

# CLOSED CONFORMAL VECTOR FIELDS ON PSEUDO-RIEMANNIAN MANIFOLDS

D. A. CATALANO

Received 1 March 2006; Revised 24 July 2006; Accepted 8 August 2006

We give here a geometric proof of the existence of certain local coordinates on a pseudo-Riemannian manifold admitting a closed conformal vector field.

Copyright © 2006 Hindawi Publishing Corporation. All rights reserved.

## 1. Introduction

A vector field  $V$  on a pseudo-Riemannian manifold  $(M, g)$  is called *conformal* if

$$\mathcal{L}_V g = 2\lambda g \quad (1.1)$$

for a scalar field  $\lambda$ , where  $\mathcal{L}$  denotes the Lie derivative on  $M$ . It is easy to see that if  $V$  is locally a gradient field, then (1.1) is equivalent to

$$\nabla_X V = \lambda X \quad \text{for every vector field } X. \quad (1.2)$$

Here  $\nabla$  denotes the Levi-Civita connection of  $g$ . We call vector fields satisfying (1.2) *closed conformal vector fields*. They appear in the work of Fialkow [3] about conformal geodesics, in the works of Yano [7–11] about concircular geometry in Riemannian manifolds, and in the works of Tashiro [6], Kerbrat [4], Kühnel and Rademacher [5], and many other authors.

If  $V$  is lightlike on  $(M, g)$ , then from (1.2), we get

$$Xg(V, V) = 2g(\nabla_X V, V) = 2\lambda g(X, V) = 0 \quad (1.3)$$

for every vector field  $X$ . Thus  $\lambda \equiv 0$  and  $V$  is parallel. About lightlike parallel vector fields, we have the following theorem.

## 2 Closed conformal vector fields on pseudo-Riemannian manifolds

**THEOREM 1.1** (Brinkmann [2]). *If  $(M, g)$  admits a lightlike parallel vector field  $V$ , then there are local coordinates  $u^1, u^2, \dots, u^n$  ( $n := \dim M > 2$ ) such that  $V = \partial/\partial u^1$  and*

$$(g_{ij}) = \left( \begin{array}{cc|ccc} 0 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \hline 0 & 0 & & & \\ \vdots & \vdots & & & \\ 0 & 0 & & & \end{array} \right), \quad (1.4)$$

where  $\alpha, \beta \in \{3, \dots, n\}$  and  $\partial g_{\alpha\beta}/\partial u^1 = 0$ .

Brinkmann's proof is purely analytical. We will give, in the next section, geometric tools which will allow us to generalize Brinkmann's theorem.

### 2. Geometric constructions

Let  $(M, g)$  be a connected pseudo-Riemannian manifold of dimension  $n$  and signature  $(k, n - k)$  with  $0 < k < n$ . Given a vector field  $W$  on  $M$ , we denote by  $W^b$  the one-form defined by  $W^b(X) = g(W, X)$ . Then  $W$  is locally a gradient field if and only if  $dW^b = 0$ . In the following, a vector field  $W$  satisfying  $\nabla_W W = 0$  will be called *geodesic*.

**LEMMA 2.1.** *If  $W$  is a geodesic vector field, then  $dW^b$  is invariant under the flow of  $W$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $(\nabla W^b)(X, Y) = (\nabla_X W^b)(Y) = g(\nabla_X W, Y)$ . Then, from the fact that  $W$  is geodesic, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathcal{L}_W \nabla W^b)(X, Y) &= Wg(\nabla_X W, Y) - g(\nabla_{[W, X]} W, Y) - g(\nabla_X W, [W, Y]) \\ &= g(R(W, X)W, Y) + g(\nabla_X W, \nabla_Y W), \end{aligned} \quad (2.1)$$

where  $R$  denotes the Riemannian curvature tensor,

$$R(X, Y)Z = \nabla_X \nabla_Y Z - \nabla_Y \nabla_X Z - \nabla_{[X, Y]} Z. \quad (2.2)$$

Since  $g(R(W, X)W, Y)$  is symmetric with respect to  $X, Y$ , from

$$dW^b(X, Y) = (\nabla W^b)(X, Y) - (\nabla W^b)(Y, X), \quad (2.3)$$

we get  $(\mathcal{L}_W dW^b)(X, Y) = (\mathcal{L}_W \nabla W^b)(X, Y) - (\mathcal{L}_W \nabla W^b)(Y, X) = 0$ . □

**LEMMA 2.2.** *If  $W$  is a lightlike geodesic vector field, then  $dW^b(X, W) = 0$ .*

*Proof.* We have the following.

