

# UNIQUENESS OF NONLINEAR DIFFERENTIAL POLYNOMIALS SHARING SIMPLE AND DOUBLE 1-POINTS

INDRAJIT LAHIRI AND NINTU MANDAL

*Received 19 October 2004 and in revised form 18 March 2005*

We prove two theorems on the uniqueness of nonlinear differential polynomials, one of which improves a result of Fang and Hong.

## 1. Introduction, definitions, and results

Let  $f$  and  $g$  be two nonconstant meromorphic functions defined in the open complex plane  $\mathbb{C}$ . Let  $k$  be a positive integer or infinity and  $a \in \mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\}$ . We denote by  $E_k(a; f)$  the set of all  $a$ -points of  $f$  with multiplicities not exceeding  $k$ , where an  $a$ -point is counted according to its multiplicity. If for some  $a \in \mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\}$ ,  $E_{\infty}(a; f) = E_{\infty}(a; g)$ , we say that  $f, g$  share the value  $a$  CM (counting multiplicities).

During the last few years, a considerable amount of work is being done on the uniqueness problem concerning differential polynomials (cf. [1, 3, 5, 8]). Recently, Fang and Hong [1] proved the following result.

**THEOREM 1.1** [1]. *Let  $f$  and  $g$  be two transcendental entire functions and let  $n(\geq 11)$  be an integer. If  $f^n(f-1)f'$  and  $g^n(g-1)g'$  share 1 CM, then  $f \equiv g$ .*

In the paper, we prove the following two theorems, the first of which improves Theorem 1.1.

**THEOREM 1.2.** *Let  $f$  and  $g$  be two transcendental entire functions and let  $n(\geq 10)$  be an integer. If  $E_2(1; f^n(f-1)f') = E_2(1; g^n(g-1)g')$ , then  $f \equiv g$ .*

**THEOREM 1.3.** *Let  $f$  and  $g$  be two transcendental meromorphic functions such that  $\Theta(\infty; f) + \Theta(\infty; g) > 4/(n+1)$  and let  $n(\geq 17)$  be an integer. If  $E_2(1; f^n(f-1)f') = E_2(1; g^n(g-1)g')$ , then  $f \equiv g$ .*

The following example shows that the condition  $\Theta(\infty; f) + \Theta(\infty; g) > 4/(n+1)$  is sharp for Theorem 1.3.

**Example 1.4.** Let

$$f = \frac{(n+2)(1-h^{n+1})}{(n+1)(1-h^{n+2})}, \quad g = \frac{(n+2)h(1-h^{n+1})}{(n+1)(1-h^{n+2})}, \quad h = \frac{\alpha^2(e^z - 1)}{e^z - \alpha}, \quad (1.1)$$

where  $\alpha = \exp(2\pi i/(n+2))$  and  $n$  is a positive integer.

Then,  $T(r, f) = (n+1)T(r, h) + O(1)$  and  $T(r, g) = (n+1)T(r, h) + O(1)$ . Further, we see that  $h \neq \alpha, \alpha^2$  and a root of  $h = 1$  is not a pole of  $f$  and  $g$ . Hence,  $\Theta(\infty; f) = \Theta(\infty; g) = 2/(n+1)$ . Also  $f^{n+1}(f/(n+2) - 1/(n+1)) \equiv g^{n+1}(g/(n+2) - 1/(n+1))$  and  $f^n(f-1)f' \equiv g^n(g-1)g'$  but  $f \neq g$ .

Though we do not explain the standard notations of the value distribution theory (see [2]), we give the following definitions.

**Definition 1.5** [4]. For  $a \in \mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\}$ , denote by  $N(r, a; f | = 1)$  the counting functions of simple  $a$ -points of  $f$ .

For a positive integer  $m$ , denote by  $N(r, a; f | \leq m)$  ( $N(r, a; f | \geq m)$ ) the counting function of those  $a$ -points of  $f$  whose multiplicities are not greater (less) than  $m$ , where each  $a$ -point is counted according to its multiplicity.

$\bar{N}(r, a; f | \leq m)$  and  $\bar{N}(r, a; f | \geq m)$  are defined similarly, where in counting the  $a$ -points of  $f$ , the multiplicities are ignored.

Also  $N(r, a; f | < m)$ ,  $N(r, a; f | > m)$ ,  $\bar{N}(r, a; f | < m)$  and  $\bar{N}(r, a; f | > m)$  are defined analogously.

