COMMON FIXED POINT THEOREMS IN MENGER SPACES

CHI-MING CHEN AND TONG-HUEI CHANG

Received 27 July 2005; Revised 3 May 2006; Accepted 7 May 2006

We proved two common fixed point theorems for four self-mappings and two set-valued mappings with ϕ -contractive condition in a Menger space.

Copyright © 2006 Hindawi Publishing Corporation. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction and preliminaries

Probabilistic metric space was first introduced by Menger [6]. Later, there are many authors who have some detailed discussions and applications of a probabilistic metric space, for example, we may see Schweizer and Sklar [8]. Besides, there are many results about fixed point theorems in a probabilistic metric space with contractive types having appeared; we may see the papers [1–3, 9–12].

In this paper, we will prove two common fixed point theorems for four self-mappings and two set-valued mappings with ϕ -contractive condition in a Menger space, which generalize some results of Dedeić and Sarapa [4, 5], and Sehgal and Bharucha-Reid [9].

A mapping $F : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}^+$ is said to be a distribution if it is nondecreasing left continuous with inf $\{F(t): t \in \mathbb{R}\} = 0$ and $\sup\{F(t): t \in \mathbb{R}\} = 1$.

We will denote by $\mathcal L$ the set of all distribution functions while G will always denote the specific distribution function defined by

$$G(t) = \begin{cases} 0, & t \le 0, \\ 1, & t > 0. \end{cases}$$
 (1.1)

A probabilistic metric space (PM-space) [7] is an ordered pair (X, \mathcal{F}) consisting of a nonempty set X and a mapping \mathcal{F} from $X \times X$ into the collections of all distribution functions on \mathbb{R} . For $x, y \in X$, we denote the distribution function $\mathcal{F}(x, y)$ by $F_{x,y}$ and $F_{x,y}(u)$ represents the value of $\mathcal{F}(x, y)$ at $u \in \mathbb{R}$. The functions $F_{x,y}$ are assumed to satisfy the following conditions:

- (1) $F_{x,y}(u) = 1$ for all u > 0 if and only if x = y,
- (2) $F_{x,y}(0) = 0$ for all x, y in X,

Hindawi Publishing Corporation International Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences Volume 2006, Article ID 75931, Pages 1–15 DOI 10.1155/IJMMS/2006/75931

2 Common fixed point theorems in Menger spaces

- (3) $F_{x,y}(u) = F_{y,x}(u)$ for all x, y in X, and
- (4) if $F_{x,y}(u) = 1$ and $F_{y,z}(v) = 1$, then $F_{x,z}(u+v) = 1$ for all x, y, z in X and u, v > 0.

A mapping $t: [0,1] \times [0,1] \rightarrow [0,1]$ is called a *t*-norm if

- (1) t(a,1) = a, t(0,0) = 0,
- (2) t(a,b) = t(b,a),
- (3) $t(c,d) \ge t(a,b)$ for $c \ge a, d \ge b$, and
- (4) t(t(a,b),c) = t(a,t(b,c)).

A Menger space is a triplet (X, \mathcal{F}, t) , where (X, \mathcal{F}) is a PM-space, t is a T-norm, and the generalized triangle inequality

$$F_{x,y}(u+v) \ge t(F_{x,y}(u), F_{y,z}(v))$$
 (1.2)

holds for all x, y, z in X and u, v > 0.

The concept of neighborhoods in a Menger space was introduced by Schweizer and Sklar [8].

Let (X, \mathcal{F}, t) be a Menger space. If $x \in X$, $\varepsilon > 0$, and $\lambda \in (0, 1)$, then an (ε, λ) -neighborhood of x, called $U_x(\varepsilon, \lambda)$, is defined by

$$U_x(\varepsilon,\lambda) = \{ y \in X : F_{x,y}(\varepsilon) > 1 - \lambda \}. \tag{1.3}$$

An (ε, λ) -topology in X is the topology induced by the family $\{U_x(\varepsilon, \lambda) : x \in X, \varepsilon > 0, \lambda \in (0,1)\}$ of neighborhood.

Remark 1.1. If t is continuous, then Menger space (X, \mathcal{F}, t) is a Hausdorff space in the (ε, λ) -topology. (see [8]).

Let (X, \mathcal{F}, t) be a complete Menger space and $A \subset X$. Then A is called a bounded set if

$$\lim_{u \to \infty} \inf_{x,y \in A} F_{x,y}(u) = 1. \tag{1.4}$$

Throughout this paper, B(X) will denote the family of nonempty bounded subsets of a complete Menger space X.

For all $A, B \in B(X)$ and for all u > 0, we define

$$\delta F_{A,B}(u) = \inf \{ F_{x,y}(u) : x \in A, \ y \in B \},$$

$$DF_{A,B}(u) = \sup \{ F_{x,y}(u) : x \in A, \ y \in B \},$$

$$HF_{A,B}(u) = \inf \{ \sup_{a \in A} \inf_{b \in B} F_{a,b}(u), \sup_{b \in B} \inf_{a \in A} F_{a,b}(u) \}.$$
(1.5)

Remark 1.2. It is clear that $_{\delta}F_{A,B}(u) = _{\delta}F_{B,A}(u)$, $_{D}F_{A,B}(u) = _{D}F_{B,A}(u)$, and $_{H}F_{A,B}(u) = _{H}F_{B,A}(u)$, for all $A,B \in B(X)$ and u > 0.

If $A = \{x\}$, we denote ${}_{\delta}F_{\{x\},B}(u) = {}_{\delta}F_{x,B}(u)$, ${}_{D}F_{\{x\},B}(u) = {}_{D}F_{x,B}(u)$, and ${}_{H}F_{\{x\},B}(u) = {}_{D}F_{x,B}(u)$ $_{H}F_{x,B}(u)$.

Let (X, \mathcal{F}, t) be a complete Menger space, and let $T: X \to B(X)$ be a set-valued function and $I: X \to X$ a single-valued function. Then we say that S and I are compatible if

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} {}_{H}F_{SIx_n,ISx_n}(u) = 1, \tag{1.6}$$

whenever $\{x_n\}$ is a sequence in X such that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \delta F_{Ix_n, Sx_n}(u) = 1, \quad \forall u > 0.$$
 (1.7)

Let $\{A_n\}$ be a sequence in B(X). We say that $\{A_n\}$ δ -converges to a set A in X if

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \delta F_{A_n, A}(u) = 1, \quad \text{for every } u > 0, \tag{1.8}$$

and it is denoted by $A_n \xrightarrow{\delta} A$.

