Research Article

The Fekete-Szegő Problem for p -Valently Janowski Starlike and Convex Functions

Toshio Hayami¹ and Shigeyoshi Owa²

¹ School of Science and Technology, Kwansei Gakuin University, Sanda, Hyogo 669-1337, Japan

² Department of Mathematics, Kinki University, Higashi-Osaka, Osaka 577-8502, Japan

Correspondence should be addressed to Shigeyoshi Owa, owa@math.kindai.ac.jp

Received 13 January 2011; Accepted 4 May 2011

Academic Editor: A. Zayed

Copyright © 2011 T. Hayami and S. Owa. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

For p -valently Janowski starlike and convex functions defined by applying subordination for the generalized Janowski function, the sharp upper bounds of a functional $|a_{p+2} - \mu a_{p+1}^2|$ related to the Fekete-Szegő problem are given.

1. Introduction

Let $\mathcal{A}_p$ denote the family of functions $f(z)$ normalized by

$$f(z) = z^p + \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} a_n z^n \quad (p = 1, 2, 3, \dots), \quad (1.1)$$

which are analytic in the open unit disk $\mathbb{U} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$. Furthermore, let $\mathcal{W}$ be the class of functions $w(z)$ of the form

$$w(z) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} w_k z^k, \quad (1.2)$$

which are analytic and satisfy $|w(z)| < 1$ in $\mathbb{U}$. Then, a function $w(z) \in \mathcal{W}$ is called the Schwarz function. If $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}_p$ satisfies the following condition

$$\operatorname{Re} \left[1 + \frac{1}{b} \left(\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} - p \right) \right] > 0 \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}), \quad (1.3)$$

for some complex number b ($b \neq 0$), then $f(z)$ is said to be p -valently starlike function of complex order b . We denote by $\mathcal{S}_b^*(p)$ the subclass of $\mathcal{A}_p$ consisting of all functions $f(z)$ which are p -valently starlike functions of complex order b . Similarly, we say that $f(z)$ is a member of the class $\mathcal{K}_b(p)$ of p -valently convex functions of complex order b in $\mathbb{U}$ if $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}_p$ satisfies

$$\operatorname{Re} \left[1 + \frac{1}{b} \left(\frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} - (p-1) \right) \right] > 0 \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}), \quad (1.4)$$

for some complex number b ($b \neq 0$).

Next, let $F(z) = zf'(z)/f(z) = u + iv$ and $b = \rho e^{i\varphi}$ ($\rho > 0$, $0 \leq \varphi < 2\pi$). Then, the condition of the definition of $\mathcal{S}_b^*(p)$ is equivalent to

$$\operatorname{Re} \left[1 + \frac{1}{b} \left(\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} - p \right) \right] = 1 + \frac{\cos \varphi}{\rho} (u - p) + \frac{\sin \varphi}{\rho} v > 0. \quad (1.5)$$

We denote by $d(l_1, p)$ the distance between the boundary line $l_1 : (\cos \varphi)u + (\sin \varphi)v + \rho - p \cos \varphi = 0$ of the half plane satisfying the condition (1.5) and the point $F(0) = p$. A simple computation gives us that

$$d(l_1, p) = \frac{|\cos \varphi \times p + \sin \varphi \times 0 + \rho - p \cos \varphi|}{\sqrt{\cos^2 \varphi + \sin^2 \varphi}} = \rho, \quad (1.6)$$

that is, that $d(l_1, p)$ is always equal to $|b| = \rho$ regardless of φ . Thus, if we consider the circle C_1 with center at p and radius ρ , then we can know the definition of $\mathcal{S}_b^*(p)$ means that $F(\mathbb{U})$ is covered by the half plane separated by a tangent line of C_1 and containing C_1 . For $p = 1$, the same things are discussed by Hayami and Owa [3].

