

ON SANDWICH THEOREMS FOR SOME CLASSES OF ANALYTIC FUNCTIONS

T. N. SHANMUGAM, S. SIVASUBRAMANIAN, AND H. SILVERMAN

Received 20 April 2006; Revised 31 July 2006; Accepted 5 September 2006

The purpose of this present paper is to derive some subordination and superordination results for certain normalized analytic functions in the open unit disk. Relevant connections of the results, which are presented in the paper, with various known results are also considered.

Copyright © 2006 Hindawi Publishing Corporation. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Let \mathcal{H} be the class of functions analytic in $\Delta := \{z : |z| < 1\}$, and $\mathcal{H}[a, n]$ be the subclass of \mathcal{H} consisting of functions of the form $f(z) = a + a_n z^n + a_{n+1} z^{n+1} + \dots$. Let \mathcal{A} be the subclass of \mathcal{H} consisting of functions of the form $f(z) = z + a_2 z^2 + \dots$. With a view to recalling the principle of subordination between analytic functions, let the functions f and g be analytic in Δ . Then we say that the function f is subordinate to g if there exists a Schwarz function $\omega(z)$, analytic in Δ with

$$\omega(0) = 0, \quad |\omega(z)| < 1 \quad (z \in \Delta), \quad (1.1)$$

such that

$$f(z) = g(\omega(z)) \quad (z \in \Delta). \quad (1.2)$$

We denote this subordination by

$$f \prec g \quad \text{or} \quad f(z) \prec g(z) \quad (z \in \Delta). \quad (1.3)$$

In particular, if the function g is univalent in Δ , the above subordination is equivalent to

$$f(0) = g(0), \quad f(\Delta) \subset g(\Delta). \quad (1.4)$$

2 On sandwich theorems

Let $p, h \in \mathcal{H}$ and let $\phi(r, s, t; z) : \mathbb{C}^3 \times \Delta \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. If p and $\phi(p(z), zp'(z), z^2p''(z); z)$ are univalent and if p satisfies the second-order superordination

$$h(z) \prec \phi(p(z), zp'(z), z^2p''(z); z), \quad (1.5)$$

then p is a solution of the differential superordination (1.5). (If f is subordinate to F , then F is superordinate to f .) An analytic function q is called a *subordinant* if $q \prec p$ for all p satisfying (1.5). A univalent subordinant \tilde{q} that satisfies $q \prec \tilde{q}$ for all subordinants q of (1.5) is said to be the best subordinant. Recently Miller and Mocanu [5] obtained conditions on h, q , and ϕ for which the following implication holds:

$$h(z) \prec \phi(p(z), zp'(z), z^2p''(z); z) \implies q(z) \prec p(z). \quad (1.6)$$

Using the results of Miller and Mocanu [5], Bulboacă [3] considered certain classes of first-order differential superordinations as well as superordination-preserving integral operators [2]. Ali et al. [1] have used the results of Bulboacă [3] and obtained sufficient conditions for certain normalized analytic functions $f(z)$ to satisfy

$$q_1(z) \prec \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \prec q_2(z), \quad (1.7)$$

where q_1 and q_2 are given univalent functions in Δ with $q_1(0) = 1$ and $q_2(0) = 1$. Shanmugam et al. [8] obtained sufficient conditions for normalized analytic functions $f(z)$ to satisfy

$$\begin{aligned} q_1(z) \prec \frac{f(z)}{zf'(z)} \prec q_2(z), \\ q_1(z) \prec \frac{z^2f'(z)}{\{f(z)\}^2} \prec q_2(z), \end{aligned} \quad (1.8)$$

where q_1 and q_2 are given univalent functions in Δ with $q_1(0) = 1$ and $q_2(0) = 1$, while Obradović and Owa [7] obtained subordination results with the quantity $(f(z)/z)^\mu$ (see also [10]).

