Hindawi Publishing Corporation
International Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences
Volume 2011, Article ID 604150, 21 pages
doi:10.1155/2011/604150

Research Article

Adaptive Wavelet Estimation of a Biased Density for Strongly Mixing Sequences

Christophe Chesneau

Université de Caen-Basse Normandie, Département de Mathématiques, UFR de Sciences, 14032 Caen, France

Correspondence should be addressed to Christophe Chesneau, christophe.chesneau@gmail.com

Received 7 December 2010; Accepted 24 February 2011

Academic Editor: Palle E. Jorgensen

The estimation of a biased density for exponentially strongly mixing sequences is investigated. We construct a new adaptive wavelet estimator based on a hard thresholding rule. We determine a sharp upper bound of the associated mean integrated square error for a wide class of functions.

1. Introduction

In the standard density estimation problem, we observe n random variables $X_1, \ldots, X_n$ with common density function f. The goal is to estimate f from $X_1, \ldots, X_n$. However, in some applications, $X_1, \ldots, X_n$ are not accessible; we only have n random variables $Z_1, \ldots, Z_n$ with the common density

$$g(x) = \mu^{-1} w(x) f(x), \tag{1.1}$$

where w denotes a known positive function and μ is the unknown normalization parameter: $\mu = \int w(y) f(y) dy$. Our goal is to estimate the "biased density" f from $Z_1, \ldots, Z_n$. Practical examples can be found in, for example, [1–3] and the survey by the author of [4].

The standard i.i.d. case has been investigated in several papers. See, for example, [5–9]. To the best of our knowledge, the dependent case has only been examined in [10] for associated (positively or negatively) $Z_1, \ldots, Z_n$. In this paper, we study another dependent (and realistic) structure which has not been addressed earlier: we suppose that $Z_1, \ldots, Z_n$ is a sample of a strictly stationary and exponentially strongly mixing process $(Z_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$ (to be defined in Section 2). Such a dependence condition arises for a wide class of GARCH-type time series models classically encountered in finance. See, for example, [11, 12] for an overview.

We focus our attention on the wavelet methods because they provide a coherent set of procedures that are spatially adaptive and near optimal over a wide range of function spaces. See, for example, [13, 14] for a detailed coverage of wavelet theory in statistics. We develop two new wavelet estimators: a linear nonadaptive based on projections and a nonlinear adaptive using the hard thresholding rule introduced by [15]. We measure their performances by determining upper bounds of the mean integrated squared error (MISE) over Besov balls (to be defined in Section 3). We prove that our adaptive estimator attains a sharp rate of convergence, close to the one attained by the linear wavelet estimator (constructed in a nonadaptive fashion to minimize the MISE).

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. Section 2 is devoted to the assumptions on the model. In Section 3, we present wavelets and Besov balls. The considered wavelet estimators are defined in Section 4. Section 5 is devoted to the results. The proofs are postponed in Section 6.

2. Assumptions on the Model

We assume that $Z_1, \ldots, Z_n$ coming from a strictly stationary process $(Z_i)_{i\in\mathbb{Z}}$. For any $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, we define the mth strongly mixing coefficient of $(Z_i)_{i\in\mathbb{Z}}$ by

$$a_m = \sup_{(A,B)\in\mathcal{F}^Z_{-\infty,0}\times\mathcal{F}^Z_{m,\infty}} |\mathbb{P}(A\cap B) - \mathbb{P}(A)\mathbb{P}(B)|, \tag{2.1}$$

where, for any $u \in \mathbb{Z}$, $\mathcal{F}^Z_{-\infty,u}$ is the σ-algebra generated by the random variables $\ldots, Z_{u-1}, Z_u$ and $\mathcal{F}^Z_{u,\infty}$ is the σ-algebra generated by the random variables $Z_u, Z_{u+1}, \ldots$.

We consider the exponentially strongly mixing case, that is, there exist three known constants, $\gamma > 0$, $c > 0$, and $\theta > 0$, such that, for any $m \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$a_m \leq \gamma \exp\left(-c|m|^{\theta}\right). \tag{2.2}$$

This assumption is satisfied by a large class of GARCH processes. See, for example, [11, 12, 16, 17].

Note that, when $\theta \to \infty$, we are in the standard i.i.d. case.

W.o.l.g., the support of the functions f, and w are $[0,1]$.

There exist two constants, $c > 0$ and $C > 0$, such that

$$c \leq \inf_{x\in[0,1]} w(x), \qquad \sup_{x\in[0,1]} w(x) \leq C. \tag{2.3}$$

There exists a (known) constant $C > 0$ such that

$$\sup_{x\in[0,1]} f(x) \leq C. \tag{2.4}$$

For any $m \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$, let $g_{(Z_0, Z_m)}$ be the density of (Z_0, Z_m). There exists a constant $C > 0$ such that

$$\sup_{m \in \{1,\ldots,n\}} \sup_{(x,y) \in [0,1]^2} \left| g_{(Z_0, Z_m)}(x, y) - g(x)g(y) \right| \le C. \tag{2.5}$$

The two first boundedness assumptions are standard in the estimation of biased densities. See, for example, [6–8].

3. Wavelets and Besov Balls

Let N be an integer ϕ and ψ be the initial wavelets of dbN (so $\mathrm{supp}(\phi) = \mathrm{supp}(\psi) = [1 - N, N]$). Set

$$\phi_{j,k}(x) = 2^{j/2}\phi\left(2^j x - k\right), \qquad \psi_{j,k}(x) = 2^{j/2}\psi\left(2^j x - k\right). \tag{3.1}$$

With an appropriate treatments at the boundaries, there exists an integer τ satisfying $2^\tau \ge 2N$ such that the collection $\mathcal{B} = \{\phi_{\tau,k}(\cdot),\ k \in \{0, \ldots, 2^\tau - 1\};\ \psi_{j,k}(\cdot);\ j \in \mathbb{N} - \{0, \ldots, \tau - 1\},\ k \in \{0, \ldots, 2^j - 1\}\}$, is an orthonormal basis of $\mathbb{L}^2([0,1])$ (the space of square-integrable functions on $[0,1]$). See [18].

For any integer $\ell \ge \tau$, any $h \in \mathbb{L}^2([0,1])$ can be expanded on $\mathcal{B}$ as

$$h(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{2^\ell - 1} \alpha_{\ell,k}\phi_{\ell,k}(x) + \sum_{j=\ell}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{2^j - 1} \beta_{j,k}\psi_{j,k}(x), \quad x \in [0,1], \tag{3.2}$$

where $\alpha_{j,k}$ and $\beta_{j,k}$ are the wavelet coefficients of h defined by

$$\alpha_{j,k} = \int_0^1 h(x)\phi_{j,k}(x)dx, \qquad \beta_{j,k} = \int_0^1 h(x)\psi_{j,k}(x)dx. \tag{3.3}$$

Let $M > 0$, $s > 0$, $p \ge 1$, and $r \ge 1$. A function h belongs to $B^s_{p,r}(M)$ if and only if there exists a constant $M^* > 0$ (depending on M) such that the associated wavelet coefficients (3.3) satisfy

$$2^{\tau(1/2 - 1/p)} \left(\sum_{k=0}^{2^\tau - 1} |\alpha_{\tau,k}|^p \right)^{1/p} + \left(\sum_{j=\tau}^{\infty} \left(2^{j(s+1/2-1/p)} \left(\sum_{k=0}^{2^j - 1} |\beta_{j,k}|^p \right)^{1/p} \right)^r \right)^{1/r} \le M^*. \tag{3.4}$$

In this expression, s is a smoothness parameter and p and r are norm parameters. For a particular choice of s, p, and r, $B^s_{p,r}(M)$ contains some classical sets of functions as the Hölder and Sobolev balls. See [19].

4. Estimators

Firstly, we consider the following estimator for μ:

$$\widehat{\mu} = \left(\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}\frac{1}{w(Z_i)}\right)^{-1}. \tag{4.1}$$

It is obtained by the method of moments (see Proposition 6.2 below).