Then, we introduce the following function:

$$p(z) = \frac{1 + Az}{1 + Bz} \quad (-1 \leq B < A \leq 1), \quad (1.7)$$

which has been investigated by Janowski [4]. Therefore, the function $p(z)$ given by (1.7) is said to be the Janowski function. Furthermore, as a generalization of the Janowski function, Kuroki et al. [6] have investigated the Janowski function for some complex parameters A and B which satisfy one of the following conditions:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(i)} \quad & A \neq B, \quad |B| < 1, \quad |A| \leq 1, \quad \operatorname{Re}(1 - A\bar{B}) \geq |A - B|; \\ \text{(ii)} \quad & A \neq B, \quad |B| = 1, \quad |A| \leq 1, \quad 1 - A\bar{B} > 0. \end{aligned} \quad (1.8)$$

Here, we note that the Janowski function generalized by the conditions (1.8) is analytic and univalent in $\mathbb{U}$, and satisfies $\operatorname{Re}(p(z)) > 0$ ($z \in \mathbb{U}$). Moreover, Kuroki and Owa [5] discussed the fact that the condition $|A| \leq 1$ can be omitted from among the conditions in (1.8)-(i) as

the conditions for A and B to satisfy $\operatorname{Re}(p(z)) > 0$. In the present paper, we consider the more general Janowski function $p(z)$ as follows:

$$p(z) = \frac{p + Az}{1 + Bz} \quad (p = 1, 2, 3, \dots), \quad (1.9)$$

for some complex parameter A and some real parameter B ($A \neq pB$, $-1 \leq B \leq 0$). Then, we don't need to discuss the other cases because for the function:

$$q(z) = \frac{p + A_1 z}{1 + B_1 z} \quad (A_1, B_1 \in \mathbb{C}, A_1 \neq pB_1, |B_1| \leq 1), \quad (1.10)$$

letting $B_1 = |B_1|e^{i\theta}$ and replacing z by $-e^{-i\theta}z$ in (1.10), we see that

$$p(z) = q\left(-e^{-i\theta}z\right) = \frac{p - A_1 e^{-i\theta}z}{1 - |B_1|z} \equiv \frac{p + Az}{1 + Bz} \quad \left(A = -A_1 e^{-i\theta}, B = -|B_1|\right), \quad (1.11)$$

maps $\mathbb{U}$ onto the same circular domain as $q(\mathbb{U})$.

Remark 1.1. For the case $B = -1$ in (1.9), we know that $p(z)$ maps $\mathbb{U}$ onto the following half plane:

$$\operatorname{Re}\left(p + \overline{A}\right)p(z) > \frac{p^2 - |A|^2}{2}, \quad (1.12)$$

and for the case $-1 < B \leq 0$ in (1.9), $p(z)$ maps $\mathbb{U}$ onto the circular domain

$$\left|p(z) - \frac{p + AB}{1 - B^2}\right| < \frac{|A + pB|}{1 - B^2}. \quad (1.13)$$

Let $p(z)$ and $q(z)$ be analytic in $\mathbb{U}$. Then, we say that the function $p(z)$ is subordinate to $q(z)$ in $\mathbb{U}$, written by

$$p(z) < q(z) \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}), \quad (1.14)$$

if there exists a function $w(z) \in \mathcal{W}$ such that $p(z) = q(w(z))$ ($z \in \mathbb{U}$). In particular, if $q(z)$ is univalent in $\mathbb{U}$, then $p(z) < q(z)$ if and only if

$$p(0) = q(0), \quad p(\mathbb{U}) \subset q(\mathbb{U}). \quad (1.15)$$

We next define the subclasses of $\mathcal{A}_p$ by applying the subordination as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{S}_p^*(A, B) &= \left\{ f(z) \in \mathcal{A}_p : \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} < \frac{p + Az}{1 + Bz} \ (z \in \mathbb{U}) \right\}, \\ \mathcal{K}_p(A, B) &= \left\{ f(z) \in \mathcal{A}_p : 1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} < \frac{p + Az}{1 + Bz} \ (z \in \mathbb{U}) \right\}, \end{aligned} \quad (1.16)$$

where $A \neq pB$, $-1 \leq B \leq 0$. We immediately know that

$$f(z) \in \mathcal{K}_p(A, B) \quad \text{iff} \quad \frac{zf'(z)}{p} \in \mathcal{S}_p^*(A, B). \quad (1.17)$$

Then, we have the next theorem.

