

## Research Article

# Padé Approximants in Complex Points Revisited

**Fahima Hebhouh and Lidiya Yushchenko**

*Centre de Physique Théorique, CNRS, Luminy Case 907, 13288 Marseille Cedex 09, France*

Correspondence should be addressed to Fahima Hebhouh, fahimahebhouh@gmail.com

Received 23 November 2010; Accepted 12 January 2011

Academic Editor: Pei Yuan Wu

Copyright © 2011 F. Hebhouh and L. Yushchenko. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

The class of complexsymmetric functions contains the Stieltjes functions. The aim of this work is to give some new results concerning the location of zeros and poles of Padé approximants using the Taylor series of functions developed in neighborhoods of complex points and their conjugate points.

## 1. Introduction

In 1976, Chisholm et al. [1] published a paper concerning the location of poles and zeros of Padé approximants of  $\ln(1 - z)$  developed at the complex point  $\zeta$ :  $\ln(1 - z) = \ln(1 - \zeta) - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 1/n(z - \zeta/1 - \zeta)^n$ . They claimed that all poles and zeros of diagonal Padé approximants  $[n/n]$  interlace on the cut  $z = \zeta + t(1 - \zeta)$ ,  $t \in [1, \infty[$ . Unfortunately, this result is only partially true, for poles. Klarsfeld remarked in 1981 [2] that the zeros do not follow this rule. The study of this problem was the starting motivation of the present work. We consider the general class of complexsymmetric functions  $f$ , that is, functions satisfying the following condition:

$$f(\bar{z}) = \overline{f(z)}. \quad (1.1)$$

In particular, if  $\zeta$  and  $\bar{\zeta}$  are two complex conjugate points, then

$$f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n (z - \zeta)^n, \quad f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \bar{c}_n (z - \bar{\zeta})^n, \quad (1.2)$$

that is, the coefficients of these two series are also complex conjugates, This property is the basic element of all our proofs.

Let us introduce some definitions and notations.

The 1-point Padé approximant (PA), or simply Padé approximant  $[m/n]$  to  $f$  at the point  $\zeta$  is a rational function  $P_m/Q_n$  if and only if

$$f(z) - \frac{P_m(z)}{Q_n(z)} = O\left((z - \zeta)^{m+n+1}\right). \quad (1.3)$$

Because the existence of PA defined by (1.3) implies the invertibility of  $Q_n$ , the equivalent definition is

$$Q_n(z)f(z) - P_m(z) = O\left((z - \zeta)^{m+n+1}\right), \quad Q_n(\zeta) = 1. \quad (1.4)$$

This definition leads to the following linear system for the coefficients of polynomials  $Q_n$  and  $P_m$ :

$$Q_n(z) = 1 + q_1(z - \zeta) + \dots + q_n(z - \zeta)^n, \quad (1.5)$$

$$P_m(z) = p_0 + p_1(z - \zeta) + \dots + p_m(z - \zeta)^m, \quad (1.6)$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} c_m & c_{m-1} & c_{m-2} & \dots & c_{m-n+1} \\ c_{m+1} & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ c_{m+n-1} & c_{m+n-2} & c_{m+n-3} & \dots & c_m \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} q_1 \\ q_2 \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ q_n \end{pmatrix} = - \begin{pmatrix} c_{m+1} \\ c_{m+2} \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ c_{m+n} \end{pmatrix}, \quad c_{-|k|} \equiv 0, \quad (1.7)$$

$$k = 0, 1, \dots, m : -P_k + \sum_{j=1}^n c_{k-j} q_j = -c_k. \quad (1.8)$$

The PA exists iff the system (1.7) has a solution. The following so-called Padé form [3] defines PA if it exists (and other rational functions in the square blocs in the Padé table if (1.7) has no solution); for simplicity, it is written for the case  $\zeta = 0$ ,

$$\frac{P_m(z)}{Q_n(z)} = \frac{\begin{vmatrix} C_{(m)}(z) & zC_{(m-1)}(z) & z^2C_{(m-2)}(z) & \dots & z^n C_{(m-n)}(z) \\ c_{m+1} & c_m & c_{m-1} & \dots & c_{m-n+1} \\ c_{m+2} & c_{m+1} & c_m & \dots & c_{m-n+2} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \dots & \vdots \\ c_{m+n} & c_{m+n-1} & c_{m+n-2} & \dots & c_m \end{vmatrix}}{\begin{vmatrix} 1 & z & z^2 & \dots & z^n \\ c_{m+1} & c_m & c_{m-1} & \dots & c_{m-n+1} \\ c_{m+2} & c_{m+1} & c_m & \dots & c_{m-n+2} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \dots & \vdots \\ c_{m+n} & c_{m+n-1} & c_{m+n-2} & \dots & c_m \end{vmatrix}}, \quad (1.9)$$

where

$$C_{(k)}(z) = \begin{cases} \sum_{j=0}^k c_j z^j & \text{if } k \geq 0, \\ 0 & \text{if } k < 0. \end{cases} \tag{1.10}$$

