

Research Article

On BRK-Algebras

Ravi Kumar Bandaru

*Department of Engineering Mathematics, GITAM University, Hyderabad Campus, Medak District,
Andhra Pradesh 502 329, India*

Correspondence should be addressed to Ravi Kumar Bandaru, ravimaths83@gmail.com

Received 31 December 2011; Revised 5 February 2012; Accepted 23 February 2012

Academic Editor: YoungBae Jun

Copyright © 2012 Ravi Kumar Bandaru. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

The notion of BRK-algebra is introduced which is a generalization of BCK/BCI/BCH/Q/QS/BM-algebras. The concepts of G -part, p -radical, medial of a BRK-algebra are introduced and studied their properties. We proved that the variety of all medial BRK-algebras is congruence permutable and showed that every associative BRK-algebra is a group.

1. Introduction

In 1996, Imai and Iséki [1] introduced two classes of abstract algebras: BCK-algebras and BCI-algebras. These algebras have been extensively studied since their introduction. In 1983, Hu and Li [2] introduced the notion of a BCH-algebra which is a generalization of the notion of BCK and BCI-algebras and studied a few properties of these algebras. In 2001, Neggers et al. [3] introduced a new notion, called a Q-algebra and generalized some theorems discussed in BCI/BCK-algebras. In 2002, Neggers and Kim [4] introduced a new notion, called a B-algebra, and obtained several results. In 2007, Walendziak [5] introduced a new notion, called a BF-algebra, which is a generalization of B-algebra. In [6], C. B. Kim and H. S. Kim introduced BG-algebra as a generalization of B-algebra. We introduce a new notion, called a BRK-algebra, which is a generalization of BCK/BCI/BCH/Q/QS/BM-algebras. The concept of G -part, p -radical, and medial of a BRK-algebra are introduced and studied their properties.

2. Preliminaries

First, we recall certain definitions from [2–5, 7, 8] that are required in the paper.

Definition 2.1. A BCI-algebra is an algebra $(X, *, 0)$ of type $(2, 0)$ satisfying the following conditions:

$$(B_1) \quad (x * y) * (x * z) \leq (z * y),$$

$$(B_2) \quad x * (x * y) \leq y,$$

$$(B_3) \quad x \leq x,$$

$$(B_4) \quad x \leq y \text{ and } y \leq x \text{ imply } x = y,$$

$$(B_5) \quad x \leq 0 \text{ implies } x = 0, \text{ where } x \leq y \text{ is defined by } x * y = 0, \text{ for all } x, y, z \in X.$$

If (B_5) is replaced by (B_6) : $0 \leq x$, then the algebra is called a BCK-algebra. It is known that every BCK-algebra is a BCI-algebra but not conversely.

Definition 2.2. A BCH-algebra is an algebra $(X, *, 0)$ of type $(2, 0)$ satisfying (B_3) , (B_4) , and (B_7) : $(x * y) * z = (x * z) * y$.

It is shown that every BCI-algebra is a BCH-algebra but not conversely.

Definition 2.3. A Q-algebra is an algebra $(X, *, 0)$ of type $(2, 0)$ satisfying (B_3) , (B_7) , and (B_8) : $x * 0 = x$.

A Q-algebra is said to be a QS-algebra if it satisfies the additional relation:

$$(B_9) \quad (x * y) * (x * z) = z * y,$$

for any $x, y, z \in X$. It is shown that every BCH-algebra is a Q-algebra but not conversely.

Definition 2.4. A B-algebra is an algebra $(X, *, 0)$ of type $(2, 0)$ satisfying (B_3) , (B_8) , and (B_{10}) : $(x * y) * z = x * (z * (0 * y))$.

A B-algebra is said to be 0-commutative if $a * (0 * b) = b * (0 * a)$ for any $a, b \in X$. In [3], it is shown that Q-algebras and B-algebras are different notions.

Definition 2.5. A BF-algebra is an algebra $(X, *, 0)$ of type $(2, 0)$ satisfying (B_3) , (B_8) , and (B_{11}) : $0 * (x * y) = (y * x)$.

It is shown that every B-algebra is BF-algebra but not conversely.

