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We characterize the $k$-smooth points in some Banach spaces. We will deal with injective tensor product, the Bochner space $L^{\infty}(\mu, X)$ of (equivalence classes of) $\mu$-essentially bounded measurable $X$-valued functions, and direct sums of Banach spaces.

## 1. Introduction

For a unit vector $x$ in a Banach space $X$, consider the state space $S_{x}=\left\{x^{*} \in X^{*}:\left\|x^{*}\right\|=1=x^{*}(x)\right\}$. The point $x$ is a smooth point if $S_{x}$ consists exactly of one point. The set of all smooth points is denoted by smooth $B(X)$. Smooth points are important tools in the study of the geometry of Banach spaces. For two Banach spaces $X, Y$ Heinrich, [1], gave a description of smooth points of the unit ball in the space $K(X, Y)$ of compact operators from $X$ into $Y$. The research then turned to the space $L(X, Y)$ of bounded operators. Kittaneh and Younis, [2], were the first to deal with this problem. They characterized smooth points in $L\left(l^{2}\right)$. Their result was then generalized in [3] to the space $L\left(l^{p}\right) ; 1<$ $p<\infty$. For smooth points in $L\left(l^{p}, X\right)$ see [4, 5]. In [6] Werner gave a description of smooth points in $L(X, Y)$ under some conditions on $X$ and $Y$. Smooth points in certain vector valued function spaces were given in [7].

In [8] the authors generalize the notion of smoothness by calling a unit vector $x$ in a Banach space $X$ a $k$-smooth point, or a multismooth point of order $k$ if $S_{x}$ has exactly $k$ linearly independent vectors, equivalently, if $\operatorname{dim}(\operatorname{sp} S x)=k$. For a natural number $k$, the set of $k$-smooth points in $X$ is denoted by $k$-smooth $B(X)$. Note that $S_{x}$ is a weak ${ }^{*}$-compact convex set and hence it is easy to see that $x \in k$-smooth $B(X)$ if and only if $\operatorname{dim}(\operatorname{spext} S x)=k$. Multismoothness in Banach spaces was extensively studied by Lin and Rao in [9]. In paricular, they showed that, in a Banach space of finite dimension $k$, any $k$-smooth point is unitary and hence a strongly extreme point. The aim of this paper is to characterize multismoothness in some Banach spaces. Indeed, we
will deal with injective tensor product, the Bochner space $L^{\infty}(\mu, X)$ of (equivalence classes of) $\mu$-essentially bounded measurable $X$-valued functions, and direct sums of Banach spaces.

The set of all extreme points of the unit ball of a Banach space $X$ is denoted by ext $B(X)$.

## 2. Multismoothness in Injective Tensor Products

In [9] the authors characterized multismoothness in the completed injective tensor product $X \otimes_{\epsilon} Y$ when $X$ is an $L^{1}$-predual space and $Y$ is a smooth Banach space. We generalize their result to any Banach space $Y$. Note that $X \otimes_{\epsilon} Y=K W^{*}\left(Y^{*}, X\right)$ whenever either of $X$ and $Y$ has the approximation property. Here $K W^{*}\left(Y^{*}, X\right)$ is the space of all compact and weak ${ }^{*}$ to weakly continuous operators from $Y^{*}$ to $X$, endowed with usual operator norm.

Recall that if $x^{*} \in X^{*}$ and $y^{*} \in Y^{*}$ then $x^{*} \otimes y^{*} \in$ $\left(K W^{*}\left(Y^{*}, X\right)\right)^{*}$ as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { For } T \in K W^{*}\left(Y^{*}, X\right), \quad\left\langle x^{*} \otimes y^{*}, T\right\rangle=\left\langle y^{*}, T^{*} x^{*}\right\rangle \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 1. Let $X$ be an $L^{1}$-predual space and $Y$ any Banach space. Let $T \in X \otimes_{\epsilon} Y=K W^{*}\left(Y^{*}, X\right)$ with $\|T\|=1$. Then $T$ is a multismooth point of finite order in $X \otimes_{\epsilon} Y$ if and only if $T^{*}$ attains its norm at exactly finitely many independent vectors, say at $x_{1}^{*}, x_{2}^{*}, \ldots, x_{r}^{*} \in \operatorname{ext} B\left(X^{*}\right)$ such that $T^{*} x_{i}^{*}$ is a multismooth point of finite order in $Y ; i=1,2, \ldots, r$.

In this case the order of smoothness of T is $k=m_{1}+m_{2}+\cdots+$ $m_{r}$, where $m_{i}$ is the order of smoothness of $T^{*} x_{i}^{*}, i=1,2, \ldots, r$.