Let  $f$  be a function defined at the points  $\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_N \in \mathbb{C}$  and having at these points the following power expansions:

$$i = 1, 2, \dots, N : \sum_{k=0}^{p_i-1} c_k(\zeta_i)(z - \zeta_i)^k + O((z - \zeta_i)^{p_i}). \tag{1.11}$$

An  $N$ -point Padé approximant (NPA)  $[m/n]$  at the points  $\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_N$  noted

$$\left[ \begin{matrix} m \\ n \end{matrix} \right]_{\zeta_1 \zeta_2 \dots \zeta_N}^{p_1 p_2 \dots p_N}(z) = \frac{P_m(z)}{Q_n(z)} = \frac{a_0 + a_1 z + \dots + a_m z^m}{1 + b_1 z + \dots + b_n z^n}, \tag{1.12}$$

where

$$p := p_1 + p_2 + \dots + p_N = m + n + 1 \tag{1.13}$$

is defined by

$$i = 1, 2, \dots, N : f(z) - \left[ \begin{matrix} m \\ n \end{matrix} \right]_{\zeta_1 \zeta_2 \dots \zeta_N}^{p_1 p_2 \dots p_N}(z) = O((z - \zeta_i)^{p_i}). \tag{1.14}$$

This leads to the following definition like (1.4):

$$i = 1, 2, \dots, N : Q_n(z)f(z) - P_m(z) = O((z - \zeta_i)^{p_i}), \tag{1.15}$$

representing  $m + n + 1$  linear equations.

## 2. Zeros and Poles of Padé Approximants of $\ln(1 - z)$

This function studied in [1] is related to the Stieltjes function

$$f(z) = \int_0^1 \frac{dx}{1 - xz} = -\frac{1}{z} \ln(1 - z) \tag{2.1}$$

defined in the cut-plane  $\mathbb{C} \setminus [1, \infty[$ . The zeros and poles of PA defined by a power series of  $f$  expanded at the real points interlace on the cut  $]1, \infty[$ . What does happen if PA is defined at the complex point  $\zeta$ ? Klarsfeld remarked [2] that Chisholm result [1] is wrong and showed

that poles of the PA of  $\ln(1 - z)$  follow the cut  $z = \zeta + t(1 - \zeta), t \geq 1$ , as mentioned in the introduction, but not the zeros. We generalize this result to all  $m \geq n$ . For convenience, let us introduce the following notations:

$$\text{if } \zeta = 0 : f(z) = \ln(1 - z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n z^n = -\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} z^n \quad (2.2)$$

$$\text{if } \zeta \neq 0 : f(z) = \ln(1 - \zeta) + \ln\left(1 - \frac{z - \zeta}{1 - \zeta}\right) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n^* (z - \zeta)^n = \ln(1 - \zeta) - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} \left(\frac{z - \zeta}{1 - \zeta}\right)^n, \quad (2.3)$$

then we have

$$c_0^* = \ln(1 - \zeta), \quad n \geq 1 : c_n^* = \frac{c_n}{(1 - \zeta)^n}. \quad (2.4)$$

**Theorem 2.1.** Let  $[m/n]$  and  $[m/n]^*$ ,  $m \geq n$ , be the Padé approximants of the function  $f(z) = \ln(1 - z)$  developed at the points  $z = 0$  and  $z = \zeta$ , respectively, then if  $z_k (k = 1, 2, \dots, n)$  denotes a pole of  $[m/n]$ , then

$$z_k^* = \zeta + z_k(1 - \zeta) \quad (2.5)$$

denotes the pole of  $[m/n]^*$ . In other words, the poles of  $[m/n]^*$  locate on the cut

$$z = \zeta + t(1 - \zeta), \quad t \geq 1, \quad (2.6)$$

directed by the straight line joining the point of development of  $f : z = \zeta$  with the branch point  $z = 1$ . The zeros of  $[m/n]^*$  locate out of this line.