Theorem 1.2. *If $f(z) \in \mathcal{S}_p^*(A, B)$ ($-1 < B \leq 0$), then $f(z) \in \mathcal{S}_b^*(p)$, where*

$$b = \frac{|B(-pB + \operatorname{Re}(A)) \cos \varphi + B \operatorname{Im}(A) \sin \varphi + |A - pB||}{1 - B^2} e^{i\varphi} \quad (0 \leq \varphi < 2\pi). \quad (1.18)$$

Especially, $f(z) \in \mathcal{S}_p^(A, -1)$ if and only if $f(z) \in \mathcal{S}_b^*(p)$ where $b = (p + A)/2$.*

Proof. Supposing that $zf'(z)/f(z) < (p + Az)/(1 - z)$, it follows from Remark 1.1 that

$$\operatorname{Re} \left[\left(p + \overline{A} \right) \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \right] > \frac{p^2 - |A|^2}{2}, \quad (1.19)$$

that is, that

$$\operatorname{Re} \left[2 \left(p + \overline{A} \right) \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \right] > \operatorname{Re} \left[2p \left(p + \overline{A} \right) \right] - |p + A|^2. \quad (1.20)$$

This means that

$$\operatorname{Re} \left[\frac{2(p + \overline{A})}{|p + A|^2} \left(\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} - p \right) \right] > -1, \quad (1.21)$$

which implies that

$$\operatorname{Re} \left[\frac{1}{(1/2)(p + A)} \left(\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} - p \right) \right] > -1. \quad (1.22)$$

Therefore, $f(z) \in \mathcal{S}_b^*$, where $b = (p + A)/2$. The converse is also completed.

Next, for the case $-1 < B \leq 0$, by the definition of the class $\mathcal{S}_b^*(A, B)$, if a tangent line l_2 of the circle C_2 containing the point p is parallel to the straight line $L : (\cos \theta)u + (\sin \theta)v = 0$ ($-\pi \leq \theta < \pi$), and the image $F(\mathbb{U})$ by $F(z) = zf'(z)/f(z)$ is covered by the circle C_2 , then there exists a non-zero complex number b with $\arg(b) = \theta + \pi$ and $|b| = d(l_2, p)$ such that $f(z) \in \mathcal{S}_b^*(p)$, where $d(l_2, p)$ is the distance between the tangent line l_2 and the point p . Now, for the function $p(z) = (p + Az)/(1 + Bz)$ ($A \neq pB$, $-1 < B \leq 0$), the image $p(\mathbb{U})$ is equivalent to

$$C_2 = \left\{ \omega \in \mathbb{C} : \left| \omega - \frac{p - AB}{1 - B^2} \right| < \frac{|A - pB|}{1 - B^2} \right\}, \quad (1.23)$$

and the point ξ on $\partial C_2 = \{\omega \in \mathbb{C} : |\omega - (p - AB)/(1 - B^2)| = |A - pB|/(1 - B^2)\}$ can be written by

$$\xi := \xi(\theta) = \frac{|A - pB|}{1 - B^2} e^{i\theta} + \frac{p - AB}{1 - B^2} \quad (-\pi \leq \theta < \pi). \quad (1.24)$$

Further, the tangent line l_2 of the circle C_2 through each point $\xi(\theta)$ is parallel to the straight line $L : (\cos \theta)u + (\sin \theta)v = 0$. Namely, l_2 can be represented by

$$l_2 : (\cos \theta) \left(u - \frac{|A - pB| \cos \theta + p - B \operatorname{Re}(A)}{1 - B^2} \right) + (\sin \theta) \left(v - \frac{|A - pB| \sin \theta - B \operatorname{Im}(A)}{1 - B^2} \right) = 0, \quad (1.25)$$

which implies that

$$l_2 : (\cos \theta)u + (\sin \theta)v - \frac{|A - pB| + \{p - B \operatorname{Re}(A)\} \cos \theta - B \operatorname{Im}(A) \sin \theta}{1 - B^2} = 0. \quad (1.26)$$

Then, we see that the distance $d(l_2, p)$ between the point p and the above tangent line l_2 of the circle C_2 is

