

A FURI-PERA THEOREM IN HAUSDORFF TOPOLOGICAL SPACES FOR ACYCLIC MAPS

RAVI P. AGARWAL, JEWGENI H. DSHALALOW, and DONAL O'REGAN

Received 1 May 2004

We present new Furi-Pera theorems for acyclic maps between topological spaces.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 47H10.

1. Introduction. In this paper, we present new Furi-Pera theorems [6, 7] for acyclic maps between Hausdorff topological spaces. The main result in our paper is based on a new Leray-Schauder alternative [1] for such maps which in turn is based on the notion of compactly null-homotopic.

We first recall some results and ideas from the literature. Let X and Z be subsets of Hausdorff topological spaces. We will consider maps $F : X \rightarrow K(Z)$; here $K(Z)$ denotes the family of nonempty compact subsets of Z . A nonempty topological space is said to be acyclic if all its reduced Čech homology groups over the rationals are trivial. Now $F : X \rightarrow K(Z)$ is *acyclic* if F is upper semicontinuous with acyclic values. Suppose X and Z are topological spaces. Given a class \mathcal{X} of maps, $\mathcal{X}(X, Z)$ denotes the set of maps $F : X \rightarrow 2^Z$ (nonempty subsets of Z) belonging to \mathcal{X} , and \mathcal{X}_c the set of finite compositions of maps in \mathcal{X} . We let

$$\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{X}) = \{W : \text{Fix } F \neq \emptyset \ \forall F \in \mathcal{X}(W, W)\}, \quad (1.1)$$

where $\text{Fix } F$ denotes the set of fixed points of F .

The class \mathcal{U} of maps is defined by the following properties:

- (i) \mathcal{U} contains the class \mathcal{C} of single-valued continuous functions;
- (ii) each $F \in \mathcal{U}_c$ is upper semicontinuous and compact valued;
- (iii) $B^n \in \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{U}_c)$ for all $n \in \{1, 2, \dots\}$; here $B^n = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \|x\| \leq 1\}$.

Next we consider the class $\mathcal{U}_c^K(X, Z)$ of maps $F : X \rightarrow 2^Z$ such that for each F and each nonempty compact subset K of X , there exists a map $G \in \mathcal{U}_c(K, Z)$ such that $G(x) \subseteq F(x)$ for all $x \in K$. Notice the Kakutani and acyclic maps are examples of \mathcal{U}_c^K maps (see [3, 4, 8] for other examples).

By a space, we mean a Hausdorff topological space. Let Q be a class of topological spaces. A space Y is an *extension space* for Q (written $Y \in \text{ES}(Q)$) if for all $X \in Q$ and for all $K \subseteq X$ closed in X , any continuous function $f_0 : K \rightarrow Y$ extends to a continuous function $f : X \rightarrow Y$.

For a subset K of a topological space X , we denote by $\text{Cov}_X(K)$ the set of all coverings of K by open sets of X (usually we write $\text{Cov}(K) = \text{Cov}_X(K)$). Let Q be a class of

topological spaces and Y a subset of a Hausdorff topological space. Given two maps $F, G : X \rightarrow 2^Y$ and $\alpha \in \text{Cov}(Y)$, F and G are said to be α -close if for any $x \in X$, there exists $U_x \in \alpha$, $y \in F(x) \cap U_x$, and $w \in G(x) \cap U_x$. A space Y is an *approximate extension space* for Q (written $Y \in \text{AES}(Q)$) if for all $\alpha \in \text{Cov}(Y)$, for all $X \in Q$, for all $K \subseteq X$ closed in X , and for any continuous function $f_0 : K \rightarrow Y$, there exists a continuous function $f : X \rightarrow Y$ such that $f|_K$ is α -close to f_0 .

Let X be a uniform space. Then X is *Schauder admissible* if for every compact subset K of X and every covering $\alpha \in \text{Cov}_X(K)$, there exists a continuous function (called the Schauder projection) $\pi_\alpha : K \rightarrow X$ such that

- (i) π_α and $i : K \hookrightarrow X$ are α -close;
- (ii) $\pi_\alpha(K)$ is contained in a subset $C \subseteq X$ with $C \in \text{AES}(\text{compact})$.