Obradović [6] introduced a class of functions $f \in \mathcal{A}$ such that for $0 < \alpha < 1$,

$$\Re \left\{ f'(z) \left(\frac{z}{f(z)} \right)^\alpha \right\} > 0, \quad z \in \Delta. \quad (1.9)$$

He called this class of function “non-Bazilevič” type. Tuneski and Darus [11] obtained Fekete-Szegő inequality for the non-Bazilevič class of functions. Using this non-Bazilevič class, Wang et al. [12] studied many subordination results for the class $N(\alpha, \lambda, A, B)$ defined as

$$N(\alpha, \lambda, A, B) := \left\{ f \in \mathcal{A} : (1 + \lambda) \left(\frac{z}{f(z)} \right)^\alpha - \lambda f'(z) \left(\frac{z}{f(z)} \right)^{1+\alpha} \prec \frac{1 + Az}{1 + Bz} \right\}, \quad (1.10)$$

where $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, $-1 \leq B \leq 1$, $A \neq B$, $0 < \alpha < 1$.

The main object of the present sequel to the aforementioned works is to apply a method based on the differential subordination in order to derive several subordination results. Furthermore, we obtain the previous results of Srivastava and Lashin [10], Singh [9] and Obradović and Owa [7] as special cases of some of the results presented here.

2. Preliminaries

In our present investigation, we will need the following definition and results.

Definition 2.1 (see [5, Definition 2, page 817]). Denote by Q the set of all functions $f(z)$ that are analytic and injective on $\overline{\Delta} - E(f)$, where

$$E(f) = \left\{ \zeta \in \partial\Delta : \lim_{z \rightarrow \zeta} f(z) = \infty \right\}, \quad (2.1)$$

and are such that $f'(\zeta) \neq 0$ for $\zeta \in \partial\Delta - E(f)$.

THEOREM 2.2 (see [4, Theorem 3.4h, page 132]). *Let $q(z)$ be univalent in the unit disk Δ and let θ and ϕ be analytic in a domain D containing $q(\Delta)$ with $\phi(w) \neq 0$ when $w \in q(\Delta)$. Set $Q(z) = zq'(z)\phi(q(z))$, $h(z) = \theta(q(z)) + Q(z)$. Suppose that*

- (1) $Q(z)$ is starlike univalent in Δ ;
- (2) $\Re(zh'(z))/Q(z) > 0$ for $z \in \Delta$.

If

$$\theta(p(z)) + zp'(z)\phi(p(z)) \prec \theta(q(z)) + zq'(z)\phi(q(z)), \quad (2.2)$$

then $p(z) \prec q(z)$ and $q(z)$ is the best dominant.

LEMMA 2.3 (see [8]). *Let q be a convex univalent function in Δ and let $\psi, \gamma \in \mathbb{C}$ with $\Re(1 + (zq''(z)/q'(z))) > \max\{0, -\Re(\psi/\gamma)\}$. If $p(z)$ is analytic in Δ and*

$$\psi p(z) + \gamma zp'(z) \prec \psi q(z) + \gamma zq'(z), \quad (2.3)$$

then $p(z) \prec q(z)$ and q is the best dominant.

LEMMA 2.4 (see [4, Corollary 3.4h.1, page 135]). *Let $q(z)$ be univalent in Δ and let $\varphi(z)$ be analytic in a domain containing $q(\Delta)$. If $zq'(z)/\varphi(q(z))$ is starlike, and*

$$zp'(z)\varphi(p(z)) \prec zq'(z)\varphi(q(z)), \quad (2.4)$$

then $p(z) \prec q(z)$ and q is the best dominant.

THEOREM 2.5 (see [3]). *Let $q(z)$ be convex univalent in the unit disk Δ and let ϑ and ϕ be analytic in a domain D containing $q(\Delta)$. Suppose that*

- (1) $\Re[\vartheta'(q(z))/\phi(q(z))] > 0$ for $z \in \Delta$;
- (2) $zq'(z)\phi(q(z))$ is starlike univalent in Δ .

4 On sandwich theorems

If $p(z) \in \mathcal{H}[q(0), 1] \cap \mathcal{Q}$, with $p(\Delta) \subseteq D$, and $\vartheta(p(z)) + zp'(z)\varphi(p(z))$ is univalent in Δ , and

$$\vartheta(q(z)) + zq'(z)\varphi(q(z)) \prec \vartheta(p(z)) + zp'(z)\varphi(p(z)), \quad (2.5)$$

then $q(z) \prec p(z)$ and q is the best subdominant.