2. Main results

In this paper, we let \mathbb{R}^+ denote the set of all nonnegative real numbers, let \mathbb{N} denote the set of all positive integers, and let (X, \mathcal{F}, t) be a Menger space with $t(x, y) = \min(x, y)$.

We first prove the following lemmas.

LEMMA 2.1. Let (X, \mathcal{F}, \min) be a Menger space. Then for $A, B, C \in B(X)$ and for u, v > 0,

$$\delta F_{A,C}(u+v) \ge \min \left\{ \delta F_{A,B}(u), \delta F_{B,C}(v) \right\}. \tag{2.1}$$

Proof. For all u, v > 0, we have

$$\min \{ {}_{\delta}F_{A,B}(u), {}_{\delta}F_{B,C}(v) \} \le \min \{ F_{a,b}(u), F_{b,c}(v) \} \le F_{a,c}(u+v)$$
 (2.2)

for each $a \in A$, $b \in B$, and $c \in C$.

This implies that
$$\min\{\delta F_{A,B}(u), \delta F_{B,C}(v)\} \leq \delta F_{A,C}(u+v)$$
.

Lemma 2.2. Let (X, \mathcal{F}, \min) be a Menger space. Then for $A, B \in B(X)$, $c \in X$, and for u, v > 0,

$$_{H}F_{A,c}(u+v) \ge \min\{_{H}F_{A,B}(u),_{H}F_{B,c}(v)\}.$$
 (2.3)

Proof. Since for each $a, b, c \in X$ and for all u, v > 0,

$$F_{a,c}(u+v) \ge \min \{F_{a,b}(u), F_{b,c}(v)\}.$$
 (2.4)

By taking $\inf_{c \in C}$, we have

$$\inf_{c \in C} F_{a,c}(u+v) \ge \min \left\{ F_{a,b}(u), \inf_{c \in C} F_{b,c}(v) \right\}. \tag{2.5}$$

4 Common fixed point theorems in Menger spaces

Hence,

$$\sup_{a \in A} \inf_{c \in C} F_{a,c}(u+v) \ge \sup_{a \in A} \min \left\{ F_{a,b}(u), \inf_{c \in C} F_{b,c}(v) \right\}$$

$$= \min \left\{ \sup_{a \in A} F_{a,b}(u), \inf_{c \in C} F_{b,c}(v) \right\}$$

$$\ge \min \left\{ \sup_{a \in A} \inf_{b \in B} F_{a,b}(u), \inf_{c \in C} F_{b,c}(v) \right\}.$$
(2.6)

Next, by taking $\sup_{b \in B}$, we have

$$\sup_{a \in A} \inf_{c \in C} F_{a,c}(u+v) \ge \sup_{b \in B} \min \left\{ \sup_{a \in A} \inf_{b \in B} F_{a,b}(u), \inf_{c \in C} F_{b,c}(v) \right\}$$

$$\ge \min \left\{ \sup_{a \in A} \inf_{b \in B} F_{a,b}(u), \sup_{b \in B} \inf_{c \in C} (v) \right\}.$$
(2.7)

Similarly, for each $a, b, c \in X$ and for all u, v > o,

$$F_{a,c}(u+v) \ge \min\{F_{a,b}(u), F_{b,c}(v)\}.$$
 (2.8)

By taking $\inf_{c \in C}$, we have

$$\inf_{a \in A} F_{a,c}(u+v) \ge \min \left\{ \inf_{a \in A} F_{a,b}(u), F_{b,c}(v) \right\}. \tag{2.9}$$

Hence,

$$\sup_{c \in C} \inf_{a \in A} F_{a,c}(u+v) \ge \sup_{c \in C} \min \left\{ \inf_{a \in A} F_{a,b}(u), F_{b,c}(v) \right\}$$

$$= \min \left\{ \inf_{a \in A} F_{a,b}(u), \sup_{c \in C} F_{b,c}(v) \right\}$$

$$\ge \min \left\{ \inf_{a \in A} F_{a,b}(u), \sup_{c \in C} \inf_{b \in B} F_{b,c}(v) \right\}.$$
(2.10)

Next, by taking $\sup_{b \in B}$, we have

$$\sup_{c \in C} \inf_{a \in A} F_{a,c}(u+v) \ge \sup_{b \in B} \min \left\{ \inf_{a \in A} F_{a,b}(u), \sup_{c \in C} \inf_{b \in B} F_{b,c}(v) \right\}$$

$$\ge \min \left\{ \sup_{b \in B} \inf_{a \in A} F_{a,b}(u), \sup_{c \in C} \inf_{b \in B} (v) \right\}.$$
(2.11)

Therefore, we obtain that

$${}_{H}F_{A,c}(u+v) = \min \left\{ \sup_{c \in C} \inf_{a \in A} F_{a,c}(u+v), \sup_{a \in A} \inf_{c \in C} F_{a,c}(u+v) \right\}$$

$$\geq \min \left\{ \sup_{b \in B} \inf_{a \in A} F_{a,b}(u), \sup_{c \in C} \inf_{b \in B} (v), \sup_{a \in A} \inf_{b \in B} F_{a,b}(u), \sup_{b \in B} \inf_{c \in C} (v) \right\}$$

$$= \min \left\{ {}_{H}F_{A,B}(u), {}_{H}F_{B,c}(v) \right\}.$$

$$(2.12)$$

Lemma 2.3. Let (X, \mathcal{F}, \min) be a Menger space. If $A, B \in B(X)$, then $\lim_{u \to \infty} \delta F_{A,B}(u) = 1$.