Definition 2.6. A BM-algebra is an algebra $(X, *, 0)$ of type $(2, 0)$ satisfying (B_8) and (B_{12}) : $(x * y) * (x * z) = z * y$.

Definition 2.7. A BH-algebra is an algebra $(X, *, 0)$ of type $(2, 0)$ satisfying (B_3) , (B_4) , and (B_8) .

Definition 2.8. A BG-algebra is an algebra $(X, *, 0)$ of type $(2, 0)$ satisfying (B_3) , (B_8) , and (B_G) : $(x * y) * (0 * y) = x$.

3. BRK-Algebras

In this section, we define the notion of BRK-algebra and observe that the axioms in the definition are independent.

Definition 3.1. A BRK-algebra is a nonempty set A with a constant 0 and a binary operation $*$ satisfying axioms:

$$(B_8) \quad x * 0 = x,$$

$$(B_{13}) \quad (x * y) * x = 0 * y \text{ for any } x, y \in A.$$

For brevity, we also call A a BRK-algebra. In A , we can define a binary relation " \leq " by $x \leq y$ if and only if $x * y = 0$.

Example 3.2. Let $A := \mathbb{R} - \{-n\}, 0 \neq n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ where \mathbb{R} is the set of all real numbers and \mathbb{Z}^+ is the set of all positive integers. If we define a binary operation $*$ on A by

$$x * y = \frac{n(x - y)}{n + y}, \tag{3.1}$$

then $(A, *, 0)$ is an BRK-algebra.

Example 3.3. Let $A = \{0, 1, 2\}$ in which $*$ is defined by

$*$	0	1	2
0	0	2	2
1	1	0	0
2	2	0	0

(3.2)

Then $(A, *, 0)$ is a BRK-algebra.

We know that every BCK-algebra is a BCI-algebra and every BCI-algebra is a BCH-algebra and every BCH-algebra is a Q-algebra. We can observe that every Q-algebra is a BRK-algebra but converse needs not be true.

Example 3.4. Let $A = \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$ in which $*$ is defined by

$*$	0	1	2	3
0	0	1	0	1
1	1	0	1	0
2	2	1	0	1
3	3	2	3	0

(3.3)

Then $(A, *, 0)$ is a BRK-algebra, which is not a BCK/BCI/BCH/Q-algebra.

We know that every QS-algebra is a BM-algebra and we can observe that every BM-algebra is a BRK-algebra but converses need not be true.

Example 3.5. Let $A = \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$ in which $*$ is defined by

$*$	0	1	2	3	
0	0	2	2	0	
1	1	0	0	2	(3.4)
2	2	0	0	2	
3	3	1	1	0	

Then $(A, *, 0)$ is a BRK-algebra, which is not a QS/BM-algebra.

It is easy to see that B/BG/BF/BH-algebra and BRK-algebras are different notions. For example, Example 3.3 is a BRK-algebra which is not a BH-algebra and Example 3.4 is an BRK-algebra which is not B/BG/BF-algebra. Consider the following example. Let $A = \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$ be a set with the following table:

$*$	0	1	2	3	4	5	
0	0	2	1	3	4	5	
1	1	0	2	4	5	3	
2	2	1	0	5	3	4	(3.5)
3	3	4	5	0	2	1	
4	4	5	3	1	0	2	
5	5	3	4	2	1	0	

Then $(A, *, 0)$ is a B/BF/BG/BH-algebra which is not an BRK-algebra.

We observe that the two axioms (B_8) and (B_{13}) are independent. Let $A = \{0, 1, 2\}$ be a set with the following left table:

$*$	0	1	2	$*$	0	1	2	
0	0	1	2	0	0	1	0	
1	1	1	2	1	1	0	1	(3.6)
2	2	1	2	2	0	1	0	

Then the axiom (B_8) holds but not (B_{13}) , since $(1 * 2) * 1 = 2 * 1 = 1 \neq 2 = 0 * 2$. Similarly, the set $A = \{0, 1, 2\}$ with the above right table satisfies the axiom (B_{13}) but not (B_8) , since $2 * 0 = 0 \neq 2$.