LEMMA 2.6 (see [5, Theorem 8, page 822]). Let q be convex univalent in Δ and $\gamma \in \mathbb{C}$. Further assume that $\Re[\bar{\gamma}] > 0$. If $p(z) \in \mathcal{H}[q(0), 1] \cap \mathcal{Q}$, and $p(z) + \gamma zp'(z)$ is univalent in Δ , then

$$q(z) + \gamma zq'(z) \prec p(z) + \gamma zp'(z) \quad (2.6)$$

implies $q(z) \prec p(z)$ and q is the best subdominant.

3. Subordination for analytic functions

By using Lemma 2.3, we first prove the following.

THEOREM 3.1. Let q be univalent in Δ , $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, and $0 < \alpha < 1$. Suppose q satisfies

$$\Re\left(1 + \frac{zq''(z)}{q'(z)}\right) > \max\left\{0, -\Re\left\{\frac{\lambda}{\alpha}\right\}\right\}. \quad (3.1)$$

If $f \in \mathcal{A}$ satisfies the subordination

$$(1 + \lambda)\left(\frac{z}{f(z)}\right)^\alpha - \lambda f'(z)\left(\frac{z}{f(z)}\right)^{1+\alpha} \prec q(z) + \frac{\lambda zq'(z)}{\alpha}, \quad (3.2)$$

then

$$\left(\frac{z}{f(z)}\right)^\alpha \prec q(z) \quad (3.3)$$

and q is the best dominant.

Proof. Define the function $p(z)$ by

$$p(z) := \left(\frac{z}{f(z)}\right)^\alpha. \quad (3.4)$$

Then

$$\frac{zp'(z)}{p(z)} = \alpha\left[1 - \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)}\right], \quad (3.5)$$

which, in light of hypothesis (3.2) of Theorem 3.1, yields the following subordination:

$$p(z) + \frac{\lambda zp'(z)}{\alpha} \prec q(z) + \frac{\lambda zq'(z)}{\alpha}. \quad (3.6)$$

The assertion of Theorem 3.1 now follows by an application of Lemma 2.3 with $\gamma = \lambda/\alpha$ and $\psi = 1$. \square

Taking $q(z) = (1 + Az)/(1 + Bz)$ in Theorem 3.1, we have the following corollary.

COROLLARY 3.2. *Let $-1 \leq B < A \leq 1$ and (3.1) hold. If $f \in \mathcal{A}$, and*

$$(1 + \lambda) \left(\frac{z}{f(z)} \right)^\alpha - \lambda f'(z) \left(\frac{z}{f(z)} \right)^{1+\alpha} < \frac{\lambda(A - B)z}{\alpha(1 + Bz)^2} + \frac{1 + Az}{1 + Bz}, \quad (3.7)$$

then

$$\left(\frac{z}{f(z)} \right)^\alpha < \frac{1 + Az}{1 + Bz} \quad (3.8)$$

and $(1 + Az)/(1 + Bz)$ is the best dominant.

Theorem 3.1 for the choice of $q(z) = (1 + z)/(1 - z)$ reduces to the following.

COROLLARY 3.3. *Let (3.1) hold. If $f \in \mathcal{A}$, and*

$$(1 + \lambda) \left(\frac{z}{f(z)} \right)^\alpha - \lambda f'(z) \left(\frac{z}{f(z)} \right)^{1+\alpha} < \frac{2\lambda z}{\alpha(1 - z)^2} + \frac{1 + z}{1 - z}, \quad (3.9)$$

then

$$\left(\frac{z}{f(z)} \right)^\alpha < \frac{1 + z}{1 - z} \quad (3.10)$$

and $(1 + z)/(1 - z)$ is the best dominant.

THEOREM 3.4. *Let q be univalent in Δ , $\gamma, \mu \neq 0 \in \mathbb{C}$, and $0 \leq \beta \leq 1$. Let $f \in \mathcal{A}$. Suppose q satisfies*

$$\Re \left\{ 1 + \frac{zq''(z)}{q'(z)} - \frac{zq'(z)}{q(z)} \right\} > 0. \quad (3.11)$$

If

$$1 + \gamma\mu \left\{ \frac{zf'(z) + \beta z^2 f''(z)}{(1 - \beta)f(z) + \beta z f'(z)} - 1 \right\} < 1 + \gamma \frac{zq'(z)}{q(z)}, \quad (3.12)$$

then

$$\left[\frac{(1 - \beta)f(z) + \beta z f'(z)}{z} \right]^\mu < q(z) \quad (3.13)$$

and q is the best dominant.