Proof. For any $x \in A$ and $y \in B$, by Lemma 2.1, we have

$$\delta F_{A,B}(u) \ge \min\left\{\delta F_{A,x}\left(\frac{u}{3}\right), \delta F_{x,y}\left(\frac{u}{3}\right), \delta F_{y,B}\left(\frac{u}{3}\right)\right\}. \tag{2.13}$$

Letting $u \to \infty$, we have

$$\lim_{u \to \infty} \delta F_{A,B}(u) \ge \min \left\{ \lim_{u \to \infty} \delta F_{A,x}\left(\frac{u}{3}\right), \lim_{u \to \infty} \delta F_{x,y}\left(\frac{u}{3}\right), \lim_{u \to \infty} \delta F_{y,B}\left(\frac{u}{3}\right) \right\}. \tag{2.14}$$

Since $x \in A$, $y \in B$, and $A, B \in B(X)$, we have

$$\lim_{u \to \infty} \delta F_{A,x} \left(\frac{u}{3} \right) = 1. \tag{2.15}$$

Similarly, we have

$$\lim_{u \to \infty} \delta F_{y,B} \left(\frac{u}{3} \right) = 1. \tag{2.16}$$

By the definition of the PM-space, we have that $\lim_{u\to\infty} F_{x,y}(u/3) = 1$.

Therefore, we conclude that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \delta F_{A,B}(u) = 1. \tag{2.17}$$

This completes the proof.

The following lemma which was introduced by Chang [3], will play an important role for this paper.

LEMMA 2.4. If $\phi : \mathbb{R}^+ \to \mathbb{R}^+$ is a strictly increasing, continuous function such that $0 < \phi(u) < u$ for all u > 0, $\lim_{u \to \infty} \phi(u) = \infty$, and if for each u > 0, $\phi^0(u) = u$ and $\phi^{-n}(u) = \phi^{-1}(\phi^{-n+1}(u))$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ are denoted, then $\lim_{n \to \infty} \phi^{-n}(u) = \infty$.

In the sequel, we let $\Phi = \{\phi : \mathbb{R}^+ \to \mathbb{R}^+ : \phi \text{ is a strictly increasing, continuous function with } \phi(t) < t \text{ for all } t > 0\}.$

LEMMA 2.5. Let (X, \mathcal{F}, \min) be a Menger space and $\{Y_n\}$ a sequence in B(X). If for each u > 0 and for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$_{\delta}F_{Y_{n+1},Y_{n+2}}(\phi(u)) \ge {_{\delta}F_{Y_{n},Y_{n+1}}(u)}, \quad \phi \in \Phi,$$
 (2.18)

then

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} {}_{\delta} F_{Y_n, Y_{n+1}}(u) = 1. \tag{2.19}$$

Proof. For u > 0, by induction, we have

$$_{\delta}F_{Y_{n+1},Y_{n+2}}(u) \ge {_{\delta}F_{Y_{n},Y_{n+1}}}(\phi^{-1}(u)) \ge \cdots \ge {_{\delta}F_{Y_{1},Y_{2}}}(\phi^{-n}(u)), \text{ for each } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$
 (2.20)

By Lemma 2.4, we also have that $\phi^{-n}(u) \to \infty$, as $n \to \infty$.

Next, since Y_n is a bounded set and ${}_{\delta}F_{Y_1,Y_2}(\phi^{-n}(u)) \to 1$ as $n \to \infty$, hence we have

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \delta F_{Y_{n+1}, Y_{n+2}}(u) = 1. \tag{2.21}$$

LEMMA 2.6. Let (X, \mathcal{F}, \min) be a Menger space, and let $A, B \in B(X)$. If

$$_{\delta}F_{A,B}(\phi(u)) \ge _{\delta}F_{A,B}(u), \quad \text{for } u > 0,$$
 (2.22)

then A = B = a, for some $a \in X$.

Proof. For u > 0, by induction, we have

$$_{\delta}F_{A,B}(u) \ge {_{\delta}F_{A,B}}(\phi^{-1}(u)) \ge \cdots \ge {_{\delta}F_{A,B}}(\phi^{-n}(u)). \tag{2.23}$$

Since $A, B \in B(X)$, by Lemma 2.3, we have

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \delta F_{A,B}(\phi^{-n}(u)) = 1, \tag{2.24}$$

and by Lemma 2.5, we have $_{\delta}F_{A,B}(u)=1$ for u>0. Thus we conclude that $A=B=\{a\}$ for some $a \in X$.

The following lemma was introduced by Schweizer and Sklar [8].

LEMMA 2.7. Let (X, \mathcal{F}, \min) be a Menger space. If $a_n \to a$ and $b_n \to b$, then for u > 0,

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \inf F_{a_n, b_n}(u) = F_{a, b}(u). \tag{2.25}$$

From Lemma 2.7, we conclude the following lemma.

LEMMA 2.8. Let (X, \mathcal{F}, \min) be a Menger space. If $A_n \xrightarrow{\delta} a$ and $B_n \xrightarrow{\delta} b$, then for u > 0,

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \inf_{\delta} F_{A_n, B_n}(u) = F_{a, b}(u). \tag{2.26}$$

Proof. For u > 0 and for $\varepsilon > 0$. Since $F_{a,b}(u)$ is left continuous function at u, there exists a positive number k with 0 < 2k < u such that $F_{a,b}(u) - F_{a,b}(u - 2k) < \varepsilon$.

Since k > 0 and $A_n \xrightarrow{\delta} a$, $B_n \xrightarrow{\delta} b$, hence we may take $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for $n \ge m$,

$$\delta F_{A_{n},a}(k) \ge F_{a,b}(u - 2k), \qquad \delta F_{B_{n},b}(k) \ge F_{a,b}(u - 2k).$$
 (2.27)

Hence, for n > m,

$$\delta F_{A_{n},B_{n}}(u) \ge \min \left\{ {}_{\delta} F_{A_{n},b}(u-k), {}_{\delta} F_{b,B_{n}}(k) \right\}
\ge \min \left\{ {}_{\delta} F_{A_{n},a}(k), {}_{\delta} F_{a,b}(u-2k), {}_{\delta} F_{b,B_{n}}(k) \right\} = F_{a,b}(u-2k),$$
(2.28)

and hence

$$-\delta F_{A_n,B_n}(u) \le -F_{a,b}(u-2k). \tag{2.29}$$

Therefore, we conclude that

$$F_{a,b}(u) - {}_{\delta}F_{A_{n},B_{n}}(u) < F_{a,b}(u) - F_{a,b}(u - 2k) < \varepsilon.$$
 (2.30)

