U$. We then introduce subordination criteria for the choice of $p(z)=z f^{\prime}(z) / f(z)$, where $f$ is analytic in $U$ and normalized by $f(0)=f^{\prime}(0)-1=0$. We also obtain starlikeness and convexity conditions for such functions $f$ and consequently extend and, in some cases, improve the corresponding previously known results.
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1. Introduction. Let $\mathscr{A}$ denote the class of functions that are analytic in the open unit disc $U=\{z:|z|<1\}$. In the sequel, we assume that $p$ in $\mathscr{A}$ is normalized by $p(0)=1$ and $f$ in $\mathscr{A}$ is normalized by $f(0)=f^{\prime}(0)-1=0$.

For $0<b \leq a$, the function $p \in \mathscr{A}$ is said to be in $\mathscr{P}(a, b)$ if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
|p(z)-a|<b, \quad z \in U . \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Without loss of generality, we omit the trivial case $p(z)=1$ and assume that $|1-a|<b$.

For $-1 \leq B<A \leq 1$, the function $p \in \mathscr{A}$ is said to be in $\mathscr{P}[A, B]$ if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
p(z) \prec \frac{1+A z}{1+B z}, \quad z \in U . \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here the symbol " $\prec$ " stands for subordination. For the functions $f$ and $g$ in $\mathscr{A}$, we say that $f$ is subordinate to $g$ in $U$, denoted by $f \prec g$, if there exists a Schwarz function $w$ in $\mathscr{A}$ with $|w(z)|<1$ and $w(0)=0$ such that $f(z)=$ $g(w(z))$ in $U$.

For $0<b \leq a$, there is a correspondence between $\mathscr{P}(a, b)$ and $\mathscr{P}[A, B]$; namely,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathscr{P}(a, b) \equiv \mathscr{P}\left[\frac{b^{2}-a^{2}+a}{b}, \frac{1-a}{b}\right] . \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Two subclasses that have been studied extensively (e.g., see [2, 10]) are $\mathscr{P}(1, b)$ and $\mathscr{P}[A,-1]$. The class $\mathscr{P}(1, b)$, which is defined using the disc formulation, has an alternative characterization in terms of subordination, where

$$
\begin{equation*}
p \in \mathscr{P}(1, b) \Leftrightarrow p(z)<1+b z \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

In this paper, we study the subordination criteria for functions $p(z)=$ $z f^{\prime}(z) / f(z)$ in $\mathscr{A}$, where $f \in \mathscr{A}$. We also obtain starlikeness and convexity conditions for such functions $f \in \mathscr{A}$ and consequently extend and, in some cases, improve the corresponding previously known results. The significance of the above choice for $p$ is evident if we recall that $f \in \mathscr{A}$ is said to be starlike of order $\alpha, 0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$ if $\left(z f^{\prime}(z) / f(z)\right) \in \mathscr{P}(1,1-\alpha)$, and $f \in \mathscr{A}$ is said to be convex of order $\alpha, 0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$ if $\left(1+z f^{\prime \prime} / f^{\prime}\right) \in \mathscr{P}(1,1-\alpha)$. Finally, we note that all functions, starlike or convex, of order $\alpha_{2}$ are, respectively, starlike or convex of order $\alpha_{1}$ if $0 \leq \alpha_{1} \leq \alpha_{2} \leq 1$.
2. Main results. First, we introduce a subordination criterion for $p(z)=$ $z f^{\prime}(z) / f(z)$ in $\mathscr{P}[A,-1]$. To prove our first theorem, we need the following celebrated result, which is due to Miller and Mocanu [3].

Lemma 2.1. Let $q$ be univalent in the unit disc $U$ and let $\phi$ and $\psi$ be analytic in a domain $\mathscr{C}$ containing $q(U)$ with $\psi(\omega) \neq 0$ for $\omega \in q(U)$. Set $Q(z)=$ $z q^{\prime}(z) \psi(q(z))$ and $h(z)=\phi(q(z))+Q(z)$. Also, suppose that $Q$ is starlike univalent in $U$ and $\mathfrak{R}\left(z h^{\prime}(z) / Q(z)\right)=\mathfrak{R}\left[\phi^{\prime}(q(z)) / \psi(q(z))+z Q^{\prime}(z) / Q(z)\right]>0$ in $U$. If $p$ is analytic in $U, p(0)=q(0), q(U) \in \mathscr{C}$, and $\phi(p(z))+z p^{\prime}(z) \psi(p(z))$ $\prec h(z)$, then $p \prec q$, and $q$ is the best dominant of the subordination.