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \cos \theta \times p + \sin \theta \times 0 - \frac{|A - pB| + \{p - B \operatorname{Re}(A)\} \cos \theta - B \operatorname{Im}(A) \sin \theta}{1 - B^2} \right| \\ &= \frac{|-B(-pB + \operatorname{Re}(A)) \cos \theta - B \operatorname{Im}(A) \sin \theta + |A - pB||}{1 - B^2}. \end{aligned} \quad (1.27)$$

Therefore, if the subordination

$$\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} < \frac{p + Az}{1 + Bz} \quad (A \neq pB, -1 < B \leq 0) \quad (1.28)$$

holds true, then $f(z) \in \mathcal{S}_b^*$ where

$$b = \frac{|-B(-pB + \operatorname{Re}(A)) \cos \theta - B \operatorname{Im}(A) \sin \theta + |A - pB||}{1 - B^2} e^{i(\theta + \pi)}. \quad (1.29)$$

Finally, setting $\varphi = \theta + \pi$ ($0 \leq \varphi < 2\pi$), the proof of the theorem is completed. $\square$

Noonan and Thomas [8, 9] have stated the q th Hankel determinant as

$$H_q(n) = \det \begin{pmatrix} a_n & a_{n+1} & \cdots & a_{n+q-1} \\ a_{n+1} & a_{n+2} & \cdots & a_{n+q} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ a_{n+q-1} & a_{n+q} & \cdots & a_{n+2q-2} \end{pmatrix} \quad (n, q \in \mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}). \quad (1.30)$$

This determinant is discussed by several authors with $q = 2$. For example, we can know that the functional $|H_2(1)| = |a_3 - a_2^2|$ is known as the Fekete-Szegő problem, and they consider the further generalized functional $|a_3 - \mu a_2^2|$, where $a_1 = 1$ and μ is some real number (see, [1]). The purpose of this investigation is to find the sharp upper bounds of the functional $|a_{p+2} - \mu a_{p+1}^2|$ for functions $f(z) \in \mathcal{S}_p^*(A, B)$ or $\mathcal{K}_p(A, B)$.

2. Preliminary Results

We need some lemmas to establish our results. Applying the Schwarz lemma or subordination principle.

Lemma 2.1. *If a function $w(z) \in \mathcal{W}$, then*

$$|w_1| \leq 1. \quad (2.1)$$

Equality is attained for $w(z) = e^{i\theta}z$ for any $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$.

The following lemma is obtained by applying the Schwarz-Pick lemma (see, e.g., [7]).

Lemma 2.2. *For any functions $w(z) \in \mathcal{W}$,*

$$|w_2| \leq 1 - |w_1|^2 \quad (2.2)$$

holds true. Namely, this gives us the following representation:

$$w_2 = (1 - |w_1|^2)\zeta, \quad (2.3)$$

for some ζ ($|\zeta| \leq 1$).

3. p -Valently Janowski Starlike Functions

Our first main result is contained in

Theorem 3.1. *If $f(z) \in \mathcal{S}_p^*(A, B)$, then*

$$\left| a_{p+2} - \mu a_{p+1}^2 \right| \leq \begin{cases} \frac{|(A - pB)\{(1 - 2\mu)A - ((p + 1) - 2p\mu)B\}|}{2} & (|(1 - 2\mu)A - ((p + 1) - 2p\mu)B| \geq 1), \\ \frac{|A - pB|}{2} & (|(1 - 2\mu)A - ((p + 1) - 2p\mu)B| \leq 1), \end{cases} \quad (3.1)$$

with equality for

$$f(z) = \begin{cases} \frac{z^p}{(1 + Bz)^{(pB-A)/B}} \text{ or } z^p e^{Az} (B = 0) & (|(1 - 2\mu)A - ((p + 1) - 2p\mu)B| \geq 1), \\ \frac{z^p}{(1 + Bz^2)^{(pB-A)/2B}} \text{ or } z^p e^{(A/2)z^2} (B = 0) & (|(1 - 2\mu)A - ((p + 1) - 2p\mu)B| \leq 1). \end{cases} \quad (3.2)$$