Taking $\lim_{n\to\infty} \inf$, we have

$$F_{a,b}(u) - \lim_{n \to \infty} \inf_{\delta} F_{A_n,B_n}(u) < \varepsilon. \tag{2.31}$$

For any $a_n \in A_n$, $b_n \in B_n$, since $A_n \xrightarrow{\delta} a$ and $B_n \xrightarrow{\delta} b$, we have $a_n \to a$, $b_n \to b$. Thus, for u > 0

$$\delta F_{A_n,B_n}(u) \le F_{a_n,b_n}(u). \tag{2.32}$$

Taking $\lim_{n\to\infty} \inf$, we have

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \inf_{\delta} F_{A_n, B_n}(u) \le \lim_{n \to \infty} \inf_{n \to \infty} F_{a_n, b_n}(u). \tag{2.33}$$

By Lemma 2.7, we have

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \inf F_{a_n, b_n}(u) = F_{a, b}(u), \text{ and so } F_{a, b}(u) - \lim_{n \to \infty} \inf_{\delta} F_{A_n, B_n}(u) \ge 0.$$
 (2.34)

Therefore, for any $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$\varepsilon > F_{a,b}(u) - \lim_{n \to \infty} \inf_{\delta} F_{A_n,B_n}(u) \ge 0.$$
 (2.35)

This implies that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \inf_{\delta} F_{A_n, B_n}(u) = F_{a, b}(u), \quad \text{for } u > 0.$$
 (2.36)

The following two theorems are our main results for this paper.

THEOREM 2.9. Let (X, \mathcal{F}, \min) be a complete Menger space. Let $f, g, \eta, \xi : X \to X$ be four single-valued functions, and let $S, T : X \to B(X)$ two set-valued functions. If the following conditions are satisfied:

- (i) $S(X) \subset \xi g(X)$, $T(X) \subset \eta f(X)$,
- (ii) $\eta f = f \eta$, $\xi g = g \xi$, S f = f S, T g = g T,
- (iii) ηf or ξg is continuous,
- (iv) $(S, \eta f)$ and $(T, \xi g)$ are compatible, and
- (v) *for* u > 0,

$$\delta F_{Sx,Ty}(\phi(u))$$

$$\geq \min \left\{ F_{\eta fx,\xi gy}(u), \delta F_{\eta fx,Sx}(u), \delta F_{\xi gy,Ty}(u), \delta F_{\xi gy,Sx}(\beta u), \delta F_{\eta fx,Ty}((2-\beta)u) \right\}$$
(2.37)

for all $x, y \in X$, $\beta \in (0,2)$, where $\phi \in \Phi$, then f, g, η , ξ , S, and T have a unique common fixed point z in X.

Proof. Let $x_0 \in X$. Define the sequence $\{x_n\}$ recursively as follows:

$$\xi g x_{2n+1} \in S x_{2n} = Z_{2n}, \qquad \eta f x_{2n+2} \in T x_{2n+1} = Z_{2n+1}.$$
 (2.38)

For $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and for all u > 0, and $\beta = (1 - \alpha)$ with $\alpha \in (0, 1)$,

$$\delta F_{Z_{2n},Z_{2n+1}}(\phi(u))$$

$$= \delta F_{Sx_{2n},Tx_{2n+1}}(\phi(u))$$

$$\geq \min \left\{ F_{\eta f x_{2n},\xi g x_{2n+1}}(u), \delta F_{\eta f x_{2n},Sx_{2n}}(u), \delta F_{\xi g x_{2n+1},Tx_{2n+1}}(u), \delta F_{\xi g x_{2n+1},Sx_{2n}}((1-\alpha)u), \delta F_{\eta f x_{2n},Tx_{2n+1}}((1+\alpha)u) \right\}$$

$$\geq \min \left\{ \delta F_{Z_{2n-1},Z_{2n}}(u), \delta F_{Z_{2n-1},Z_{2n}}(u), \delta F_{Z_{2n},Z_{2n+1}}(u), \delta F_{Z_{2n},Z_{2n}}((1-\alpha)u), \delta F_{Z_{2n-1},Z_{2n+1}}((1+\alpha)u) \right\}$$

$$\geq \min \left\{ \delta F_{Z_{2n-1},Z_{2n+1}}(u), \delta F_{Z_{2n-1},Z_{2n}}(u), \delta F_{Z_{2n},Z_{2n+1}}(u), 1, \delta F_{Z_{2n-1},Z_{2n}}(u), \delta F_{Z_{2n},Z_{2n+1}}(\alpha u) \right\}$$

$$= \min \left\{ \delta F_{Z_{2n-1},Z_{2n}}(u), \delta F_{Z_{2n},Z_{2n+1}}(u), \delta F_{Z_{2n},Z_{2n+1}}(\alpha u) \right\}. \tag{2.39}$$

As *t*-norm = min is continuous, letting $\alpha \rightarrow 1$, we have

$$\delta F_{Z_{2n},Z_{2n+1}}(\phi(u)) \ge \min \left\{ \delta F_{Z_{2n-1},Z_{2n}}(u), \delta F_{Z_{2n},Z_{2n+1}}(u) \right\}. \tag{2.40}$$

By Lemma 2.6, we have

$$_{\delta}F_{Z_{2n},Z_{2n+1}}(\phi(u)) \ge_{\delta} F_{Z_{2n-1},Z_{2n}}(u).$$
 (2.41)

Similarly, we also can prove that for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and for all u > 0,

$$_{\delta}F_{Z_{2n+1},Z_{2n+2}}(\phi(u)) \ge {_{\delta}F_{Z_{2n},Z_{2n+1}}}(u).$$
 (2.42)

So, we have

$$_{\delta}F_{Z_{n+1},Z_{n+2}}(\phi(u)) \ge {_{\delta}F_{Z_{n},Z_{n+1}}(u)}, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}, \ u > 0.$$
 (2.43)

By Lemma 2.5, we conclude that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} {}_{\delta}F_{Z_n, Z_{n+1}}(u) = 1, \quad \forall u > 0.$$
 (*)

Now, we consider the condition (ν) with $\beta = 1$, and then we claim that

for
$$\varepsilon > 0$$
, $\lambda \in (0,1)$ there is $M(\varepsilon,\lambda) \in \mathbb{N}$ such that ${}_{\delta}F_{Z_n,Z_m}(\varepsilon) \ge 1 - \lambda$ for $n,m \ge M$. (2.44)

If it is not the case, then there exists $\varepsilon' > 0$, $\lambda' \in (0,1)$ such that for $k \in \mathbb{N}$, there exist $n_k > m_k \ge k$ such that

- (1) n_k is even and m_k is odd,
- (2) $_{\delta}F_{Z_{n_k},Z_{m_k}}(\varepsilon') < 1 \lambda'$, and
- (3) n_k is the smallest even number such that (1) and (2) hold.