Theorem 2.2. Let $f$ in $\mathscr{A}$ be so that $f(z) / z \neq 0$ in $U$. Also, let $\alpha>0,|\beta| \leq 1$, and $-1<A \leq 1$ be so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\beta(1-\alpha)}{\alpha}+\frac{1}{2}(1+\beta)(1-A)+\frac{(1-\beta)(1-A)}{2(1+A)} \geq 0 . \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

If

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}\right)^{\beta}\left(1+\alpha \frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}\right) \prec h(z) \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
h(z)=\left(\frac{1+A z}{1-z}\right)^{\beta-1}\left[(1-\alpha) \frac{1+A z}{1-z}+\frac{\alpha(1+A z)^{2}+\alpha(1+A) z}{(1-z)^{2}}\right] \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)} \prec \frac{1+A z}{1-z} \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Setting $z f^{\prime}(z) / f(z)=p(z)$, condition (2.2) can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
(p(z))^{\beta}[(1-\alpha)+\alpha p(z)]+\alpha z p^{\prime}(z)^{\beta-1} \prec h(z) . \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $q(z)=(1+A z) /(1-z)$, it is clear that $q$ is univalent in $U$ and $q(U)$ is the region $\mathfrak{R} z>(1-A) / 2$. Also, for $\psi(z)=\alpha z^{\beta-1}$ and $\phi(z)=z^{\beta}(1-\alpha+\alpha z)$, we observe that $\psi$ and $\phi$ satisfy the conditions required by Lemma 2.1. Therefore,

$$
\begin{align*}
Q(z)= & z q^{\prime}(z) \psi(q(z))=\frac{\alpha(1+A) z(1+A z)^{\beta-1}}{(1-z)^{\beta+1}} \\
h(z)= & \phi(q(z))+Q(z)=\left(\frac{1+A z}{1-z}\right)^{\beta}\left[1-\alpha+\alpha \frac{1+A z}{1-z}\right]  \tag{2.6}\\
& +\frac{\alpha(1+A) z(1+A z)^{\beta-1}}{(1-z)^{\beta+1}} .
\end{align*}
$$

Now, the above assumptions yield

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathfrak{R} \frac{z Q^{\prime}(z)}{Q(z)} & =\mathfrak{\Re}\left[1+(\beta-1) \frac{A z}{1+A z}+(1+\beta) \frac{z}{1-z}\right] \\
& >-1+(1-\beta) \frac{1}{1+|A|}+(1+\beta) \frac{1}{2} \\
& =\frac{(1-|A|)(1-\beta)}{2(1+|A|)}>0,  \tag{2.7}\\
\Re \frac{z h^{\prime}(z)}{Q(z)} & =\frac{\beta(1-\alpha)}{\alpha}+(1+\beta) \Re\left(\frac{1+A z}{1-z}\right)+\Re \frac{z Q^{\prime}(z)}{Q(z)} \\
& >\frac{\beta(1-\alpha)}{\alpha}+\frac{1}{2}(1+\beta)(1-|A|)+\frac{(1-\beta)(1-|A|)}{2(1+|A|)} \geq 0 .
\end{align*}
$$

This completes the proof since all the conditions required by Lemma 2.1 are satisfied.

We remark that for $\beta=A=0$ and $\alpha=1$, the above theorem reinstates the fact that every convex function is starlike of order $1 / 2$. Also, for $\beta=A=1$, we obtain [8, Theorem 1], and for $\alpha=\beta=1$ and $A=0$ we obtain [8, Theorem 3]. Furthermore, letting $\alpha=-\beta=1$ in the above theorem, yields the following corollary.

Corollary 2.3. Let $f \in \mathscr{A}$ and $f(z) / z \neq 0$ in $U$. If $-1<|A| \leq 1$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1+z f^{\prime \prime}(z) / f^{\prime}(z)}{z f^{\prime}(z) / f(z)} \prec 1+\frac{(1+A) z}{(1+A z)^{2}} \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)} \prec \frac{1+A z}{1-z} \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remark 2.4. The function $h(z)=1+(1+A) z /(1+A z)^{2}$ has interesting mapping properties. Note that $h$ takes real values for real values of $z$ with $h(0)=1$ and $h(U)$ is symmetric with respect to the real axis. Now, for $D=$ $\left\{h\left(e^{i \theta}\right): 0 \leq \theta<2 \pi\right\}$ and $d=(1,0)$, observe that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{mindist}(D, d)=\frac{1}{1+A} \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consequently, $h$ maps the unit circle onto the region, which properly contains the region $|\omega-1|<(1+A) /(1-A)^{2}$. This is an extension to [9, Theorem 1] which does not extend as for the sharpness. (Also see Obradović and Tuneski [7].)