Proposition 3.6. *If $(A, *, 0)$ is a BRK-algebra, then, for any $x, y \in A$, the following conditions hold:*

- (1) $x * x = 0$,
- (2) $x * y = 0 \Rightarrow 0 * x = 0 * y$.

Proof. Let $(A, *, 0)$ be a BRK-algebra and $x, y \in A$. Then

- (1) $x * x = (x * 0) * x = 0 * 0 = 0$ (by B_8 and B_{13}),
- (2) $x * y = 0 \Rightarrow (x * y) * x = 0 * x \Rightarrow 0 * y = 0 * x$.

□

Proposition 3.7. *Every BRK-algebra A satisfies the following property:*

$$0 * (x * y) = (0 * x) * (0 * y), \quad (3.7)$$

for any $x, y \in A$.

Proof. Let $x, y \in A$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} 0 * (x * y) &= ((0 * y) * (x * y)) * (0 * y) \quad (\text{by } B_{13}) \\ &= [((x * y) * x) * (x * y)] * (0 * y) \quad (\text{by } B_{13}) \\ &= (0 * x) * (0 * y). \end{aligned} \quad (3.8)$$

□

Theorem 3.8. *Every BRK-algebra A satisfying $x * (x * y) = x * y$ for all $x, y \in A$ is a trivial algebra.*

Proof. Putting $x = y$ in the equation $x * (x * y) = x * y$, we obtain $x * 0 = 0 \Rightarrow x = 0$. Hence, A is a trivial algebra. □

Theorem 3.9. *Every BRK-algebra A satisfying $(x * y) * (x * z) = z * y$ for all $x, y, z \in A$ is a BCI-algebra.*

Proof. Let $(A, *, 0)$ be a BRK-algebra and $(x * y) * (x * z) = z * y$ for all $x, y, z \in A$. Then

- (1) $(x * y) * (x * z) * (z * y) = (z * y) * (z * y) = 0$,
- (2) $(x * (x * y)) * y = ((x * 0) * (x * y)) * y = (y * 0) * y = y * y = 0$,
- (3) $x * x = 0$,
- (4) Let $x * y = 0 = y * x$. Then $x = x * 0 = x * (x * y) = (x * 0) * (x * y) = y * 0 = y$,
- (5) $x * 0 = 0 \Rightarrow x = 0$.

□

Theorem 3.10. *Every 0-commutative B-algebra is a BRK-algebra.*

Proof. Let A be a 0-commutative B-algebra. Then $x * (x * y) = y$ for all $x, y \in A$. Hence, $(x * y) * x = x * (x * (0 * y)) = 0 * y$. □

The following theorem can be proved easily.

Theorem 3.11. *Let $(A, *, 0)$ be a BRK-algebra. Then, for any $x, y \in A$, the following conditions hold.*

- (1) *If $(x * y) * (0 * (0 * y)) = (x * y) * y$, then $0 * (0 * (0 * y)) = 0 * y$.*
- (2) *If $(x * y) * (0 * y) = (x * y) * y$, then $0 * (0 * y) = 0 * y$.*
- (3) *If $x * (y * x) = x * (0 * (x * y))$, then $0 * (y * x) = 0 * (0 * (x * y))$.*

4. G-Part of BRK-Algebras

In this section, we define G -part, p -radical and medial of a BRK-algebra. We give a necessary and sufficient condition for a BRK-algebra to become a medial BRK-algebra and investigate the properties of G -part in BRK-algebras.

Definition 4.1. A nonempty subset I of a BRK-algebra A is called a subalgebra of A if $x * y \in I$ whenever $x, y \in I$.

Definition 4.2. A nonempty subset I of a BRK-algebra A is called an ideal of A if for any $x, y \in A$:

- (i) $0 \in I$,
- (ii) $x * y \in I$ and $y \in I$ imply $x \in I$.

Obviously, $\{0\}$ and A are ideals of A . We call $\{0\}$ and A the zero ideal and the trivial ideal of A , respectively. An ideal I is said to be proper if $I \neq A$.

Definition 4.3. An ideal I of a BRK-algebra A is called a closed ideal of A if $0 * x \in I$ for all $x \in I$.

