

ON DIFFERENTIAL SUBORDINATIONS FOR A CLASS OF ANALYTIC FUNCTIONS DEFINED BY A LINEAR OPERATOR

V. RAVICHANDRAN, HERB SILVERMAN, S. SIVAPRASAD KUMAR,
and K. G. SUBRAMANIAN

Received 25 September 2003

We obtain several results concerning the differential subordination between analytic functions and a linear operator defined for a certain family of analytic functions which are introduced here by means of these linear operators. Also, some special cases are considered.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 30C45, 30C80.

1. Introduction. Let \mathcal{A}_0 be the class of normalized analytic functions $f(z)$ with $f(0) = 0$ and $f'(0) = 1$ which are defined in the unit disk $\Delta := \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$. Let \mathcal{A} be the class of all analytic functions $p(z)$ with $p(0) = 1$ which are defined on Δ . The class \mathcal{P} of *Carathéodory* functions consists of functions $p(z) \in \mathcal{A}$ having positive real part. For two functions $f(z)$ and $g(z)$ given by

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n, \quad g(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} b_n z^n, \quad (1.1)$$

their Hadamard product (or convolution) is defined, as usual, by

$$(f * g)(z) := z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n b_n z^n =: (g * f)(z). \quad (1.2)$$

Define the function $\phi(a, c; z)$ by

$$\phi(a, c; z) := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_n}{(c)_n} z^{n+1} \quad (c \neq 0, -1, -2, \dots; z \in \Delta), \quad (1.3)$$

where $(x)_n$ is the Pochhammer symbol or the shifted factorial defined by

$$(x)_n = \begin{cases} 1, & n = 0, \\ x(x+1)(x+2) \cdots (x+n-1), & n \in \mathbb{N} := \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}. \end{cases} \quad (1.4)$$

Corresponding to the function $\phi(a, c; z)$, Carlson and Shaffer [1] introduced a linear operator $L(a, c)$ on \mathcal{A}_0 by the following convolution:

$$L(a, c)f(z) := \phi(a, c; z) * f(z), \quad (1.5)$$

or, equivalently, by

$$L(a, c)f(z) := z + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_n}{(c)_n} a_{n+1} z^{n+1} \quad (z \in \Delta). \quad (1.6)$$

It follows from (1.6) that

$$z(L(a, c)f(z))' = aL(a+1, c)f(z) - (a-1)L(a, c)f(z). \quad (1.7)$$

For two functions f and g analytic in Δ , we say that the function $f(z)$ is *subordinate* to $g(z)$ in Δ , and write

$$f \prec g \quad \text{or} \quad f(z) \prec g(z) \quad (z \in \Delta), \quad (1.8)$$

if there exists a Schwarz function $w(z)$, analytic in Δ with

$$w(0) = 0, \quad |w(z)| < 1 \quad (z \in \Delta), \quad (1.9)$$

such that

$$f(z) = g(w(z)) \quad (z \in \Delta). \quad (1.10)$$

In particular, if the function g is *univalent* in Δ , the above subordination is equivalent to

$$f(0) = g(0), \quad f(\Delta) \subset g(\Delta). \quad (1.11)$$

Over the past few decades, several authors have obtained criteria for univalence and starlikeness depending on bounds of the functionals $zf'(z)/f(z)$ and $1+zf''(z)/f'(z)$. See [4, 5, 7] and the references in [7]. In [2, 6], certain results involving linear operators were considered. In this paper, we obtain sufficient conditions involving

$$\frac{L(a+1, c)f(z)}{L(a, c)f(z)}, \quad \frac{L(a+2, c)f(z)}{L(a+1, c)f(z)} \quad (1.12)$$

for functions to satisfy the subordination

$$\frac{L(a, c)f(z)}{L(a+1, c)f(z)} \prec q(z), \quad \left(\frac{L(a+1, c)f(z)}{L(a, c)f(z)} \right)^\beta \prec q(z) \quad (q(z) \in \mathcal{A}). \quad (1.13)$$

Also, we obtain sufficient conditions involving

$$\frac{L(a+2, c)f(z)}{L(a+1, c)f(z)}, \quad \frac{L(a+1, c)f(z)}{z} \quad (1.14)$$

for functions to satisfy the subordination

$$\left(\frac{L(a, c)f(z)}{z} \right)^\beta \prec q(z), \quad \frac{z}{L(a+1, c)f(z)} \prec q(z) \quad (q(z) \in \mathcal{A}). \quad (1.15)$$

