OSCILLATION PROPERTIES OF NONLINEAR NEUTRAL DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS OF n TH ORDER

T. CANDAN and R. S. DAHIYA

Received 28 June 2004

We consider the nonlinear neutral functional differential equation $[r(t)[x(t) + \int_a^b p(t, \mu)x(\tau(t, \mu))d\mu]^{(n-1)'} + \delta \int_c^d q(t, \xi)f(x(\sigma(t, \xi)))d\xi = 0$ with continuous arguments. We will develop oscillatory and asymptotic properties of the solutions.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 34K11, 34C15.

1. Introduction. Recently, several authors [2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 12, 13, 14] have studied the oscillation theory of second-order and higher-order neutral functional differential equations, in which the highest-order derivative of the unknown function is evaluated both at the present state and at one or more past or future states. For some related results, refer to [1, 8, 10, 11].

In this paper, we extend these results to n th-order nonlinear neutral equations with continuous arguments

$$\left[r(t) \left[x(t) + \int_a^b p(t, \mu)x(\tau(t, \mu))d\mu \right]^{(n-1)'} \right]' + \delta \int_c^d q(t, \xi)f(x(\sigma(t, \xi)))d\xi = 0, \quad (1.1)$$

where $\delta = \mp 1$, $t \geq 0$, and establish some new oscillatory criteria. Suppose that the following conditions hold:

- (a) $r(t) \in C([t_0, \infty), \mathbb{R})$, $r(t) \in C^1$, $r(t) > 0$, and $\int^\infty (dt/r(t)) = \infty$;
- (b) $p(t, \mu) \in C([t_0, \infty) \times [a, b], \mathbb{R})$, $0 \leq p(t, \mu)$;
- (c) $\tau(t, \mu) \in C([t_0, \infty) \times [a, b], \mathbb{R})$, $\tau(t, \mu) \leq t$ and $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \min_{\mu \in [a, b]} \tau(t, \mu) = \infty$;
- (d) $q(t, \xi) \in C([t_0, \infty) \times [c, d], \mathbb{R})$ and $q(t, \xi) > 0$;
- (e) $f(x) \in C(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$ and $xf(x) > 0$ for $x \neq 0$;
- (f) $\sigma(t, \xi) \in C([t_0, \infty) \times [c, d], \mathbb{R})$, and

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \min_{\xi \in [c, d]} \sigma(t, \xi) = \infty. \quad (1.2)$$

A solution $x(t) \in C[t_0, \infty)$ of (1.1) is called oscillatory if $x(t)$ has arbitrarily large zeros in $[t_0, \infty)$, $t_0 > 0$. Otherwise, $x(t)$ is called nonoscillatory.

2. Main results. We will prove the following lemma to be used in [Theorem 2.2](#).

LEMMA 2.1. *Let $x(t)$ be a nonoscillatory solution of (1.1) and let $z(t) = x(t) + \int_a^b p(t, \mu)x(\tau(t, \mu))d\mu$. Then, the following results hold:*

(i) *there exists a $T > 0$ such that for $\delta = 1$,*

$$z(t)z^{(n-1)}(t) > 0, \quad t \geq T, \quad (2.1)$$

and for $\delta = -1$ either

$$z(t)z^{(n-1)}(t) < 0, \quad t \geq T, \quad \text{or} \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} z^{(n-2)}(t) = \infty, \quad (2.2)$$

(ii) *if $r'(t) \geq 0$, then there exists an integer $l, l \in \{0, 1, \dots, n\}$ with $(-1)^{n-l-1}\delta = 1$ such that*

$$\begin{aligned} z^{(i)}(t) &> 0 \quad \text{on } [T, \infty) \quad \text{for } i = 0, 1, 2, \dots, l, \\ (-1)^{i-l}z^{(i)}(t) &> 0 \quad \text{on } [T, \infty) \quad \text{for } i = l+1, \dots, n \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

for some $t \geq T$.