**Definition 1.6** [12]. For  $a \in \mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\}$ , put

$$N_k(r, a; f) = \bar{N}(r, a; f) + \bar{N}(r, a; f | \geq 2) + \bar{N}(r, a; f | \geq 3) + \cdots + \bar{N}(r, a; f | \geq k), \quad (1.2)$$

where  $k$  is a positive integer.

For a meromorphic function  $f$ , we denote by  $S(r, f)$  any function satisfying  $S(r, f)/T(r, f) \rightarrow 0$  as  $r \rightarrow \infty$  possibly outside a set of finite linear measure.

## 2. Lemmas

In this section, we present some lemmas which are needed in the sequel. We denote by  $h$  the function

$$h = \left( \frac{f''}{f'} - \frac{2f'}{f-1} \right) - \left( \frac{g''}{g'} - \frac{2g'}{g-1} \right). \quad (2.1)$$

**LEMMA 2.1.** If  $E_1(1; f) = E_1(1; g)$  and  $h \neq 0$ , then

$$N(r, 1; f | \leq 1) = N(r, 1; g | \leq 1) \leq N(r, 0; h) \leq N(r, \infty; h) + S(r, f) + S(r, g). \quad (2.2)$$

*Proof.* Since the functions  $f$  and  $g$  have the same simple one-points, there exists a meromorphic function  $\alpha$  such that  $\alpha \neq 0$  when  $f-1$  has a simple zero and  $\alpha$  has no simple zero where  $f \neq 1$  and  $g = \alpha(f-1) + 1$ . It is now easy to verify by direct computation that the function  $h$  is zero whenever  $f-1$  has a simple zero. This proves the lemma.  $\square$

**LEMMA 2.2.** If  $E_2(1; f) = E_2(1; g)$  and  $h \neq 0$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} N(r, \infty; h) &\leq \bar{N}(r, \infty; f | \geq 2) + \bar{N}(r, 0; f | \geq 2) + \bar{N}(r, \infty; g | \geq 2) \\ &\quad + \bar{N}(r, 0; g | \geq 2) + \bar{N}(r, 1; f | \geq 3) + \bar{N}(r, 1; g | \geq 3) \\ &\quad + \bar{N}_0(r, 0; f') + \bar{N}_0(r, 0; g'), \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

where  $\overline{N}_0(r, 0; f')$  and  $\overline{N}_0(r, 0; g')$  are the reduced counting functions of the zeros of  $f'$  and  $g'$  which are not the zeros of  $f(f-1)$  and  $g(g-1)$ , respectively.

*Proof.* We can easily verify that possible poles of  $h$  occur at (i) multiple zeros of  $f, g$ ; (ii) multiple poles of  $f, g$ ; (iii) zeros of  $f-1, g-1$  with multiplicities greater than or equal to 3; (iv) zeros of  $f'$  which are not the zeros of  $f(f-1)$ ; (v) zeros of  $g'$  which are not the zeros of  $g(g-1)$ .

Since all the poles of  $h$  are simple, the lemma follows from above. This proves the lemma.  $\square$

LEMMA 2.3 [6]. If  $N(r, 0; f^{(k)} \mid f \neq 0)$  denotes the counting function of those zeros of  $f^{(k)}$  which are not the zeros of  $f$ , where a zero of  $f^{(k)}$  is counted according to its multiplicity, then

$$N(r, 0; f^{(k)} \mid f \neq 0) \leq k\overline{N}(r, \infty; f) + N(r, 0; f \mid < k) + k\overline{N}(r, 0; f \mid \geq k) + S(r, f). \quad (2.4)$$

LEMMA 2.4. If  $E_2(1; f) = E_2(1; g)$  and  $h \neq 0$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} T(r, f) + T(r, g) &\leq \{3\overline{N}(r, 0; f) + 2\overline{N}(r, 0; f \mid \geq 2)\} + \{3\overline{N}(r, \infty; f) + 2\overline{N}(r, \infty; f \mid \geq 2)\} \\ &\quad + \{3\overline{N}(r, 0; g) + 2\overline{N}(r, 0; g \mid \geq 2)\} + \{3\overline{N}(r, \infty; g) + 2\overline{N}(r, \infty; g \mid \geq 2)\} \\ &\quad + S(r, f) + S(r, g). \end{aligned} \quad (2.5)$$