Our next theorem is on the subordination criterion for $z f^{\prime}(z) / f(z) \in \mathscr{P}(1, b)$.
Theorem 2.5. Let $f \in \mathscr{A}$ and $f(z) / z \neq 0$ in $U$. Also, let $\alpha>0,|\beta| \leq 1$, and $0<b \leq 1$ be so that $2 \beta+\alpha(1-\beta)+(1-b)(1+b+b \beta) \geq 0$. If

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}\right)^{\beta}\left(1+\alpha \frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}\right) \prec \frac{1+(1+2 \alpha) b z+\alpha b^{2} z^{2}}{(1+b z)^{1-\beta}}=h(z), \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)} \prec 1+b z \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Setting $p(z)=z f^{\prime}(z) / f(z)$, condition (2.11) may be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
(p(z))^{\beta}[(1-\alpha)+\alpha p(z)]+\alpha z p^{\prime}(z)(p(z))^{\beta-1} \prec h(z) \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here, we need once again to make use of Lemma 2.1. Set $q(z)=1+b z, \psi(z)=$ $\alpha z^{\beta-1}$, and $\phi(z)=z^{\beta}(1-\alpha+\alpha z)$. We observe that $q$ is univalent and $q(U)$ is a region, so that its boundary is the circle with radius $b$ and center at $(1,0)$. Using an argument similar to that used to prove Theorem 2.2, we write $Q(z)=$ $\alpha b z(1+b z)^{\beta-1}$ and $h(z)=\phi(q(z))+Q(z)$. Therefore,

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathfrak{R} \frac{z Q^{\prime}(z)}{Q(z)} & =\beta+(1-\beta) \Re \frac{1}{1+b z}>\beta+\frac{1-\beta}{1+b}=\frac{1+\beta b}{1+b} \geq 0 \\
\mathfrak{R} \frac{z h^{\prime}(z)}{Q(z)} & =\mathfrak{R}\left[\frac{\beta(1-\alpha)}{\alpha}+(1+\beta)(1+b z)\right]+\mathfrak{R} \frac{z Q^{\prime}(z)}{Q(z)}  \tag{2.14}\\
& >\frac{\beta(1-\alpha)}{\alpha}+(1+\alpha)(1-b)+\frac{1+\beta b}{1+b} \geq 0
\end{align*}
$$

Thus, the proof is complete since all the conditions required by Lemma 2.1 are satisfied.

By letting $\beta=1$ in Theorem 2.5, we obtain the following corollary, which is an improvement in a result obtained in [6]. For an alternative proof of the following corollary, see Mocanu and Oros [4]. Another generalization of this result is contained in Mocanu and Oros [5].

Corollary 2.6. Let $f \in \mathscr{A}$ and $f(z) / z \neq 0$ in $U$. Also, let $\alpha>0$ and $0<b \leq 1$. If

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}+\alpha \frac{z^{2} f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f(z)} \prec 1+(1+2 \alpha) b z+\alpha b^{2} z^{2} \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)} \prec 1+b z . \tag{2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Recalling Remark 2.4 after Corollary 2.3 for $h(z)=1+(1+2 \alpha) b z+\alpha b^{2} z^{2}$, observe that $h$ takes real values for real values of $z$ with $h(0)=1$ and $h(U)$ is symmetric with respect to the real axis. Now, for $D=\left\{h\left(e^{i \theta}\right): 0 \leq \theta<2 \pi\right\}$ and $d=(1,0)$, it can be shown that

$$
\begin{align*}
\operatorname{mindist}(D, d) & =(1+2 \alpha) b-\alpha b^{2} \\
\operatorname{Maxdist}(D, d) & =(1+2 \alpha) b+\alpha b^{2} . \tag{2.17}
\end{align*}
$$

Therefore, $h$ maps the unit disc $U$ onto a region, which properly contains the region $\{z:|z-1|<(1+\alpha) b\}$. This improves [6, Theorem 1] obtained by Obradović et al.

For $0 \leq \rho<1$, define $\Omega=\{w:|w-1| \leq 1-2 \rho+\Re w\}$ and let $\mathscr{S}(\rho)$ consist of functions $f \in \mathscr{A}$ satisfying the condition $z f^{\prime} \mid f \in \Omega$. Note that the class $\mathscr{S}(\rho)$ consists of starlike functions. Also, we let $\mathscr{K}(\rho)$ consist of convex functions $f \in \mathscr{A}$ for which $z f^{\prime} \in \mathscr{Y}(\rho)$.