PROOF. Let $x(t)$ be an eventually positive solution of (1.1), say $x(t) > 0$ for $t \geq t_0$. Then, there exists a $t_1 \geq t_0$ such that $x(\tau(t, \mu))$ and $x(\sigma(t, \xi))$ are also eventually positive for $t \geq t_1$, $\xi \in [c, d]$, and $\mu \in [a, b]$. Since $x(t)$ is eventually positive and $p(t, \mu)$ is nonnegative, we have

$$z(t) = x(t) + \int_a^b p(t, \mu)x(\tau(t, \mu))d\mu > 0 \quad \text{for } t \geq t_1. \quad (2.4)$$

(i) From (1.1), we have

$$\delta[r(t)z^{(n-1)}(t)]' = - \int_c^d q(t, \xi)f(x(\sigma(t, \xi)))d\xi. \quad (2.5)$$

Since $q(t, \xi) > 0$ and f is positive for $t \geq t_1$, we have $\delta[r(t)z^{(n-1)}(t)]' < 0$. For $\delta = 1$, $r(t)z^{(n-1)}(t)$ is a decreasing function for $t \geq t_1$. Hence, we can have either

$$r(t)z^{(n-1)}(t) > 0 \quad \text{for } t \geq t_1 \quad (2.6)$$

or

$$r(t)z^{(n-1)}(t) < 0 \quad \text{for } t \geq t_2 \geq t_1. \quad (2.7)$$

We claim that (2.6) is satisfied for $\delta = 1$. Suppose this is not the case, then we have (2.7). Since $r(t)z^{(n-1)}(t)$ is decreasing,

$$r(t)z^{(n-1)}(t) \leq r(t_2)z^{(n-1)}(t_2) < 0 \quad \text{for } t \geq t_2. \quad (2.8)$$

Divide both sides of the last inequality by $r(t)$ and integrate from t_2 to t , respectively, then we obtain

$$z^{(n-2)}(t) - z^{(n-2)}(t_2) \leq r(t_2)z^{(n-1)}(t_2) \int_{t_2}^t \frac{dt}{r(t)} < 0 \quad \text{for } t \geq t_2. \quad (2.9)$$

Now, taking condition (a) into account we can see that $z^{(n-2)}(t) - z^{(n-2)}(t_2) \rightarrow -\infty$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$. That implies $z(t) \rightarrow -\infty$, but this is a contradiction to $z(t) > 0$. Therefore, for $\delta = 1$,

$$r(t)z^{(n-1)}(t) > 0 \quad \text{for } t \geq t_1. \quad (2.10)$$

Since both $z(t)$ and $r(t)$ are positive, we conclude that

$$z(t)z^{(n-1)}(t) > 0. \quad (2.11)$$

For $\delta = -1$, $r(t)z^{(n-1)}(t)$ is increasing. Hence, either

$$r(t)z^{(n-1)}(t) < 0 \quad \text{for } t \geq t_1, \quad (2.12)$$

or

$$r(t)z^{(n-1)}(t) > 0 \quad \text{for } t \geq t_2 \geq t_1. \quad (2.13)$$

If (2.12) holds, we replace $z(t)$ for $r(t)$ to get

$$z(t)z^{(n-1)}(t) < 0. \quad (2.14)$$

If (2.13) holds, using the increasing nature of $r(t)z^{(n-1)}(t)$, we obtain

$$r(t)z^{(n-1)}(t) \geq r(t_2)z^{(n-1)}(t_2) > 0 \quad \text{for } t \geq t_2. \quad (2.15)$$

Divide both sides of (2.15) by $r(t)$ and integrate from t_2 to t , then we get

$$z^{(n-2)}(t) - z^{(n-2)}(t_2) \geq r(t_2)z^{(n-1)}(t_2) \int_{t_2}^t \frac{dt}{r(t)} > 0 \quad \text{for } t \geq t_2. \quad (2.16)$$

Taking condition (a) into account, it is not difficult to see that $z^{(n-2)}(t) \rightarrow \infty$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$. Hence, for $\delta = -1$, either (2.14) holds or $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} z^{(n-2)}(t) = \infty$.