Then, for any integer $j \geq \tau$ and any $k \in \{0,\ldots,2^j-1\}$, we estimate the unknown wavelet coefficient

(i) $\alpha_{j,k} = \int_0^1 f(x)\phi_{j,k}(x)dx$ by

$$\widehat{\alpha}_{j,k} = \frac{\widehat{\mu}}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}\frac{\phi_{j,k}(Z_i)}{w(Z_i)}, \tag{4.2}$$

(ii) $\beta_{j,k} = \int_0^1 f(x)\psi_{j,k}(x)dx$ by

$$\widehat{\beta}_{j,k} = \frac{\widehat{\mu}}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}\frac{\psi_{j,k}(Z_i)}{w(Z_i)}. \tag{4.3}$$

Note that they are those considered in the i.i.d. case (see, e.g., [8, 9]). Their statistical properties, with our dependent structure, are investigated in Propositions 6.2, 6.3, and 6.4 below.

Assuming that $f \in B^s_{p,r}(M)$ with $p \geq 2$, we define the linear estimator $\widehat{f}^L$ by

$$\widehat{f}^L(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{2^{j_0}-1}\widehat{\alpha}_{j_0,k}\phi_{j_0,k}(x), \quad x \in [0,1], \tag{4.4}$$

where $\widehat{\alpha}_{j,k}$ is defined by (4.2) and j_0 is the integer satisfying

$$\frac{1}{2}n^{1/(2s+1)} < 2^{j_0} \leq n^{1/(2s+1)}. \tag{4.5}$$

For a survey on wavelet linear estimators for various density models, we refer the reader to [20]. For the consideration of strongly mixing sequences, see, for example, [21, 22].

We define the hard thresholding estimator $\widehat{f}^H$ by

$$\widehat{f}^H(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{2^\tau-1}\widehat{\alpha}_{\tau,k}\phi_{\tau,k}(x) + \sum_{j=\tau}^{j_1}\sum_{k=0}^{2^j-1}\widehat{\beta}_{j,k}\mathbb{I}_{\{|\widehat{\beta}_{j,k}|\geq\kappa\lambda_n\}}\psi_{j,k}(x), \tag{4.6}$$

$x \in [0,1]$, where $\widehat{\alpha}_{\tau,k}$ is defined by (4.2) and $\widehat{\beta}_{j,k}$ by (4.3), for any random event $\mathcal{A}$, $\mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{A}}$ is the indicator function on $\mathcal{A}$, j_1 is the integer satisfying

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{n}{(\ln n)^{1+1/\theta}} < 2^{j_1} \le \frac{n}{(\ln n)^{1+1/\theta}}, \tag{4.7}$$

θ is the one in (2.2), κ is a large enough constant (the one in Proposition 6.4 below) and λ_n is the threshold

$$\lambda_n = \sqrt{\frac{(\ln n)^{1+1/\theta}}{n}}. \tag{4.8}$$

The feature of the hard thresholding estimator is to only estimate the "large" unknown wavelet coefficients of f which contain his main characteristics.

For the construction of hard thresholding wavelet estimators in the standard density model, see, for example, [15, 23].

5. Results

Theorem 5.1 (upper bound for $\widehat{f}^L$). *Consider* (1.1) *under the assumptions of Section 2. Suppose that $f \in B^s_{p,r}(M)$ with $s > 0$, $p \ge 2$, and $r \ge 1$. Let $\widehat{f}^L$ be* (4.4). *Then there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that*

$$\mathbb{E}\left(\int_0^1 \left(\widehat{f}^L(x) - f(x)\right)^2 dx\right) \le C n^{-2s/(2s+1)}. \tag{5.1}$$

The proof of Theorem 5.1 uses a suitable decomposition of the MISE and a moment inequality on (4.2) (see Proposition 6.3 below).

Note that $n^{-2s/(2s+1)}$ is the optimal rate of convergence (in the minimax sense) for the standard density model in the independent case (see, e.g., [14, 23]).

Theorem 5.2 (upper bound for $\widehat{f}^H$). *Consider* (1.1) *under the assumptions of Section 2. Let $\widehat{f}^H$ be* (4.6). *Suppose that $f \in B^s_{p,r}(M)$ with $r \ge 1$, $\{p \ge 2$ and $s > 0\}$ or $\{p \in [1,2)$ and $s > 1/p\}$. Then there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that*

$$\mathbb{E}\left(\int_0^1 \left(\widehat{f}^H(x) - f(x)\right)^2 dx\right) \le C\left(\frac{(\ln n)^{1+1/\theta}}{n}\right)^{2s/(2s+1)}. \tag{5.2}$$

The proof of Theorem 5.2 uses a suitable decomposition of the MISE, some moment inequalities on (4.2) and (4.3) (see Proposition 6.3 below), and a concentration inequality on (4.3) (see Proposition 6.4 below).

Theorem 5.2 shows that, besides being adaptive, $\widehat{f}^H$ attains a rate of convergence close to the one of $\widehat{f}^L$. The only difference is the logarithmic term $(\ln n)^{(1+1/\theta)(2s/(2s+1))}$.

Note that, if we restrict our study to the independent case, that is, $\theta \to \infty$, the rate of convergence attained by $\widehat{f}^H$ becomes the standard one: $(\log n/n)^{2s/(2s+1)}$. See, for example, [14, 15, 23].

6. Proofs

In this section, we consider (1.1) under the assumptions of Section 2. Moreover, C denotes any constant that does not depend on j, k and n. Its value may change from one term to another and may depends on ϕ or ψ.

6.1. Auxiliary Results

Lemma 6.1. *For any integer $j \geq \tau$ and any $k \in \{0, \dots, 2^j - 1\}$, let $\widehat{\alpha}_{j,k}$ be* (4.2) *and $\alpha_{j,k} = \int_0^1 f(x)\phi_{j,k}(x)dx$. Then, under the assumptions of* Section 2*, there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that*

$$\left|\widehat{\alpha}_{j,k} - \alpha_{j,k}\right| \leq C\left(\left|\frac{\mu}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}\frac{\phi_{j,k}(Z_i)}{w(Z_i)} - \alpha_{j,k}\right| + \left|\frac{1}{\widehat{\mu}} - \frac{1}{\mu}\right|\right). \tag{6.1}$$

This inequality holds for ψ instead of ϕ (and, a fortiori, $\widehat{\beta}_{j,k}$ defined by (4.3) *instead of $\widehat{\alpha}_{j,k}$ and $\beta_{j,k} = \int_0^1 f(x)\psi_{j,k}(x)dx$ instead of $\alpha_{j,k}$).*

Proof of Lemma 6.1. We have

$$\widehat{\alpha}_{j,k} - \alpha_{j,k} = \frac{\widehat{\mu}}{\mu}\left(\frac{\mu}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}\frac{\phi_{j,k}(Z_i)}{w(Z_i)} - \alpha_{j,k}\right) + \alpha_{j,k}\widehat{\mu}\left(\frac{1}{\mu} - \frac{1}{\widehat{\mu}}\right). \tag{6.2}$$

Due to (2.3), we have $|\widehat{\mu}| \leq C$ and $|\widehat{\mu}/\mu| \leq C$. Therefore

$$\left|\widehat{\alpha}_{j,k} - \alpha_{j,k}\right| \leq C\left(\left|\frac{\mu}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}\frac{\phi_{j,k}(Z_i)}{w(Z_i)} - \alpha_{j,k}\right| + \left|\alpha_{j,k}\right|\left|\frac{1}{\widehat{\mu}} - \frac{1}{\mu}\right|\right). \tag{6.3}$$

Using (2.4) and the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\left|\alpha_{j,k}\right| &\leq \int_0^1 f(x)\left|\phi_{j,k}(x)\right|dx \leq C\int_0^1\left|\phi_{j,k}(x)\right|dx \\ &\leq C\left(\int_0^1\left(\phi_{j,k}(x)\right)^2dx\right)^{1/2} = C.\end{aligned} \tag{6.4}$$