Since $L(n+1, 1)f(z) = D^n f(z)$, where $D^n f(z)$ is the Ruscheweyh derivative of $f(z)$, our results can be specialized to the Ruscheweyh derivative and we omit these details. Note that the Ruscheweyh derivative of order δ is defined by

$$D^\delta f(z) := \frac{z}{(1-z)^{\delta+1}} * f(z) \quad (f \in \mathcal{A}_0; \delta > -1) \quad (1.16)$$

or, equivalently, by

$$D^\delta f(z) := z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \binom{\delta+k-1}{k+1} a_k z^k \quad (f \in \mathcal{A}_0; \delta > -1). \quad (1.17)$$

In our present investigation, we need the following result of Miller and Mocanu [3] to prove our main results.

THEOREM 1.1 (cf. [3, Theorem 3.4h, page 132]). *Let $q(z)$ be univalent in the unit disk Δ and let ϑ and φ be analytic in a domain $\mathbb{D} \supset q(\Delta)$ with $\varphi(w) \neq 0$, when $w \in q(\Delta)$. Set*

$$Q(z) := zq'(z)\varphi(q(z)), \quad h(z) := \vartheta(q(z)) + Q(z). \quad (1.18)$$

Suppose that

- (1) Q is starlike univalent in Δ ;
- (2) $\Re(zh'(z)/Q(z)) > 0$ for $z \in \Delta$.

If $p(z)$ is analytic in Δ , with $p(0) = q(0)$, $p(\Delta) \subset \mathbb{D}$, and

$$\vartheta(p(z)) + zp'(z)\varphi(p(z)) < \vartheta(q(z)) + zq'(z)\varphi(q(z)), \quad (1.19)$$

then $p(z) < q(z)$ and $q(z)$ is the best dominant.

2. Main results. We begin with the following.

THEOREM 2.1. *Let α, β , and γ be real numbers, $\beta \neq 0$, and $(1+a)\beta\gamma < 0$. Let $q(z) \in \mathcal{A}$ be univalent in Δ and let it satisfy the following condition for $z \in \Delta$:*

$$\Re\left(1 + \frac{zq''(z)}{q'(z)}\right) > \begin{cases} \frac{\beta + (1+a)\gamma}{\beta} & \text{if } \frac{\beta + \gamma(a+1)}{\beta} \geq 0, \\ 0 & \text{if } \frac{\beta + \gamma(a+1)}{\beta} \leq 0. \end{cases} \quad (2.1)$$

If $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}_0$ and

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{L(a, c)f(z)}{L(a+1, c)f(z)} \left\{ \alpha \frac{L(a+1, c)f(z)}{L(a, c)f(z)} + \beta \frac{L(a+2, c)f(z)}{L(a+1, c)f(z)} + \gamma \right\} \\ & < \frac{1}{a+1} \{ \alpha(a+1) + a\beta + [\beta + \gamma(a+1)]q(z) - \beta zq'(z) \}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.2)$$

then

$$\frac{L(a, c)f(z)}{L(a+1, c)f(z)} < q(z) \quad (2.3)$$

and $q(z)$ is the best dominant.

PROOF. Define the function $p(z)$ by

$$p(z) := \frac{L(a, c)f(z)}{L(a+1, c)f(z)}. \quad (2.4)$$

Then, clearly, $p(z)$ is analytic in Δ . Also, by a simple computation, we find from (2.4) that

$$\frac{zp'(z)}{p(z)} = \frac{z(L(a, c)f(z))'}{L(a, c)f(z)} - \frac{z(L(a+1, c)f(z))'}{L(a+1, c)f(z)}. \quad (2.5)$$

By making use of the familiar identity (1.7) in (2.5), we get

$$\frac{L(a+2, c)f(z)}{L(a+1, c)f(z)} = \frac{1}{a+1} \left(1 + \frac{a}{p(z)} - \frac{zp'(z)}{p(z)} \right). \quad (2.6)$$

