

Research Article

Almost α -Hyponormal Operators with Weyl Spectrum of Area Zero

Vasile Lauric

Department of Mathematics, Florida A&M University, Tallahassee, FL 32307, USA

Correspondence should be addressed to Vasile Lauric, vasile.lauric@fam.u.edu

Received 27 December 2010; Accepted 20 March 2011

Academic Editor: Raül Curto

Copyright © 2011 Vasile Lauric. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

We define the class of almost α -hyponormal operators and prove that for an operator T in this class, $(T^*T)^\alpha - (TT^*)^\alpha$ is trace-class and its trace is zero when $\alpha \in (0, 1]$ and the area of the Weyl spectrum is zero.

This note is dedicated to Professor Carl M. Pearcy with the occasion of his 75th birthday.

Let \mathcal{H} be a complex, separable, infinite-dimensional Hilbert space, and let $L(\mathcal{H})$ denote the algebra of all linear bounded operators on \mathcal{H} , and for $1 \leq p < \infty$, let $\mathcal{C}_p(\mathcal{H})$ denote the p -Schatten class on \mathcal{H} . For $K \in \mathcal{C}_p(\mathcal{H})$, the expression $\|K\|_p := (\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu_n(K)^p)^{1/p}$, where $\mu_1(K) \geq \mu_2(K) \geq \dots$ are the singular values of K , is a norm for $p \geq 1$, and is only a quasinorm for $0 < p < 1$ (it does not satisfy the triangle inequality). Nevertheless, the latter case will be used in what follows.

For $T \in L(\mathcal{H})$, $\sigma(T)$ and $\sigma_w(T)$ will denote the spectrum and the Weyl spectrum, respectively. Recall that Weyl spectrum is the union of the essential spectrum, $\sigma_e(T)$, and all bounded components of $\mathbb{C} \setminus \sigma_e(T)$ associated with nonzero Fredholm index. An operator $T \in L(\mathcal{H})$ is called (\mathcal{C}_p, α) -normal (notation: $T \in N_p^\alpha(\mathcal{H})$) if $C_T^\alpha := (T^*T)^\alpha - (TT^*)^\alpha$ belongs to $\mathcal{C}_p(\mathcal{H})$, and T is called (\mathcal{C}_p, α) -hyponormal (notation: $T \in H_p^\alpha(\mathcal{H})$) if C_T^α is the sum of a positive definite operator and an operator in $\mathcal{C}_p(\mathcal{H})$, or equivalently, $(C_T^\alpha)_-$ (the negative part of C_T^α) belongs to $\mathcal{C}_p(\mathcal{H})$, where α is a positive number. This note will be concerned with the particular class $H_1^\alpha(\mathcal{H})$, which by some parallelism with some terminology used in [1], would be appropriate to be referred as *almost α -hyponormal operators*.

Voiculescu's [1] generalization of Berger-Shaw inequality gives an estimate for the trace of C_T^1 . The result was extended in [2]. The combination of these results will be stated after recalling some terminology and notation. The *rational cyclic multiplicity* of an operator

T in $L(\mathcal{A})$, denoted by $m(T)$, is the smallest cardinal number m with the property that there are m vectors x_1, \dots, x_m in \mathcal{A} such that

$$\vee \{f(T)x_j \mid 1 \leq j \leq m, f \in \text{Rat}(\sigma(T))\} = \mathcal{A}, \quad (1)$$

where $\text{Rat}(\sigma(T))$ is the algebra of complex-valued rational functions with poles off $\sigma(T)$.

For a Borel subset $E \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ and $\alpha > 0$, denote $\mu_\alpha(E) = (\alpha/2) \iint_E \rho^{\alpha-1} d\rho d\theta$. In particular, μ_2 is the planar Lebesgue measure.

Theorem A (see [1, 2]). *Suppose $T \in H_1^1(\mathcal{A})$. If there exists $K \in C_2(\mathcal{A})$ such that either $m(T + K) < \infty$ or $\mu_2(\sigma(T + K)) = 0$, then $T \in N_1^1(\mathcal{A})$. Moreover, when $m(T + K) < \infty$,*

$$\text{tr}(C_T^1) \leq \frac{m(T + K)}{\pi} \cdot \mu_2(\sigma(T + K)), \quad (2)$$

and when $\mu_2(\sigma(T + K)) = 0$, $\text{tr}(C_T^1) \leq 0$, and consequently, $\text{tr}(C_T^1) = 0$.