*Proof.* We can readily verify this theorem looking at the formula (1.9) and considering the numerator  $P_m^*$  and the denominator  $Q_n^*$  of  $[m/n]^*$  and the relation (2.4). The denominator  $Q_n^*$  expressed in the variable  $(z - \zeta)/(1 - \zeta)$  has the same coefficients as  $Q_n$  of  $[m/n]$  if  $c_0^*$  do not occur in its definition, that is, if  $m - n + 1 > 0$  and if  $m \geq n$ . More exactly, we have

$$Q_n^*(z) = \frac{1}{(1 - \zeta)^{mn}} Q_n\left(\frac{z - \zeta}{1 - \zeta}\right). \quad (2.7)$$

That is, in this case, the poles of  $[m/n]^*$  follow the way of poles of  $[m/n]$  rotated by some angle around the branch point which gives (2.5) and (2.6). On the contrary, the definition of  $P_m^*$  always contains  $c_0^*$ , and then the zeros of  $[m/n]^*$  locate out of (2.6).  $\square$

The location of the zeros of  $[m/n]^*$  remains an open problem. However, the following remarks can unlock, may be, this question. The particular case of Gilewicz theorem [3, page 217] says that if  $[n/n]_f$  is a PA of some function  $f$  and  $\alpha$  a constant, then

$$\left[ \frac{n}{n} \right]_f + \alpha = \left[ \frac{n}{n} \right]_{f+\alpha}. \tag{2.8}$$

This readily leads to the following theorem, where all notations are the same as in Theorem 2.1 except  $c_0^*$  which is replaced by an arbitrary constant  $\alpha$ .

**Theorem 2.2.** Let  $f(z) = \ln(1 - z) - \ln(1 - \zeta) = \ln(1 - z/1 - \zeta)$  and

$$\left[ \frac{n}{n} \right]_f(z) = \frac{P_n(z - \zeta)/(1 - \zeta)}{Q_n(z - \zeta)/(1 - \zeta)} = \frac{p_0 + p_1(z - \zeta)/(1 - \zeta) + \dots + p_n(z - \zeta/1 - \zeta)^n}{1 + q_1(z - \zeta/1 - \zeta) + \dots + q_n(z - \zeta/1 - \zeta)^n}, \tag{2.9}$$

then

$$\left[ \frac{n}{n} \right]^*(z) = \left[ \frac{n}{n} \right]_{f+\alpha}(z) = \frac{P_n^*(z - \zeta)/(1 - \zeta)}{Q_n^*(z - \zeta)/(1 - \zeta)} = \frac{\alpha Q_n(z - \zeta)/(1 - \zeta) + P_n(z - \zeta)/(1 - \zeta)}{Q_n(z - \zeta)/(1 - \zeta)}. \tag{2.10}$$

If  $\alpha = 0$ , then the poles and also the zeros simulate the cut  $\zeta + t(1 - \zeta)$ ,  $t \geq 0$ . The problem consists to analyze the behavior of the zeros of  $P_n^*$  as a function of  $\alpha$  with  $\alpha \in [0, c_0^* = \ln(1 - \zeta)]$ .

### 3. Zeros and Poles of Padé Approximants at Complex Conjugate Points

In this section,  $[m/n]_f(z - \zeta)$  and  $[m/n]_f^*(z - \bar{\zeta})$  denote the Padé approximants of a complexsymmetric function  $f$  at the point  $\zeta$  and its complex conjugate  $\bar{\zeta}$ , respectively.

**Theorem 3.1.** Let  $[m/n]$  and  $[m/n]^*$  be Padé approximants of a complexsymmetric function  $f$  at  $\zeta$  and  $\bar{\zeta}$ , then the zeros and the poles of  $[m/n]$  are complex conjugates of the corresponding zeros and poles of  $[m/n]^*$ .

*Proof.* Equation (1.1) gives

$$f(z) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} c_i(z - \zeta)^i = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \bar{c}_i(z - \bar{\zeta})^i. \tag{3.1}$$

Now,  $[m/n](z - \zeta) = P_m/Q_n$ ,  $Q_n = 1 + q_1(z - \zeta) + \dots + q_n(z - \zeta)^n$ , where  $q_i$  are defined by the linear system (1.7). We identify the solutions  $\bar{q}_i$  as coefficients of  $Q_n^*$  due to (3.1):  $Q_n^* = 1 + \bar{q}_1(z - \bar{\zeta}) + \dots + \bar{q}_n(z - \bar{\zeta})^n$ . Then, the zeros of  $Q_n^*$  (poles of  $[m/n]^*$ ) are the complex conjugates of those of  $Q_n$ . The same arguments are used for the system (1.8) and for  $P_m$  and  $P_m^*$  which completes the proof.  $\square$

#### 4. $N$ -Point Padé Approximants of the Complexsymmetric Functions

The following theorem is the consequence of Theorem 3.1.