Hence

$$\left|\widehat{\alpha}_{j,k} - \alpha_{j,k}\right| \leq C\left(\left|\frac{\mu}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}\frac{\phi_{j,k}(Z_i)}{w(Z_i)} - \alpha_{j,k}\right| + \left|\frac{1}{\widehat{\mu}} - \frac{1}{\mu}\right|\right). \tag{6.5}$$

Lemma 6.1 is proved. □

Proposition 6.2. *For any integer $j \geq \tau$ such that $2^j \leq n$ and any $k \in \{0, \ldots, 2^j - 1\}$, let $\alpha_{j,k} = \int_0^1 f(x)\phi_{j,k}(x)dx$ and $\widehat{\mu}$ be* (4.1). *Then,*

(1) *one has*

$$\mathbb{E}\left(\frac{\mu}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}\frac{\phi_{j,k}(Z_i)}{w(Z_i)}\right) = \alpha_{j,k}, \qquad \mathbb{E}\left(\frac{1}{\widehat{\mu}}\right) = \frac{1}{\mu}, \tag{6.6}$$

(2) *there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that*

$$\mathbb{V}\left(\frac{\mu}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}\frac{\phi_{j,k}(Z_i)}{w(Z_i)}\right) \leq C\frac{1}{n}, \tag{6.7}$$

(3) *there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that*

$$\mathbb{V}\left(\frac{1}{\widehat{\mu}}\right) \leq C\frac{1}{n}. \tag{6.8}$$

These results hold for ψ instead of ϕ (and, a fortiori, $\beta_{j,k} = \int_0^1 f(x)\psi_{j,k}(x)dx$ instead of $\alpha_{j,k}$).

Proof of Proposition 6.2. (1) We have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}\left(\frac{\mu}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}\frac{\phi_{j,k}(Z_i)}{w(Z_i)}\right) &= \mu\mathbb{E}\left(\frac{\phi_{j,k}(Z_1)}{w(Z_1)}\right) = \mu\int_0^1 \frac{\phi_{j,k}(x)}{w(x)}g(x)dx \\ &= \mu\int_0^1 \frac{\phi_{j,k}(x)}{w(x)}\mu^{-1}w(x)f(x)dx = \int_0^1 f(x)\phi_{j,k}(x)dx = \alpha_{j,k}.\end{aligned} \tag{6.9}$$

Since f is a density, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}\left(\frac{1}{\widehat{\mu}}\right) &= \mathbb{E}\left(\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}\frac{1}{w(Z_i)}\right) = \mathbb{E}\left(\frac{1}{w(Z_1)}\right) = \int_0^1 \frac{1}{w(x)}g(x)dx \\ &= \int_0^1 \frac{1}{w(x)}\mu^{-1}w(x)f(x)dx = \frac{1}{\mu}\int_0^1 f(x)dx = \frac{1}{\mu}.\end{aligned} \tag{6.10}$$

(2) We have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{V}\left(\frac{\mu}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}\frac{\phi_{j,k}(Z_i)}{w(Z_i)}\right) &= \frac{\mu^2}{n^2}\sum_{v=1}^{n}\sum_{\ell=1}^{n}\mathbb{C}\left(\frac{\phi_{j,k}(Z_v)}{w(Z_v)},\frac{\phi_{j,k}(Z_\ell)}{w(Z_\ell)}\right)\\ &= \frac{\mu^2}{n}\mathbb{V}\left(\frac{\phi_{j,k}(Z_1)}{w(Z_1)}\right)+2\frac{\mu^2}{n^2}\sum_{v=2}^{n}\sum_{\ell=1}^{v-1}\mathbb{C}\left(\frac{\phi_{j,k}(Z_v)}{w(Z_v)},\frac{\phi_{j,k}(Z_\ell)}{w(Z_\ell)}\right)\\ &\leq \frac{\mu^2}{n}\mathbb{V}\left(\frac{\phi_{j,k}(Z_1)}{w(Z_1)}\right)+2\frac{\mu^2}{n^2}\left|\sum_{v=2}^{n}\sum_{\ell=1}^{v-1}\mathbb{C}\left(\frac{\phi_{j,k}(Z_v)}{w(Z_v)},\frac{\phi_{j,k}(Z_\ell)}{w(Z_\ell)}\right)\right|.\end{aligned} \tag{6.11}$$

Using (2.3) and (2.4), we have $\sup_{x\in[0,1]}g(x)\leq C$. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{V}\left(\frac{\phi_{j,k}(Z_1)}{w(Z_1)}\right) &\leq \mathbb{E}\left(\left(\frac{\phi_{j,k}(Z_1)}{w(Z_1)}\right)^2\right)\leq C\mathbb{E}\left(\left(\phi_{j,k}(Z_1)\right)^2\right)\\ &= C\int_0^1\left(\phi_{j,k}(x)\right)^2 g(x)dx\leq C\int_0^1\left(\phi_{j,k}(x)\right)^2 dx = C.\end{aligned} \tag{6.12}$$

It follows from the stationarity of $(Z_i)_{i\in\mathbb{Z}}$ and $2^j\leq n$ that

$$\begin{aligned}\left|\sum_{v=2}^{n}\sum_{\ell=1}^{v-1}\mathbb{C}\left(\frac{\phi_{j,k}(Z_v)}{w(Z_v)},\frac{\phi_{j,k}(Z_\ell)}{w(Z_\ell)}\right)\right| &= \left|\sum_{m=1}^{n}(n-m)\mathbb{C}\left(\frac{\phi_{j,k}(Z_0)}{w(Z_0)},\frac{\phi_{j,k}(Z_m)}{w(Z_m)}\right)\right|\\ &\leq n\sum_{m=1}^{n}\left|\mathbb{C}\left(\frac{\phi_{j,k}(Z_0)}{w(Z_0)},\frac{\phi_{j,k}(Z_m)}{w(Z_m)}\right)\right| = T_1+T_2,\end{aligned} \tag{6.13}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}T_1 &= n\sum_{m=1}^{2^j-1}\left|\mathbb{C}\left(\frac{\phi_{j,k}(Z_0)}{w(Z_0)},\frac{\phi_{j,k}(Z_m)}{w(Z_m)}\right)\right|,\\ T_2 &= n\sum_{m=2^j}^{n}\left|\mathbb{C}\left(\frac{\phi_{j,k}(Z_0)}{w(Z_0)},\frac{\phi_{j,k}(Z_m)}{w(Z_m)}\right)\right|.\end{aligned} \tag{6.14}$$

Let us now bound T_1 and T_2.

Upper Bound for T_1

Using (2.5), (2.3), and doing the change a variables $y = 2^j x - k$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\left|\mathbb{C}\left(\frac{\phi_{j,k}(Z_0)}{w(Z_0)},\frac{\phi_{j,k}(Z_m)}{w(Z_m)}\right)\right| &= \left|\iint_0^1 \left(g_{(Z_0,Z_m)}(x,y) - g(x)g(y)\right)\frac{\phi_{j,k}(x)}{w(x)}\frac{\phi_{j,k}(y)}{w(y)}dx\,dy\right| \\ &\le \iint_0^1 \left|g_{(Z_0,Z_m)}(x,y) - g(x)g(y)\right|\left|\frac{\phi_{j,k}(x)}{w(x)}\right|\left|\frac{\phi_{j,k}(y)}{w(y)}\right|dx\,dy \\ &\le C\left(\int_0^1 |\phi_{j,k}(x)|dx\right)^2 = C\left(2^{-j/2}\int_0^1|\phi(x)|dx\right)^2 = C2^{-j}.\end{aligned} \tag{6.15}$$

Therefore,

$$T_1 \le Cn2^{-j}2^j = Cn. \tag{6.16}$$

Upper Bound for T_2

By the Davydov inequality for strongly mixing processes (see [24]), for any $q \in (0,1)$, it holds that

$$\begin{aligned}\left|\mathbb{C}\left(\frac{\phi_{j,k}(Z_0)}{w(Z_0)},\frac{\phi_{j,k}(Z_m)}{w(Z_m)}\right)\right| &\le 10a_m^q\left(\mathbb{E}\left(\left|\frac{\phi_{j,k}(Z_0)}{w(Z_0)}\right|^{2/(1-q)}\right)\right)^{1-q} \\ &\le 10a_m^q\left(\sup_{x\in[0,1]}\left|\frac{\phi_{j,k}(x)}{w(x)}\right|\right)^{2q}\left(\mathbb{E}\left(\left(\frac{\phi_{j,k}(Z_0)}{w(Z_0)}\right)^2\right)\right)^{1-q}.\end{aligned} \tag{6.17}$$