Proof. Define the function $p(z)$ by

$$p(z) := \left[\frac{(1 - \beta)f(z) + \beta z f'(z)}{z} \right]^\mu, \quad z \neq 0. \quad (3.14)$$

6 On sandwich theorems

Then a computation shows that

$$\mu \left\{ \frac{zf'(z) + \beta z^2 f''(z)}{(1-\beta)f(z) + \beta z f'(z)} - 1 \right\} = \frac{zp'(z)}{p(z)}. \quad (3.15)$$

By setting

$$\theta(\omega) := 1, \quad \phi(\omega) := \frac{\gamma}{\omega}, \quad (3.16)$$

it can be easily observed that $\theta(\omega)$ is analytic in \mathbb{C} , $\phi(\omega)$ is analytic in $\mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$, and that

$$\phi(\omega) \neq 0 \quad (\omega \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}). \quad (3.17)$$

Also, we let

$$\begin{aligned} Q(z) &= zq'(z)\phi(q(z)) = \gamma \frac{zq'(z)}{q(z)}, \\ h(z) &= \theta\{q(z)\} + Q(z) = 1 + \gamma \frac{zq'(z)}{q(z)}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.18)$$

From (3.11), we find that $Q(z)$ is starlike univalent in Δ and that

$$\Re \left(\frac{zh'(z)}{Q(z)} \right) = \Re \left\{ 1 + \frac{zq''(z)}{q'(z)} - \frac{zq'(z)}{q(z)} \right\} > 0 \quad (3.19)$$

by the hypothesis (3.11) of Theorem 3.4. Thus, by applying Theorem 2.2, our proof of Theorem 3.4 is completed. \square

For a special case when $q(z) = 1/(1-z)^{2b}$ ($b \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$), $\beta = 0$, $\gamma = 1/b$, and $\mu = 1$, Theorem 3.4 reduces at once to the following known result obtained by Srivastava and Lashin [10].

COROLLARY 3.5. *Let b be a nonzero complex number. If $f \in \mathcal{A}$, and*

$$1 + \frac{1}{b} \left[\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} - 1 \right] \prec \frac{1+z}{1-z}, \quad (3.20)$$

then

$$\frac{f(z)}{z} \prec \frac{1}{(1-z)^{2b}} \quad (3.21)$$

and $1/(1-z)^{2b}$ is the best dominant.

For a special case when $q(z) = 1/(1-z)^{2b}$ ($b \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$), $\beta = 1$, $\gamma = 1/b$, and $\mu = 1$ Theorem 3.4 reduces at once to another known result obtained by Srivastava and Lashin [10].

COROLLARY 3.6. Let b be a nonzero complex number. If $f \in \mathcal{A}$, and

$$1 + \frac{1}{b} \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} \prec \frac{1+z}{1-z}, \quad (3.22)$$

then

$$f'(z) \prec \frac{1}{(1-z)^{2b}} \quad (3.23)$$

and $1/(1-z)^{2b}$ is the best dominant.

For $q(z) = (1+Bz)^{\mu(A-B)/B}$, $\gamma = 1/\mu$, and $\beta = 0$ in Theorem 3.4, we get the following known result obtained by Obradović and Owa [7].

COROLLARY 3.7. Let $-1 \leq B < A \leq 1$. If $f \in \mathcal{A}$, and

$$\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \prec \frac{1+Az}{1+Bz}, \quad (3.24)$$

then

$$\left(\frac{f(z)}{z}\right)^\mu \prec (1+Bz)^{\mu(A-B)/B} \quad (z \in \Delta; z \neq 0; \mu \in \mathbb{C}; \mu \neq 0) \quad (3.25)$$

and $(1+Bz)^{\mu(A-B)/B}$ is the best dominant.

We remark here that $q(z) = (1+Bz)^{\mu(A-B)/B}$ is univalent if and only if $|(\mu(A-B)/B) - 1| \leq 1$ or $|(\mu(A-B)/B) + 1| \leq 1$.

For $q(z) = e^{\mu Az}$, $\gamma = 1/\mu$, and $\beta = 0$ in Theorem 3.4, we get the following known result obtained by Obradović and Owa [7].