**Theorem 4.1.** *Let  $f$  be a complexsymmetric function, then the zeros and poles of 2-point Padé approximant  $[m/n]_{\zeta}^l \frac{l'}{\bar{\zeta}}$  of  $f$  are complex conjugates of the zeros and poles of  $[m/n]_{\bar{\zeta}}^{l'} \frac{l}{\zeta}$ , where  $l$  and  $l'$  are the arbitrary integers satisfying the condition  $l + l' = m + n + 1$ .*

*Proof.* In this case, the NPA is defined by two linear systems like (1.7) and (1.8) leading from

$$fQ_n - P_m = O\left((z - \zeta)^l\right), \quad fQ_n - P_m = O\left((z - \bar{\zeta})^{l'}\right), \quad (4.1)$$

and for the second NPA leading from

$$fQ_n - P_m = O\left((z - \zeta)^{l'}\right), \quad fQ_n - P_m = O\left((z - \bar{\zeta})^l\right). \quad (4.2)$$

The same arguments as those used in the proof of Theorem 3.1 can be used to transform the system (4.1) to (4.2), and then to obtain the result of Theorem 4.1 on the basis of Theorem 3.1.  $\square$

**Corollary 4.2.** *Let  $f$  be a complexsymmetric function, then the zeros and poles of NPA  $[m/n]_{\zeta_1 \zeta_2 \dots \zeta_k}^{l_1 l_2 \dots l_k} \frac{l'_1 l'_2 \dots l'_k}{\bar{\zeta}_1 \bar{\zeta}_2 \dots \bar{\zeta}_k}$  of  $f$  are complex conjugates of the zeros and poles of  $[m/n]_{\bar{\zeta}_1 \bar{\zeta}_2 \dots \bar{\zeta}_k}^{l'_1 l'_2 \dots l'_k} \frac{l_1 l_2 \dots l_k}{\zeta_1 \zeta_2 \dots \zeta_k}$ , where  $l_1 + l_2 + \dots + l_k + l'_1 + l'_2 + \dots + l'_k = m + n + 1$ .*

We also prove the following

**Theorem 4.3.** *Let  $f$  be a complexsymmetric function, then all coefficients of  $N$ -point Padé approximant  $[m/n]_{\zeta_1 \dots \zeta_k}^{l_1 \dots l_k} \frac{l_1 \dots l_k}{\bar{\zeta}_1 \dots \bar{\zeta}_k}$  of  $f$  are real.*

*Proof.* In the last corollary, all  $l_i = l'_i$ , then two NPA are equal, and then, because the coefficients of the first NPA are complex conjugates of the coefficients of the second NPA, they are real. Unfortunately, it is not true that all the zeros and poles of these NPA are real.  $\square$

Unfortunately, it is not true that all the zeros and poles of these NPA are real.

#### 5. Conclusion

In many numerical experiments with Padé approximants or  $N$ -point Padé approximants at the complex points, we are not able to detect any clear regularity related to the location of poles and zeros. However, it seems that their positions follow some well-defined corridors. In the present time, many general problems in this field remain open.

## Acknowledgment

This work was conducted under direction of professor Jacek Gilewicz. The authors would like to thank professor Jacek Gilewicz for many useful suggestions which helped to improve this paper.

## References

- [1] J. S. R. Chisholm, A. C. Genz, and M. Pusterla, "A method for computing Feynman amplitudes with branch cuts," *Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics*, vol. 2, no. 2, pp. 73–76, 1976.
- [2] S. Klarsfeld, "Padé approximants and related methods for computing boundary values on cuts," in *Padé Approximation and Its Applications*, vol. 888 of *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*, pp. 255–262, Springer, Berlin, Germany, 1981.
- [3] J. Gilewicz, *Approximants de Padé*, vol. 667 of *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*, Springer, Berlin, Germany, 1978.



# Hindawi

Submit your manuscripts at  
<http://www.hindawi.com>