(ii) From (1.1), we can see that

$$\delta[r'(t)z^{(n-1)}(t) + r(t)z^{(n)}(t)] = - \int_c^d q(t, \xi) f(x(\sigma(t, \xi))) d\xi, \quad (2.17)$$

and then

$$\delta z^{(n)}(t) = - \frac{\delta r'(t)z^{(n-1)}(t)}{r(t)} - \int_c^d \frac{q(t, \xi) f(x(\sigma(t, \xi))) d\xi}{r(t)}. \quad (2.18)$$

Using (i) and (2.18), we obtain

$$\delta z^{(n)}(t) < 0. \quad (2.19)$$

Suppose that $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} z^{(n-2)}(t) \neq \infty$ when $\delta = -1$. Thus, because of the positive nature of $z(t)$ and (2.19), there exists an integer l , $l \in \{0, 1, \dots, n\}$ with $(-1)^{n-l-1}\delta = 1$ by

Kiguradze's lemma [9] such that

$$\begin{aligned} z^{(i)}(t) &> 0 \quad \text{on } [T, \infty) \quad \text{for } i = 0, 1, 2, \dots, l, \\ (-1)^{i-l} z^{(i)}(t) &> 0 \quad \text{on } [T, \infty) \quad \text{for } i = l, l+1, \dots, n \end{aligned} \quad (2.20)$$

for some $t \geq T$.

If $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} z^{(n-2)}(t) = \infty$ and $\delta = -1$, $z^{(n-1)}(t)$ is eventually positive. Moreover, $z^{(n)}(t)$ is also eventually positive by (2.19). But, this is the case $l = n$ in (2.20). Thus, the proof is complete. $\square$

THEOREM 2.2. Let $P(t) = \int_a^b p(t, \mu) d\mu < 1$. Suppose that f is increasing and for all constant $k > 0$,

$$\int_c^\infty \int_c^d q(s, \xi) f((1 - P(\sigma(s, \xi)))k) d\xi ds = \infty. \quad (2.21)$$

- (i) If $\delta = 1$, then every solution $x(t)$ of (1.1) is oscillatory when n is even, and every solution $x(t)$ of (1.1) is either oscillatory or satisfies

$$\liminf_{t \rightarrow \infty} |x(t)| = 0 \quad (2.22)$$

when n is odd.

- (ii) If $\delta = -1$, then every solution $x(t)$ of (1.1) is either oscillatory or else

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} |x(t)| = \infty \quad \text{or} \quad \liminf_{t \rightarrow \infty} |x(t)| = 0 \quad (2.23)$$

when n is even, and every solution $x(t)$ of (1.1) is either oscillatory or else

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} |x(t)| = \infty \quad (2.24)$$

when n is odd.

PROOF. Let $x(t)$ be a nonoscillatory solution of (1.1), say $x(t) > 0$ for $t \geq t_0$. Let $z(t)$ be a function defined by

$$z(t) = x(t) + \int_a^b p(t, \mu) x(\tau(t, \mu)) d\mu. \quad (2.25)$$

Recall from Lemma 2.1, if $\delta = 1$, then (2.1) holds and if $\delta = -1$, either $z(t) z^{(n-1)}(t) < 0$ for $t \geq T$ or $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} z^{(n-2)}(t) = \infty$.

Suppose that $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} z^{(n-2)}(t) \neq \infty$ for $\delta = -1$. Thus, there exist a $t_1 \geq T$ and an integer $l \in \{0, 1, \dots, n-1\}$ with $(-1)^{n-l-1} \delta = 1$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} z^{(i)}(t) &> 0, \quad i = 0, 1, 2, \dots, l, \\ (-1)^{i-l} z^{(i)}(t) &> 0, \quad i = l, l+1, \dots, n, \quad t \geq t_1, \end{aligned} \quad (2.26)$$

by Kiguradze's lemma [9].