Example 4.4. Let $A = \{0, 1, 2\}$ in which $*$ is defined by

$$\begin{array}{c|c|c|c}
 * & 0 & 1 & 2 \\
 \hline
 0 & 0 & 2 & 2 \\
 \hline
 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
 \hline
 2 & 2 & 0 & 0
 \end{array} \tag{4.1}$$

Then $(A, *, 0)$ is a BRK-algebra and the set $I = \{0, 2\}$ is a subalgebra, an ideal, and a closed ideal of A .

Definition 4.5. Let A be a BRK-algebra. For any subset S of A , we define

$$G(S) = \{x \in S \mid 0 * x = x\}. \tag{4.2}$$

In particular, if $S = A$, then we say that $G(A)$ is the G -part of a BRK-algebra.

For any BRK-algebra A , the set:

$$B(A) = \{x \in A \mid 0 * x = 0\} \tag{4.3}$$

is called a p -radical of A . Clearly, $B(A)$ is a subalgebra and an ideal of A .

A BRK-algebra A is said to be p -semisimple if $B(A) = \{0\}$.

The following property is obvious:

$$G(A) \cap B(A) = \{0\}. \tag{4.4}$$

Lemma 4.6. *If $(A, *, 0)$ is a BRK-algebra and $a * b = a * c$ for $a, b, c \in A$, then $0 * b = 0 * c$.*

Proof. Let $(A, *, 0)$ be a BRK-algebra and $a, b, c \in A$. Then by (B_{13}) , $a * b = a * c \Rightarrow (a * b) * a = (a * c) * a \Rightarrow 0 * b = 0 * c$. \square

Theorem 4.7. *Let $(A, *, 0)$ be a BRK-algebra. Then a left cancellation law holds in $G(A)$.*

Proof. Let $a, b, c \in G(A)$ with $a * b = a * c$. Then, by Lemma 4.6, $0 * b = 0 * c$. Since $b, c \in G(A)$, we obtain $b = c$. \square

Proposition 4.8. *Let $(A, *, 0)$ be a BRK-algebra. If $x \in G(A)$, then $0 * x \in G(A)$.*

Proof. Let $x \in G(A)$. Then $0 * x = x$ and hence $0 * (0 * x) = 0 * x$. Therefore, $0 * x \in G(A)$. \square

Converse of the above proposition needs not be true. From Example 4.4, we can see that $0 * 1 = 2 \in \{0, 2\} = G(A)$ but $1 \notin G(A)$.

Theorem 4.9. *If $x, y \in G(A)$, then $x * y \in G(A)$.*

Proof. Let $x, y \in G(A)$. Then $0 * x = x$ and $0 * y = y$. Hence, $0 * (x * y) = (0 * x) * (0 * y) = x * y$. Therefore, $x * y \in G(A)$. \square

Proposition 4.10. *If $(A, *, 0)$ is a BRK-algebra and $x, y \in A$, then*

$$y \in B(A) \iff (x * y) * x = 0. \quad (4.5)$$

Proof. Let $(A, *, 0)$ be a BRK-algebra and $x, y \in A$. Then, by (B_{13}) , $y \in B(A) \iff 0 * y = 0 \iff (x * y) * x = 0$. \square

Theorem 4.11. *If S is a subalgebra of a BRK-algebra $(A, *, 0)$, then $G(A) \cap S = G(S)$.*

Proof. Clearly, $G(A) \cap S \subseteq G(S)$. If $x \in G(S)$, then $0 * x = x$ and $x \in S \subseteq A$. Hence, $x \in G(A)$. Therefore, $x \in G(A) \cap S$. Thus, $G(A) \cap S = G(S)$. \square

Theorem 4.12. *Let $(A, *, 0)$ be a BRK-algebra. If $G(A) = A$, then A is p -semisimple.*

Proof. Assume that $G(A) = A$. Then $\{0\} = G(A) \cap B(A) = A \cap B(A) = B(A)$. Hence, A is p -semisimple. \square

Theorem 4.13. *Every closed ideal of a BRK-algebra is a subalgebra.*

Proof. Let I be a closed ideal of a BRK-algebra $(A, *, 0)$ and $x, y \in I$. Then $0 * y \in I$. By (B_{13}) , $(x * y) * x = 0 * y \in I$. Since I is an ideal and $x \in I$, we have $x * y \in I$. So I is a subalgebra of A . \square

Note that the converse of the above theorem is not true. In Example 3.4, the set $\{0, 1, 2\}$ is a subalgebra but not a closed ideal.