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} W \text{ lightlike} \Rightarrow (\nabla W^b)(X, W) = g(\nabla_X W, W) = 0 \\ W \text{ geodesic} \Rightarrow (\nabla W^b)(W, X) = g(\nabla_W W, X) = 0 \end{array} \right\} \Rightarrow dW^b(X, W) = 0. \quad \square$$

A nontangent vector field  $\widetilde{W}$  on a pseudo-Riemannian hypersurface  $\widetilde{M}$  can be extended to a geodesic vector field  $W$  in a neighbourhood of  $\widetilde{M}$  in the following way. Let  $c(s, p)$  be the geodesic starting at  $p = c(0, p) \in \widetilde{M}$  with  $\dot{c}(0, p) = \widetilde{W}(p)$  and  $W(c(s, p)) := \dot{c}(s, p)$ . Then, taking into account the fact that  $\widetilde{W}$  is transversal (i.e. nontangent) to  $\widetilde{M}$ , we conclude that  $W$  is a geodesic vector field on a neighbourhood of  $\widetilde{M}$  extending  $\widetilde{W}$ . Moreover, if  $\widetilde{W}$  is lightlike, then so is  $W$ . Denoting with  $\widetilde{W}^\top$ ,  $\widetilde{W}^\perp$  the tangent and normal component of  $\widetilde{W}$ , for vector fields  $X, Y$  on  $\widetilde{M}$  tangent to  $\widetilde{M}$ , we have the following lemma.

LEMMA 2.3.  $dW^b(X, Y) = d(\widetilde{W}^\top)^b(X, Y)$ .

*Proof.* The statement follows from  $g(\nabla_X \widetilde{W}^\perp, Y) - g(\nabla_Y \widetilde{W}^\perp, X) = -g(\widetilde{W}^\perp, [X, Y]) = 0$ .  $\square$

The following remark will be used in the proof of the next proposition.

Remark 2.4. Let  $V$  be a vector field and let  $\varphi$  be a function on  $M$ . At a point  $p_0 \in M$ , the gradient of the solutions of  $Vf = \varphi$  span an affine hyperplane  $H$  of  $T_{p_0}M$ . Let  $v := V(p_0)$ , then  $H = \{x \in T_{p_0}M \mid g(x, v) = \varphi(p_0)\}$  and

- (a) if  $\varphi(p_0) \neq 0$ , then  $H$  contains lightlike, spacelike, and timelike vectors,
- (b) if  $\varphi(p_0) = 0$ , then  $H$  contains only lightlike vectors and the zero vector if and only if  $n = 2$  and  $v$  is lightlike.

PROPOSITION 2.5. *If  $V$  is a closed conformal vector field on  $(M, g)$ , then in a neighbourhood of a point  $p_0$  where  $V(p_0) \neq 0$ , there is a lightlike geodesic gradient field  $W$  such that  $g(V, W) = 1$ .*

*Proof.* We divide the proof into two cases.

Case 1.  $n > 2$  or  $n = 2$  and  $V(p_0)$  is nonlightlike.

Let  $u$  be a solution of  $Vu = 0$  with  $g(p_0)(\nabla u, \nabla u) \neq 0$  (here  $\nabla u$  denotes the gradient of  $u$ ). According to Remark 2.4(b), such a solution exists. Let  $\mathcal{U}$  be an open neighbourhood of  $p_0$  on which  $g(\nabla u, \nabla u) \neq 0$ , and let  $\widetilde{M}$  be the pseudo-Riemannian hypersurface  $u^{-1}(u(p_0)) \cap \mathcal{U}$ . Then  $\nabla u$  is a normal vector field on  $\widetilde{M}$  and, from  $Vu = 0$ , we have that  $\widetilde{V} := V|_{\widetilde{M}}$  is a tangent vector field on  $\widetilde{M}$ . Let  $\widetilde{f} : \widetilde{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a solution of  $\widetilde{V}\widetilde{f} = 1$  such that  $g(p_0)(\nabla \widetilde{f}, \nabla \widetilde{f})$  and  $g(p_0)(\nabla u, \nabla u)$  have opposite sign (see Remark 2.4(a)). Without loss of generality, we assume that  $g(\nabla \widetilde{f}, \nabla \widetilde{f}) \neq 0$  on  $\widetilde{M}$ . Setting  $\widetilde{W} := \nabla \widetilde{f} + h\nabla u$ , where  $h^2 := -g(\nabla \widetilde{f}, \nabla \widetilde{f})/g(\nabla u, \nabla u) > 0$ , we get

$$g(\widetilde{W}, \widetilde{W}) = g(\nabla \widetilde{f}, \nabla \widetilde{f}) + h^2 g(\nabla u, \nabla u) = 0, \quad g(\widetilde{V}, \widetilde{W}) = \widetilde{V}\widetilde{f} = 1. \quad (2.4)$$