By using (2.4) and (2.6), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[\alpha \frac{L(a+1, c)f(z)}{L(a, c)f(z)} + \beta \frac{L(a+2, c)f(z)}{L(a+1, c)f(z)} + \gamma \right] \frac{L(a, c)f(z)}{L(a+1, c)f(z)} \\ &= \left[\frac{\alpha}{p(z)} + \frac{\beta}{a+1} \left(1 + \frac{a}{p(z)} - \frac{zp'(z)}{p(z)} \right) + \gamma \right] p(z) \\ &= \frac{1}{a+1} \{ (a+1)\alpha + a\beta + [\beta + \gamma(a+1)]p(z) - \beta zp'(z) \}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.7)$$

In view of (2.7), the subordination (2.2) becomes

$$[\beta + \gamma(a+1)]p(z) - \beta zp'(z) < [\beta + \gamma(a+1)]q(z) - \beta zq'(z) \quad (2.8)$$

and this can be written as (1.19), where

$$\vartheta(w) := [\beta + \gamma(a+1)]w, \quad \varphi(w) := -\beta. \quad (2.9)$$

Note that $\vartheta(w)$, $\varphi(w)$ are analytic in \mathbb{C} . Since $\beta \neq 0$, we have $\varphi(w) \neq 0$. Let the functions $Q(z)$ and $h(z)$ be defined by

$$\begin{aligned} Q(z) &:= zq'(z)\varphi(q(z)) = -\beta zq'(z), \\ h(z) &:= \vartheta(q(z)) + Q(z) = [\beta + (a+1)\gamma]q(z) - \beta zq'(z). \end{aligned} \quad (2.10)$$

In light of hypothesis (2.1) stated in Theorem 2.1, we see that $Q(z)$ is starlike and

$$\Re \left\{ \frac{zh'(z)}{Q(z)} \right\} = \Re \left\{ \frac{\gamma(a+1) + \beta}{-\beta} + \left(1 + \frac{zq''(z)}{q'(z)} \right) \right\} > 0. \quad (2.11)$$

The result of Theorem 2.1 now follows by an application of Theorem 1.1. \square

Note that

$$\begin{aligned} L(1,1)f(z) &= f(z), \\ L(2,1)f(z) &= zf'(z), \\ L(3,1)f(z) &= zf'(z) + \frac{z^2 f''(z)}{2}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.12)$$

By taking $a = c = 1$ in [Theorem 2.1](#) and after a change in the parameters, we have the following.

COROLLARY 2.2. *Let α be a real number, $1 + \alpha > 0$, and let $q(z)$ be univalent in Δ , and let it satisfy*

$$\Re\left(1 + \frac{zq''(z)}{q'(z)}\right) > \begin{cases} -\alpha & \text{if } \alpha \leq 0, \\ 0 & \text{if } \alpha \geq 0. \end{cases} \quad (2.13)$$

If $f \in A_0$ and

$$\frac{f(z)}{zf'(z)} \left\{ (1 - \alpha) \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} - \left(1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)}\right) + \alpha \right\} < zq'(z) + \alpha q(z) - \alpha, \quad (2.14)$$

then

$$\frac{f(z)}{zf'(z)} < q(z) \quad (2.15)$$

and $q(z)$ is the best dominant.

If we take

$$q(z) = 1 + \frac{\lambda}{1 + \alpha} z \quad (2.16)$$

in [Corollary 2.2](#), we obtain a recent result of Singh [[7](#), Theorem 1(i), page 571].

By using [Theorem 1.1](#), we can show the following.

LEMMA 2.3. *Let γ, β be real numbers, $\beta \neq 0$, and $1 > \gamma/\beta$. Let $q(z) \in \mathcal{A}$ be univalent in Δ and let it satisfy*

$$\Re\left(1 + \frac{zq''(z)}{q'(z)}\right) > \begin{cases} \frac{\gamma}{\beta} & \text{if } \frac{\gamma}{\beta} \geq 0, \\ 0 & \text{if } \frac{\gamma}{\beta} \leq 0. \end{cases} \quad (2.17)$$

If $p(z) \in \mathcal{A}$ satisfies

$$\gamma p(z) - \beta zp'(z) < \gamma q(z) - \beta zq'(z), \quad (2.18)$$

then $p(z) < q(z)$ and $q(z)$ is the best dominant.

By using [Lemma 2.3](#), or from [Theorem 2.1](#), we have the following.