By (2.3), we have

$$\sup_{x\in[0,1]}\left|\frac{\phi_{j,k}(x)}{w(x)}\right| \le C\sup_{x\in[0,1]}|\phi_{j,k}(x)| \le C2^{j/2} \tag{6.18}$$

and, by (6.12),

$$\mathbb{E}\left(\left(\frac{\phi_{j,k}(Z_0)}{w(Z_0)}\right)^2\right) \le C. \tag{6.19}$$

Therefore,

$$\left|\mathbb{C}\left(\frac{\phi_{j,k}(Z_0)}{w(Z_0)},\frac{\phi_{j,k}(Z_m)}{w(Z_m)}\right)\right| \le C2^{qj}a_m^q. \tag{6.20}$$

Since $\sum_{m=2^j}^{n} m^q a_m^q \le \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} m^q a_m^q = \gamma^q \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} m^q \exp(-cqm^\theta) < \infty$, we have

$$T_2 \le Cn2^{qj} \sum_{m=2^j}^{n} a_m^q \le Cn \sum_{m=2^j}^{n} m^q a_m^q \le Cn. \tag{6.21}$$

It follows from (6.13), (6.16), and (6.21) that

$$\left| \sum_{v=2}^{n} \sum_{\ell=1}^{v-1} \mathbb{C}\left(\frac{\phi_{j,k}(Z_v)}{w(Z_v)}, \frac{\phi_{j,k}(Z_\ell)}{w(Z_\ell)} \right) \right| \le Cn. \tag{6.22}$$

Combining (6.11), (6.12), and (6.22), we obtain

$$\mathbb{V}\left(\frac{\mu}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\phi_{j,k}(Z_i)}{w(Z_i)} \right) \le C\frac{1}{n}. \tag{6.23}$$

(3) Proceeding in a similar fashion to 2-, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{V}\left(\frac{1}{\widehat{\mu}} \right) &= \mathbb{V}\left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{w(Z_i)} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{n}\mathbb{V}\left(\frac{1}{w(Z_1)} \right) + 2\frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{v=2}^{n} \sum_{\ell=1}^{v-1} \mathbb{C}\left(\frac{1}{w(Z_v)}, \frac{1}{w(Z_\ell)} \right) \\ &\le \frac{1}{n}\mathbb{V}\left(\frac{1}{w(Z_1)} \right) + 2\frac{1}{n} \sum_{m=1}^{n} \left| \mathbb{C}\left(\frac{1}{w(Z_0)}, \frac{1}{w(Z_m)} \right) \right|. \end{aligned} \tag{6.24}$$

Using (2.3) (which implies $\sup_{x\in[0,1]}(1/w(x)) \le C$) and applying the Davydov inequality, we obtain

$$\mathbb{V}\left(\frac{1}{\widehat{\mu}} \right) \le C\frac{1}{n}\left(1 + \sum_{m=1}^{n} a_m^q \right) \le C\frac{1}{n}. \tag{6.25}$$

The proof of Proposition 6.2 is complete. □

Proposition 6.3. *For any integer $j \ge \tau$ such that $2^j \le n$ and any $k \in \{0, \dots, 2^j - 1\}$, let $\alpha_{j,k} = \int_0^1 f(x)\phi_{j,k}(x)dx$ and $\widehat{\alpha}_{j,k}$ be* (4.2)*. Then,*

(1) *there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that*

$$\mathbb{E}\left(\left(\widehat{\alpha}_{j,k} - \alpha_{j,k} \right)^2 \right) \le C\frac{1}{n}; \tag{6.26}$$

(2) *there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that*

$$\mathbb{E}\left(\left(\widehat{\alpha}_{j,k} - \alpha_{j,k} \right)^4 \right) \le C2^j\frac{1}{n}. \tag{6.27}$$

These inequalities hold for $\widehat{\beta}_{j,k}$ *defined by* (4.3) *instead of* $\widehat{\alpha}_{j,k}$, *and* $\beta_{j,k} = \int_0^1 f(x)\psi_{j,k}(x)dx$ *instead of* $\alpha_{j,k}$.

Proof of Proposition 6.3. (1) Applying Lemma 6.1 and Proposition 6.2, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}\left(\left(\widehat{\alpha}_{j,k} - \alpha_{j,k}\right)^2\right) &\leq C\left(\mathbb{E}\left(\left(\frac{\mu}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}\frac{\phi_{j,k}(Z_i)}{w(Z_i)} - \alpha_{j,k}\right)^2\right) + \mathbb{E}\left(\left(\frac{1}{\widehat{\mu}} - \frac{1}{\mu}\right)^2\right)\right) \\ &= C\left(\mathbb{V}\left(\frac{\mu}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}\frac{\phi_{j,k}(Z_i)}{w(Z_i)}\right) + \mathbb{V}\left(\frac{1}{\widehat{\mu}}\right)\right) \leq C\frac{1}{n}.\end{aligned} \tag{6.28}$$

(2) We have

$$\left|\widehat{\alpha}_{j,k} - \alpha_{j,k}\right| \leq \left|\widehat{\alpha}_{j,k}\right| + \left|\alpha_{j,k}\right|. \tag{6.29}$$

By (2.3), we have $|\widehat{\mu}| \leq C$ and $\sup_{x\in[0,1]}(1/w(x)) \leq C$. So,

$$\begin{aligned}\left|\frac{\widehat{\mu}}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}\frac{\phi_{j,k}(Z_i)}{w(Z_i)}\right| &\leq C\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|\frac{\phi_{j,k}(Z_i)}{w(Z_i)}\right| \leq C\sup_{x\in[0,1]}\left|\frac{\phi_{j,k}(x)}{w(x)}\right| \\ &\leq C\sup_{x\in[0,1]}\left|\phi_{j,k}(x)\right| \leq C2^{j/2}.\end{aligned} \tag{6.30}$$

By (6.4), we have $|\alpha_{j,k}| \leq C$. Therefore

$$\left|\widehat{\alpha}_{j,k} - \alpha_{j,k}\right| \leq C\left(2^{j/2} + 1\right) \leq C2^{j/2}. \tag{6.31}$$

It follows from (6.31) and (6.28) that

$$\mathbb{E}\left(\left(\widehat{\alpha}_{j,k} - \alpha_{j,k}\right)^4\right) \leq C2^j\mathbb{E}\left(\left(\widehat{\alpha}_{j,k} - \alpha_{j,k}\right)^2\right) \leq C2^j\frac{1}{n}. \tag{6.32}$$

The proof of Proposition 6.3 is complete. □

Proposition 6.4. *For any* $j \in \{\tau, \ldots, j_1\}$ *and any* $k \in \{0, \ldots, 2^j - 1\}$, *let* $\beta_{j,k} = \int_0^1 f(x)\psi_{j,k}(x)dx$, $\widehat{\beta}_{j,k}$ *be* (4.3) *and* λ_n *be* (4.8). *Then there exist two constants,* $\kappa > 0$ *and* $C > 0$, *such that*

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\left|\widehat{\beta}_{j,k} - \beta_{j,k}\right| \geq \frac{\kappa\lambda_n}{2}\right) \leq C\frac{1}{n^4}. \tag{6.33}$$

Proof of Proposition 6.4. It follows from Lemma 6.1 that

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\left|\widehat{\beta}_{j,k} - \beta_{j,k}\right| \geq \frac{\kappa\lambda_n}{2}\right) \leq P_1 + P_2, \tag{6.34}$$

where

$$P_1 = \mathbb{P}\left(\left|\frac{\mu}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}\frac{\psi_{j,k}(Z_i)}{w(Z_i)} - \beta_{j,k}\right| \geq \kappa C\lambda_n\right),$$
$$P_2 = \mathbb{P}\left(\left|\frac{1}{\widehat{\mu}} - \frac{1}{\mu}\right| \geq \kappa C\lambda_n\right). \tag{6.35}$$

In order to bound P_1 and P_2, let us present a Bernstein inequality for exponentially strongly mixing process. We refer to [25, 26].