Let now  $W$  be the geodesic vector field extending  $\widetilde{W}$  in a neighbourhood of  $\widetilde{M}$ . Then  $W$  is lightlike. From  $Wg(V, W) = g(\nabla_W V, W) + g(V, \nabla_W W) = 0$  and  $g(\widetilde{V}, \widetilde{W}) = 1$ , we conclude that  $g(V, W) = 1$ . It remains to show that  $W$  is locally a gradient.

#### 4 Closed conformal vector fields on pseudo-Riemannian manifolds

For vector fields  $X, Y$  on  $\widetilde{M}$  (not necessarily tangent to  $\widetilde{M}$ ), we can write

$$X = X^\top + \alpha \widetilde{W}, \quad Y = Y^\top + \beta \widetilde{W}, \quad (2.5)$$

where  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are certain functions on  $\widetilde{M}$  and  $X^\top, Y^\top$  are tangent to  $\widetilde{M}$ . Using Lemma 2.2, we get

$$0 = dW^b(X, W) = dW^b(X^\top + \alpha W, W) = dW^b(X^\top, W). \quad (2.6)$$

In the same way, we get  $dW^b(W, Y^\top) = 0$ , and therefore  $dW^b(X, Y) = dW^b(X^\top, Y^\top)$ . Now Lemma 2.3 and  $\widetilde{W}^\top = \nabla \tilde{f}$  imply that  $dW^b(X, Y) = 0$  on  $\widetilde{M}$ . Using Lemma 2.1, we conclude that  $dW^b = 0$ .

*Case 2.*  $n = 2$  and  $V(p_0)$  is lightlike.

According to Remark 2.4(b), we cannot proceed as in Case 1 since the gradient at  $p_0$  of a solution of  $Vu = 0$  is a lightlike vector. Remarking that along an integral curve  $\alpha$  of  $V$  through  $p_0$   $V$  is lightlike, we set  $\widetilde{M} := \text{Im}\alpha$ . Let now  $\widetilde{W}$  be a lightlike vector field along  $\alpha$  such that  $V$  and  $\widetilde{W}$  are linearly independent. Then, since  $g$  is nondegenerate,  $g(V, V)g(\widetilde{W}, \widetilde{W}) - g(V, \widetilde{W})^2 = -g(V, \widetilde{W})^2 \neq 0$ . Therefore we can assume that  $g(V, \widetilde{W}) = 1$ . Since  $\widetilde{W}$  is not tangent to  $\alpha$ , we can extend it to a geodesic vector field  $W$  on a neighbourhood  $\mathcal{U}$  of  $p_0$ . Then  $Wg(W, W) = 0$  which, together with  $\widetilde{W}$  lightlike, implies  $W$  lightlike, and  $Wg(V, W) = g(\nabla_W V, W) = 0$  which, together with  $g(V, \widetilde{W}) = 1$ , implies  $g(V, W) = 1$ . Since every vector field on  $\mathcal{U}$  can be written as a linear combination of  $V$  and  $W$ , we have  $g(\nabla_X W, Y) - g(\nabla_Y W, X) = 0$  for every vector field  $X, Y$  on  $\mathcal{U}$  if and only if  $g(\nabla_V W, W) - g(\nabla_W W, V) = 0$ .

Thus  $W$  being lightlike and geodesic implies that  $W$  is a gradient vector field.