*Proof.* By Nevanlinna's second fundamental theorem and Lemmas 2.1 and 2.2, we get

$$\begin{aligned} T(r, f) &\leq \overline{N}(r, 0; f) + \overline{N}(r, \infty; f) + \overline{N}(r, 1; f) - N_0(r, 0; f') + S(r, f) \\ &\leq \overline{N}(r, 0; f) + \overline{N}(r, \infty; f) + \overline{N}(r, 1; f \mid \geq 2) + \overline{N}(r, 0; f \mid \geq 0) \\ &\quad + \overline{N}(r, \infty; f \mid \geq 2) + \overline{N}(r, 0; g \mid \geq 2) + \overline{N}(r, 1; f \mid \geq 3) \\ &\quad + \overline{N}(r, 1; g \mid \geq 3) + \overline{N}_0(r, 0; g') + S(r, f) + S(r, g). \end{aligned} \quad (2.6)$$

Also we get

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{N}(r, 1; f \mid \geq 2) + \overline{N}(r, 1; f \mid \geq 3) &\leq N(r, 0; f' \mid f \neq 0), \\ \overline{N}(r, 1; g \mid \geq 3) + \overline{N}_0(r, 0; g') &\leq N(r, 0; g' \mid g \neq 0). \end{aligned} \quad (2.7)$$

So from (2.6), we get

$$\begin{aligned} T(r, f) &\leq \overline{N}(r, 0; f) + \overline{N}(r, 0; f \mid \geq 2) + \overline{N}(r, \infty; f) + \overline{N}(r, \infty; f \mid \geq 2) \\ &\quad + \overline{N}(r, 0; g \mid \geq 2) + \overline{N}(r, \infty; g \mid \geq 2) + N(r, 0; f' \mid f \neq 0) \\ &\quad + N(r, 0; g' \mid g \neq 0) + S(r, f) + S(r, g). \end{aligned} \quad (2.8)$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned} T(r, g) &\leq \overline{N}(r, 0; g) + \overline{N}(r, 0; g \mid \geq 2) + \overline{N}(r, \infty; g) + \overline{N}(r, \infty; g \mid \geq 2) \\ &\quad + \overline{N}(r, 0; f \mid \geq 2) + \overline{N}(r, \infty; f \mid \geq 2) + N(r, 0; f' \mid f \neq 0) \\ &\quad + N(r, 0; g' \mid g \neq 0) + S(r, f) + S(r, g). \end{aligned} \quad (2.9)$$

Adding (2.8) and (2.9) and using Lemma 2.3, we obtain the following lemma.  $\square$

LEMMA 2.5 [10]. *Let  $f$  be a nonconstant meromorphic function and  $P(f) = a_0 + a_1f + a_2f^2 + \cdots + a_nf^n$ , where  $a_0, a_1, \dots, a_n$  are constants and  $a_n \neq 0$ . Then*

$$T(r, P(f)) = nT(r, f) + O(1). \quad (2.10)$$

LEMMA 2.6. *Let  $f$  and  $g$  be two nonconstant meromorphic functions such that*

$$\Theta(\infty; f) + \Theta(\infty; g) > \frac{4}{n+1}, \quad (2.11)$$

where  $n(\geq 2)$  is an integer. Then

$$f^{n+1}(af+b) \equiv g^{n+1}(ag+b) \quad (2.12)$$

implies that  $f \equiv g$ , where  $a, b$  are finite nonzero constants.

*Proof.* Let

$$f^{n+1}(af+b) \equiv g^{n+1}(ag+b) \quad (2.13)$$

and  $f \neq g$ . We consider the following two cases.

*Case 1.* Let  $y = g/f$  be a constant. Then  $y \neq 1$  and from (2.13), we get

$$af(1 - y^{n+2}) \equiv -b(1 - y^{n+1}), \quad (2.14)$$

from which it follows that  $y^{n+1} \neq 1$ ,  $y^{n+2} \neq 1$ , and

$$f \equiv -\frac{b(1 - y^{n+1})}{a(1 - y^{n+2})}. \quad (2.15)$$

This is a contradiction because  $f$  is nonconstant.