Proof. Let $f(z) \in \mathcal{S}_p^*(A, B)$. Then, there exists the function $w(z) \in \mathcal{W}$ such that

$$\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} = \frac{p + Aw(z)}{1 + Bw(z)}, \quad (3.3)$$

which means that

$$(n - p)a_n = \sum_{k=p}^{n-1} (A - kB)a_k w_{n-k} \quad (n \geq p + 1), \quad (3.4)$$

where $a_p = 1$. Thus, by the help of the relation in Lemma 2.2, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \left| a_{p+2} - \mu a_{p+1}^2 \right| &= \left| \frac{1}{2}(A - pB)\{w_2 + (A - (p + 1)B)w_1^2\} - \mu(A - pB)^2 w_1^2 \right| \\ &= \frac{|A - pB|}{2} \left| (1 - w_1^2)\zeta + \{(A - (p + 1)B) - 2\mu(A - pB)\}w_1^2 \right|. \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

Then, by Lemma 2.1, supposing that $0 \leq w_1 \leq 1$ without loss of generality, and applying the triangle inequality, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| (1 - w_1^2) \zeta + \{ (A - (p+1)B) - 2\mu(A - pB) \} w_1^2 \right| \\ & \leq 1 + \{ |(A - (p+1)B) - 2\mu(A - pB)| - 1 \} w_1^2 \\ & \leq \begin{cases} |(A - (p+1)B) - 2\mu(A - pB)| & (|(A - (p+1)B) - 2\mu(A - pB)| \geq 1; w_1 = 1), \\ 1 & (|(A - (p+1)B) - 2\mu(A - pB)| \leq 1; w_1 = 0). \end{cases} \end{aligned} \quad (3.6)$$

□

Especially, taking $\mu = (p+1)/2p$ in Theorem 3.1, we obtain the following corollary.

Corollary 3.2. *If $f(z) \in \mathcal{S}_p^*(A, B)$, then*

$$\left| a_{p+2} - \frac{p+1}{2p} a_{p+1}^2 \right| \leq \begin{cases} \frac{|A(A - pB)|}{2p} & (|A| \geq p), \\ \frac{|A - pB|}{2} & (|A| \leq p), \end{cases} \quad (3.7)$$

with equality for

$$f(z) = \begin{cases} \frac{z^p}{(1 + Bz)^{(pB-A)/B}} \text{ or } z^p e^{Az} (B = 0) & (|A| \geq p), \\ \frac{z^p}{(1 + Bz^2)^{(pB-A)/2B}} \text{ or } z^p e^{(A/2)z^2} (B = 0) & (|A| \leq p). \end{cases} \quad (3.8)$$

Furthermore, putting $A = p - 2\alpha$ and $B = -1$ for some α ($0 \leq \alpha < p$) in Theorem 3.1, we arrive at the following result by Hayami and Owa [2, Theorem 3].

Corollary 3.3. *If $f(z) \in \mathcal{S}_p^*(\alpha)$, then*

$$\left| a_{p+2} - \mu a_{p+1}^2 \right| \leq \begin{cases} (p - \alpha) \{ (2(p - \alpha) + 1) - 4(p - \alpha)\mu \} & \left(\mu \leq \frac{1}{2} \right), \\ p - \alpha & \left(\frac{1}{2} \leq \mu \leq \frac{p - \alpha + 1}{2(p - \alpha)} \right), \\ (p - \alpha) \{ 4(p - \alpha)\mu - (2(p - \alpha) + 1) \} & \left(\mu \geq \frac{p - \alpha + 1}{2(p - \alpha)} \right), \end{cases} \quad (3.9)$$

with equality for

$$f(z) = \begin{cases} \frac{z}{(1-z)^{2(p-\alpha)}} & \left(\mu \leq \frac{1}{2} \text{ or } \mu \geq \frac{p-\alpha+1}{2(p-\alpha)} \right), \\ \frac{z}{(1-z^2)^{p-\alpha}} & \left(\frac{1}{2} \leq \mu \leq \frac{p-\alpha+1}{2(p-\alpha)} \right). \end{cases} \quad (3.10)$$

4. p -Valently Janowski Convex Functions

Similarly, we consider the functional $|a_{p+2} - \mu a_{p+1}^2|$ for p -valently Janowski convex functions.