It remains to show that  $V$  is lightlike along an integral curve  $\alpha$  through  $p_0 := \alpha(0)$ . This follows from  $(d/dt)g(V, V) = 2g(\nabla_V V, V) = 2\lambda g(V, V)$ , since its general solution is  $g(\alpha(t))(V, V) = g(p_0)(V, V)e^{2\int_0^t \lambda(u)du}$ .  $\square$

For example, let  $M = \mathbb{R}_k^n$  be the pseudo-Euclidian space of dimension  $n$  and signature  $(k, n - k)$  with  $0 < k < n$ , that is,  $\langle x, x \rangle = -(x_1^2 + \dots + x_k^2) + (x_{k+1}^2 + \dots + x_n^2)$ . The position vector field  $V(x) = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i(\partial/\partial x_i)|_x$  satisfies  $\nabla_X V = X$ , and therefore it is a closed conformal vector field. We will construct, following the proof of Proposition 2.5, a lightlike geodesic gradient field  $W$  with  $\langle V, W \rangle = 1$  in a neighbourhood of a point  $x_0 \neq 0$  ( $V(x) = 0$  if and only if  $x = 0$ ). We take for simplicity  $x_0 = (1, 0, \dots, 0)$ , then  $u(x_1, \dots, x_n) := x_n/x_1$  is a solution of  $Vu = 0$  with  $\langle \nabla u, \nabla u \rangle|_{x_0} = 1$ . The hypersurface  $\widetilde{M} := u^{-1}(u(x_0)) = u^{-1}(0)$  is the hyperplane  $x_n = 0$ . Let  $\widetilde{V} := V|_{\widetilde{M}}$ , then  $\tilde{f}(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}) := \ln x_1$  is a solution of  $\widetilde{V}\tilde{f} = 1$  with  $\langle \nabla \tilde{f}, \nabla \tilde{f} \rangle|_{x_0} = -1$ . Defining for every  $x \in \widetilde{M}$  that

$$\widetilde{W}(x) := \nabla \tilde{f}(x) + \nabla u(x) = \frac{1}{x_1} \left( -\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x_n} \right) \Big|_x, \quad (2.7)$$

it is easy to see that

$$W(x) := \frac{1}{x_1 + x_n} \left( -\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x_n} \right) \Big|_x \quad (2.8)$$

is a geodesic vector field on  $M$  extending  $\widetilde{W}$ . Moreover  $W$  is lightlike,  $\langle V, W \rangle = 1$ , and  $W = \nabla \ln |x_1 + x_n|$ . It is clear that  $W$  is not unique and not everywhere defined. More generally, for an arbitrary point  $x_0 \neq 0$ , we have, for instance, that

$$W = \nabla \ln | \langle a, x \rangle |, \quad \text{where } a \text{ is a lightlike vector in } \mathbb{R}_k^n \text{ with } \langle a, x_0 \rangle \neq 0, \quad (2.9)$$

is a lightlike geodesic gradient field satisfying  $\langle V, W \rangle = 1$ .

Finally we remark that a nontrivial conformal vector field (a vector field  $V$  is nontrivial if there is a point  $p \in M$  with  $V(p) \neq 0$ ) has isolated zeros (see [4]). This is in general not true if the conformal vector field is not closed (see, e.g., an example in [1]).

### 3. Local coordinates

Let  $V$  and  $W$  be vector fields as in Proposition 2.5 and let  $E_1 = V - g(V, V)W$ ,  $E_2 = W$ . It is easy to see that

- (i)  $E_1, E_2$  are linearly independent;
- (ii) the distribution  $\mathcal{D}$  spanned by  $E_1, E_2$  is integrable and the metric  $g$  is nondegenerate on  $\mathcal{D}$ ;
- (iii) the distribution  $\mathcal{D}^\perp$  spanned by the vector fields orthogonal to  $E_1, E_2$  is integrable and  $g$  is nondegenerate on  $\mathcal{D}^\perp$ ;
- (iv)  $[E_1, E_2] = 0$ .

We can now state the following theorem.

**THEOREM 3.1.** *If  $(M, g)$  admits a closed conformal vector field  $V$ , then in a neighbourhood of a point  $p_0$  where  $V(p_0) \neq 0$ , there are local coordinates  $u^1, u^2, \dots, u^n$  such that  $V = \partial/\partial u^1 + a(\partial/\partial u^2)$ , for some function  $a = a(u^2)$ , and*

$$(g_{ij}) = \left( \begin{array}{cc|ccc} -a & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \hline 0 & 0 & & & \\ \vdots & \vdots & & & \\ 0 & 0 & & & \end{array} \right), \quad (3.1)$$

$(g_{\alpha\beta})$

where  $\alpha, \beta \in \{3, \dots, n\}$ ,  $\det(g_{\alpha\beta}) \neq 0$ , and  $\partial g_{\alpha\beta}/\partial u^1 + a(\partial g_{\alpha\beta}/\partial u^2) = a' g_{\alpha\beta}$  ( $a' := da/du^2$ ).