*Case 2.* Let  $y = g/f$  be not a constant. Then from (2.13), we get

$$f \equiv \frac{b}{a} \left( \frac{y^{n+1}}{1 + y + y^2 + \cdots + y^{n+1}} - 1 \right). \quad (2.16)$$

From (2.16), we obtain by Nevanlinna's first fundamental theorem and Lemma 2.5

$$\begin{aligned} T(r, f) &= T\left(r, \sum_{j=0}^{n+1} \frac{1}{y^j}\right) + S(r, y) \\ &= (n+1)T\left(r, \frac{1}{y}\right) + S(r, y) \\ &= (n+1)T(r, y) + S(r, y). \end{aligned} \quad (2.17)$$

Now we note that a pole of  $y$  is not a pole of  $(b/a)(y^{n+1}/(1+y+y^2+\cdots+y^{n+1})-1)$ . So from (2.16), we get

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n+1} \overline{N}(r, u_k; y) \leq \overline{N}(r, \infty; f), \quad (2.18)$$

where  $u_k = \exp(2k\pi i/(n+2))$  for  $k = 1, 2, \dots, n+1$ .

So by Nevanlinna's second fundamental theorem, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (n-1)T(r, y) &\leq \sum_{k=1}^{n+1} \overline{N}(r, u_k; y) + S(r, y) \\ &\leq \overline{N}(r, \infty; f) + S(r, y) \\ &< (1 - \Theta(\infty; f) + \varepsilon)T(r, f) + S(r, y) \\ &= (n+1)(1 - \Theta(\infty; f) + \varepsilon)T(r, y) + S(r, y), \end{aligned} \quad (2.19)$$

where  $\varepsilon(>0)$ .

Again putting  $y_1 = 1/y$ , noting that  $T(r, y) = T(r, y_1) + O(1)$ , and proceeding as above we get

$$(n-1)T(r, y) \leq (n+1)(1 - \Theta(\infty; g) + \varepsilon)T(r, y) + S(r, y). \quad (2.20)$$

Since  $\Theta(\infty; f) + \Theta(\infty; g) > 4/(n+1)$ , there exists a  $\delta(>0)$  such that  $\Theta(\infty; f) + \Theta(\infty; g) > \delta + 4/(n+1)$ . Now adding (2.19) and (2.20), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} 2(n-1)T(r, y) &\leq (n+1)(2 - \Theta(\infty; f) - \Theta(\infty; g) + 2\varepsilon)T(r, y) + S(r, y) \\ &\leq (n+1)\left(2 - \frac{4}{n+1} - \delta + 2\varepsilon\right)T(r, y) + S(r, y), \end{aligned} \quad (2.21)$$

and so  $(\delta - 2\varepsilon)T(r, y) \leq S(r, y)$ , which is a contradiction for any  $\varepsilon(0 < 2\varepsilon < \delta)$ . Therefore,  $f \equiv g$  and the proof of the lemma is complete.  $\square$

LEMMA 2.7. *Let  $f$  and  $g$  be nonconstant meromorphic functions. Then*

$$f^n(f-1)f'g^n(g-1)g' \neq 1, \quad (2.22)$$

where  $n(\geq 5)$  is an integer.

*Proof.* Let

$$f^n(f-1)f'g^n(g-1)g' \equiv 1. \quad (2.23)$$

Let  $z_0$  be a 1-point of  $f$  with multiplicity  $p(\geq 1)$ . Then  $z_0$  is a pole of  $g$  with multiplicity  $q(\geq 1)$  such that

$$2p-1 = (n+2)q+1, \quad (2.24)$$

that is,

$$2p = (n+2)q+2 \geq n+4, \quad (2.25)$$

that is,

$$p \geq \frac{n+4}{2}. \quad (2.26)$$

Let  $z_0$  be a zero of  $f$  with multiplicity  $p(\geq 1)$  and let it be a pole of  $g$  with multiplicity  $q(\geq 1)$ . Then

$$(n+1)p-1 = (n+2)q+1. \quad (2.27)$$

From (2.27), we get

$$q+2 = (n+1)(p-q) \geq n+1, \quad (2.28)$$

that is,

$$q \geq n-1. \quad (2.29)$$

Again from (2.27), we get

$$(n+1)p = (n+2)q+2 \geq (n+2)(n-1)+2, \quad (2.30)$$

that is,

$$p \geq \frac{(n+2)(n-1)+2}{n+1} = n. \quad (2.31)$$

Since a pole of  $f$  is either a zero of  $g(g-1)$  or a zero of  $g'$ , we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{N}(r, \infty; f) &\leq \overline{N}(r, 0; g) + \overline{N}(r, 1; g) + \overline{N}_0(r, 0; g') \\ &\leq \frac{1}{n}N(r, 0; g) + \frac{2}{n+4}N(r, 1; g) + \overline{N}_0(r, 0; g') \\ &\leq \left(\frac{1}{n} + \frac{2}{n+4}\right)T(r, g) + \overline{N}_0(r, 0; g'). \end{aligned} \quad (2.32)$$