COROLLARY 3.8. If $f \in \mathcal{A}$, and

$$\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \prec 1 + Az, \quad (3.26)$$

then

$$\left(\frac{f(z)}{z}\right)^\mu \prec e^{\mu Az} \quad (z \in \Delta; z \neq 0; \mu \in \mathbb{C}; \mu \neq 0) \quad (3.27)$$

and $e^{\mu Az}$ is the best dominant.

Similar to the previous corollary, the function $q(z) = e^{\mu Az}$ is univalent if and only if $|\mu A| < \pi$.

THEOREM 3.9. Let q be univalent in Δ , $\gamma \neq 0$, $\delta, \alpha \in \mathbb{C}$, and let $0 \leq \beta \leq 1$. Let $f \in \mathcal{A}$. Suppose q satisfies

$$\Re \left\{ \frac{\alpha}{\gamma} + 1 + \frac{zq''(z)}{q'(z)} \right\} > 0, \quad (3.28)$$

8 On sandwich theorems

and also $\Re(\alpha/\gamma) > 0$. Let

$$\Psi(z) := \left[\frac{(1-\beta)f(z) + \beta zf'(z)}{z} \right]^\mu \left\{ \alpha + \gamma \mu \left\{ \frac{zf'(z) + \beta z^2 f''(z)}{(1-\beta)f(z) + \beta zf'(z)} - 1 \right\} \right\} + \delta. \quad (3.29)$$

If

$$\Psi(z) < \alpha q(z) + \delta + \gamma z q'(z), \quad (3.30)$$

then

$$\left[\frac{(1-\beta)f(z) + \beta zf'(z)}{z} \right]^\mu < q(z) \quad (3.31)$$

and q is the best dominant.

Proof. Define the function $p(z)$ by

$$p(z) := \left[\frac{(1-\beta)f(z) + \beta zf'(z)}{z} \right]^\mu. \quad (3.32)$$

Then a computation shows that

$$\mu \left\{ \frac{zf'(z) + \beta z^2 f''(z)}{(1-\beta)f(z) + \beta zf'(z)} - 1 \right\} = \frac{zp'(z)}{p(z)}, \quad (3.33)$$

and hence

$$\mu p(z) \left\{ \frac{zf'(z) + \beta z^2 f''(z)}{(1-\beta)f(z) + \beta zf'(z)} - 1 \right\} = zp'(z). \quad (3.34)$$

By setting

$$\theta(\omega) := \alpha \omega + \delta, \quad \phi(\omega) := \gamma, \quad (3.35)$$

it can be easily observed that $\theta(\omega)$ and $\phi(\omega)$ are analytic in \mathbb{C} . Also, we let

$$\begin{aligned} Q(z) &= zq'(z)\phi(q(z)) = \gamma zq'(z), \\ h(z) &= \theta\{q(z)\} + Q(z) = \alpha q(z) + \delta + \gamma zq'(z). \end{aligned} \quad (3.36)$$

From (3.28), we find that $Q(z)$ is starlike univalent in Δ , and that

$$\Re \left(\frac{zh'(z)}{Q(z)} \right) = \Re \left\{ \frac{\alpha}{\gamma} + 1 + \frac{zq''(z)}{q'(z)} \right\} > 0 \quad (3.37)$$

by the hypothesis (3.28) of Theorem 3.9. Thus, by applying Theorem 2.2, our proof of Theorem 3.9 is completed. \square

For $\beta = 1$, $\delta = -\alpha$, $\gamma = 1$, we get the following corollary.

COROLLARY 3.10. *Let q be univalent in Δ . Let $f \in \mathcal{A}$ and $1 + \alpha > 0$. Suppose f satisfies*

$$\Re \left\{ \alpha + 1 + \frac{zq''(z)}{q'(z)} \right\} > 0. \quad (3.38)$$

If

$$\alpha \left\{ (f'(z))^\mu - 1 \right\} + \mu \left\{ \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} (f'(z))^\mu \right\} < \alpha q(z) - \alpha + zq'(z), \quad (3.39)$$

then

$$[f'(z)]^\mu < q(z) \quad (3.40)$$

and q is the best dominant.

Taking $q(z) = 1 + \lambda/(1 + \alpha)z$, we obtain a recent result of Singh [9, Theorem 1(ii), page 571].