Let X be a Hausdorff topological space and let $\alpha \in \text{Cov}(X)$. X is said to be *Schauder admissible α -dominated* if there exist a Schauder admissible space X_α and two continuous functions $r_\alpha : X_\alpha \rightarrow X$, $s_\alpha : X \rightarrow X_\alpha$ such that $r_\alpha s_\alpha : X \rightarrow X$ and $i : X \hookrightarrow X$ are α -close. X is said to be *almost Schauder admissible dominated* if X is Schauder admissible α -dominated for each $\alpha \in \text{Cov}(X)$. In [2], we established the following result.

THEOREM 1.1. *Let X be a uniform space and let X be almost Schauder admissible dominated. Also suppose $F \in \mathcal{U}_c^K(X, X)$ is a compact upper semicontinuous map with closed values. Then F has a fixed point.*

In our next definitions, Y will be a completely regular topological space and U an open subset of Y .

DEFINITION 1.2. $F \in \text{AC}(\overline{U}, Y)$ if $F : \overline{U} \rightarrow K(Y)$ is an acyclic compact map; here \overline{U} denotes the closure of U in Y .

DEFINITION 1.3. $F \in \text{AC}_{\partial U}(\overline{U}, Y)$ if $F \in \text{AC}(\overline{U}, Y)$ with $x \notin F(x)$ for $x \in \partial U$; here ∂U denotes the boundary of U in Y .

DEFINITION 1.4. $F \in \text{AC}(Y, Y)$ if $F : Y \rightarrow K(Y)$ is an acyclic compact map.

DEFINITION 1.5. If $F \in \text{AC}(Y, Y)$ and $p \in Y$, then $F \cong \{p\}$ in $\text{AC}(Y, Y)$ if there exists an acyclic compact map $R : Y \times [0, 1] \rightarrow K(Y)$ with $R_1 = F$ and $R_0 = \{p\}$ (here $R_t(x) = R(x, t)$).

The following three results were established in [1]. We note that [Theorem 1.7](#) follows from [Theorems 1.8, 1.1, and 1.6](#).

THEOREM 1.6. *Let Y be a metrizable ANR, $p \in Y$, and $F \in \text{AC}(Y, Y)$ with $F \cong \{p\}$ in $\text{AC}(Y, Y)$. Then F has a fixed point.*

THEOREM 1.7. *Let Y be a completely regular topological space, U an open subset of Y , $u_0 \in U$, and $F \in \text{AC}_{\partial U}(\overline{U}, Y)$. Suppose there exists an acyclic compact map $H : \overline{U} \times [0, 1] \rightarrow K(Y)$ with $H_1 = F$, $H_0 = \{u_0\}$, and with $x \notin H_t(x)$ for $x \in \partial U$ and $t \in (0, 1)$. In addition assume either of the following occurs:*

- (A) Y is a uniform space and Y is almost Schauder admissible dominated;
- (B) Y is a metrizable ANR.

Then F has a fixed point.

THEOREM 1.8. *Let Y be a completely regular topological space, U an open subset of Y , $u_0 \in U$, and $F \in \text{AC}_{\partial U}(\overline{U}, Y)$. Suppose there exists an acyclic compact map $H : \overline{U} \times [0, 1] \rightarrow K(Y)$ with $H_1 = F$, $H_0 = \{u_0\}$, and with $x \notin H_t(x)$ for $x \in \partial U$ and $t \in (0, 1)$. In addition, assume the following property holds:*

$$\begin{aligned} &\text{for any } G \in \text{AC}(Y, Y) \text{ and any } p \in Y \text{ with } G \cong \{p\} \\ &\text{in } \text{AC}(Y, Y), G \text{ has a fixed point in } Y. \end{aligned} \quad (1.2)$$

Then F has a fixed point in U .

Let Q be a subset of a Hausdorff topological space X . Then Q is called a *special retract* of X if there exists a continuous retraction $r : X \rightarrow Q$ with $r(x) \in \partial Q$ for $x \in X \setminus Q$.

EXAMPLE 1.9. Let X be a Hilbert space and Q a nonempty closed convex subset of X . Then Q is a special retract of X since we may take $r(\cdot)$ to be $P_Q(\cdot)$ which is the nearest point projection on Q .