By (*), we may choose $m_1 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for $n \geq m_1$,

$$_{\delta}F_{Z_{n},Z_{n+1}}\left(\min\left\{\frac{\varepsilon'}{2},\frac{\phi^{-1}(\varepsilon')-\varepsilon'}{2}\right\}\right) > 1-\lambda'. \tag{2.45}$$

So for $k > m_1$, $n_k \ge m_k + 3$, and so for $k > m_1$,

$$1 - \lambda' > \delta F_{Z_{n_{k}}, Z_{m_{k}}}(\varepsilon') = \delta F_{Sx_{n_{k}}, Tx_{m_{k}}}(\varepsilon')$$

$$\geq \min \left\{ F_{\eta f_{x_{n_{k}}}, \xi g_{x_{m_{k}}}} \left(\phi^{-1}(\varepsilon') \right), \delta F_{\eta f_{x_{n_{k}}}, S_{x_{n_{k}}}} \left(\phi^{-1}(\varepsilon') \right), \delta F_{\xi g_{x_{m_{k}}}, T_{x_{m_{k}}}} \left(\phi^{-1}(\varepsilon') \right), \\ \delta F_{\xi g_{x_{m_{k}}}, S_{x_{n_{k}}}} \left(\phi^{-1}(\varepsilon') \right), \delta F_{\eta f_{x_{n_{k}}}, T_{x_{m_{k}}}} \left(\phi^{-1}(\varepsilon') \right) \right\}$$

$$\geq \min \left\{ \delta F_{Z_{n_{k-1}}, Z_{m_{k-1}}} \left(\phi^{-1}(\varepsilon') \right), \delta F_{Z_{n_{k-1}}, Z_{n_{k}}} \left(\phi^{-1}(\varepsilon') \right), \delta F_{Z_{m_{k-1}}, Z_{m_{k}}} \left(\phi^{-1}(\varepsilon') \right), \\ \delta F_{Z_{n_{k}}, Z_{m_{k-1}}} \left(\phi^{-1}(\varepsilon') \right), \delta F_{Z_{n_{k-1}}, Z_{m_{k}}} \left(\phi^{-1}(\varepsilon') \right) \right\}.$$

$$(2.46)$$

Since

$$\delta F_{Z_{n_{k-1}},Z_{m_k}}(\phi^{-1}(\varepsilon')) \geq \min \left\{ \delta F_{Z_{n_{k-1}},Z_{m_{k-2}}}(\phi^{-1}(\varepsilon') - \varepsilon'), \delta F_{Z_{n_{k-2}},Z_{m_k}}(\varepsilon') \right\},$$

$$\delta F_{Z_{m_{k-1}},Z_{n_k}}(\phi^{-1}(\varepsilon')) \geq \min \left\{ \delta F_{Z_{m_{k-1}},Z_{m_{k-1}}}\left(\frac{\phi^{-1}(\varepsilon') + \varepsilon'}{2}\right), \delta F_{Z_{n_{k-1}},Z_{n_k}}\left(\frac{\phi^{-1}(\varepsilon') - \varepsilon'}{2}\right) \right\}$$

$$\geq \min \left\{ \delta F_{Z_{n_{k-1}},Z_{n_{k-2}}}\left(\frac{\phi^{-1}(\varepsilon') - \varepsilon'}{2}\right), \delta F_{Z_{n_{k-2}},Z_{m_{k-1}}}(\varepsilon'),$$

$$\delta F_{Z_{n_{k-1}},Z_{n_k}}\left(\frac{\phi^{-1}(\varepsilon') - \varepsilon'}{2}\right) \right\}$$

$$\geq \min \left\{ \delta F_{Z_{n_{k-1}},Z_{n_{k-2}}}\left(\frac{\phi^{-1}(\varepsilon') - \varepsilon'}{2}\right), \delta F_{Z_{n_{k-2}},Z_{m_k}}\left(\frac{\varepsilon'}{2}\right), \delta F_{Z_{m_k},Z_{m_{k-1}}}\left(\frac{\varepsilon'}{2}\right),$$

$$\delta F_{Z_{n_{k-1}},Z_{n_k}}\left(\frac{\phi^{-1}(\varepsilon') - \varepsilon'}{2}\right) \right\}$$

$$\geq \min \left\{ \delta F_{Z_{n_{k-1}},Z_{n_{k-2}}}(\varepsilon'), \delta F_{Z_{n_{k-2}},Z_{m_k}}(\varepsilon'), \delta F_{Z_{m_k},Z_{m_k}}\left(\frac{\phi^{-1}(\varepsilon') - \varepsilon'}{2}\right),$$

$$\delta F_{Z_{m_{k-1}},Z_{m_{k-1}}}(\varepsilon'), \delta F_{Z_{n_{k-1}},Z_{n_k}}\left(\frac{\phi^{-1}(\varepsilon') - \varepsilon'}{2}\right) \right\},$$

$$\delta F_{Z_{n_{k-1}},Z_{m_{k-1}}}\left(\phi^{-1}(\varepsilon')\right) \geq \min \left\{ \delta F_{Z_{n_{k-1}},Z_{n_{k-2}}}\left(\frac{\phi^{-1}(\varepsilon') - \varepsilon'}{2}\right), \delta F_{Z_{n_{k-2}},Z_{m_{k-1}}}\left(\frac{\phi^{-1}(\varepsilon') + \varepsilon'}{2}\right) \right\}$$