Theorem 4.14. *Let I be a subset of a BRK-algebra A . Then I is a closed ideal of A if and only if it satisfies (i) $0 \in I$ (ii) $x * z \in I$, $y * z \in I$ and $z \in I$ imply $x * y \in I$, for all $x, y, z \in A$.*

Proof. Let I be a closed ideal of A . Clearly, $0 \in I$. Assume that $x * z, y * z, z \in I$. Since I is an ideal, we have $x, y \in I$ which implies that $x * y \in I$ because I is a closed ideal and hence a subalgebra of A . Conversely, assume that I satisfies (i) and (ii). Let $x * y, y \in I$. Since $0 * 0, y * 0, 0 \in I$, by (ii) we have $0 * y \in I$. From (ii), again it follows that $x = x * 0 \in I$ so that I is an ideal of A . Now suppose that $x \in I$. Since $0 * 0, x * 0, 0 \in I$, we obtain $0 * x \in I$ by (ii). This completes the proof. \square

Definition 4.15. A BRK-algebra $(A, *, 0)$ is said to be positive implicative if

$$((x * y) * y) * (0 * y) = x * y \quad (4.6)$$

for all $x, y \in A$.

The BRK-algebra in Example 3.3 is positive implicative.

Definition 4.16. Let $(A, *, 0)$ be a BRK-algebra. For a fixed $a \in A$. The map $R_a : A \rightarrow A$ given by $R_a(y) = y * a$ for all $y \in A$ is called right translation of A . Similarly the map $L_a : A \rightarrow A$ given by $L_a(y) = a * y$ for all $y \in A$ is called a left translation of A .

Definition 4.17. Let $(A, *, 0)$ be a BRK-algebra. For a fixed $a \in A$. The map $T_a : A \rightarrow A$ given by $T_a(y) = (y * a) * (0 * a)$ for all $y \in A$ is called a weak right translation of A . Similarly, the map $M_a : A \rightarrow A$ given by $M_a(y) = (a * y) * (0 * y)$ for all $y \in A$ is called a weak left translation of A .

Theorem 4.18. A BRK-algebra $(A, *, 0)$ is positive implicative if and only if $R_z = T_z \circ R_z$ for all $z \in A$.

Proof. Let A be a BRK-algebra and $R_z = T_z \circ R_z$ for $z \in A$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} y * z &= R_z(y) = (T_z \circ R_z)(y) = T_z(R_z(y)) \\ &= T_z(y * z) = ((y * z) * z) * (0 * z), \quad \forall y, z \in A. \end{aligned} \quad (4.7)$$

Hence, A is positive implicative BRK-algebra. Conversely, assume that A is positive implicative BRK-algebra. Let $x, y \in A$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} R_x(y) &= y * x = ((y * x) * x) * (0 * x) = (R_x(y) * x) * (0 * x) \\ &= T_x(R_x(y)) = (T_x \circ R_x)(y). \end{aligned} \quad (4.8)$$

Hence, $R_x = T_x \circ R_x$. \square

Definition 4.19. A BRK-algebra $(A, *, 0)$ satisfying

$$(x * y) * (z * u) = (x * z) * (y * u) \quad (4.9)$$

for any x, y, z and $u \in A$, is called a medial BRK-algebra.

Example 4.20. Let $A := \mathbb{R} - \{-n\}$, $0 \neq n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ where \mathbb{R} is the set of all real numbers and \mathbb{Z}^+ is the set of all positive integers. If we define a binary operation $*$ on A by

$$x * y = \frac{n(x - y)}{n + y}, \quad (4.10)$$

then $(A, *, 0)$ is a medial BRK-algebra.