In fact, it was observed in [2] that the inequality can be improved by replacing $m(T + K)$ with $\tau(T + K)$, where

$$\tau(S) := \liminf [\text{rank}(I - P)SP], \quad (3)$$

and the \liminf is taken over all sequences of finite-rank orthogonal projections such that $P \rightarrow I$ in the strong operator topology.

Corollary B (see [2]). *Let $T \in H_1^1(\mathcal{A})$ such that $\mu_2(\sigma_w(T)) = 0$. Then $T \in N_1^1(\mathcal{A})$ and $\text{tr}(C_T^1) = 0$.*

On the other hand, Berger-Shaw inequality was extended to operators in $H_1^\alpha(\mathcal{A})$ using similar circle of ideas used in [1]. This was done in [3] for the case $\alpha \in [(1/2), 1]$ and later on in [4] for the case $\alpha \in (0, (1/2)]$.

Theorem C (see [3, 4]). *Let $0 < \alpha \leq 1$, and let $T \in H_1^\alpha(\mathcal{A})$ and $K \in C_{2\alpha}(\mathcal{A})$ with $m(T + K) < \infty$. Then $T \in N_1^\alpha(\mathcal{A})$ and*

$$\text{tr}(C_T^\alpha) \leq \frac{m(T + K)}{\pi} \cdot \mu_{2\alpha}(\sigma(T + K)). \quad (4)$$

The case in which $m(T + K) = \infty$ and $\mu_{2\alpha}(\sigma(T + K)) = 0$ was not discussed in [4] or [3]. It is the goal of this note to make some progress towards this case. We have the following.

Theorem 1. *Let $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ and let $T \in H_1^\alpha(\mathcal{A})$ and $K \in C_\alpha(\mathcal{A})$ with $\mu_{2\alpha}(\sigma(T + K)) = 0$. Then $T \in N_1^\alpha(\mathcal{A})$ and $\text{tr}(C_T^\alpha) = 0$.*

Remark. It would have been desirable that Theorem 1 be proved with the hypothesis that $K \in C_{2\alpha}(\mathcal{A})$.

Before we prove Theorem 1, we extract a similar consequence to Corollary B.

Corollary 2. Let $\alpha \in (0, 1]$ and let $T \in H_1^\alpha(\mathcal{L})$ such that $\mu_2(\sigma_w(T)) = 0$. Then $T \in N_1^\alpha(\mathcal{L})$ and $\text{tr}(C_T^\alpha) = 0$.

Proof. If $\alpha = 1$, then conclusion holds according to Corollary B. Let $\alpha \in (0, 1)$. First, a careful inspection of the proof of a result of Stampfli [5] leads to the following. For $T \in L(\mathcal{L})$ and $\alpha > 0$, there exists $K_\alpha \in C_\alpha(\mathcal{L})$ such that $\sigma(T + K_\alpha) \setminus \sigma_w(T)$ consists of a countable set which clusters only on $\sigma_w(T)$. Therefore $\mu_2(\sigma(T + K_\alpha)) = 0$ and thus Theorem 1 applies. \square

The proof of Theorem 1 makes use of the following three inequalities.

Proposition D (Hansen’s inequality [6]). If $A, B \in L(\mathcal{L})$, $A \geq 0$, $\|B\| \leq 1$, and $\alpha \in (0, 1]$, then $B^* A^\alpha B \leq (B^* A B)^\alpha$.

Proposition E (Lowner’s inequality [7]). If $A, B \in L(\mathcal{L})$, $A \geq B \geq 0$, and $\alpha \in (0, 1]$, then $A^\alpha \geq B^\alpha$.

The following is a consequence of Theorem 3.4 of [8].

Proposition F (Jocic’s inequality [8]). Let $A, B \in L(\mathcal{L})$, $A, B \geq 0$, $\alpha \in (0, 1]$, and $1 \leq p < \infty$. If $A - B \in C_{ap}(\mathcal{L})$, then $A^\alpha - B^\alpha \in C_p(\mathcal{L})$ and $\|B^\alpha - A^\alpha\|_p \leq \| |B - A|^\alpha \|_p$.

Proof of Theorem 1. Let $\alpha \in (0, 1)$, $T \in H_1^\alpha(\mathcal{L})$, and $K \in C_\alpha(\mathcal{L})$ with $\mu_{2\alpha}(\sigma(T + K)) = 0$, and assume $m(T + K) = \infty$, otherwise Theorem C implies $T \in N_1^\alpha(\mathcal{L})$.