$$\geq \min \left\{ \delta F_{Z_{n_{k-1}},Z_{n_{k-2}}}\left(\frac{\phi^{-1}(\varepsilon') - \varepsilon'}{2}\right), \delta F_{Z_{n_{k-2}},Z_{m_k}}(\varepsilon'),$$

$$\delta F_{Z_{m_{k-1}},Z_{m_k}}\left(\frac{\phi^{-1}(\varepsilon') - \varepsilon'}{2}\right), \delta F_{Z_{n_{k-2}},Z_{m_k}}(\varepsilon'),$$

$$\delta F_{Z_{m_{k-1}},Z_{m_k}}\left(\frac{\phi^{-1}(\varepsilon') - \varepsilon'}{2}\right), \delta F_{Z_{n_{k-2}},Z_{m_k}}(\varepsilon'),$$

so for $k > m_1$, we have

$$1 - \lambda' > {}_{\delta}F_{Z_{n_{k}}, Z_{m_{k}}}(\varepsilon') \ge 1 - \lambda', \tag{2.48}$$

which is a contradiction. And, since X is complete, hence for any choice of z_n in Z_n , the sequence $\{z_n\}$ must converge to some point, say, z in X. The point z is independent of the choice of z_n and so we have

$$\eta f x_{2n} \longrightarrow z, \qquad \xi g x_{2n+1} \longrightarrow z, \qquad S x_{2n} \longrightarrow \{z\}, \qquad T x_{2n+1} \longrightarrow \{z\}.$$
(2.49)

That is, for u > 0,

$$F_{\eta f x_{2n}, z}(u) \longrightarrow 1$$
, $F_{\xi g x_{2n+1}, z}(u) \longrightarrow 1$, ${}_{\delta} F_{S x_{2n}, z}(u) \longrightarrow 1$, ${}_{\delta} F_{T x_{2n+1}, z}(u) \longrightarrow 1$ as $n \longrightarrow \infty$. (2.50)

Assume that the function ηf is continuous, then for u > 0, we have

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} F_{(\eta f)^2 x_{2n}, \eta f z}(u) = 1, \qquad \lim_{n \to \infty} \delta F_{\eta f S x_{2n}, \eta f z}(u) = 1. \tag{2.51}$$

By $\lim_{n\to\infty} F_{\eta f x_{2n},z}(u) = 1$ and $\lim_{n\to\infty} \delta F_{Sx_{2n},z}(u) = 1$, we obtain $\lim_{n\to\infty} \delta F_{Sx_{2n},\eta f x_{2n}}(u) = 1$. Since S and ηf are compatible, and for u > 0, $\lim_{n\to\infty} \delta F_{Sx_{2n},\eta f x_{2n}}(u) = 1$, we have $\lim_{n\to\infty} H^r F_{\eta f Sx_{2n},S\eta f x_{2n}}(u) = 1$ and $H^r F_{\eta f Sx_{2n},\eta f x_{2n}}(u) \ge \min\{H^r F_{\eta f Sx_{2n},S\eta f x_{2n}}(u/2), H^r F_{\eta f Sx_{2n},\eta f z}(u/2)\}$. And, since $\lim_{n\to\infty} H^r F_{\eta f Sx_{2n},S\eta f x_{2n}}(u/2) = 1$, $\lim_{n\to\infty} H^r F_{\eta f Sx_{2n},\eta f z}(u/2) = 1$, we have

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} {}_HF_{S\eta f x_{2n}, \eta f z}(u) = \lim_{n\to\infty} {}_{\delta}F_{S\eta f x_{2n}, \eta f z}(u) = 1.$$
 (2.52)

In order to complete the proof, we will divide it into 5 steps as follows: *Step 1*. For u > 0 with $\beta = 1$ in the condition (v),

$$\delta F_{S\eta f x_{2n}, T x_{2n+1}}(\phi(u)) \ge \min \left\{ F_{(\eta f)^2 x_{2n}, \xi g x_{2n+1}}(u), \delta F_{(\eta f)^2 x_{2n}, S\eta f x_{2n}}(u), \delta F_{\xi g x_{2n+1}, T x_{2n+1}}(u), \delta F_{\xi g x_{2n+1}, S\eta f x_{2n}}(u), \delta F_{(\eta f)^2 x_{2n}, T x_{2n+1}}(u) \right\}.$$

$$(2.53)$$

Taking $\lim_{n\to\infty}$, by Lemma 2.8,

$$F_{\eta f z, z}(\phi(u)) \ge \min \left\{ F_{\eta f z, z}(u), F_{\eta f z, \eta f z}(u), F_{z, z}(u), F_{\eta f z, z}(u), F_{\eta f z, z}(u) \right\} = F_{\eta f z, z}(u). \tag{2.54}$$

So we get $\eta f z = z$.

Step 2. For u > 0 with $\beta = 1$ in the condition (v),

$$_{\delta}F_{Sz,z}(\phi(u))$$

$$= \lim_{n\to\infty} \inf_{\delta} F_{Sz,Tx_{2n+1}}(\phi(u))$$

$$\geq \lim_{n \to \infty} \inf \min \left\{ F_{\eta fz, \xi g x_{2n+1}}(u), {}_{\delta}F_{\eta fz, Sz}(u), {}_{\delta}F_{\xi g x_{2n+1}, T x_{2n+1}}(u), {}_{\delta}F_{Sz, \xi g x_{2n+1}}(u), {}_{\delta}F_{\eta fz, T x_{2n+1}}(u) \right\}$$

$$\geq \min \left\{ F_{z,z}(u), {}_{\delta}F_{z,Sz}(u), F_{z,z}(u), {}_{\delta}F_{z,Sz}(u), F_{z,z}(u) \right\} = {}_{\delta}F_{z,Sz}(u). \tag{2.55}$$

So we get $Sz = \{z\}$.

Hence, by Steps 1 and 2, we have $Sz = \{z\} = \{\eta f z\}$.

Step 3. By the condition (i), since $SX \subset \xi gX$, there exists $z' \in X$ such that $\{\xi gz'\} = Sz = \{z\}$.