COROLLARY 2.4. Let α, β, γ be real numbers, $\beta \neq 0$, and $1 > \gamma/\beta$. Let $q(z) \in \mathcal{A}$ be univalent in Δ and let it satisfy (2.17). If $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}_0$ satisfies

$$\frac{f(z)}{zf'(z)} \left\{ \alpha \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} + \beta \left(1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} \right) + \gamma \right\} < \alpha + \beta - \beta zq'(z) + \gamma q(z), \quad (2.19)$$

then (2.15) holds and $q(z)$ is the best dominant.

By using Theorem 1.1, we obtain the following.

THEOREM 2.5. Let $a \neq -1$. Let α, β, γ , and δ be real numbers, $\alpha \neq 0$, and $1 + \delta(a+1)(\alpha+\gamma)/\alpha > 0$. Let $q(z) \in \mathcal{A}$ be univalent in Δ and let it satisfy the following condition for $z \in \Delta$:

$$\Re \left(1 + \frac{zq''(z)}{q'(z)} \right) > \begin{cases} -\frac{\delta(a+1)(\alpha+\gamma)}{\alpha} & \text{if } \frac{\delta(a+1)(\alpha+\gamma)}{\alpha} \leq 0, \\ 0 & \text{if } \frac{\delta(a+1)(\alpha+\gamma)}{\alpha} \geq 0. \end{cases} \quad (2.20)$$

If $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}_0$ and

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\{ \alpha \frac{L(a+2, c)f(z)}{L(a+1, c)f(z)} + \beta \left(\frac{z}{L(a+1, c)f(z)} \right)^\delta + \gamma \right\} \left(\frac{L(a+1, c)f(z)}{z} \right)^\delta \\ & < \frac{\alpha}{\delta(a+1)} zq'(z) + (\alpha+\gamma)q(z) + \beta, \end{aligned} \quad (2.21)$$

then

$$\left(\frac{L(a+1, c)f(z)}{z} \right)^\delta < q(z) \quad (2.22)$$

and $q(z)$ is the best dominant.

PROOF. Define the function $p(z)$ by

$$p(z) := \left(\frac{L(a+1, c)f(z)}{z} \right)^\delta. \quad (2.23)$$

Then, clearly, $p(z)$ is analytic in Δ . Also, by a simple computation, we find from (2.23) that

$$\frac{zp'(z)}{p(z)} = \frac{\delta z(L(a+1, c)f(z))'}{L(a+1, c)f(z)} - \delta. \quad (2.24)$$

By making use of the familiar identity (1.7) in (2.24), we get

$$\frac{L(a+2, c)f(z)}{L(a+1, c)f(z)} = \frac{1}{\delta(a+1)} \frac{zp'(z)}{p(z)} + 1. \quad (2.25)$$

By using (2.23) and (2.25), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\{ \alpha \frac{L(a+2, c)f(z)}{L(a+1, c)f(z)} + \beta \left(\frac{z}{L(a+1, c)f(z)} \right)^\delta + \gamma \right\} \left(\frac{L(a+1, c)f(z)}{z} \right)^\delta \\ &= \left\{ \alpha \left(\frac{1}{\delta(a+1)} \frac{zp'(z)}{p(z)} + 1 \right) + \frac{\beta}{p(z)} + \gamma \right\} p(z) \\ &= \frac{\alpha}{\delta(a+1)} zp'(z) + (\alpha + \gamma)p(z) + \beta. \end{aligned} \quad (2.26)$$

In view of (2.26), the subordination (2.21) becomes

$$\delta(a+1)(\alpha + \gamma)p(z) + \alpha zp'(z) < \delta(a+1)(\alpha + \gamma)q(z) + \alpha zq'(z) \quad (2.27)$$

and this can be written as (1.19), where

$$\vartheta(w) := \delta(a+1)(\alpha + \gamma)w, \quad \varphi(w) := \alpha. \quad (2.28)$$

Note that $\varphi(w) \neq 0$ and $\vartheta(w)$, $\varphi(w)$ are analytic in \mathbb{C} . Let the functions $Q(z)$ and $h(z)$ be defined by

$$\begin{aligned} Q(z) &:= zq'(z)\varphi(q(z)) = \alpha zq'(z), \\ h(z) &:= \vartheta(q(z)) + Q(z) = \delta(a+1)(\alpha + \gamma)q(z) + \alpha zq'(z). \end{aligned} \quad (2.29)$$

By hypothesis (2.20) stated in Theorem 2.5, we see that $Q(z)$ is starlike and

$$\Re \left\{ \frac{zh'(z)}{Q(z)} \right\} = \Re \left\{ \frac{\delta(a+1)(\alpha + \gamma)}{\alpha} + \left(1 + \frac{zq''(z)}{q'(z)} \right) \right\} > 0. \quad (2.30)$$

Thus, by an application of Theorem 1.1, the proof of Theorem 2.5 is completed. \square

By taking $a = c = 1$ in Theorem 2.5 and after a suitable change in the parameters, we have the following.