*Proof.* From Frobenius theorem, we know that there are local coordinates  $u^1, u^2, \dots, u^n$  such that

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial u^1} = E_1, \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial u^2} = E_2, \quad g_{1\alpha} = g_{2\alpha} = 0, \quad \alpha = 3, \dots, n. \quad (3.2)$$

## 6 Closed conformal vector fields on pseudo-Riemannian manifolds

Hence  $g_{11} = g(E_1, E_1) = g(V, V) - 2g(V, V)g(V, W) = -g(V, V)$ ,  $g_{12} = g(V, W) = 1$ ,  $g_{22} = g(W, W) = 0$  and, setting  $E_i = \partial/\partial u^i$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \frac{\partial g_{\alpha\beta}}{\partial u^1} + a \frac{\partial g_{\alpha\beta}}{\partial u^2} &= g(\nabla_{E_1} E_\alpha + g(V, V) \nabla_{E_2} E_\alpha, E_\beta) \\
 &\quad + g(E_\alpha, \nabla_{E_1} E_\beta + g(V, V) \nabla_{E_2} E_\beta) \\
 &= g(\nabla_{E_\alpha} E_1 + g(V, V) \nabla_{E_\alpha} E_2, E_\beta) \\
 &\quad + g(E_\alpha, \nabla_{E_\beta} E_1 + g(V, V) \nabla_{E_\beta} E_2) \\
 &= g(\nabla_{E_\alpha} (E_1 + g(V, V) E_2), E_\beta) \\
 &\quad + g(E_\alpha, \nabla_{E_\beta} (E_1 + g(V, V) E_2)) \\
 &= g(\nabla_{E_\alpha} V, E_\beta) + g(E_\alpha, \nabla_{E_\beta} V) = 2\lambda g_{\alpha\beta},
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

where  $a = g(V, V)$ . From  $Xg(V, V) = 2\lambda g(X, V)$  and  $g(E_1, V) = g(E_3, V) = \dots = g(E_n, V) = 0$ , we conclude that  $a = a(u^2)$ . Furthermore

$$a' = Wg(V, V) = 2\lambda \tag{3.4}$$

and  $a = 0$  if and only if  $V$  is lightlike (cf. with Brinkmann's theorem).  $\square$

On the other hand, we have the following proposition.

**PROPOSITION 3.2.** *If on a neighbourhood  $\mathcal{U}$  of a point  $p_0 \in M$ , there are local coordinates as in Theorem 3.1, then  $V = \partial/\partial u^1 + a(\partial/\partial u^2)$  is a closed conformal vector field on  $\mathcal{U}$ .*

*Proof.* The statement follows from

$$\begin{aligned}
 g(\nabla_{E_i} V, E_j) &= g(\nabla_{E_i} E_1, E_j) + a' \delta_{2i} \delta_{1j} + ag(\nabla_{E_i} E_2, E_j) \\
 &= \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\partial g_{1j}}{\partial u^i} + \frac{\partial g_{ij}}{\partial u^1} - \frac{\partial g_{1i}}{\partial u^j} + a \frac{\partial g_{ij}}{\partial u^2} \right) + a' \delta_{2i} \delta_{1j} \\
 &= \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\partial g_{ij}}{\partial u^1} + a \frac{\partial g_{ij}}{\partial u^2} \right) + \frac{1}{2} a' (\delta_{1i} \delta_{2j} + \delta_{2i} \delta_{1j}),
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

where  $\delta$  is the Kronecker delta. Namely, for every pair  $(i, j)$ , we get  $g(\nabla_{E_i} V, E_j) = (1/2)a' g_{ij}$ . Moreover,  $V$  is lightlike if and only if  $a = 0$ .  $\square$

**Remark 3.3.** If in Proposition 3.2 we assume that  $a \neq 0$ , then according to Fialkow results, see [3, formulas (12.9) and (12.10)], we must be able to prove that  $(\mathcal{U}, g)$  is locally isometric to a warped product with a one-dimensional base manifold. This can be seen in

the following way: take local coordinates  $\bar{u}^1, \dots, \bar{u}^n$  in  ${}^{\mathcal{O}}\mathcal{U}$  such that

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{u}^1} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{|a|}} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial u^1} + a \frac{\partial}{\partial u^2} \right), \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{u}^2} = \frac{\partial}{\partial u^1}, \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{u}^\alpha} = \frac{\partial}{\partial u^\alpha}, \quad \alpha = 3, \dots, n. \quad (3.6)$$