Now by Nevanlinna's second fundamental theorem, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} T(r, f) &\leq \overline{N}(r, 0; f) + \overline{N}(r, 1; f) + \overline{N}(r, \infty; f) - \overline{N}_0(r, 0; f') + S(r, f) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{n}N(r, 0; f) + \frac{2}{n+4}N(r, 1; f) + \overline{N}(r, \infty; f) - \overline{N}_0(r, 0; f') + S(r, f), \end{aligned} \quad (2.33)$$

that is,

$$\left(1 - \frac{1}{n} - \frac{2}{n+4}\right)T(r, f) \leq \left(\frac{1}{n} + \frac{2}{n+4}\right)T(r, g) + \bar{N}_0(r, 0; g') - \bar{N}_0(r, 0; f') + S(r, f). \quad (2.34)$$

Similarly, we get

$$\left(1 - \frac{1}{n} - \frac{2}{n+4}\right)T(r, g) \leq \left(\frac{1}{n} + \frac{2}{n+4}\right)T(r, f) + \bar{N}_0(r, 0; f') - \bar{N}_0(r, 0; g') + S(r, g). \quad (2.35)$$

Adding (2.34) and (2.35), we get

$$\left(1 - \frac{2}{n} - \frac{4}{n+4}\right)\{T(r, f) + T(r, g)\} \leq S(r, f) + S(r, g), \quad (2.36)$$

which is a contradiction because  $1 - (2/n) - 4/(n+4) > 0$ . This proves the lemma.  $\square$

LEMMA 2.8. *Let  $f$  and  $g$  be two nonconstant meromorphic functions and*

$$F = f^{n+1}\left(\frac{f}{n+2} - \frac{1}{n+1}\right), \quad G = g^{n+1}\left(\frac{g}{n+2} - \frac{1}{n+1}\right), \quad (2.37)$$

where  $n(\geq 4)$  is an integer. Then  $F' \equiv G'$  implies that  $F \equiv G$ .

*Proof.* If  $F' \equiv G'$ , then  $F \equiv G + c$ , where  $c$  is a constant. Let  $c \neq 0$ . Then by Nevanlinna's second fundamental theorem and Lemma 2.5, we get

$$\begin{aligned} (n+2)T(r, f) &\leq \bar{N}(r, \infty; F) + \bar{N}(r, 0; F) + \bar{N}(r, c; F) + S(r, F) \\ &= \bar{N}(r, \infty; f) + \bar{N}(r, 0; f) + \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{n+2}{n+1}; f\right) + \bar{N}(r, 0; g) \\ &\quad + \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{n+2}{n+1}; g\right) + S(r, f) \leq 3T(r, f) + 2T(r, g) + S(r, f), \end{aligned} \quad (2.38)$$

that is,

$$(n-1)T(r, f) \leq 2T(r, g) + S(r, f). \quad (2.39)$$

Similarly, we get

$$(n-1)T(r, g) \leq 2T(r, f) + S(r, g). \quad (2.40)$$

This shows that

$$(n-3)T(r, f) + (n-3)T(r, g) \leq S(r, f) + S(r, g), \quad (2.41)$$

which is a contradiction. Therefore  $c = 0$  and so  $F \equiv G$ . This proves the lemma.  $\square$

LEMMA 2.9. *If  $F$  and  $G$  are defined as in Lemma 2.8, then*

- (i)  $T(r, F) \leq T(r, F') + N(r, 0; f) + N(r, (n+2)/(n+1); f) - N(r, 1; f) - N(r, 0; f') + S(r, f),$
- (ii)  $T(r, G) \leq T(r, G') + N(r, 0; g) + N(r, (n+2)/(n+1); g) - N(r, 1; g) - N(r, 0; g') + S(r, g).$