Lemma 6.5 (see [25, 26]). *Let $\gamma > 0$, $c > 0$, $\theta > 1$ and $(Z_i)_{i\in\mathbb{Z}}$ be a stationary process such that, for any $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, the associated mth strongly mixing coefficient* (2.2) *satisfies $a_m \leq \gamma \exp(-c|m|^{\theta})$. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$, $h : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ be a measurable function and, for any $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, $U_i = h(Z_i)$. One assumes that $\mathbb{E}(U_1) = 0$ and there exists a constant $M > 0$ satisfying $|U_1| \leq M < \infty$. Then, for any $m \in \{1,\ldots,n\}$ and any $\lambda > 4mM/n$, one has*

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\left|\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}U_i\right| \geq \lambda\right) \leq 4\exp\left(-\frac{\lambda^2 n}{m\left(64\mathbb{E}\left(U_1^2\right) + 8\lambda M/3\right)}\right) + 4\gamma\frac{n}{m}\exp\left(-cm^{\theta}\right). \tag{6.36}$$

Upper Bound for P_1

For any $i \in \{1,\ldots,n\}$, set

$$U_i = \mu\frac{\psi_{j,k}(Z_i)}{w(Z_i)} - \beta_{j,k}. \tag{6.37}$$

Then $U_1,\ldots,U_n$ are identically distributed, depend on the stationary strongly mixing process $(Z_i)_{i\in\mathbb{Z}}$ which satisfies (2.2), Proposition 6.2 gives

$$\mathbb{E}(U_1) = 0, \qquad \mathbb{E}\left(U_1^2\right) \leq \mathbb{E}\left(\left(\mu\frac{\psi_{j,k}(Z_1)}{w(Z_1)}\right)^2\right) \leq C \tag{6.38}$$

and, by (2.3) and (6.4),

$$|U_1| \leq \mu \sup_{x\in[0,1]}\left|\frac{\psi_{j,k}(x)}{w(x)}\right| + \left|\beta_{j,k}\right| \leq C\left(\sup_{x\in[0,1]}\left|\psi_{j,k}(x)\right| + 1\right)$$
$$\leq C\left(2^{j/2} + 1\right) \leq C2^{j/2}. \tag{6.39}$$

It follows from Lemma 6.5 applied with $U_1,\dots,U_n$, $\lambda = \kappa C\lambda_n$, $\lambda_n = ((\ln n)^{1+1/\theta}/n)^{1/2}$, $m = (u\ln n)^{1/\theta}$ with $u > 0$ (chosen later), $M = C2^{j/2}$ and $2^j \le 2^{j_1} \le n/(\ln n)^{1+1/\theta}$, that

$$\begin{aligned}
P_1 &= \mathbb{P}\left(\left|\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}U_i\right| \ge \kappa C\lambda_n\right) \\
&\le 4\exp\left(-C\frac{\kappa^2\lambda_n^2 n}{m(1+\kappa\lambda_n M)}\right) + 4\gamma\frac{n}{m}\exp\left(-cm^\theta\right) \\
&\le 4\exp\left(-C\frac{\kappa^2(\ln n)^{1+1/\theta}}{(u\ln n)^{1/\theta}\left(1+\kappa 2^{j/2}\left((\ln n)^{1+1/\theta}/n\right)^{1/2}\right)}\right) \\
&\quad + 4\gamma\frac{n}{(u\ln n)^{1/\theta}}\exp(-cu\ln n) \\
&\le C\left(n^{-C\kappa^2/(u^{1/\theta}(1+\kappa))} + n^{1-cu}\right).
\end{aligned} \tag{6.40}$$

Therefore, for large enough κ and u, we have

$$P_1 \le C\frac{1}{n^4}. \tag{6.41}$$

Upper Bound for P_2

For any $i \in \{1,\dots,n\}$, set

$$U_i = \frac{1}{w(Z_i)} - \frac{1}{\mu}. \tag{6.42}$$

Then $U_1,\dots,U_n$ are identically distributed, depend on the stationary strongly mixing process $(Z_i)_{i\in\mathbb{Z}}$ which satisfies (2.2), Proposition 6.2 gives

$$\mathbb{E}(U_1) = 0, \qquad \mathbb{E}\left(U_1^2\right) \le \mathbb{E}\left(\frac{1}{(w(Z_1))^2}\right) \le C. \tag{6.43}$$

By (2.3), we have

$$|U_1| \le \sup_{x\in[0,1]}\frac{1}{w(x)} + \left|\frac{1}{\mu}\right| \le C. \tag{6.44}$$

It follows from Lemma 6.5 applied with $U_1,\ldots,U_n$, $\lambda = \kappa C\lambda_n$, $\lambda_n = ((\ln n)^{1+1/\theta}/n)^{1/2}$, $m = (u\ln n)^{1/\theta}$ with $u > 0$ (chosen later) and $M = C$ that

$$\begin{aligned}
P_2 &= \mathbb{P}\left(\left|\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}U_i\right| \geq \kappa C\lambda_n\right) \\
&\leq 4\exp\left(-C\frac{\kappa^2\lambda_n^2 n}{m(1+\kappa\lambda_n M)}\right) + 4\gamma\frac{n}{m}\exp\left(-cm^{\theta}\right) \\
&\leq 4\exp\left(-C\frac{\kappa^2(\ln n)^{1+1/\theta}}{(u\ln n)^{1/\theta}\left(1+\kappa\left((\ln n)^{1+1/\theta}/n\right)^{1/2}\right)}\right) \\
&\quad + 4\gamma\frac{n}{(u\ln n)^{1/\theta}}\exp(-cu\ln n) \\
&\leq C\left(n^{-C\kappa^2/u^{1/\theta}} + n^{1-cu}\right).
\end{aligned} \tag{6.45}$$

Therefore, for large enough κ and u, we have

$$P_2 \leq C\frac{1}{n^4}. \tag{6.46}$$

Putting (6.34), (6.41), and (6.46) together, this ends the proof of Proposition 6.4. □

6.2. Proofs of the Main Results

Proof of Theorem 5.1. We expand the function f on $\mathcal{B}$ as

$$f(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{2^{j_0}-1}\alpha_{j_0,k}\phi_{j_0,k}(x) + \sum_{j=j_0}^{\infty}\sum_{k=0}^{2^j-1}\beta_{j,k}\psi_{j,k}(x), \quad x \in [0,1], \tag{6.47}$$

where $\alpha_{j_0,k} = \int_0^1 f(x)\phi_{j_0,k}(x)dx$ and $\beta_{j,k} = \int_0^1 f(x)\psi_{j,k}(x)dx$.