For $0 \leq \rho<\beta \leq 1$, let $\mu_{\beta}(\rho)$ be the largest number for which the disc $\mathscr{D}\left(\beta, \mathcal{M}_{\beta}(\rho)\right)=\left\{\omega:|\omega-\beta|<\mathcal{M}_{\beta}(\rho)\right\}$ lies inside the region $\Omega$. A direct calculation yields

$$
\mathcal{M}_{\beta}(\rho)= \begin{cases}\beta-\rho & \text { if } \rho<\beta<2-\rho  \tag{2.18}\\ 2 \sqrt{(1-\rho)(\beta-1)} & \text { if } \beta \geq 2-\rho\end{cases}
$$

Therefore, the disc contains the point 1 for

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1+\rho}{2}<\beta<(2-\rho)+\sqrt{\frac{\rho^{2}-\rho+5}{2}} \tag{2.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

and we have justified the following lemma.
Lemma 2.7. Let $f \in \mathscr{A}$ and $(1+\rho) / 2<\beta<(2-\rho)+\sqrt{\rho^{2}-\rho+5 / 2}$. If

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}-\beta\right|<\mathcal{M}_{\beta}(\rho), \tag{2.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $f \in \mathscr{Y}(\rho)$.
The above lemma in conjunction with Corollary 2.6 yields the following theorem.

THEOREM 2.8. Let $f \in \mathscr{A}$ and $f(z) / z \neq 0$ in $U$. Also, let $\alpha>0$ and $0<b \leq 1$. If

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}+\alpha \frac{z^{2} f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f(z)} \prec 1+(1+2 \alpha) b z+\alpha b^{2} z^{2} \tag{2.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $f \in \mathscr{S}(1-b)$.
With some restrictions on $\rho$ and $b$, we show that we can do even better than the above theorem in terms of classification of the function $f$. First, we need the following result due to Jack [1].

Lemma 2.9. Let $\omega$ be a nonconstant analytic function in $U$ with $\omega(0)=0$. If $|\omega|$ attains its maximum value on the circle $|z|=r$ at some point $z_{0}$, then $z_{0} \omega^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)=k \omega\left(z_{0}\right)$, where $k \geq 1$.

Theorem 2.10. For $\alpha>0$, let $\rho=(\alpha-b(2+3 \alpha+\alpha b)) / \alpha(1-b)$ and $0<$ $b \leq\left(-(3+2 \alpha)+\sqrt{9+12 \alpha+8 \alpha^{2}}\right) / 2 \alpha$. If $f \in \mathcal{A}, f(z) / z \neq 0$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}+\alpha \frac{z^{2} f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f(z)} \prec 1+(1+2 \alpha) b z+\alpha b^{2} z^{2} \tag{2.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $f \in \mathscr{K}(\rho)$.
Proof. Setting $p(z)=z f^{\prime}(z) / f(z)$ and $\omega(z)=\alpha z f^{\prime \prime}(z) / f^{\prime}(z)$, condition (2.22) may be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
p(z)(1+\omega(z)) \prec 1+(1+2 \alpha) b z+\alpha b^{2} z^{2} \tag{2.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
|p(z)(1+\omega(z))-1|<(1+2 \alpha) b+\alpha b^{2}, \quad z \in U . \tag{2.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, $|p(z)-1|<b$ and so, by Corollary 2.6 , we only need to show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\omega(z)|<\frac{2(1+\alpha) b+\alpha b^{2}}{1-b}=T . \tag{2.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

Define $g(z)=\omega(z) / T$. Since $g(0)=0$ and $g$ is analytic in $U$, it suffices to show that $|g|<1$ in $U$. On the contrary, suppose that there exists $z_{0} \in U$, so that $\left|\boldsymbol{g}\left(z_{0}\right)\right|=1$. Then, by Lemma 2.9, there exists $k \geq 1$, so that $z_{0} g^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)=k g\left(z_{0}\right)$. Consequently,

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|p\left(z_{0}\right)\left(1+\omega\left(z_{0}\right)\right)-1\right| & =\left|p\left(z_{0}\right)\left(1+T g\left(z_{0}\right)\right)-1\right| \\
& =\left|\left(p\left(z_{0}\right)-1\right)\left(1+T g\left(z_{0}\right)\right)+T g\left(z_{0}\right)\right| \\
& \geq T\left|g\left(z_{0}\right)\right|-b\left(1+T\left|g\left(z_{0}\right)\right|\right)  \tag{2.26}\\
& =(1+2 \alpha) T+\alpha T^{2} .
\end{align*}
$$

This is a contradiction to the required condition (2.24), and so the proof is complete.

As a corollary to the above theorem we obtain the following corollary.
Corollary 2.11. Let $f \in \mathscr{A}$ be so that $f(z) / z \neq 0$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}+\frac{z^{2} f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f(z)} \prec 1+0.5777 z+0.037 z^{2}, \quad z \in U \tag{2.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, $\left|z f^{\prime \prime}(z) / f^{\prime}(z)\right|<0.99987$, and so $f$ is convex.
We note that our Corollary 2.11 is an improvement to [6, Corollary 2(b)].
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