Theorem 4.1. *If $f(z) \in \mathcal{K}_p(A, B)$, then*

$$|a_{p+2} - \mu a_{p+1}^2| \leq \begin{cases} \frac{p|(A-pB)\left\{\left((p+1)^2-2p(p+2)\mu\right)A-\left((p+1)^3-2p^2(p+2)\mu\right)B\right\}|}{2(p+1)^2(p+2)}, \\ \left(\left|\left((p+1)^2-2p(p+2)\mu\right)A-\left((p+1)^3-2p^2(p+2)\mu\right)B\right|\geq(p+1)^2\right), \\ \frac{p|A-pB|}{2(p+2)}, \\ \left(\left|\left((p+1)^2-2p(p+2)\mu\right)A-\left((p+1)^3-2p^2(p+2)\mu\right)B\right|\leq(p+1)^2\right), \end{cases} \quad (4.1)$$

with equality for

$$f(z) = \begin{cases} z^p {}_2F_1\left(p, p - \frac{A}{B}; p+1; -Bz\right) \text{ or } z^p {}_1F_1(p, p+1; Az) \quad (B \neq 0), \\ \left(\left|\left((p+1)^2-2p(p+2)\mu\right)A-\left((p+1)^3-2p^2(p+2)\mu\right)B\right|\geq(p+1)^2\right), \\ z^p {}_2F_1\left(\frac{p}{2}, \frac{pB-A}{2B}; 1 + \frac{p}{2}; -Bz^2\right) \text{ or } z^p {}_1F_1\left(\frac{p}{2}, 1 + \frac{p}{2}; \frac{A}{2}z^2\right) \quad (B = 0), \\ \left(\left|\left((p+1)^2-2p(p+2)\mu\right)A-\left((p+1)^3-2p^2(p+2)\mu\right)B\right|\leq(p+1)^2\right), \end{cases} \quad (4.2)$$

where ${}_2F_1(a, b; c; z)$ represents the ordinary hypergeometric function and ${}_1F_1(a, b; z)$ represents the confluent hypergeometric function.

Proof. By the help of the relation (1.17) and Theorem 3.1, if $f(z) \in \mathcal{K}_p(A, B)$, then

$$\left| \frac{p+2}{p} a_{p+2} - \mu \frac{(p+1)^2}{p^2} a_{p+1}^2 \right| = \frac{p+2}{p} \left| a_{p+2} - \frac{(p+1)^2}{p(p+2)} \mu a_{p+1}^2 \right|, \quad (4.3)$$

$$\leq C(\mu),$$

where $C(\mu)$ is one of the values in Theorem 3.1. Then, dividing the both sides by $(p+2)/p$ and replacing $((p+1)^2/p(p+2))\mu$ by μ , we obtain the theorem. $\square$

Now, letting $\mu = (p+1)^3/2p^2(p+2)$ in Theorem 4.1, we have the following corollary.

Corollary 4.2. *If $f(z) \in \mathcal{K}_p(A, B)$, then*

$$\left| a_{p+2} - \frac{(p+1)^3}{2p^2(p+2)} a_{p+1}^2 \right| \leq \begin{cases} \frac{|A(A-pB)|}{2(p+2)} & (|A| \geq p), \\ \frac{p|A-pB|}{2(p+2)} & (|A| \leq p), \end{cases} \quad (4.4)$$

with equality for

$$f(z) = \begin{cases} z^p {}_2F_1\left(p, p - \frac{A}{B}; p+1; -Bz\right) \text{ or } z^p {}_1F_1(p, p+1; Az) & (B \neq 0) \quad (|A| \geq p), \\ z^p {}_2F_1\left(\frac{p}{2}, \frac{pB-A}{2B}; 1 + \frac{p}{2}; -Bz^2\right) \text{ or } z^p {}_1F_1\left(\frac{p}{2}, 1 + \frac{p}{2}; \frac{A}{2}z^2\right) & (B = 0) \quad (|A| \leq p), \end{cases} \quad (4.5)$$

where ${}_2F_1(a, b; c; z)$ represents the ordinary hypergeometric function and ${}_1F_1(a, b; z)$ represents the confluent hypergeometric function.