Let $\{e_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be an orthonormal basis of \mathcal{L} and let

$$\mathcal{L}_n = \vee \{r(T + K)e_j \mid j = 1, \dots, n, r \in \text{Rat}(\sigma(T + K))\}. \tag{5}$$

Assume that with respect to the decomposition $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_n \oplus \mathcal{L}_n^\perp$, operators T and K are written as

$$T = \begin{pmatrix} T_{1n} & T_{2n} \\ T_{3n} & T_{4n} \end{pmatrix}, \quad K = \begin{pmatrix} K_{1n} & K_{2n} \\ K_{3n} & K_{4n} \end{pmatrix}. \tag{6}$$

Since \mathcal{L}_n is a rationally invariant subspace for $T + K$, we have $T_{3n} + K_{3n} = 0$, and thus $T_{3n} = -K_{3n} \in C_\alpha(\mathcal{L}_n) \subseteq C_{2\alpha}(\mathcal{L}_n)$, and $\sigma(T_{1n} + K_{1n}) \subseteq \sigma(T + K)$, which implies $\mu_{2\alpha}(\sigma(T_{1n} + K_{1n})) = 0$.

Let P_n be the orthogonal projection onto \mathcal{L}_n , and thus $P_n \uparrow I$ strongly. We will prove next that $T_{1n} \in H_1^\alpha(\mathcal{L}_n)$ by first establishing that

$$P_n C_T^\alpha P_n - C_{T_{1n}}^\alpha = -Q'_n + K'_{n'} \tag{7a}$$

where $Q'_n \in L(\mathcal{L}_n)$ is positive semidefinite and $K'_{n'} \in C_1(\mathcal{L}_n)$.

Assuming that equality (7a) was already proved and writing $C_T^\alpha = Q + K$ with $Q \geq 0$ and $K \in C_1(\mathcal{L})$, then we have

$$C_{T_{1n}}^\alpha = P_n Q P_n + P_n K P_n + Q'_n - K'_{n'} \tag{7b}$$

that is, $C_{T_{1n}}^\alpha$ is the sum of $P_n Q P_n + Q'_n$, which is a positive semidefinite operator, and of $P_n K P_n - K'_{n'}$, which is a trace-class operator.

Indeed, the expression $P_n C_T^\alpha P_n - C_{T_{1n}}^\alpha$ can be written as $D_1 - D_2$, where

$$\begin{aligned} D_1 &= P_n (T^* T)^\alpha P_n - (T_{1n}^* T_{1n})^\alpha, \\ D_2 &= P_n (T T^*)^\alpha P_n - (T_{1n} T_{1n}^*)^\alpha. \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

We can write $D_1 = -Q_n'' + K_n''$, where

$$Q_n'' = [(P_n T^* T P_n)^\alpha - P_n (T^* T)^\alpha P_n], \quad (9)$$

which according to Hansen's inequality is a positive semidefinite operator, and

$$K_n'' = [(P_n T^* T P_n)^\alpha - (P_n T^* P_n T P_n)^\alpha], \quad (10)$$

which according to Jovic's inequality is a trace-class operator that satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} \|K_n''\|_1 &\leq \| |(P_n T^* T P_n - P_n T^* P_n T P_n)|^\alpha \|_1 = \|(T_{3n}^* T_{3n})^\alpha\|_1 \\ &= \|T_{3n}^* T_{3n}\|_\alpha^\alpha \leq \|T_{3n}^*\|_\alpha^\alpha \cdot \|T_{3n}\|_\alpha^\alpha \leq \|T\|_\alpha^\alpha \cdot \|T_{3n}\|_\alpha^\alpha. \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

Concerning operator D_2 , we can write $D_2 = Q_n''' + K_n'''$, where

$$Q_n''' = P_n (T T^*)^\alpha P_n - P_n (T P_n T^*)^\alpha P_n, \quad (12)$$

which according to Lowner's inequality is a positive semidefinite operator, and

$$K_n''' = P_n (T P_n T^*)^\alpha P_n - (P_n T P_n T^* P_n)^\alpha = P_n [(T P_n T^*)^\alpha - (P_n T P_n T^* P_n)^\alpha] P_n, \quad (13)$$

which is also a trace-class operator since

$$\begin{aligned} T P_n T^* - P_n T P_n T^* P_n &= (T P_n T^* - T P_n T^* P_n) + (T P_n T^* P_n - P_n T P_n T^* P_n) \\ &= T P_n T^* (I - P_n) + (I - P_n) T P_n T^* P_n \\ &= T T_{3n}^* + T_{3n} T^* P_n \in \mathcal{C}_\alpha(\mathcal{L}), \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