So for any u > 0 with $\beta = 1$ in the condition (v)

$$\delta F_{Sx_{2n},Tz'}(\phi(u)) \\ \geq \min \left\{ F_{\eta f x_{2n},\xi gz'}(u), \delta F_{\eta f x_{2n},Sx_{2n}}(u), \delta F_{\xi gz',Tz'}(u), \delta F_{\eta fz',Sx_{2n}}(u), \delta F_{\eta f x_{2n},Tz'}(u) \right\}. \tag{2.56}$$

Taking $\lim_{n\to\infty}$ inf, by Lemma 2.8,

$$\delta F_{z,Tz'}(\phi(u)) \ge \min \left\{ F_{z,z}(u), F_{z,z}(u), \delta F_{z,Tz'}(u), F_{z,z}(u), \delta F_{z,Tz'}(u) \right\} = \delta F_{z,Tz'}(u).$$
 (2.57)

So we get $Tz' = \{z\}$. Hence, $\{\xi gz'\} = \{z\} = Tz'$.

By Step 2, we may let $\{z\} = \{\eta f z\} = \{Sz\} = \{\xi g z'\} = \{Tz'\}.$

Since *S* and ηf are compatible and $\{\eta f z\} = Sz$, we get $\eta f Sz = S\eta f z$, that is, $\{\eta f z\} = Sz$. Now,

$$\delta F_{Sz,z}(\phi(u)) = \delta F_{Sz,Tz'}(\phi(u))$$

$$\geq \min \left\{ F_{\eta fz,\xi gz'}(u), \delta F_{\eta fz,Sz}(u), \delta F_{\xi gz',Tz'}(u), \delta F_{\eta fz,Tz'}(u), \delta F_{Sz,\xi gz'}(u) \right\}$$

$$= \delta F_{\eta fz,z}(u) = \delta F_{Sz,z}(u).$$
(2.58)

This implies $Sz = \{z\} = \{\eta f z\}.$

Choose z' in X such that $\{\xi gz'\} = Sz = \{z\}$, then

$$\delta F_{z,Tz'}(\phi(u))$$

$$= \delta F_{Sz,Tz'}(\phi(u))$$

$$\geq \min \left\{ F_{\eta fz,\xi gz'}, \delta F_{\eta fz,Sz}(u), \delta F_{\xi gz',Tz'}(u) \delta F_{\eta fz,Tz'}(u), \delta F_{Sz,\xi gz'}(u) \right\} = \delta F_{z,Tz'}(u). \tag{2.59}$$

By Lemma 2.6, we get $Tz' = \{z\}$.

Since T and ξg are compatible and $\{\xi gz'\} = Tz'$, we get $T\xi gz' = \xi gTz'$, that is, $Tz = \{\xi gz\}$.

Now, for u > 0,

$$\delta F_{Sz,Tz}(\phi(u))$$

$$\geq \min \left\{ F_{\eta fz,\xi gz}(u), \delta F_{\eta fz,Sz}(u), \delta F_{\xi gz,Tz}(u), \delta F_{\eta fz,Tz}(u), \delta F_{Sz,\xi gz}(u) \right\}$$

$$= F_{\eta fz,\xi gz}(u) =_{\delta} F_{Sz,Tz}(u).$$
(2.60)

So we have $Sz = Tz = {\eta f z} = {\xi g z} = {z}.$

Step 4. For u > 0 with $\beta = 1$ in the condition (v), we get

$$_{\delta}F_{Sfz,Tx_{2n+1}}(\phi(u))$$

$$\geq \min \{F_{\eta f f z, \xi g x_{2n+1}}(u), \delta F_{\eta f f z, S f z}(u), \delta F_{\xi g x_{2n+1}, T x_{2n+1}}(u), \delta F_{\xi g x_{2n+1}, S f z}(u), \delta F_{\eta f f z, T x_{2n+1}}(u)\}. \tag{2.61}$$

By the condition (ii), $\eta f = f \eta$, Sf = f S, so we have $\eta f(fz) = f(\eta fz) = fz$ and $S(fz) = \{f(Sz)\} = \{fz\}$. Taking $\lim_{n \to \infty} \inf$, by Lemma 2.8,

$$F_{fz,z}(\phi(u)) \ge \min \left\{ F_{fz,z}(u), F_{fz,fz}(u), F_{z,z}(u), F_{z,fz}(u), F_{fz,z}(u) \right\} = F_{fz,z}(u). \tag{2.62}$$

So we get fz = z.

Hence, by Steps 1 and 4, we have $\eta fz = z$ and fz = z, which implies $\eta z = z$. Therefore, $\{z\} = \{fz\} = \{\eta z\} = Sz$.

Step 5. For u > 0 with $\beta = 1$ in condition (v), we get

$$\delta F_{Sx_{2n},Tgz}(\phi(u)) \\ \geq \min \{ F_{\eta f x_{2n},\xi ggz}(u), \delta F_{\eta f x_{2n},Sx_{2n}}(u), \delta F_{\xi ggz,Tgz}(u), \delta F_{\xi ggz,Sx_{2n}}(u), \delta F_{\eta f x_{2n},Tgz}(u) \}.$$
(2.63)

Since Tg = gT and $\xi g = g\xi$, we have $Tgz = \{gTz\} = \{gz\}$ and $\xi g(gz) = g(\xi gz) = gz$. Taking $\lim_{n\to\infty}\inf$, by Lemma 2.8, we get

$$F_{z,gz}(\phi(u)) \ge \min \{F_{z,gz}(u), F_{z,z}(u), F_{gz,gz}(u), F_{gz,z}(u), F_{z,gz}(u)\} = F_{z,gz}(u). \tag{2.64}$$

So we get gz = z.

Hence, by Steps 3 and 5, we have $\xi gz = z$ and gz = z, which implies $\xi z = z$.

So we have $\{z\} = \{gz\} = \{\xi z\} = Tz$.

Therefore, we have

$${z} = {fz} = {gz} = {\eta z} = {\xi z} = Sz = Tz.$$
 (2.65)

Last, we want to prove the uniqueness. Let y be the another commom fixed point of η , f, ξ , g, S, and T. Then for u > 0,

$$F_{z,y}(\phi(u)) = {}_{\delta}F_{Sz,Ty}(\phi(u))$$

$$\geq \min \{F_{\eta fz,\xi gy}(u),{}_{\delta}F_{\eta fz,Sz}(u),{}_{\delta}F_{\xi gy,Ty}(u),{}_{\delta}F_{\xi gy,Sz}(u),{}_{\delta}F_{\eta fz,Ty}(u)\}$$

$$\geq \min \{F_{z,y}(u),F_{z,z}(u),F_{y,y}(u),F_{y,z}(u),F_{ygz}(u)\} = F_{z,y}(u).$$
(2.66)

This implies y = z. We complete the proof.