Moreover, we suppose that $A = p - 2\alpha$ and $B = -1$ for some α ($0 \leq \alpha < p$). Then, we arrive at the result by Hayami and Owa [2, Theorem 4].

Corollary 4.3. If $f(z) \in \mathcal{K}_p(\alpha)$, then

$$\left| a_{p+2} - \mu a_{p+1}^2 \right| \leq \begin{cases} \frac{p(p-\alpha) \left\{ (p+1)^2(2(p-\alpha)+1) - 4p(p+2)(p-\alpha)\mu \right\}}{(p+1)^2(p+2)} & \left(\mu \leq \frac{(p+1)^2}{2p(p+2)} \right), \\ \frac{p(p-\alpha)}{p+2} & \left(\frac{(p+1)^2}{2p(p+2)} \leq \mu \leq \frac{(p+1)^2(p-\alpha+1)}{2p(p+2)(p-\alpha)} \right), \\ \frac{p(p-\alpha) \left\{ 4p(p+2)(p-\alpha)\mu - (p+1)^2(2(p-\alpha)+1) \right\}}{(p+1)^2(p+2)} & \left(\mu \geq \frac{(p+1)^2(p-\alpha+1)}{2p(p+2)(p-\alpha)} \right), \end{cases} \quad (4.6)$$

with equality for

$$f(z) = \begin{cases} z^p {}_2F_1(p, 2(p-\alpha); p+1; z) & \left(\mu \leq \frac{(p+1)^2}{2p(p+2)} \text{ or } \mu \geq \frac{(p+1)^2(p-\alpha+1)}{2p(p+2)(p-\alpha)} \right), \\ z^p {}_2F_1\left(\frac{p}{2}, p-\alpha; 1+\frac{p}{2}; z^2\right) & \left(\frac{(p+1)^2}{2p(p+2)} \leq \mu \leq \frac{(p+1)^2(p-\alpha+1)}{2p(p+2)(p-\alpha)} \right), \end{cases} \quad (4.7)$$

where ${}_2F_1(a, b; c; z)$ represents the ordinary hypergeometric function.

References

- [1] M. Fekete and G. Szegő, "Eine bemerkung uber ungerade schlichte funktionen," *Journal of the London Mathematical Society*, vol. 8, no. 2, pp. 85–89, 1933.
- [2] T. Hayami and S. Owa, "Hankel determinant for p -valently starlike and convex functions of order α ," *General Mathematics*, vol. 17, no. 4, pp. 29–44, 2009.
- [3] T. Hayami and S. Owa, "New properties for starlike and convex functions of complex order," *International Journal of Mathematical Analysis*, vol. 4, no. 1–4, pp. 39–62, 2010.
- [4] W. Janowski, "Extremal problems for a family of functions with positive real part and for some related families," *Annales Polonici Mathematici*, vol. 23, pp. 159–177, 1970.
- [5] K. Kuroki and S. Owa, "Some subordination criteria concerning the Sălăgean operator," *Journal of Inequalities in Pure and Applied Mathematics*, vol. 10, no. 2, Article ID 36, pp. 1–11, 2009.
- [6] K. Kuroki, S. Owa, and H. M. Srivastava, "Some subordination criteria for analytic functions," *Bulletin de la Société des Sciences et des Lettres de Łódź*, vol. 52, pp. 27–36, 2007.
- [7] Z. Nehari, *Conformal Mapping*, McGraw-Hill, New York, NY, USA, 1952.
- [8] J. W. Noonan and D. K. Thomas, "On the hankel determinants of areally mean p -valent functions," *Proceedings of the London Mathematical Society*, vol. 25, pp. 503–524, 1972.
- [9] J. W. Noonan and D. K. Thomas, "On the second hankel determinant of areally mean p -valent functions," *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, vol. 223, no. 2, pp. 337–346, 1976.