Let n be even and $\delta = 1$, or n be odd and $\delta = -1$. Since $(-1)^{n-l-1}\delta = (-1)^{-l-1} = 1$, then l is odd. Now, $z(t)$ is increasing by (2.26). Therefore, we have

$$z(t) = x(t) + \int_a^b p(t, \mu) x(\tau(t, \mu)) d\mu \leq x(t) + \int_a^b p(t, \mu) z(\tau(t, \mu)) d\mu, \quad (2.27)$$

since $x(t) \leq z(t)$. Since $z(t)$ is increasing and $\tau(t, \mu) < t$, this will imply that

$$z(t) \leq x(t) + P(t)z(t). \quad (2.28)$$

Thus, we have

$$(1 - P(t))z(t) \leq x(t). \quad (2.29)$$

On the other hand, we have $z(t)$ positive and increasing with $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \min_{\xi \in [a, b]} \sigma(t, \xi) = \infty$. These imply that there exist a $k > 0$ and a $t_2 \geq t_1$ such that

$$z(\sigma(t, \xi)) \geq k \quad \text{for } t \geq t_2. \quad (2.30)$$

Integrating (1.1) from t_2 to t , then we have

$$\delta r(t) z^{(n-1)}(t) - \delta r(t_2) z^{(n-1)}(t_2) + \int_{t_2}^t \int_c^d q(s, \xi) f(x(\sigma(s, \xi))) d\xi ds = 0. \quad (2.31)$$

By (2.29), (2.30), and increasing nature of f , we obtain

$$f(x(\sigma(t, \xi))) \geq f((1 - P(\sigma(t, \xi)))k) \quad \text{for } t \geq t_2. \quad (2.32)$$

Substituting (2.32) into (2.31), we get

$$\delta r(t) z^{(n-1)}(t) - \delta r(t_2) z^{(n-1)}(t_2) + \int_{t_2}^t \int_c^d q(s, \xi) f((1 - P(\sigma(s, \xi)))k) d\xi ds \leq 0. \quad (2.33)$$

From (2.21) and (2.33), we can conclude that $\delta r(t) z^{(n-1)}(t) \rightarrow -\infty$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$. This contradicts the following:

$$\begin{aligned} z^{(n-1)}(t) &> 0 \quad \text{for } \delta = 1, \\ z^{(n-1)}(t) &< 0 \quad \text{for } \delta = -1. \end{aligned} \quad (2.34)$$

Thus, this proves that $x(t)$ is oscillatory when $\delta = 1$ and n is even, or $x(t)$ is either oscillatory or $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} z^{(n-2)}(t) = \infty$ when $\delta = -1$ and n is odd. Obviously, if $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} z^{(n-2)}(t) = \infty$, then $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} x(t) = \infty$.

Let n be odd and $\delta = 1$, or n be even and $\delta = -1$. If the integer $l > 0$, then we can find the same conclusion as above. Let $l = 0$. Since

$$\begin{aligned} \int_c^\infty \int_c^d q(s, \xi) d\xi ds &= \infty, \\ \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \delta r(t) z^{(n-1)}(t) &= L \geq 0, \end{aligned} \quad (2.35)$$

and by using these two in (2.31), then it is easy to see that

$$\liminf_{t \rightarrow \infty} f(x(t)) = 0 \quad \text{or} \quad \liminf_{t \rightarrow \infty} x(t) = 0. \quad (2.36)$$

This completes the proof. $\square$

EXAMPLE 2.3. Consider the following functional differential equation:

$$\left[e^{-t/2} \left[x(t) + \int_1^2 (1 - e^{-t-\mu}) x(t-\mu) d\mu \right]'' \right]' - \int_3^5 \frac{(e^2 + e - 1)(e^{(t+\xi)/3})}{4e^{7/2}(e-1)} x\left(\frac{t+\xi}{6}\right) d\xi = 0 \quad (2.37)$$

so that $\delta = -1$, $n = 3$, $r(t) = e^{-t/2}$, $p(t, \mu) = 1 - e^{-t-\mu}$, $\tau(t, \mu) = t - \mu$, $q(t, \xi) = (e^2 + e - 1)(e^{(t+\xi)/3})/4e^{7/2}(e-1)$, $f(x) = x$, $\sigma(t, \xi) = (t + \xi)/6$ in (1.1).