We have, for any $x \in [0,1]$,

$$\widehat{f}^L(x) - f(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{2^{j_0}-1}\left(\widehat{\alpha}_{j_0,k} - \alpha_{j_0,k}\right)\phi_{j_0,k}(x) - \sum_{j=j_0}^{\infty}\sum_{k=0}^{2^j-1}\beta_{j,k}\psi_{j,k}(x). \tag{6.48}$$

Since $\mathcal{B}$ is an orthonormal basis of $\mathbb{L}^2([0,1])$, we have,

$$\mathbb{E}\left(\int_0^1\left(\widehat{f}^L(x) - f(x)\right)^2dx\right) = \sum_{k=0}^{2^{j_0}-1}\mathbb{E}\left(\left(\widehat{\alpha}_{j_0,k} - \alpha_{j_0,k}\right)^2\right) + \sum_{j=j_0}^{\infty}\sum_{k=0}^{2^j-1}\beta_{j,k}^2. \tag{6.49}$$

Using Proposition 6.3, we obtain

$$\sum_{k=0}^{2^{j_0}-1}\mathbb{E}\Big(\left(\widehat{\alpha}_{j_0,k}-\alpha_{j_0,k}\right)^2\Big)\leq C2^{j_0}\frac{1}{n}\leq Cn^{-2s/(2s+1)}. \tag{6.50}$$

Since $p\geq 2$, we have $B^s_{p,r}(M)\subseteq B^s_{2,\infty}(M)$. Hence

$$\sum_{j=j_0}^{\infty}\sum_{k=0}^{2^j-1}\beta_{j,k}^2\leq C2^{-2j_0s}\leq Cn^{-2s/(2s+1)}. \tag{6.51}$$

Therefore,

$$\mathbb{E}\left(\int_0^1\left(\widehat{f}^L(x)-f(x)\right)^2dx\right)\leq Cn^{-2s/(2s+1)}. \tag{6.52}$$

The proof of Theorem 5.1 is complete. □

Proof of Theorem 5.2. We expand the function f on $\mathcal{B}$ as

$$f(x)=\sum_{k=0}^{2^\tau-1}\alpha_{\tau,k}\phi_{\tau,k}(x)+\sum_{j=\tau}^{\infty}\sum_{k=0}^{2^j-1}\beta_{j,k}\psi_{j,k}(x),\quad x\in[0,1], \tag{6.53}$$

where $\alpha_{\tau,k}=\int_0^1 f(x)\phi_{\tau,k}(x)dx$ and $\beta_{j,k}=\int_0^1 f(x)\psi_{j,k}(x)dx$.

We have, for any $x\in[0,1]$,

$$\begin{aligned}\widehat{f}^H(x)-f(x)=&\sum_{k=0}^{2^\tau-1}(\widehat{\alpha}_{\tau,k}-\alpha_{\tau,k})\phi_{\tau,k}(x)+\sum_{j=\tau}^{j_1}\sum_{k=0}^{2^j-1}\left(\widehat{\beta}_{j,k}\mathbb{I}_{\{|\widehat{\beta}_{j,k}|\geq\kappa\lambda_n\}}-\beta_{j,k}\right)\psi_{j,k}(x)\\&-\sum_{j=j_1+1}^{\infty}\sum_{k=0}^{2^j-1}\beta_{j,k}\psi_{j,k}(x).\end{aligned} \tag{6.54}$$

Since $\mathcal{B}$ is an orthonormal basis of $\mathbb{L}^2([0,1])$, we have

$$\mathbb{E}\left(\int_0^1\left(\widehat{f}^H(x)-f(x)\right)^2dx\right)=R+S+T, \tag{6.55}$$

where

$$R = \sum_{k=0}^{2^{\tau}-1} \mathbb{E}\Big((\widehat{\alpha}_{\tau,k} - \alpha_{\tau,k})^2 \Big), \qquad S = \sum_{j=\tau}^{j_1} \sum_{k=0}^{2^j-1} \mathbb{E}\Big(\Big(\widehat{\beta}_{j,k} \mathbb{I}_{\{|\widehat{\beta}_{j,k}| \geq \kappa\lambda_n\}} - \beta_{j,k} \Big)^2 \Big),$$
$$T = \sum_{j=j_1+1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{2^j-1} \beta_{j,k}^2. \tag{6.56}$$

Let us bound R, T, and S, in turn.

Upper Bound for R

Using Proposition 6.3 and $2s/(2s+1) < 1$, we obtain

$$R \leq C 2^{\tau} \frac{1}{n} \leq C \left(\frac{(\ln n)^{1+1/\theta}}{n} \right)^{2s/(2s+1)}. \tag{6.57}$$

Upper Bound for T

For $r \geq 1$ and $p \geq 2$, we have $B^s_{p,r}(M) \subseteq B^s_{2,\infty}(M)$. Since $2s/(2s+1) < 2s$, we have

$$T \leq C \sum_{j=j_1+1}^{\infty} 2^{-2js} \leq C 2^{-2j_1 s} \leq C \left(\frac{(\ln n)^{1+1/\theta}}{n} \right)^{2s} \leq C \left(\frac{(\ln n)^{1+1/\theta}}{n} \right)^{2s/(2s+1)}. \tag{6.58}$$

For $r \geq 1$ and $p \in [1,2)$, we have $B^s_{p,r}(M) \subseteq B^{s+1/2-1/p}_{2,\infty}(M)$. Since $s > 1/p$, we have $s + 1/2 - 1/p > s/(2s+1)$. So

$$T \leq C \sum_{j=j_1+1}^{\infty} 2^{-2j(s+1/2-1/p)} \leq C 2^{-2j_1(s+1/2-1/p)}$$
$$\leq C \left(\frac{(\ln n)^{1+1/\theta}}{n} \right)^{2(s+1/2-1/p)} \leq C \left(\frac{(\ln n)^{1+1/\theta}}{n} \right)^{2s/(2s+1)}. \tag{6.59}$$

Hence, for $r \geq 1$, $\{p \geq 2$ and $s > 0\}$ or $\{p \in [1,2)$ and $s > 1/p\}$, we have

$$T \leq C \left(\frac{(\ln n)^{1+1/\theta}}{n} \right)^{2s/(2s+1)}. \tag{6.60}$$

Upper Bound for S

Note that we can write the term S as

$$S = S_1 + S_2 + S_3 + S_4, \tag{6.61}$$

where

$$S_1 = \sum_{j=\tau}^{j_1} \sum_{k=0}^{2^j-1} \mathbb{E}\left(\left(\widehat{\beta}_{j,k} - \beta_{j,k}\right)^2 \mathbb{I}_{\{|\widehat{\beta}_{j,k}| \geq \kappa\lambda_n\}} \mathbb{I}_{\{|\beta_{j,k}| < \kappa\lambda_n/2\}} \right),$$

$$S_2 = \sum_{j=\tau}^{j_1} \sum_{k=0}^{2^j-1} \mathbb{E}\left(\left(\widehat{\beta}_{j,k} - \beta_{j,k}\right)^2 \mathbb{I}_{\{|\widehat{\beta}_{j,k}| \geq \kappa\lambda_n\}} \mathbb{I}_{\{|\beta_{j,k}| \geq \kappa\lambda_n/2\}} \right),$$

$$S_3 = \sum_{j=\tau}^{j_1} \sum_{k=0}^{2^j-1} \mathbb{E}\left(\beta_{j,k}^2 \mathbb{I}_{\{|\widehat{\beta}_{j,k}| < \kappa\lambda_n\}} \mathbb{I}_{\{|\beta_{j,k}| \geq 2\kappa\lambda_n\}} \right),$$

$$S_4 = \sum_{j=\tau}^{j_1} \sum_{k=0}^{2^j-1} \mathbb{E}\left(\beta_{j,k}^2 \mathbb{I}_{\{|\widehat{\beta}_{j,k}| < \kappa\lambda_n\}} \mathbb{I}_{\{|\beta_{j,k}| < 2\kappa\lambda_n\}} \right). \tag{6.62}$$

Let us investigate the bounds of S_1, S_2, S_3, and S_4 in turn.