COROLLARY 2.6. *Let $\alpha, \beta \neq 0$ be real and $1 + \alpha > 0$. Let $q(z)$ be univalent in Δ and let it satisfy (2.13). If $f \in A_0$ and*

$$\left\{ \beta \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} + \alpha (1 - [f'(z)]^{-\beta}) \right\} [f'(z)]^\beta < zq'(z) + \alpha q(z) - \alpha, \quad (2.31)$$

then

$$[f'(z)]^\beta < q(z) \quad (2.32)$$

and $q(z)$ is the best dominant.

If we take (2.16) in Corollary 2.6, we obtain a recent result of Singh [7, Theorem 1(ii), page 571].

THEOREM 2.7. *Let $a \neq -1$. Let α , β , and γ be real numbers and let $\beta, \gamma \neq 0$ and $1 + \alpha/\gamma > 0$. Let $q(z) \in \mathcal{A}$ be univalent in Δ and let it satisfy the following condition for $z \in \Delta$:*

$$\Re \left(1 + \frac{z q''(z)}{q'(z)} \right) > \begin{cases} -\frac{\alpha}{\gamma} & \text{if } \frac{\alpha}{\gamma} \leq 0, \\ 0 & \text{if } \frac{\alpha}{\gamma} \geq 0. \end{cases} \quad (2.33)$$

If $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}_0$ and

$$\left(\frac{L(a+1, c)f(z)}{L(a, c)f(z)} \right)^\beta \left\{ \beta \gamma \left[(a+1) \frac{L(a+2, c)f(z)}{L(a+1, c)f(z)} - a \frac{L(a+1, c)f(z)}{L(a, c)f(z)} - 1 \right] + \alpha \right\} < \gamma z q'(z) + \alpha q(z), \quad (2.34)$$

then

$$\left(\frac{L(a+1, c)f(z)}{L(a, c)f(z)} \right)^\beta < q(z) \quad (2.35)$$

and $q(z)$ is the best dominant.

PROOF. Define the function $p(z)$ by

$$p(z) := \left(\frac{L(a+1, c)f(z)}{L(a, c)f(z)} \right)^\beta. \quad (2.36)$$

Then, clearly, $p(z)$ is analytic in Δ . Also, by a simple computation together with the use of the familiar identity (1.7), we find from (2.36) that

$$\frac{1}{\beta} \frac{z p'(z)}{p(z)} = (a+1) \frac{L(a+2, c)f(z)}{L(a+1, c)f(z)} - a \frac{L(a+1, c)f(z)}{L(a, c)f(z)} - 1. \quad (2.37)$$

Therefore, it follows from (2.36) and (2.37) that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\frac{L(a+1, c)f(z)}{L(a, c)f(z)} \right)^\beta \left\{ \beta \gamma \left[(a+1) \frac{L(a+2, c)f(z)}{L(a+1, c)f(z)} - a \frac{L(a+1, c)f(z)}{L(a, c)f(z)} - 1 \right] + \alpha \right\} \\ &= \gamma z p'(z) + \alpha p(z). \end{aligned} \quad (2.38)$$

In view of (2.38), the subordination (2.34) becomes

$$\gamma z p'(z) + \alpha p(z) < \gamma z q'(z) + \alpha q(z) \quad (2.39)$$

and this can be written as (1.19), where

$$\vartheta(w) := \alpha w, \quad \varphi(w) := \gamma. \quad (2.40)$$

Note that $\varphi(w) \neq 0$ and $\vartheta(w)$, $\varphi(w)$ are analytic in \mathbb{C} . Let the functions $Q(z)$ and $h(z)$ be defined by

$$\begin{aligned} Q(z) &:= zq'(z)\varphi(q(z)) = \gamma zq'(z), \\ h(z) &:= \vartheta(q(z)) + Q(z) = \alpha q(z) + \gamma zq'(z). \end{aligned} \quad (2.41)$$

In light of hypothesis (2.33) stated in Theorem 2.7, we see that $Q(z)$ is starlike and

$$\Re \left\{ \frac{zh'(z)}{Q(z)} \right\} = \Re \left\{ \frac{\alpha}{\gamma} + \left(1 + \frac{zq''(z)}{q'(z)} \right) \right\} > 0. \quad (2.42)$$

Since ϑ and φ satisfy the conditions of Theorem 1.1, the result follows by an application of Theorem 1.1. \square

By taking $a = c = 1$ in Theorem 2.7 and after a suitable change in the parameters, we have the following.