*Proof.* We prove (i) because (ii) is similar. Now in view of Nevanlinna's first fundamental theorem and Lemma 2.5, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 T(r, F) &= T\left(r, \frac{1}{F}\right) + O(1) \\
 &= N(r, 0; F) + m\left(r, \frac{1}{F}\right) + O(1) \leq N(r, 0; F) + m\left(r, \frac{F'}{F}\right) + m\left(r, \frac{1}{F'}\right) \\
 &= T(r, F') + N(r, 0; F) - N(r, 0; F') + S(r, F) \\
 &= T(r, F') + (n+1)N(r, 0; f) + N\left(r, \frac{n+2}{n+1}; f\right) - nN(r, 0; f) \\
 &\quad - N(r, 1; f) - N(r, 0; f') + S(r, f) \\
 &= T(r, F') + N(r, 0; f) + N\left(r, \frac{n+2}{n+1}; f\right) - N(r, 1; f) - N(r, 0; f') + S(r, f).
 \end{aligned} \tag{2.42}$$

This proves the lemma. □

LEMMA 2.10 [7]. *Let  $f$  be a nonconstant meromorphic function and let  $k$  be a positive integer. Then*

$$N_2(r, 0; f^{(k)}) \leq k\overline{N}(r, \infty; f) + N_{2+k}(r, 0; f) + S(r, f). \tag{2.43}$$

LEMMA 2.11 [13]. *If  $h \equiv 0$  then  $f, g$  share 1 CM.*

*Proof.* Since  $h \equiv 0$ , integrating, we get  $f'/(f-1)^2 \equiv Ag'/(g-1)^2$ , where  $A$  is a nonzero constant. From this, the lemma follows. □

LEMMA 2.12 [9, 11]. *If  $f$  and  $g$  share 1 CM, then one of the following cases holds:*

- (i)  $T(r, f) + T(r, g) \leq 2\{N_2(r, 0; f) + N_2(r, 0; g) + N_2(r, \infty; f) + N_2(r, \infty; g)\} + S(r, f) + S(r, g);$
- (ii)  $f \equiv g;$
- (iii)  $fg \equiv 1.$

### 3. Proof of theorems

We prove Theorem 1.3 only because Theorem 1.2 can be proved similarly noting that in this case,  $\overline{N}(r, \infty; f) \equiv \overline{N}(r, \infty; g) \equiv 0$ .

*Proof of Theorem 1.3.* Let  $F$  and  $G$  be defined as in Lemma 2.8 and  $F_1 = F' = f^n(f-1)f'$ ,  $G_1 = G' = g^n(g-1)g'$ . Also we put

$$H = \left( \frac{F_1''}{F_1'} - \frac{2F_1'}{F_1 - 1} \right) - \left( \frac{G_1''}{G_1'} - \frac{2G_1'}{G_1 - 1} \right). \tag{3.1}$$



If possible, let  $H \neq 0$ . Then by Lemmas 2.4 and 2.9, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 T(r, F) + T(r, G) &\leq T(r, F') + T(r, G') + N(r, 0; f) + N\left(r, \frac{n+2}{n+1}; f\right) \\
 &\quad - N(r, 1; f) - N(r, 0; f') + N(r, 0; g) + N\left(r, \frac{n+2}{n+1}; g\right) \\
 &\quad - N(r, 1; g) - N(r, 0; g') + S(r, f) + S(r, g) \\
 &\leq \{3\overline{N}(r, 0; f^n(f-1)f') + 2\overline{N}(r, 0; f^n(f-1)f' \mid \geq 2)\} \\
 &\quad + \{3\overline{N}(r, 0; g^n(g-1)g') + 2\overline{N}(r, 0; g^n(g-1)g' \mid \geq 2)\} \\
 &\quad + 5\overline{N}(r, \infty; f) + 5\overline{N}(r, \infty; g) + N(r, 0; f) + N\left(r, \frac{n+2}{n+1}; f\right) \\
 &\quad - N(r, 1; f) - N(r, 0; f') + N(r, 0; g) + N\left(r, \frac{n+2}{n+1}; g\right) \\
 &\quad - N(r, 1; g) - N(r, 0; g') + S(r, f) + S(r, g) \\
 &\leq 6N(r, 0; f) + 2N_2(r, 1; f) + 2N_2(r, 0; f') + 5\overline{N}(r, \infty; f) \\
 &\quad + N\left(r, \frac{n+2}{n+1}; f\right) + 6N(r, 0; g) + 2N_2(r, 1; g) + 2N_2(r, 0; g') \\
 &\quad + 5\overline{N}(r, \infty; g) + N\left(r, \frac{n+2}{n+1}; g\right) + S(r, f) + S(r, g).
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