We can easily see that the conditions of Theorem 2.2 are satisfied. Then, all solutions of this problem are either oscillatory or tends to infinity as t goes to infinity. It is easy to verify that $x(t) = e^t$ is a solution of this problem.

THEOREM 2.4. Let $P(t) = \int_a^b p(t, \mu) d\mu < 1$, and let f be increasing and $r(t) = 1$. Suppose that

$$\int_c^\infty \int_c^d s^{n-1} q(s, \xi) f((1 - P(\sigma(s, \xi)))k) d\xi ds = \infty \quad (2.38)$$

for every constant $k > 0$. Then, every bounded solution $x(t)$ of (1.1) is oscillatory when $(-1)^n \delta = 1$.

PROOF. Let $x(t)$ be a nonoscillatory solution of (1.1). We may assume that $x(t) > 0$ for $t \geq t_0$. Then, obviously there exists a $t_1 \geq t_0$ such that $x(t)$, $x(\tau(t, \mu))$, and $x(\sigma(t, \xi))$ are positive for $t \geq t_1$, $\mu \in [a, b]$, and $\xi \in [c, d]$. Let $z(t) = x(t) + \int_a^b p(t, \mu)x(\tau(t, \mu))d\mu$, then from (1.1), $\delta z^{(n)}(t) < 0$ for $t \geq t_1$. Hence, for $\delta = 1$, $z^{(n-1)}(t)$ is decreasing and for $\delta = -1$, $z^{(n-1)}(t)$ is increasing.

Since $z^{(n)}(t) < 0$ for $\delta = 1$, by Kiguradze's lemma [9] there exists an integer l , $0 \leq l \leq n-1$ with $n-l$ is odd and for $t \geq t_1$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} z^{(i)}(t) &> 0, \quad i = 0, 1, \dots, l, \\ (-1)^{n-i-1} z^{(i)}(t) &> 0, \quad i = l, l+1, \dots, n-1. \end{aligned} \quad (2.39)$$

For $\delta = -1$, $z^{(n)}(t) > 0$, by Kiguradze's lemma [9] either

$$z^{(i)}(t) > 0, \quad i = 0, 1, \dots, n-1, \quad (2.40)$$

or there exists an integer l , $0 \leq l \leq n-2$ with $n-l$ is even and for $t \geq t_1$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} z^{(i)}(t) &> 0, \quad i = 0, 1, \dots, l, \\ (-1)^{n-i} z^{(i)}(t) &> 0, \quad i = l, l+1, \dots, n-1. \end{aligned} \quad (2.41)$$

Since $z(t)$ is bounded, l cannot be 2 for both cases. Then for $(-1)^n \delta = 1$, we have

$$(-1)^{i-1} z^{(i)}(t) > 0, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n-1. \quad (2.42)$$

This shows that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} z^{(i)}(t) = 0 \quad \text{for } i = 1, 2, \dots, n-1. \quad (2.43)$$

Using (2.43) and integrating (1.1) n times from t to ∞ to find

$$(-1)^n \delta [z(\infty) - z(t)] = \frac{1}{(n-1)!} \int_t^\infty \int_c^d (s-t)^{n-1} q(s, \xi) f(x(\sigma(s, \xi))) d\xi ds, \quad (2.44)$$

where $z(\infty) = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} z(t)$. On the other hand, from (2.42), $z(t)$ is increasing for large t and $z(t)$ is positive, so we have

$$f(x(\sigma(t, \xi))) \geq f((1 - P(\sigma(t, \xi)))k) \quad \text{for } t \geq t_1, k > 0 \quad (2.45)$$

as in the proof of Theorem 2.2. Thus, from (2.44) and (2.45), we have

$$z(\infty) - z(t_1) \geq \frac{1}{(n-1)!} \int_{t_1}^\infty \int_c^d (s-t)^{n-1} q(s, \xi) f((1 - P(\sigma(s, \xi)))k) d\xi ds. \quad (2.46)$$

By (2.38), the right-hand side of the above inequality is ∞ , therefore $z(\infty) = \infty$ and this contradicts the boundedness of $z(t)$. Thus, every bounded solution $x(t)$ of (1.1) is oscillatory when $(-1)^n \delta = 1$. $\square$