4. Superordination for analytic functions

THEOREM 4.1. *Let q be convex univalent in Δ , $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, and $0 < \alpha < 1$. Suppose q satisfies*

$$\Re \{ \lambda \} > 0 \quad (4.1)$$

and $(z/f(z))^\alpha \in \mathcal{H}[q(0), 1] \cap Q$. Let

$$(1 + \lambda) \left(\frac{z}{f(z)} \right)^\alpha - \lambda f'(z) \left(\frac{z}{f(z)} \right)^{1+\alpha} \quad (4.2)$$

be univalent in Δ . If

$$q(z) + \frac{\lambda z q'(z)}{\alpha} < (1 + \lambda) \left(\frac{z}{f(z)} \right)^\alpha - \lambda f'(z) \left(\frac{z}{f(z)} \right)^{1+\alpha}, \quad (4.3)$$

then

$$q(z) < \left(\frac{z}{f(z)} \right)^\alpha \quad (4.4)$$

and q is the best subdominant.

Proof. Define the function $p(z)$ by

$$p(z) := \left(\frac{z}{f(z)} \right)^\alpha. \quad (4.5)$$

Then a computation shows that

$$p(z) + \frac{\lambda}{\alpha} z p'(z) = (1 + \lambda) \left(\frac{z}{f(z)} \right)^\alpha - \lambda f'(z) \left(\frac{z}{f(z)} \right)^{1+\alpha}. \quad (4.6)$$

Theorem 4.1 follows as an application of Lemma 2.6. \square

Taking $q(z) = (1 + Az)/(1 + Bz)$ in Theorem 4.1, we get the following corollary.

COROLLARY 4.2. *Let $-1 \leq B < A \leq 1$. Let q be convex univalent in Δ . Suppose q satisfies $\Re(\lambda) > 0$ and $(z/f(z))^\alpha \in \mathcal{H}[q(0), 1] \cap Q$. Let*

$$(1 + \lambda) \left(\frac{z}{f(z)} \right)^\alpha - \lambda f'(z) \left(\frac{z}{f(z)} \right)^{1+\alpha} \quad (4.7)$$

be univalent in Δ . If

$$\frac{\lambda(A - B)z}{\alpha(1 + Bz)^2} + \frac{1 + Az}{1 + Bz} < (1 + \lambda) \left(\frac{z}{f(z)} \right)^\alpha - \lambda f'(z) \left(\frac{z}{f(z)} \right)^{1+\alpha}, \quad (4.8)$$

then

$$\frac{1 + Az}{1 + Bz} < \left(\frac{z}{f(z)} \right)^\alpha \quad (4.9)$$

and $(1 + Az)/(1 + Bz)$ is the best subordinant.

Since the proof of Theorem 5.2 is similar to the proof of Theorem 4.1, we state the theorem without proof.

THEOREM 4.3. *Let q be convex univalent in Δ , $\gamma \in \mathbb{C}$, $0 \leq \beta \leq 1$, and $f \in \mathcal{A}$. Suppose $[(1 - \beta)f(z) + \beta z f'(z)]^\mu \in \mathcal{H}[q(0), 1] \cap Q$, and*

$$1 + \gamma \mu \left\{ \frac{z f'(z) + \beta z^2 f''(z)}{(1 - \beta)f(z) + \beta z f'(z)} - 1 \right\} \quad (4.10)$$

is univalent in Δ . If

$$1 + \gamma \frac{z q'(z)}{q(z)} < 1 + \gamma \mu \left\{ \frac{z f'(z) + \beta z^2 f''(z)}{(1 - \beta)f(z) + \beta z f'(z)} - 1 \right\}, \quad (4.11)$$

then

$$q(z) < \left[\frac{(1 - \beta)f(z) + \beta z f'(z)}{z} \right]^\mu \quad (4.12)$$

and q is the best subordinant.

THEOREM 4.4. *Let q be convex univalent in Δ , $\gamma \neq 0$, $\delta, \alpha \in \mathbb{C}$, and let $0 \leq \beta \leq 1$. Let $f \in \mathcal{A}$. Suppose q satisfies*

$$\Re \left\{ \frac{\alpha}{\gamma} q'(z) \right\} > 0. \quad (4.13)$$

If

$$\alpha q(z) + \delta + \gamma z q'(z) < \left[\frac{(1-\beta)f(z) + \beta z f'(z)}{z} \right]^\mu \left\{ \alpha + \gamma \mu \left\{ \frac{z f'(z) + \beta z^2 f''(z)}{(1-\beta)f(z) + \beta z f'(z)} - 1 \right\} \right\} + \delta, \tag{4.14}$$

then

$$q(z) < \left[\frac{(1-\beta)f(z) + \beta z f'(z)}{z} \right]^\mu \tag{4.15}$$

and q is the best subordinant.