COROLLARY 2.8. *Let $\alpha, \beta \neq 0$ and γ be real with $1 + \alpha/\gamma > 0$. Let $q(z)$ be univalent in Δ and let it satisfy (2.33).*

If $f \in A_0$ and

$$\left(\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \right)^\beta \left\{ \beta \gamma \left[1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} - \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \right] + \alpha \right\} < \gamma zq'(z) + \alpha q(z), \quad (2.43)$$

then

$$\left(\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \right)^\beta < q(z) \quad (2.44)$$

and $q(z)$ is the best dominant.

If we take (2.16) and $\gamma = 1$ in Corollary 2.8, we obtain a recent result of Singh [7, Theorem 1(iii), page 571] and, by setting

$$q(z) = \int_0^1 \frac{1 - \lambda z t^\alpha}{1 + \lambda z t^\alpha} dt \quad (2.45)$$

and $\alpha = 1$ in Corollary 2.8, we obtain another recent result of Singh [7, Theorem 3, page 573].

THEOREM 2.9. *Let $\alpha \neq 0$ and γ be real numbers, $(a+1)\alpha\gamma < 0$. Let $q(z) \in \mathcal{A}$ be univalent in Δ and let it satisfy the following condition for $z \in \Delta$:*

$$\Re \left(1 + \frac{zq''(z)}{q'(z)} \right) > \begin{cases} \frac{\alpha + \gamma(a+1)}{\alpha} & \text{if } \frac{\alpha + \gamma(a+1)}{\alpha} \geq 0, \\ 0 & \text{if } \frac{\alpha + \gamma(a+1)}{\alpha} \leq 0. \end{cases} \quad (2.46)$$

If $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}_0$ and

$$\alpha \frac{L(a,c)f(z)}{L(a+1,c)f(z)} \left(\frac{L(a+2,c)f(z)}{L(a+1,c)f(z)} \right) + \gamma \frac{L(a,c)f(z)}{L(a+1,c)f(z)} < \frac{a\alpha}{a+1} + \left(\frac{\alpha}{a+1} + \gamma \right) q(z) - \frac{\alpha}{a+1} zq'(z), \quad (2.47)$$

then

$$\frac{L(a,c)f(z)}{L(a+1,c)f(z)} < q(z) \quad (2.48)$$

and $q(z)$ is the best dominant.

The proof of this theorem is similar to that of [Theorem 2.1](#) and hence it is omitted.

By taking $a = c = 1$ in [Theorem 2.9](#) and after a suitable change in the parameters, we have the following.

COROLLARY 2.10. Let $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$ and $q(z)$ be univalent in Δ and let them satisfy

$$\Re \left(1 + \frac{zq''(z)}{q'(z)} \right) > \begin{cases} \alpha & \text{if } \alpha \geq 0 \\ 0 & \text{if } \alpha \leq 0. \end{cases} \quad (2.49)$$

If $f \in \mathcal{A}_0$ and

$$\frac{f(z)}{zf'(z)} \left(1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} \right) - \alpha \left(\frac{f(z)}{zf'(z)} - 1 \right) < (1 + \alpha) - \alpha q(z) - zq'(z), \quad (2.50)$$

then (2.15) holds and $q(z)$ is the best dominant.