EXAMPLE 1.10. Let Q be a nonempty closed convex subset of a locally convex topological vector space X . Then we know from Dugundji's extension theorem that there exists a continuous retraction $r : X \rightarrow Q$. If $\text{int } Q = \emptyset$, then $\partial Q = Q$ so $r(x) \in \partial Q = Q$ if $x \in X$. Now suppose $\text{int } Q \neq \emptyset$. Without loss of generality, assume $0 \in \text{int } Q$. Now we may take

$$r(x) = \frac{x}{\max\{1, \mu(x)\}}, \quad x \in X, \quad (1.3)$$

where μ is the Minkowski functional on Q , that is, $\mu(x) = \inf\{\alpha > 0 : x \in \alpha Q\}$. Note, $r(x) \in \partial Q$ for $x \in X \setminus Q$, so Q is a special retract of X .

2. Fixed point theory. In this section we present three Furi-Pera type theorems based on Theorems 1.1, 1.6–1.8.

THEOREM 2.1. *Let $E = (E, d)$ be a metrizable space, Q a closed subset of E , $u_0 \in Q$, and, Q a special retract of E . Also assume $F \in \text{AC}(Q, E)$ with E almost admissible dominated. In addition, suppose the following condition is satisfied:*

$$\begin{aligned} &\text{there exists an acyclic compact map } H : Q \times [0, 1] \rightarrow K(E) \\ &\text{with } H_1 = F, H_0 = \{u_0\} \text{ such that if } \{(x_j, \lambda_j)\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \\ &(\text{here } \mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, \dots\}) \text{ is a sequence in } \partial Q \times [0, 1] \text{ converging} \\ &\text{to } (x, \lambda) \text{ with } x \in H(x, \lambda) \text{ and } 0 \leq \lambda < 1, \text{ then} \\ &\{H(x_j, \lambda_j)\} \subseteq Q \text{ for } j \text{ sufficiently large.} \end{aligned} \quad (2.1)$$

Then F has a fixed point in Q .

PROOF. Now since Q is a special retract of E , there exists a continuous retraction $r : E \rightarrow Q$ with $r(z) \in \partial Q$ if $z \in E \setminus Q$. Consider

$$B = \{x \in E : x \in Fr(x)\}. \quad (2.2)$$

Clearly $Fr : E \rightarrow K(E)$ is acyclic valued, upper semicontinuous, and compact. Thus $Fr \in AC(E, E)$, so [Theorem 1.1](#) guarantees that $B \neq \emptyset$. Also since Fr is upper semicontinuous we have that B is closed. In fact, B is compact since Fr is a compact map. It remains to show $B \cap Q \neq \emptyset$. To do this, we argue by contradiction. Suppose $B \cap Q = \emptyset$. Then since B is compact and Q is closed, there exists a $\delta > 0$ with $\text{dist}(B, Q) > \delta$. Choose $m \in \mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, \dots\}$ with $1 < \delta m$. Let

$$U_i = \left\{ x \in E : d(x, Q) < \frac{1}{i} \right\} \quad \text{for } i \in \{m, m+1, \dots\}. \quad (2.3)$$

Fix $i \in \{m, m+1, \dots\}$. Now since $\text{dist}(B, Q) > \delta$, then $B \cap \overline{U_i} = \emptyset$. Notice also that U_i is open, $u_0 \in U_i$, and $Fr : \overline{U_i} \rightarrow K(E)$ is an upper semicontinuous, acyclic valued, and compact map (i.e., $Fr \in AC(\overline{U_i}, E)$). Let $H : Q \times [0, 1] \rightarrow K(E)$ be an acyclic compact map with $H_1 = F$, $H_0 = \{u_0\}$ as described in (2.1). Now let $R : \overline{U_i} \times [0, 1] \rightarrow K(E)$ be given by $R(x, t) = H(r(x), t)$. Clearly $R : \overline{U_i} \times [0, 1] \rightarrow K(E)$ is an acyclic compact map with $R_1 = Fr$ and $R_0 = \{u_0\}$. Now $B \cap \overline{U_i} = \emptyset$, together with [Theorem 1.7](#), guarantees that there exists

$$(y_i, \lambda_i) \in \partial U_i \times (0, 1) \quad \text{with } y_i \in H(r(y_i), \lambda_i). \quad (2.4)$$