If we take f = g = I, the identity map on X in Theorem 2.9, then we immediately have the following corollary.

COROLLARY 2.10. Let (X, \mathcal{F}, \min) be a complete Menger space. Let $\eta, \xi : X \to X$ be two single-valued functions, and let $S, T : X \to B(X)$ be two set-valued functions. If the following conditions are satisfied:

- (i) $S(X) \subset \xi(X)$, $T(X) \subset \eta(X)$,
- (ii) η or ξ is continuous,
- (iii) (S, η) and (T, ξ) are compatible,
- (iv) for u > 0,

$$\delta F_{Sx,Ty}(\phi(u)) \ge \min \left\{ F_{\eta x,\xi y}(u), \delta F_{\eta x,Sx}(u), \delta F_{\xi y,Ty}(u), \delta F_{\xi y,Sx}(\beta u), \delta F_{\eta x,Ty}((2-\beta)u) \right\}$$
(2.67)

for all $x, y \in X$, $\beta \in (0,2)$, where $\phi \in \Phi$, then η , ξ , S, and T have a unique common fixed point z in X.

By the same process of the proof of Theorem 2.9, we also get the results of Theorem 2.11.

THEOREM 2.11. Let (X, \mathcal{F}, \min) be a complete Menger space. Let $f, g, \eta, \xi : X \to X$ be four single-valued functions, and let $S, T : X \to B(X)$ be two set-valued functions. If the following conditions are satisfied:

- (i) $S(X) \subset \xi g(X)$, $T(X) \subset \eta f(X)$,
- (ii) $\eta f = f \eta$, $\xi g = g \xi$, S f = f S, T g = g T,
- (iii) ηf or ξg is continuous,
- (iv) $(S, \eta f)$ and $(T, \xi g)$ are compatible,
- (v) for u > 0,

$$\delta F_{Sx,Ty}(\phi(u)) \ge \min \left\{ F_{\eta f x,\xi g y}(u), \delta F_{\eta f x,Sx}(u), \delta F_{\xi g y,Ty}(u), D F_{\xi g y,Sx}(u) + D F_{\eta f x,Ty}(u) \right\}$$
(2.68)

for all $x, y \in X$, where $\phi \in \Phi$, then f, g, η , ξ , S, and T have a unique common fixed point z in X.

If we take f = g = I, the identity map on X in Theorem 2.11, then we immediately have the following corollary.

COROLLARY 2.12. Let (X, \mathcal{F}, \min) be a complete Menger space. Let $\eta, \xi : X \to X$ be two single-valued functions, and let $S, T : X \to B(X)$ be two set-valued functions. If the following conditions are satisfied:

- (i) $S(X) \subset \xi(X)$, $T(X) \subset \eta(X)$,
- (ii) η or ξ is continuous,
- (iii) (S, η) and (T, ξ) are compatible,
- (iv) for u > 0,

$$\delta F_{Sx,Ty}(\phi(u)) \ge \min \left\{ F_{\eta x,\xi y}(u), \delta F_{\eta x,Sx}(u), \delta F_{\xi y,Ty}(u), D F_{\xi y,Sx}(u) + D F_{\eta x,Ty}(u) \right\}$$
(2.69)

for all $x, y \in X$, where $\phi \in \Phi$, then η , ξ , S, and T have a unique common fixed point z in X.

References

- [1] G. L. Cain Jr. and R. H. Kasriel, *Fixed and periodic points of local contraction mappings on probabilistic metric spaces*, Mathematical Systems Theory **9** (1975/1976), no. 4, 289–297.
- [2] K. P. Chamola, Fixed points of mappings satisfying a new contraction condition in random normed spaces, Mathematica Japonica 33 (1988), no. 6, 821–825.
- [3] T.-H. Chang, Common fixed point theorems in Menger spaces, Bulletin of the Institute of Mathematics. Academia Sinica 22 (1994), no. 1, 17–29.
- [4] R. Dedeić and N. Sarapa, On common fixed point theorems for commuting mappings on Menger spaces, Radovi Matematički 4 (1988), no. 2, 269–278.
- [5] ______, A common fixed point theorem for three mappings on Menger spaces, Mathematica Japonica **34** (1989), no. 6, 919–923.
- [6] K. Menger, Statistical metrics, Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 28 (1942), 535–537.
- [7] S. N. Mishra, Common fixed points of compatible mappings in PM-spaces, Mathematica Japonica **36** (1991), no. 2, 283–289.
- [8] B. Schweizer and A. Sklar, *Statistical metric spaces*, Pacific Journal of Mathematics **10** (1960), 313–334.

- 15
- [9] V. M. Sehgal and A. T. Bharucha-Reid, *Fixed points of contraction mappings on probabilistic metric spaces*, Mathematical Systems Theory **6** (1972), no. 1-2, 97–102.
- [10] S. L. Singh and B. D. Pant, Coincidence and fixed point theorems for a family of mappings of Menger spaces and extension to uniform spaces, Mathematica Japonica 33 (1988), no. 6, 957–973.
- [11] ______, Common fixed points of a family of mappings in Menger and uniform spaces, Rivista di Matematica della Università di Parma. Serie IV 14 (1988), 81–85 (1989).
- [12] M. Stojaković, Common fixed point theorems in complete metric and probabilistic metric spaces, Bulletin of the Australian Mathematical Society **36** (1987), no. 1, 73–88.

Chi-Ming Chen: Department of Applied Mathematics, National Hsin-Chu University of Education, 300 Taiwan, China

E-mail address: ming@mail.nhcue.edu.tw

Tong-Huei Chang: Department of Applied Mathematics, National Hsin-Chu University of Education, 300 Taiwan, China

E-mail address: thchang@mail.nhcue.edu.tw

















Submit your manuscripts at http://www.hindawi.com