Let

$$q(z) = 1 + \frac{\lambda z}{k} \int_0^1 \frac{t^\alpha}{1 + (z/k)t} dt. \quad (2.51)$$

After a change of variable in (2.51), we get

$$q(z) = 1 + \frac{\lambda}{z} \int_0^z \frac{\eta^\alpha}{k + \eta} d\eta. \quad (2.52)$$

By differentiating (2.52), we have

$$zq'(z) = \frac{\lambda z}{k+z} - \alpha q(z) + \alpha \quad (2.53)$$

or

$$\alpha - \alpha q(z) - zq'(z) = -\frac{\lambda z}{k+z}. \quad (2.54)$$

Since the bilinear transform

$$w = -\frac{\lambda z}{k+z} \quad (2.55)$$

maps Δ onto the disk

$$\left| w + \frac{\lambda}{1-k^2} \right| \leq \frac{|\lambda|k}{k^2-1}, \quad (2.56)$$

from [Corollary 2.10](#) for the function $q(z)$ given by (2.51), we obtain a recent result of Singh [7, Theorem 2(i), page 572].

THEOREM 2.11. *Let $\alpha \neq 0$ and γ be real numbers, $(a+1)\alpha\gamma < 0$. Let $q(z) \in \mathcal{A}$ be univalent in Δ and let it satisfy (2.46) for $z \in \Delta$.*

If $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}_0$ and

$$\alpha z \frac{L(a+2, c)f(z)}{[L(a+1, c)f(z)]^2} + \gamma \frac{z}{L(a+1, c)f(z)} < (\alpha + \gamma)q(z) - \frac{\alpha}{a+1} zq'(z), \quad (2.57)$$

then

$$\frac{z}{L(a+1, c)f(z)} < q(z) \quad (2.58)$$

and $q(z)$ is the best dominant.

The proof of this theorem is similar to that of [Theorem 2.1](#) and therefore it is omitted.

By taking $a = c = 1$ in Theorem 2.11 and after a suitable change in the parameters, we have the following.

COROLLARY 2.12. *Let $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$ and $q(z)$ be univalent in Δ and let them satisfy (2.49). If $f \in \mathcal{A}_0$, $f(z)f'(z)/z \neq 0$, and*

$$\frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)^2} - \alpha \left(\frac{1}{f'(z)} - 1 \right) < \alpha - \alpha q(z) - zq'(z), \quad (2.59)$$

then

$$\frac{1}{f'(z)} < q(z) \quad (2.60)$$

and $q(z)$ is the best dominant.

On setting (2.51) in [Corollary 2.12](#), we obtain a recent result of Singh [7, Theorem 2(ii), page 572].

ACKNOWLEDGMENT. The authors are thankful to the referees for their comments.

REFERENCES

- [1] B. C. Carlson and D. B. Shaffer, *Starlike and prestarlike hypergeometric functions*, SIAM J. Math. Anal. **15** (1984), no. 4, 737–745.
- [2] J.-L. Liu and S. Owa, *On a class of multivalent functions involving certain linear operator*, Indian J. Pure Appl. Math. **33** (2002), no. 11, 1713–1722.
- [3] S. S. Miller and P. T. Mocanu, *Differential Subordinations. Theory and Applications*, Monographs and Textbooks in Pure and Applied Mathematics, vol. 225, Marcel Dekker, New York, 2000.
- [4] M. Obradowi c and N. Tuneski, *On the starlike criteria defined by Silverman*, Zeszyty Nauk. Politech. Rzeszowskiej Mat. **181** (2000), no. 24, 59–64.

- [5] K. S. Padmanabhan, *On sufficient conditions for starlikeness*, Indian J. Pure Appl. Math. **32** (2001), no. 4, 543–550.
- [6] J. Patel and P. Sahoo, *Properties of a class of analytic functions involving a linear operator*, Demonstratio Math. **35** (2002), no. 3, 495–507.
- [7] V. Singh, *On some criteria for univalence and starlikeness*, Indian J. Pure Appl. Math. **34** (2003), no. 4, 569–577.

V. Ravichandran: Department of Computer Applications, Sri Venkateswara College of Engineering, Pennalur, Sriperumbudur 602 105, Tamil Nadu, India

E-mail address: vravi@svce.ac.in

Herb Silverman: Department of Mathematics, College of Charleston, Charleston, SC 29424, USA

E-mail address: silvermanH@cofc.edu

S. Sivaprasad Kumar: Department of Mathematics, Sindhi College, 123 P.H. Road, Numbal, Chennai 600 077, Tamil Nadu, India

E-mail address: sivpk71@yahoo.com

K. G. Subramanian: Department of Mathematics, Madras Christian College, Tambaram, Chennai 600 059, Tamil Nadu, India

E-mail address: kgsmani@vsnl.net