EXAMPLE 2.5. Consider the following functional differential equation:

$$\left[x(t) + \int_\pi^{2\pi} \frac{(1-e^{-t})}{4} x\left(t - \frac{\mu}{2}\right) d\mu \right]'' + \int_\pi^{5\pi/2} \left(\frac{1}{2} - e^{-t}\right) x(t + \xi) d\xi = 0, \quad t > -\ln\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \quad (2.47)$$

so that $\delta = 1$, $n = 2$, $r(t) = 1$, $p(t, \mu) = (1 - e^{-t})/4$, $\tau(t, \mu) = t - \mu/2$, $q(t, \xi) = 1/2 - e^{-t}$, $f(x) = x$, $\sigma(t, \xi) = (t + \xi)$ in (1.1).

We can easily see that the conditions of Theorem 2.4 are satisfied. Then, all bounded solutions of this problem are oscillatory. It is easy to verify that $x(t) = \sin t$ is a solution of this problem.

REFERENCES

- [1] D. D. Bařnov and D. P. Mishev, *Oscillation Theory for Neutral Differential Equations with Delay*, Adam Hilger, Bristol, 1991.
- [2] T. Candan and R. S. Dahiya, *Oscillatory behavior of n -th order nonlinear neutral differential equations*, Nonlinear Stud. **8** (2001), no. 3, 319-329.
- [3] ———, *Oscillation behavior of n th order neutral differential equations with continuous delay*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **290** (2004), no. 1, 105-112.
- [4] R. S. Dahiya and O. Akinyele, *Oscillation theorems of n th-order functional-differential equations with forcing terms*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **109** (1985), no. 2, 325-332.
- [5] R. S. Dahiya, T. Kusano, and M. Naito, *On almost oscillation of functional-differential equations with deviating arguments*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **98** (1984), no. 2, 332-340.
- [6] S. R. Grace and B. S. Lalli, *Oscillations of nonlinear second order neutral delay differential equations*, Rad. Mat. **3** (1987), no. 1, 77-84.
- [7] M. K. Grammatikopoulos, G. Ladas, and A. Meimaridou, *Oscillations of second order neutral delay differential equations*, Rad. Mat. **1** (1985), no. 2, 267-274.

- [8] J. Hale, *Functional Differential Equations*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1971.
- [9] I. T. Kiguradze, *On the oscillatory character of solutions of the equation $d^m u/dt^m + a(t)|u|^n \operatorname{sign} u = 0$* , Mat. Sb. (N.S.) **65** (107) (1964), 172–187.
- [10] G. Ladas and Y. G. Sficas, *Oscillations of higher-order neutral equations*, J. Austral. Math. Soc. Ser. B **27** (1986), no. 4, 502–511.
- [11] G. S. Ladde, V. Lakshmikantham, and B. G. Zhang, *Oscillation Theory of Differential Equations with Deviating Arguments*, Monographs and Textbooks in Pure and Applied Mathematics, vol. 110, Marcel Dekker, New York, 1987.
- [12] Ch. G. Philos, I. K. Purnaras, and Y. G. Sficas, *Oscillations in higher-order neutral differential equations*, Canad. J. Math. **45** (1993), no. 1, 132–158.
- [13] A. S. Tantawy and R. S. Dahiya, *Oscillation of even order neutral delay differential equations*, Differential Equations (Colorado Springs, Colo, 1989), Lecture Notes in Pure and Appl. Math., vol. 127, Dekker, New York, 1991, pp. 503–509.
- [14] A. Zafer, *Oscillation of arbitrary order neutral functional differential equations*, Ph.D. thesis, Iowa State University, Iowa, 1992.

T. Candan: Department of Mathematics, Niğde University, 51200 Niğde, Turkey

E-mail address: tcandan@nigde.edu.tr

R. S. Dahiya: Department of Mathematics, Iowa State University, Ames, IA 50011, USA

E-mail address: dahiya@math.iastate.edu