Theorem 4.21. *If A is a medial BRK-algebra, then, for any $x, y, z \in A$, the following hold:*

$$(i) \ x * (y * z) = (x * y) * (0 * z),$$

$$(ii) \ (x * y) * z = (x * z) * y.$$

Proof. Let A be a medial BRK-algebra and $x, y, z \in A$. Then

$$(i) \ (x * y) * (0 * z) = (x * 0) * (y * z) = x * (y * z),$$

$$(ii) \ (x * y) * z = (x * y) * (z * 0) = (x * z) * (y * 0) = (x * z) * y. \quad \square$$

By the above theorem, the following corollary follows.

Corollary 4.22. *Every medial BRK-algebra is a Q-algebra.*

Theorem 4.23. *Let A be a medial BRK-algebra. Then the right cancellation law holds in $G(A)$.*

Proof. Let $a, b, x \in G(A)$ with $a * x = b * x$. Then, for any $y \in G(A)$, $x * y = (0 * x) * y = (0 * y) * x = y * x$. Therefore,

$$a = 0 * a = (x * a) * x = (a * x) * x = (b * x) * x = (x * b) * x = 0 * b = b. \quad (4.11) \quad \square$$

Now, we give a necessary and sufficient condition for a BRK-algebra to become a medial BRK-algebra.

Theorem 4.24. *A BRK-algebra A is medial if and only if it satisfies:*

$$(i) \ x * y = 0 * (y * x) \text{ for all } x, y \in A,$$

$$(ii) \ (x * y) * z = (x * z) * y \text{ for all } x, y, z \in A.$$

Proof. Suppose $(A, *, 0)$ is medial and $x, y, z \in A$. Then

$$(i) \ 0 * (y * x) = (x * x) * (y * x) = (x * y) * (x * x) = (x * y) * 0 = x * y,$$

$$(ii) \ (x * y) * z = (x * y) * (z * 0) = (x * z) * (y * 0) = (x * z) * y.$$

Conversely, assume that the conditions hold. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
(x * y) * (z * u) &= 0 * ((z * u) * (x * y)) \quad (\text{by (i)}) \\
&= 0 * ((z * (x * y)) * u) \quad (\text{by (ii)}) \\
&= (0 * (z * (x * y))) * (0 * u) \quad (\text{by Proposition 3.7}) \\
&= ((x * y) * z) * (0 * u) \quad (\text{by (i)}) \\
&= ((x * z) * y) * (0 * u) \quad (\text{by (ii)}) \\
&= ((x * z) * (0 * u)) * y \quad (\text{by (ii)}) \\
&= (0 * ((0 * u) * (x * z))) * y \quad (\text{by (i)}) \\
&= (0 * ((z * x) * u)) * y \quad (\text{by (ii) \& (i)}) \\
&= (u * (z * x)) * y \quad (\text{by (i)}) \\
&= (u * y) * (z * x) \quad (\text{by (ii)}) \\
&= 0 * ((z * x) * (u * y)) \quad (\text{by (i)}) \\
&= (x * z) * (y * u) \quad (\text{by Proposition 3.7 and (i)})
\end{aligned} \tag{4.12}$$

Therefore, A is medial. □

Corollary 4.25. *A BRK-algebra A is medial if and only if it is a medial QS-algebra.*

The following theorem can be proved easily.

Theorem 4.26. *An algebra $(A, *, 0)$ of type $(2, 0)$ is a medial BRK-algebra if and only if it satisfies:*

- (i) $x * (y * z) = z * (y * x)$,
- (ii) $x * 0 = x$,
- (iii) $x * x = 0$.

Corollary 4.27. *If A is a medial BRK-algebra, then $x * (x * y) = y$ for all $x, y \in A$.*

Corollary 4.28. *The class of all of medial BRK-algebras forms a variety, written $\mathcal{v}(MR)$.*

Proposition 4.29. *A variety \mathcal{v} is congruence-permutable if and only if there is a term $p(x, y, z)$ such that*

$$\mathcal{v} \models p(x, x, y) \approx y, \quad \mathcal{v} \models p(x, y, y) \approx x. \tag{4.13}$$

Corollary 4.30. *The variety $\mathcal{v}(MR)$ is congruence permutable.*

Proof. Let $p(x, y, z) = x * (y * z)$. Then by Corollary 4.25 and (B_8) , we have $p(x, x, y) = y$ and $p(x, y, y) = x$, and so the variety $\mathcal{v}(MR)$ is congruence permutable. □

The following example shows that a BRK-algebra may not satisfy the associative law.