and according to Jovic's inequality

$$\begin{aligned} \|K_n'''\|_1 &\leq \|(T P_n T^*)^\alpha - (P_n T P_n T^* P_n)^\alpha\|_1 \leq \| |T T_{3n}^* + T_{3n} T^* P_n|^\alpha \|_1 \\ &= \|T T_{3n}^* + T_{3n} T^* P_n\|_\alpha^\alpha \leq C (\|T T_{3n}^*\|_\alpha^\alpha + \|T_{3n} T^* P_n\|_\alpha^\alpha) \\ &\leq C \|T\|_\alpha^\alpha (\|T_{3n}^*\|_\alpha^\alpha + \|T_{3n}\|_\alpha^\alpha) = 2C \|T\|_\alpha^\alpha \|T_{3n}\|_\alpha^\alpha. \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

Therefore,

$$D_2 = Q_n''' + K_n''', \text{ with } Q_n''' \geq 0, K_n''' \in C_1(H), \quad (16)$$

and consequently, $D_1 - D_2 = (-Q_n'' + K_n'') - (Q_n''' + K_n''') = -(Q_n'' + Q_n''') + (K_n'' - K_n''')$, where $Q_n'' + Q_n''' =: Q_n'$ is positive semidefinite and $K_n'' - K_n''' =: K_n'$ is trace-class, which establishes equality (7a).

According to (7b), $T_{1n} \in H_1^\alpha(\mathcal{A}_n)$, and since $m(T_{1n} + K_{1n}) \leq n$ and $\sigma(T_{1n} + K_{1n}) \subseteq \sigma(T + K)$, Theorem C implies that $\text{tr}(C_{T_{1n}}^\alpha) \leq 0$, and furthermore, by replacing T_{1n} with T_{1n}^* , $\text{tr}(C_{T_{1n}}^\alpha) = 0$. Furthermore, equality (7a) implies

$$P_n C_T^\alpha P_n \leq C_{T_{1n}}^\alpha + K_n', \quad (17)$$

which further implies

$$\text{tr}(P_n C_T^\alpha P_n) \leq \text{tr}(K_n'). \quad (18)$$

Similar utilization of Lowner's and Hansen's inequalities implies that K_n'' and $-K_n'''$ are positive semidefinite, and thus so is $K_n' = K_n'' - K_n'''$. Therefore

$$\text{tr}(K_n') \leq \|(K_n'')\|_1 + \|(K_n''')\|_1 \leq (1 + 2C)\|T\|^\alpha \|T_{3n}\|_\alpha. \quad (19)$$

Since $T_{3n} = -K_{3n} \in C_p(\mathcal{A}_n)$ and $K_{3n} \rightarrow 0$ weakly and both $|T_{3n}|$ and $|T_{3n}^*| \leq \|T\| I$, we have $\|T_{3n}\|_\alpha \rightarrow 0$, and thus $\text{tr}(C_T^\alpha) \leq 0$. Replacing T with T^* we conclude that $\text{tr}(C_T^\alpha) = 0$. \square

References

- [1] D. Voiculescu, "A note on quasitriangularity and trace-class self-commutators," *Acta Scientiarum Mathematicarum*, vol. 42, no. 1-2, pp. 1303-1320, 1980.
- [2] D. Hadwin and E. Nordgren, "Extensions of the Berger-Shaw theorem," *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, vol. 102, no. 3, pp. 517-525, 1988.
- [3] R. E. Curto, P. S. Muhly, and D. Xia, "A trace estimate for p -hyponormal operators," *Integral Equations and Operator Theory*, vol. 6, no. 4, pp. 507-514, 1983.
- [4] A. Aluthge and D. Xia, "A trace estimate of $(T^*T)^p - (TT^*)^p$," *Integral Equations and Operator Theory*, vol. 12, no. 2, pp. 300-303, 1989.
- [5] J. G. Stampfli, "Compact perturbations, normal eigenvalues and a problem of Salinas," *Journal of the London Mathematical Society*, vol. 9, no. 2, pp. 165-175, 1974-1975.
- [6] F. Hansen, "An operator inequality," *Mathematische Annalen*, vol. 246, no. 3, pp. 249-250, 1980.
- [7] E. Heinz, "Beiträge zur störungstheorie der spektralzerlegung," *Mathematische Annalen*, vol. 123, pp. 415-438, 1951.
- [8] D. R. Jocić, "Integral representation formula for generalized normal derivations," *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, vol. 127, no. 8, pp. 2303-2314, 1999.



Hindawi

Submit your manuscripts at
<http://www.hindawi.com>