Proof. Define the function $p(z)$ by

$$p(z) := \left[\frac{(1-\beta)f(z) + \beta z f'(z)}{z} \right]^\mu. \tag{4.16}$$

Then a computation shows that

$$\mu \left\{ \frac{z f'(z) + \beta z^2 f''(z)}{(1-\beta)f(z) + \beta z f'(z)} - 1 \right\} = \frac{z p'(z)}{p(z)}, \tag{4.17}$$

and hence

$$\mu p(z) \left\{ \frac{z f'(z) + \beta z^2 f''(z)}{(1-\beta)f(z) + \beta z f'(z)} - 1 \right\} = z p'(z). \tag{4.18}$$

By setting

$$\vartheta(\omega) := \alpha \omega + \delta, \quad \phi(\omega) := \gamma, \tag{4.19}$$

it can be easily observed that both $\theta(\omega)$ and $\phi(\omega)$ are analytic in \mathbb{C} . Now,

$$\Re \left(\frac{\vartheta'(q(z))}{\phi(q(z))} \right) = \Re \left\{ \frac{\alpha q'(z)}{\gamma} \right\} > 0, \tag{4.20}$$

by the hypothesis (4.13) of Theorem 4.4. Thus, by applying Theorem 2.5, our proof of Theorem 4.4 is completed. \square

5. Sandwich results

Combining the results of differential subordination and superordination, we state the following “sandwich results.”

THEOREM 5.1. *Let q_1 be convex univalent and let q_2 be univalent in Δ , $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, and $0 < \alpha < 1$. Suppose q_1 satisfies (4.1) and q_2 satisfies (3.1). If $0 \neq (z/f(z))^\alpha \in \mathcal{H}[q(0), 1] \cap Q$, $(1+\lambda)(z/f(z))^\alpha - \lambda f'(z)(z/f(z))^{1+\alpha}$ is univalent in Δ , and*

$$q_1(z) + \frac{\lambda}{\alpha} z q_1'(z) < (1+\lambda) \left(\frac{z}{f(z)} \right)^\alpha - \lambda f'(z) \left(\frac{z}{f(z)} \right)^{1+\alpha} < q_2(z) + \frac{\lambda}{\alpha} z q_2'(z), \tag{5.1}$$

then

$$q_1(z) < \left(\frac{z}{f(z)} \right)^\alpha < q_2(z) \quad (5.2)$$

and q_1 and q_2 are, respectively, the best subdominant and best dominant.

THEOREM 5.2. Let q_1 be convex univalent and let q_2 be univalent in Δ , $\gamma \neq 0 \in \mathbb{C}$, $\mu \neq 0 \in \mathbb{C}$, $0 \leq \beta \leq 1$, and q_2 satisfies (3.11). Let $f \in \mathcal{A}$. Suppose $0 \neq [(1-\beta)f(z) + \beta zf'(z)/z]^\mu \in \mathcal{H}[q(0), 1] \cap Q$,

$$1 + \gamma\mu \left\{ \frac{zf'(z) + \beta z^2 f''(z)}{(1-\beta)f(z) + \beta zf'(z)} - 1 \right\} \quad (5.3)$$

is univalent in Δ . If

$$1 + \gamma \frac{zq_1'(z)}{q_1(z)} < 1 + \gamma\mu \left\{ \frac{zf'(z) + \beta z^2 f''(z)}{(1-\beta)f(z) + \beta zf'(z)} - 1 \right\} < 1 + \gamma \frac{zq_2'(z)}{q_2(z)}, \quad (5.4)$$

then

$$q_1(z) < \left[\frac{(1-\beta)f(z) + \beta zf'(z)}{z} \right]^\mu < q_2(z) \quad (5.5)$$

and q_1 and q_2 are, respectively, the best subdominant and the best dominant.