Example 4.31. Let $A = \{0, 1, 2\}$ be a set with the following table:

*	0	1	2
0	0	2	2
1	1	0	0
2	2	0	0

(4.14)

Then $(A, *, 0)$ is a BRK-algebra, but associativity does not hold since $(1 * 2) * 1 = 0 * 1 = 2 \neq 1 = 1 * 0 = 1 * (2 * 1)$.

Theorem 4.32. *If A is an associative BRK-algebra, then, for any $x \in B(A)$, $x = 0$.*

Proof. Let $x \in B(A)$. Then $0 = 0 * x = (x * x) * x = x * (x * x) = x * 0 = x$. □

Theorem 4.33. *If A is an associative BRK-algebra, then $G(A) = A$.*

Proof. Let A be an associative BRK-algebra. Clearly, $G(A) \subseteq A$. Let $x \in A$. Then $0 * x = (x * x) * x = x * (x * x) = x * 0 = x$. Hence, $x \in G(A)$. Therefore, $G(A) = A$. □

Now, we prove that every associative BRK-algebra is a group.

Theorem 4.34. *Every BRK-algebra $(A, *, 0)$ satisfying the associative law is a group under the operation “*”.*

Proof. Putting $x = y = z$ in the associative law $(x * y) * z = x * (y * z)$ and using (B_3) and (B_8) , we obtain $0 * x = x * 0 = x$. This means that 0 is the zero element of A . By (B_3) , every element x of A has as its inverse the element x itself. Therefore, $(A, *)$ is a group. □

5. Conclusion and Future Research

In this paper, we have introduced the concept of BRK-algebra and studied their properties. In addition, we have defined G -part, p -radical, and medial of BRK-algebra and proved that the variety of medial algebras is congruence permutable. Finally, we proved that every associative BRK-algebra is a group.

In our future work, we introduce the concept of fuzzy BRK-algebra, interval-valued fuzzy BRK-algebra, intuitionistic fuzzy structure of BRK-algebra, intuitionistic fuzzy ideals of BRK-algebra, and intuitionistic (T,S)-normed fuzzy subalgebras of BRK-algebras, intuitionistic L -fuzzy ideals of BRK-algebra.

I hope this work would serve as a foundation for further studies on the structure of BRK-algebras.

References

- [1] Y. Imai and K. Iséki, “On axiom systems of propositional calculi. XIV,” *Proceedings of the Japan Academy*, vol. 42, pp. 19–22, 1966.
- [2] Q. P. Hu and X. Li, “On BCH-algebras,” *Mathematics Seminar Notes*, vol. 11, pp. 313–320, 1983.

- [3] J. Neggers, S. S. Ahn, and H. S. Kim, "On Q -algebras," *International Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences*, vol. 27, no. 12, pp. 749–757, 2001.
- [4] J. Neggers and H. S. Kim, "On B -algebras," *Mathematica Vensik*, vol. 54, pp. 21–29, 2002.
- [5] A. Walendziak, "On BF -algebras," *Mathematica Slovaca*, vol. 57, no. 2, pp. 119–128, 2007.
- [6] C. B. Kim and H. S. Kim, "On BG -algebras," *Demonstratio Mathematica*, vol. 41, no. 3, pp. 497–505, 2008.
- [7] Y. B. Jun, E. H. Roh, and H. S. Kim, "On BH -algebras," *Scientiae Mathematicae Japonica*, vol. 1, no. 3, pp. 347–354, 1998.
- [8] C. B. Kim and H. S. Kim, "On BM -algebras," *Scientiae Mathematicae Japonicae*, vol. 63, no. 3, pp. 421–427, 2006.



Hindawi

Submit your manuscripts at
<http://www.hindawi.com>