So by Lemmas 2.5 and 2.10, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 (n+2)T(r, f) + (n+2)T(r, g) &\leq 9T(r, f) + 7\overline{N}(r, \infty; f) + 2N_3(r, 0; f) + 9T(r, g) \\
 &\quad + 7\overline{N}(r, \infty; g) + 2N_3(r, 0; g) + S(r, f) + S(r, g) \\
 &\leq 18T(r, f) + 18T(r, g) + S(r, f) + S(r, g),
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

that is,

$$(n-16)T(r, f) + (n-16)T(r, g) \leq S(r, f) + S(r, g), \tag{3.4}$$

which is a contradiction.

Therefore  $H \equiv 0$  and so by Lemma 2.11,  $F_1$  and  $G_1$  share 1 CM. In a similar manner as above, we can verify that the following inequality does not hold:

$$\begin{aligned}
 T(r, F_1) + T(r, G_1) &\leq 2\{N_2(r, 0; F_1) + N_2(r, \infty; F_1) + N_2(r, 0; G_1) + N_2(r, \infty; G_1)\} \\
 &\quad + S(r, F_1) + S(r, G_1).
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

So by Lemmas 2.12, 2.7, 2.8, and 2.6, we get  $f \equiv g$ . This proves the theorem.  $\square$

### Acknowledgment

The authors are thankful to the referees for valuable suggestions towards the improvement of the paper.

## References

- [1] M.-L. Fang and W. Hong, *A unicity theorem for entire functions concerning differential polynomials*, Indian J. Pure Appl. Math. **32** (2001), no. 9, 1343–1348.
- [2] W. K. Hayman, *Meromorphic Functions*, Oxford Mathematical Monographs, Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1964.
- [3] I. Lahiri, *Uniqueness of meromorphic functions when two linear differential polynomials share the same 1-points*, Ann. Polon. Math. **71** (1999), no. 2, 113–128.
- [4] ———, *Value distribution of certain differential polynomials*, Int. J. Math. Math. Sci. **28** (2001), no. 2, 83–91.
- [5] ———, *Linear differential polynomials sharing the same 1-points with weight two*, Ann. Polon. Math. **79** (2002), no. 2, 157–170.
- [6] I. Lahiri and S. Dewan, *Value distribution of the product of a meromorphic function and its derivative*, Kodai Math. J. **26** (2003), no. 1, 95–100.
- [7] I. Lahiri and A. Sarkar, *Uniqueness of a meromorphic function and its derivative*, JIPAM. J. Inequal. Pure Appl. Math. **5** (2004), no. 1, article 20.
- [8] W. C. Lin, *Uniqueness of differential polynomials and a problem of Lahiri*, Pure Appl. Math. (Xi'an) **17** (2001), no. 2, 104–110 (Chinese).
- [9] E. Mues and M. Reinders, *Meromorphic functions sharing one value and unique range sets*, Kodai Math. J. **18** (1995), no. 3, 515–522.
- [10] C.-C. Yang, *On deficiencies of differential polynomials. II*, Math. Z. **125** (1972), 107–112.
- [11] C.-C. Yang and X. H. Hua, *Uniqueness and value-sharing of meromorphic functions*, Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Math. **22** (1997), no. 2, 395–406.
- [12] H.-X. Yi, *On characteristic function of a meromorphic function and its derivative*, Indian J. Math. **33** (1991), no. 2, 119–133.
- [13] ———, *Some further results on uniqueness of meromorphic functions*, Complex Var. Theory Appl. **38** (1999), no. 4, 375–385.

Indrajit Lahiri: Department of Mathematics, University of Kalyani, Kalyani-741235, West Bengal, India

*E-mail address:* ilahiri@vsnl.com

Nintu Mandal: Department of Mathematics, University of Kalyani, Kalyani-741235, West Bengal, India

*E-mail address:* nintu\_mandal@yahoo.com