This is reached by the coordinate transformation

$$\bar{u}^1 = \int \frac{\sqrt{|a|}}{a} du^2, \quad \bar{u}^2 = u^1 - \int \frac{1}{a} du^2, \quad \bar{u}^\alpha = u^\alpha, \quad \alpha = 3, \dots, n. \quad (3.7)$$

Then it is easy to see that  $a = a(\bar{u}^1)$  and that

$$(\bar{g}_{ij}) := \left( g \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{u}^i}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{u}^j} \right) \right) = \left( \begin{array}{c|ccc} \pm 1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \hline 0 & -a & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & & & \\ \vdots & \vdots & & (g_{\alpha\beta}) & \\ 0 & 0 & & & \end{array} \right). \quad (3.8)$$

Furthermore, from  $\partial g_{\alpha\beta} / \partial u^1 + a(\partial g_{\alpha\beta} / \partial u^2) = a' g_{\alpha\beta}$ , we get

$$\frac{\partial g_{\alpha\beta}}{\partial \bar{u}^1} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{|a|}} \left( \frac{\partial g_{\alpha\beta}}{\partial u^1} + a \frac{\partial g_{\alpha\beta}}{\partial u^2} \right) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{|a|}} \frac{da}{du^2} g_{\alpha\beta} = \frac{1}{a} \frac{da}{d\bar{u}^1} g_{\alpha\beta}, \quad (3.9)$$

and therefore  $g_{\alpha\beta} = a \bar{g}_{\alpha\beta}$ , where  $\partial \bar{g}_{\alpha\beta} / \partial \bar{u}^1 = 0$ . Thus  $({}^{\mathcal{O}}\mathcal{U}, g)$  is locally isometric to a warped product with a one-dimensional base manifold and warped factor  $a$ . In these local coordinates, the metric of the fiber manifold is given by

$$\left( \begin{array}{c|ccc} -1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \hline 0 & & & \\ \vdots & & (\bar{g}_{\alpha\beta}) & \\ 0 & & & \end{array} \right) \quad (3.10)$$

which means, in other words, that  $\bar{u}^2, \dots, \bar{u}^n$  are Fermi coordinates on the fiber manifold.

### Acknowledgment

The author wishes to thank Professor K. Voss of the Swiss Federal Institute of Technology in Zurich for helpful suggestions on the subject.

### References

- [1] D. Alekseevski, *Self-similar Lorentzian manifolds*, *Annals of Global Analysis and Geometry* **3** (1985), no. 1, 59–84.
- [2] H. W. Brinkmann, *Einstein spaces which are mapped conformally on each other*, *Mathematische Annalen* **94** (1925), no. 1, 119–145.
- [3] A. Fialkow, *Conformal geodesics*, *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society* **45** (1939), no. 3, 443–473.

## 8 Closed conformal vector fields on pseudo-Riemannian manifolds

- [4] Y. Kerbrat, *Transformations conformes des variétés pseudo-riemanniennes*, Journal of Differential Geometry **11** (1976), no. 4, 547–571.
- [5] W. Kühnel and H.-B. Rademacher, *Essential conformal fields in pseudo-Riemannian geometry*, Journal de Mathématiques Pures et Appliquées. Neuvième Série **74** (1995), no. 5, 453–481.
- [6] Y. Tashiro, *Complete Riemannian manifolds and some vector fields*, Transactions of the American Mathematical Society **117** (1965), 251–275.
- [7] K. Yano, *Concircular geometry III. Theory of curves*, Proceedings of the Imperial Academy of Tokyo **16** (1940), 442–448.
- [8] ———, *Concircular geometry IV. Theory of subspaces*, Proceedings of the Imperial Academy of Tokyo **16** (1940), 505–511.
- [9] ———, *Concircular geometry. I. Concircular transformations*, Proceedings of the Imperial Academy of Tokyo **16** (1940), 195–200.
- [10] ———, *Concircular geometry. II. Integrability conditions of  $\rho_{\mu\nu} = \varphi g_{\mu\nu}$* , Proceedings of the Imperial Academy of Tokyo **16** (1940), 354–360.
- [11] ———, *Concircular geometry. V. Einstein spaces*, Proceedings of the Imperial Academy of Tokyo **18** (1942), 446–451.

D. A. Catalano: Departamento de Matemática, Universidade de Aveiro, Campus de Santiago,  
3810-193 Aveiro, Portugal  
*E-mail address:* domenico@mat.ua.pt





# Hindawi

Submit your manuscripts at  
<http://www.hindawi.com>