Upper Bounds for S_1 and S_3

We have

$$\left\{ \left|\widehat{\beta}_{j,k}\right| < \kappa\lambda_n, \left|\beta_{j,k}\right| \geq 2\kappa\lambda_n \right\} \subseteq \left\{ \left|\widehat{\beta}_{j,k} - \beta_{j,k}\right| > \frac{\kappa\lambda_n}{2} \right\},$$

$$\left\{ \left|\widehat{\beta}_{j,k}\right| \geq \kappa\lambda_n, \left|\beta_{j,k}\right| < \frac{\kappa\lambda_n}{2} \right\} \subseteq \left\{ \left|\widehat{\beta}_{j,k} - \beta_{j,k}\right| > \frac{\kappa\lambda_n}{2} \right\}, \tag{6.63}$$

$$\left\{ \left|\widehat{\beta}_{j,k}\right| < \kappa\lambda_n, \left|\beta_{j,k}\right| \geq 2\kappa\lambda_n \right\} \subseteq \left\{ \left|\beta_{j,k}\right| \leq 2\left|\widehat{\beta}_{j,k} - \beta_{j,k}\right| \right\}.$$

So,

$$\max(S_1, S_3) \leq C \sum_{j=\tau}^{j_1} \sum_{k=0}^{2^j-1} \mathbb{E}\left(\left(\widehat{\beta}_{j,k} - \beta_{j,k}\right)^2 \mathbb{I}_{\{|\widehat{\beta}_{j,k} - \beta_{j,k}| > \kappa\lambda_n/2\}} \right). \tag{6.64}$$

It follows from the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, Propositions 6.3 and 6.4, and $2^j \leq 2^{j_1} \leq n$ that

$$\mathbb{E}\left(\left(\widehat{\beta}_{j,k} - \beta_{j,k}\right)^2 \mathbb{I}_{\{|\widehat{\beta}_{j,k} - \beta_{j,k}| > \kappa\lambda_n/2\}} \right) \leq \left(\mathbb{E}\left(\left(\widehat{\beta}_{j,k} - \beta_{j,k}\right)^4 \right) \right)^{1/2} \left(\mathbb{P}\left(\left|\widehat{\beta}_{j,k} - \beta_{j,k}\right| > \frac{\kappa\lambda_n}{2} \right) \right)^{1/2}$$

$$\leq C\left(2^j \frac{1}{n}\right)^{1/2} \left(\frac{1}{n^4}\right)^{1/2} \leq C\frac{1}{n^2}. \tag{6.65}$$

Since $2s/(2s+1) < 1$, we have

$$\max(S_1, S_3) \leq C\frac{1}{n^2}\sum_{j=\tau}^{j_1} 2^j \leq C\frac{1}{n^2}2^{j_1} \leq C\frac{1}{n} \leq C\left(\frac{(\ln n)^{1+1/\theta}}{n}\right)^{2s/(2s+1)}. \tag{6.66}$$

Upper Bound for S_2

Using again Proposition 6.3, we obtain

$$\mathbb{E}\left(\left(\widehat{\beta}_{j,k} - \beta_{j,k}\right)^2\right) \leq C\frac{1}{n} \leq C\frac{(\ln n)^{1+1/\theta}}{n}. \tag{6.67}$$

Hence,

$$S_2 \leq C\frac{(\ln n)^{1+1/\theta}}{n}\sum_{j=\tau}^{j_1}\sum_{k=0}^{2^j-1}\mathbb{I}_{\{|\beta_{j,k}|>\kappa\lambda_n/2\}}. \tag{6.68}$$

Let j_2 be the integer defined by

$$\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{n}{(\ln n)^{1+1/\theta}}\right)^{1/(2s+1)} < 2^{j_2} \leq \left(\frac{n}{(\ln n)^{1+1/\theta}}\right)^{1/(2s+1)}. \tag{6.69}$$

We have

$$S_2 \leq S_{2,1} + S_{2,2}, \tag{6.70}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} S_{2,1} &= C\frac{(\ln n)^{1+1/\theta}}{n}\sum_{j=\tau}^{j_2}\sum_{k=0}^{2^j-1}\mathbb{I}_{\{|\beta_{j,k}|>\kappa\lambda_n/2\}}, \\ S_{2,2} &= C\frac{(\ln n)^{1+1/\theta}}{n}\sum_{j=j_2+1}^{j_1}\sum_{k=0}^{2^j-1}\mathbb{I}_{\{|\beta_{j,k}|>\kappa\lambda_n/2\}}. \end{aligned} \tag{6.71}$$

We have

$$S_{2,1} \leq C\frac{(\ln n)^{1+1/\theta}}{n}\sum_{j=\tau}^{j_2}2^j \leq C\frac{(\ln n)^{1+1/\theta}}{n}2^{j_2} \leq C\left(\frac{(\ln n)^{1+1/\theta}}{n}\right)^{2s/(2s+1)}. \tag{6.72}$$

For $r \geq 1$ and $p \geq 2$, since $B^s_{p,r}(M) \subseteq B^s_{2,\infty}(M)$,

$$S_{2,2} \leq C\frac{(\ln n)^{1+1/\theta}}{n\lambda_n^2}\sum_{j=j_2+1}^{j_1}\sum_{k=0}^{2^j-1}\beta_{j,k}^2 \leq C\sum_{j=j_2+1}^{\infty}\sum_{k=0}^{2^j-1}\beta_{j,k}^2 \leq C2^{-2j_2 s} \leq C\left(\frac{(\ln n)^{1+1/\theta}}{n}\right)^{2s/(2s+1)}. \tag{6.73}$$

For $r \geq 1$, $p \in [1,2)$ and $s > 1/p$, using $\mathbb{I}_{\{|\beta_{j,k}|>\kappa\lambda_n/2\}} \leq C|\beta_{j,k}|^p/\lambda_n^p$, $B^s_{p,r}(M) \subseteq B^{s+1/2-1/p}_{2,\infty}(M)$ and $(2s+1)(2-p)/2 + (s+1/2-1/p)p = 2s$, we have

$$S_{2,2} \leq C\frac{(\ln n)^{1+1/\theta}}{n\lambda_n^p}\sum_{j=j_2+1}^{j_1}\sum_{k=0}^{2^j-1}|\beta_{j,k}|^p \leq C\left(\frac{(\ln n)^{1+1/\theta}}{n}\right)^{(2-p)/2}\sum_{j=j_2+1}^{\infty}2^{-j(s+1/2-1/p)p} \leq C\left(\frac{(\ln n)^{1+1/\theta}}{n}\right)^{(2-p)/2}2^{-j_2(s+1/2-1/p)p} \leq C\left(\frac{(\ln n)^{1+1/\theta}}{n}\right)^{2s/(2s+1)}. \tag{6.74}$$

So, for $r \geq 1$, $\{p \geq 2$ and $s > 0\}$ or $\{p \in [1,2)$ and $s > 1/p\}$, we have

$$S_2 \leq C\left(\frac{(\ln n)^{1+1/\theta}}{n}\right)^{2s/(2s+1)}. \tag{6.75}$$

Upper Bound for S_4

We have

$$S_4 \leq \sum_{j=\tau}^{j_1}\sum_{k=0}^{2^j-1}\beta_{j,k}^2\mathbb{I}_{\{|\beta_{j,k}|<2\kappa\lambda_n\}}. \tag{6.76}$$

Let j_2 be the integer (6.69). Then

$$S_4 \leq S_{4,1} + S_{4,2}, \tag{6.77}$$

where

$$S_{4,1} = \sum_{j=\tau}^{j_2}\sum_{k=0}^{2^j-1}\beta_{j,k}^2\mathbb{I}_{\{|\beta_{j,k}|<2\kappa\lambda_n\}}, \qquad S_{4,2} = \sum_{j=j_2+1}^{j_1}\sum_{k=0}^{2^j-1}\beta_{j,k}^2\mathbb{I}_{\{|\beta_{j,k}|<2\kappa\lambda_n\}}. \tag{6.78}$$

We have

$$S_{4,1} \leq C\sum_{j=\tau}^{j_2}2^j\lambda_n^2 = C\frac{(\ln n)^{1+1/\theta}}{n}\sum_{j=\tau}^{j_2}2^j \leq C\frac{(\ln n)^{1+1/\theta}}{n}2^{j_2} \leq C\left(\frac{(\ln n)^{1+1/\theta}}{n}\right)^{2s/(2s+1)}. \tag{6.79}$$

For $r \geq 1$ and $p \geq 2$, since $B^s_{p,r}(M) \subseteq B^s_{2,\infty}(M)$, we have

$$S_{4,2} \leq \sum_{j=j_2+1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{2^j-1} \beta_{j,k}^2 \leq C 2^{-2j_2 s} \leq C\left(\frac{(\ln n)^{1+1/\theta}}{n}\right)^{2s/(2s+1)}. \tag{6.80}$$