We can do this for each $i \in \{m, m+1, \dots\}$. Consequently,

$$\{H(r(y_j), \lambda_j)\} \not\subseteq Q \quad \text{for each } j \in \{m, m+1, \dots\}. \quad (2.5)$$

We now look at

$$D = \{x \in E : x \in R_\lambda(r(x)) \text{ for some } \lambda \in [0, 1]\}. \quad (2.6)$$

Now $D \neq \emptyset$ is closed and in fact compact (so sequentially compact). This together with

$$d(y_j, Q) = \frac{1}{j}, \quad |\lambda_j| \leq 1 \quad \text{for } j \in \{m, m+1, \dots\} \quad (2.7)$$

implies that we may assume without loss of generality that

$$\lambda_j \rightarrow \lambda^* \in [0, 1], \quad y_j \rightarrow y^* \in \partial Q. \quad (2.8)$$

In addition $y_j \in H(r(y_j), \lambda_j)$ with R upper semicontinuous (so closed, [5, page 465]) guarantees that $y^* \in H(r(y^*), \lambda^*)$. Now if $\lambda^* = 1$, then $y^* \in H(r(y^*), 1) = Fr(y^*)$ which contradicts $B \cap Q = \emptyset$. Thus $0 \leq \lambda^* < 1$. But then (2.1) with $x_j = r(y_j) \in \partial Q$ (note that Q is a special retract of E) and $x = y^* = r(y^*)$ implies $\{H(r(y_j), \lambda_j)\} \subseteq Q$ for j sufficiently large. This contradicts (2.5). Thus $B \cap Q \neq \emptyset$, so there exists $x \in Q$ with $x \in Fr(x) = F(x)$. \square

REMARK 2.2. We can remove the assumption that Q is a special retract of E provided we assume that

$$\text{there exists a retraction } r : E \rightarrow Q, \quad (2.9)$$

and (2.1) is replaced by the following:

there exists an acyclic compact map $H : Q \times [0, 1] \rightarrow K(E)$
 with $H_1 = F$, $H_0 = \{u_0\}$ such that if $\{(x_j, \lambda_j)\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$
 (here $\mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, \dots\}$) is a sequence in $Q \times [0, 1]$ converging
 to (x, λ) with $x \in H(x, \lambda)$ and $0 \leq \lambda < 1$, then
 $\{H(x_j, \lambda_j)\} \subseteq Q$ for j sufficiently large. (2.10)

THEOREM 2.3. Let $E = (E, d)$ be a metrizable space, Q a closed subset of E , $u_0 \in Q$, and Q a special retract of E . Also assume $F \in AC(Q, E)$ with E an ANR. In addition, assume (2.1) is satisfied and that the following condition holds:

for any $G \in AC(E, E)$ and any $p \in E$, there exists
 an acyclic compact map $\Phi : E \times [0, 1] \rightarrow K(E)$ with
 $\Phi_1 = G$ and $\Phi_0 = \{p\}$ (here $\Phi_t(x) = \Phi(t, x)$). (2.11)

Then F has a fixed point in Q .

PROOF. Let r and B be as in the proof of Theorem 2.1. Notice $Fr \in AC(E, E)$. Fix $p \in E$. Now (2.11) guarantees that there exists an acyclic compact map $\Psi : E \times [0, 1] \rightarrow K(E)$ with $\Psi_1 = Fr$ and $\Psi_0 = \{p\}$. This together with Theorem 1.6 guarantees that $B \neq \emptyset$. Essentially the same reasoning as in Theorem 2.1 establishes the result. \square

REMARK 2.4. In Theorem 2.3, we can replace “ Q is a special retract of E ” provided we assume (2.9) and replace (2.1) with (2.10).

REMARK 2.5. From the proof of Theorem 2.3, we can see immediately that (2.11) could be replaced by the following:

there exist $p \in E$ and an acyclic compact map
 $\Phi : E \times [0, 1] \rightarrow K(E)$ with $\Phi_1 = Fr$ and $\Phi_0 = \{p\}$. (2.12)

Our next result is a generalization of Theorem 2.3.