THEOREM 5.3. Let q_1 be convex univalent and let q_2 be univalent in Δ , $\gamma \neq 0 \in \mathbb{C}$, $\mu \neq 0 \in \mathbb{C}$ and $0 \leq \beta \leq 1$. Suppose q_1 satisfies (4.13), q_2 satisfies (3.28), and $[(1-\beta)f(z) + \beta zf'(z)/z]^\mu \in \mathcal{H}[q(0), 1] \cap Q$. Let

$$\left[\frac{(1-\beta)f(z) + \beta zf'(z)}{z} \right]^\mu \left\{ \alpha + \gamma\mu \left\{ \frac{zf'(z) + \beta z^2 f''(z)}{(1-\beta)f(z) + \beta zf'(z)} - 1 \right\} \right\} + \delta \quad (5.6)$$

be univalent in Δ . If

$$\begin{aligned} & \alpha q_1(z) + \delta + \gamma z q_1'(z) \\ & < \left[\frac{(1-\beta)f(z) + \beta zf'(z)}{z} \right]^\mu \left\{ \alpha + \gamma\mu \left\{ \frac{zf'(z) + \beta z^2 f''(z)}{(1-\beta)f(z) + \beta zf'(z)} - 1 \right\} \right\} + \delta \\ & < \alpha q_2(z) + \delta + \gamma z q_2'(z), \end{aligned} \quad (5.7)$$

then

$$q_1(z) < \left[\frac{(1-\beta)f(z) + \beta zf'(z)}{z} \right]^\mu < q_2(z) \quad (5.8)$$

and q_1 and q_2 are, respectively, the best subdominant and the best dominant.

References

- [1] R. M. Ali, V. Ravichandran, M. H. Khan, and K. G. Subramanian, *Differential sandwich theorems for certain analytic functions*, Far East Journal of Mathematical Sciences **15** (2004), no. 1, 87–94.
- [2] T. Bulboacă, *A class of superordination-preserving integral operators*, Indagationes Mathematicae. New Series **13** (2002), no. 3, 301–311.
- [3] ———, *Classes of first-order differential subordinations*, Demonstratio Mathematica **35** (2002), no. 2, 287–292.
- [4] S. S. Miller and P. T. Mocanu, *Differential Subordinations. Theory and Applications*, Monographs and Textbooks in Pure and Applied Mathematics, vol. 225, Marcel Dekker, New York, 2000.
- [5] ———, *Subordinants of differential subordinations*, Complex Variables **48** (2003), no. 10, 815–826.
- [6] M. Obradović, *A class of univalent functions*, Hokkaido Mathematical Journal **27** (1998), no. 2, 329–335.
- [7] M. Obradović and S. Owa, *On certain properties for some classes of starlike functions*, Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications **145** (1990), no. 2, 357–364.
- [8] T. N. Shanmugam, V. Ravichandran, and S. Sivasubramanian, *Differential sandwich theorems for some subclasses of analytic functions*, The Australian Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications **3** (2006), no. 1, 11, article 8.
- [9] V. Singh, *On some criteria for univalence and starlikeness*, Indian Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics **34** (2003), no. 4, 569–577.
- [10] H. M. Srivastava and A. Y. Lashin, *Some applications of the Briot-Bouquet differential subordination*, Journal of Inequalities in Pure and Applied Mathematics **6** (2005), no. 2, 7, article 41.
- [11] N. Tuneski and M. Darus, *Fekete-Szegő functional for non-Bazilevič functions*, Acta Mathematica. Academiae Paedagogicae Nyíregyháziensis. New Series **18** (2002), no. 2, 63–65.
- [12] Z. Wang, C. Gao, and M. Liao, *On certain generalized class of non-Bazilevič functions*, Acta Mathematica. Academiae Paedagogicae Nyíregyháziensis. New Series **21** (2005), no. 2, 147–154.

T. N. Shanmugam: Department of Mathematics, College of Engineering, Anna University,
Chennai 600 025, India
E-mail address: shan@annauniv.edu

S. Sivasubramanian: Department of Mathematics, Easwari Engineering College, Ramapuram,
Chennai 600 089, India
E-mail address: sivasaisastha@rediffmail.com

H. Silverman: College of Charleston, Charleston, SC 29424, USA
E-mail address: silvermanh@cofc.edu



Hindawi

Submit your manuscripts at
<http://www.hindawi.com>