For $r \geq 1$, $p \in [1,2)$ and $s > 1/p$, using $\beta_{j,k}^2 \mathbb{I}_{\{|\beta_{j,k}|<2\kappa\lambda_n\}} \leq C\lambda_n^{2-p} |\beta_{j,k}|^p$, $B^s_{p,r}(M) \subseteq B^{s+1/2-1/p}_{2,\infty}(M)$ and $(2s+1)(2-p)/2 + (s+1/2-1/p)p = 2s$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} S_{4,2} &\leq C\lambda_n^{2-p} \sum_{j=j_2+1}^{j_1} \sum_{k=0}^{2^j-1} |\beta_{j,k}|^p = C\left(\frac{(\ln n)^{1+1/\theta}}{n}\right)^{(2-p)/2} \sum_{j=j_2+1}^{j_1} \sum_{k=0}^{2^j-1} |\beta_{j,k}|^p \\ &\leq C\left(\frac{(\ln n)^{1+1/\theta}}{n}\right)^{(2-p)/2} \sum_{j=j_2+1}^{\infty} 2^{-j(s+1/2-1/p)p} \\ &\leq C\left(\frac{(\ln n)^{1+1/\theta}}{n}\right)^{(2-p)/2} 2^{-j_2(s+1/2-1/p)p} \leq C\left(\frac{(\ln n)^{1+1/\theta}}{n}\right)^{2s/(2s+1)}. \end{aligned} \tag{6.81}$$

So, for $r \geq 1$, $\{p \geq 2$ and $s > 0\}$ or $\{p \in [1,2)$ and $s > 1/p\}$, we have

$$S_4 \leq C\left(\frac{(\ln n)^{1+1/\theta}}{n}\right)^{2s/(2s+1)}. \tag{6.82}$$

It follows from (6.61), (6.66), (6.75), and (6.82) that

$$S \leq C\left(\frac{(\ln n)^{1+1/\theta}}{n}\right)^{2s/(2s+1)}. \tag{6.83}$$

Combining (6.55), (6.57), (6.60), and (6.83), we have, for $r \geq 1$, $\{p \geq 2$ and $s > 0\}$ or $\{p \in [1,2)$ and $s > 1/p\}$,

$$\mathbb{E}\left(\int_0^1 \left(\hat{f}^H(x) - f(x)\right)^2 dx\right) \leq C\left(\frac{(\ln n)^{1+1/\theta}}{n}\right)^{2s/(2s+1)}. \tag{6.84}$$

The proof of Theorem 5.2 is complete. □

Acknowledgment

This paper is supported by ANR Grant NatImages, ANR-08-EMER-009.

References

[1] S. T. Buckland, D. R. Anderson, K. P. Burnham, and J. L. Laake, *Distance Sampling: Estimating Abundance of Biological Populations*, Chapman & Hall, London, UK, 1993.

[2] D. Cox, "Some sampling problems in technology," in *New Developments in Survey Sampling*, N. L. Johnson and H. Smith Jr., Eds., pp. 506–527, John Wiley & Sons, New York, NY, USA, 1969.

[3] J. Heckman, "Selection bias and self-selection," in *The New Palgrave : A Dictionary of Economics*, pp. 287–296, MacMillan Press, New York, NY, USA, 1985.

[4] G. P. Patil and C. R. Rao, "The weighted distributions: a survey of their applications," in *Applications of Statistics*, P. R. Krishnaiah, Ed., pp. 383–405, North-Holland, Amsterdam, The Netherlands, 1977.

[5] H. El Barmi and J. S. Simonoff, "Transformation-based density estimation for weighted distributions," *Journal of Nonparametric Statistics*, vol. 12, no. 6, pp. 861–878, 2000.

[6] S. Efromovich, "Density estimation for biased data," *The Annals of Statistics*, vol. 32, no. 3, pp. 1137–1161, 2004.

[7] E. Brunel, F. Comte, and A. Guilloux, "Nonparametric density estimation in presence of bias and censoring," *Test*, vol. 18, no. 1, pp. 166–194, 2009.

[8] C. Chesneau, "Wavelet block thresholding for density estimation in the presence of bias," *Journal of the Korean Statistical Society*, vol. 39, no. 1, pp. 43–53, 2010.

[9] P. Ramírez and B. Vidakovic, "Wavelet density estimation for stratified size-biased sample," *Journal of Statistical Planning and Inference*, vol. 140, no. 22, pp. 419–432, 2010.

[10] H. Doosti and I. Dewan, "Wavelet linear density estimation for associated stratified size-biased sample," *Statistics & Mathematics Unit*. In press.

[11] P. Doukhan, *Mixing. Properties and Examples*, vol. 85 of *Lecture Notes in Statistics*, Springer, New York, NY, USA, 1994.

[12] M. Carrasco and X. Chen, "Mixing and moment properties of various GARCH and stochastic volatility models," *Econometric Theory*, vol. 18, no. 1, pp. 17–39, 2002.

[13] A. Antoniadis, "Wavelets in statistics: a review (with discussion)," *Journal of the Italian Statistical Society, Series B*, vol. 6, pp. 97–144, 1997.

[14] W. Härdle, G. Kerkyacharian, D. Picard, and A. Tsybakov, *Wavelets, Approximation, and Statistical Applications*, vol. 129 of *Lecture Notes in Statistics*, Springer, New York, NY, USA, 1998.

[15] D. L. Donoho, I. M. Johnstone, G. Kerkyacharian, and D. Picard, "Density estimation by wavelet thresholding," *The Annals of Statistics*, vol. 24, no. 2, pp. 508–539, 1996.

[16] C. S. Withers, "Conditions for linear processes to be strong-mixing," *Zeitschrift für Wahrscheinlichkeitstheorie und Verwandte Gebiete*, vol. 57, no. 4, pp. 477–480, 1981.

[17] D. S. Modha and E. Masry, "Minimum complexity regression estimation with weakly dependent observations," *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory*, vol. 42, no. 6, part 2, pp. 2133–2145, 1996.

[18] A. Cohen, I. Daubechies, and P. Vial, "Wavelets on the interval and fast wavelet transforms," *Applied and Computational Harmonic Analysis*, vol. 1, no. 1, pp. 54–81, 1993.

[19] Y. Meyer, *Wavelets and Operators*, vol. 37 of *Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, 1992.

[20] Y.P. Chaubey, C. Chesneau, and H. Doosti, "On linear wavelet density estimation: some recent developments," *Journal of the Indian Society of Agricultural Statistics*. In press.

[21] F. Leblanc, "Wavelet linear density estimator for a discrete-time stochastic process: L_p-losses," *Statistics & Probability Letters*, vol. 27, no. 1, pp. 71–84, 1996.

[22] E. Masry, "Probability density estimation from dependent observations using wavelets orthonormal bases," *Statistics & Probability Letters*, vol. 21, no. 3, pp. 181–194, 1994.

[23] B. Delyon and A. Juditsky, "On minimax wavelet estimators," *Applied and Computational Harmonic Analysis*, vol. 3, no. 3, pp. 215–228, 1996.

[24] J. A. Davydov, "The invariance principle for stationary processes," *Theory of Probability and Its Applications*, vol. 15, pp. 498–509, 1970.

[25] E. Rio, "The functional law of the iterated logarithm for stationary strongly mixing sequences," *The Annals of Probability*, vol. 23, no. 3, pp. 1188–1203, 1995.

[26] E. Liebscher, "Strong convergence of sums of a-mixing random variables with applications to density estimation," *Stochastic Processes and their Applications*, vol. 65, no. 1, pp. 69–80, 1996.


Advances in Operations Research
Advances in Decision Sciences
Journal of Applied Mathematics
Algebra
Journal of Probability and Statistics
The Scientific World Journal
International Journal of Differential Equations
Hindawi
Submit your manuscripts at http://www.hindawi.com
International Journal of Combinatorics
Advances in Mathematical Physics
Journal of Complex Analysis
Journal of Mathematics
Mathematical Problems in Engineering
Abstract and Applied Analysis
Discrete Dynamics in Nature and Society
International Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences
Journal of Discrete Mathematics
Journal of Function Spaces
International Journal of Stochastic Analysis
Journal of Optimization