THEOREM 2.6. Let $E = (E, d)$ be a metrizable space, Q a closed subset of E , $u_0 \in Q$, and Q a special retract of E . Also assume $F \in AC(Q, E)$ and that (2.1) and (2.11) are satisfied. In addition, suppose the following condition holds:

E is such that for any $G \in AC(E, E)$ and any
 $p \in E$ with $G \cong \{p\}$ in $AC(E, E)$,
 G has a fixed point. (2.13)

Then F has a fixed point in Q .

PROOF. Let r and B be as in the proof of Theorem 2.1. The argument in Theorem 2.3 guarantees that $B \neq \emptyset$. Also of course B is closed and compact. Suppose $B \cap Q = \emptyset$. Then there exists a $\delta > 0$ with $\text{dist}(B, Q) > \delta$. Choose $m \in \mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, \dots\}$ with $1 < \delta m$ and let U_i ($i \in \{m, m+1, \dots\}$) be as in Theorem 2.1. Fix $i \in \{m, m+1, \dots\}$. Note $B \cap \overline{U_i} = \emptyset$ and $Fr \in AC(\overline{U_i}, E)$. Let $H : Q \times [0, 1] \rightarrow K(E)$ be an acyclic compact map

with $H_1 = F$, $H_0 = \{u_0\}$ as described in (2.1) and let $R : \overline{U_i} \times [0, 1] \rightarrow K(E)$ be given by $R(x, t) = H(r(x), t)$. Clearly $R : \overline{U_i} \times [0, 1] \rightarrow K(E)$ is an acyclic compact map with $R_1 = Fr$ and $R_0 = \{u_0\}$. Now $B \cap \overline{U_i} = \emptyset$, (2.13), and Theorem 1.8 guarantee that there exists $(\gamma_i, \lambda_i) \in \partial U_i \times (0, 1)$ with $\gamma_i \in H(r(\gamma_i), \lambda_i)$. We can do this for each $i \in \{m, m+1, \dots\}$. Consequently $\{H(r(\gamma_j), \lambda_j)\} \not\subseteq Q$ for each $j \in \{m, m+1, \dots\}$. Essentially the same reasoning as in Theorem 2.1 from (2.5) onwards establishes the result. \square

REMARK 2.7. In Theorem 2.6, we can replace “ Q is a special retract of E ” provided we assume (2.9) and replace (2.1) with (2.10).

REMARK 2.8. In Theorem 2.6, note (2.11) could be replaced by (2.12).

REFERENCES

- [1] R. P. Agarwal, J. H. Dshalalow, and D. O'Regan, *Generalized Leray-Schauder principles in Hausdorff topological spaces for acyclic maps*, to appear in Math. Sci. Res. J.
- [2] R. P. Agarwal, J. K. Kim, and D. O'Regan, *Fixed point theory for composite maps on almost dominating extension spaces*, to appear.
- [3] R. P. Agarwal and D. O'Regan, *Homotopy and Leray-Schauder principles for multi maps*, Nonlinear Anal. Forum **7** (2002), no. 1, 103–111.
- [4] R. P. Agarwal, D. O'Regan, and S. Park, *Fixed point theory for multimaps in extension type spaces*, J. Korean Math. Soc. **39** (2002), no. 4, 579–591.
- [5] C. D. Aliprantis and K. C. Border, *Infinite-Dimensional Analysis*, Studies in Economic Theory, vol. 4, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1994.
- [6] M. Furi and A. Vignoli, *An extension of the notion of zero-epi maps to the context of topological spaces*, Z. Anal. Anwendungen **21** (2002), no. 2, 485–493.
- [7] D. O'Regan, *Fixed point theory for closed multifunctions*, Arch. Math. (Brno) **34** (1998), no. 1, 191–197.
- [8] ———, *Fixed point theory on extension-type spaces and essential maps on topological spaces*, Fixed Point Theory Appl. **2004** (2004), no. 1, 13–20.

Ravi P. Agarwal: Department of Mathematical Sciences, Florida Institute of Technology, Melbourne, FL 32901-6975, USA

E-mail address: agarwal@fit.edu

Jewgeni H. Dshalalow: Department of Mathematical Sciences, Florida Institute of Technology, Melbourne, FL 32901-6975, USA

E-mail address: eugene@fit.edu

Donal O'Regan: Department of Mathematics, National University of Ireland, Galway, Ireland

E-mail address: donal.oregan@nuigalway.ie