Proof. One can easily prove that if $T^{*}$ attains its norm at infinitely many independent vectors in ext $B\left(X^{*}\right)$ then $T \in$ $X \otimes_{\epsilon} Y$ is not a multismooth point of any finite order. The same conclusion will be obtained if $T^{*}$ attains its norm at some $x^{*} \in \operatorname{ext} B\left(X^{*}\right)$ and $T^{*} x^{*}$ is not a multismooth point of finite order in $Y$. So, suppose $T^{*}$ attains its norm at exactly finitely many independent vectors, say at $x_{1}^{*}, x_{2}^{*}, \ldots, x_{r}^{*} \in \operatorname{ext} B\left(X^{*}\right)$ such that $T^{*} x_{i}^{*}$ is a multismooth point of finite order $m_{i}$ in $Y$; $i=1,2, \ldots, r$ and let $k=m_{1}+m_{2}+\cdots+m_{r}$. We will prove that $T$ is a multismooth point of order $k$ in $X \otimes_{\epsilon} Y$.

For each $i$ there are exactly $m_{i}$ linearly independent functionals in ext $B\left(Y^{*}\right)$ attaining their norm at $T^{*} x_{i}^{*}$, say $y_{i, 1}^{*}, y_{i, 2}^{*}, \ldots, y_{i, m_{i}}^{*}$. Since $X$ is an $L^{1}$-predual space, then there are distinct atoms $A_{i}$ with $x_{i}^{*}= \pm\left(1 / \mu A_{i}\right) \chi_{A_{i}}$. Set $F_{i, t}=$ $x_{i}^{*} \otimes y_{i, t}^{*}$, where $1 \leq i \leq r$ and $1 \leq t \leq m_{i}$. These are $k$ extreme functionals in $\left(X \otimes_{\epsilon} Y\right)^{*}$ attaining their norms at $T$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle x_{i}^{*} \otimes y_{i, t}^{*}, T\right\rangle=\left\langle y_{i, t}^{*}, T^{*} x_{i}^{*}\right\rangle=1=\left\|T^{*} x_{i}^{*}\right\| \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

We claim that the $F_{i, t}$ 's are linearly independent. Indeed, if $\sum_{i=1}^{r} \sum_{t=1}^{m_{i}} a_{i, t} F_{i, t}=0$ for some scalars $a_{i, t}$ then $\sum_{i=1}^{r} x_{i}^{*} \otimes$ ( $\sum_{t=1}^{m_{i}} a_{i, t} y_{i, t}^{*}$ ) $=0$. But since the $x_{i}^{*}$ correspond to distinct atoms then $\sum_{t=1}^{m_{i}} a_{i, t} y_{i, t}^{*}=0$ for all $i=1,2, \ldots, r$. Since $\left\{y_{i, t}^{*}: t=1,2, \ldots, m_{i}\right\}$ are linearly independent, then $a_{i, t}=0$, $\forall 1 \leq i \leq r, 1 \leq t \leq m_{i}$.

Finally, Let $F \in\left(X \otimes_{\epsilon} Y\right)^{*}$ with $\|F\|=1=F(T)$. We will show that $F \in \operatorname{sp}\left\{F_{i, t}: 1 \leq i \leq r, 1 \leq t \leq m_{i}\right\}$. We can suppose that $F \in \operatorname{ext} B\left(\left(X \otimes_{\epsilon} Y\right)^{*}\right)$ (see Section 1). Now, by a result of Ruess and Stegall [10], $F=x^{*} \otimes y^{*}$, where $x^{*} \in \operatorname{ext} B\left(X^{*}\right)$ and $y^{*} \in \operatorname{ext} B\left(Y^{*}\right)$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(T)=\left\langle x^{*} \otimes y^{*}, T\right\rangle=\left\langle x^{*}, T y^{*}\right\rangle=\left\langle T^{*} x^{*}, y^{*}\right\rangle=1 . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

So, $\left\|T^{*} x^{*}\right\|=1$ and hence $x^{*} \in \operatorname{sp}\left\{x_{1}^{*}, x_{2}^{*}, \ldots, x_{r}^{*}\right\}$. But since the $x_{i}^{*}$ correspond to distinct atoms then $x^{*}=$ $x_{i}^{*}$ for some $i=1,2, \ldots, r$ and consequently $y^{*} \in$ $\operatorname{sp}\left\{y_{i, 1}^{*}, y_{i, 2}^{*}, \ldots, y_{i, m_{i}}^{*}\right\}$. Therefore $x^{*} \otimes y^{*}=x_{i}^{*} \otimes y^{*} \in \operatorname{sp}\left\{x_{i}^{*} \otimes\right.$ $\left.y_{i, 1}^{*}, x_{i}^{*} \otimes y_{i, 2}^{*}, \ldots, x_{i}^{*} \otimes y_{i, m_{i}}^{*}\right\}$. Hence $F \in \operatorname{sp}\left\{F_{i, t}: 1 \leq i \leq r, 1 \leq\right.$ $\left.t \leq m_{i}\right\}$. This proves that the $F_{i, t}$ 's form a maximal linearly independent set in $S_{T}$.

Therefore $T \in k$-smooth $B\left(X \otimes_{\epsilon} Y\right)$.
As a corollary, we get the following.
Corollary 2 (see [9]). Let $X$ be an $L^{1}$-predual space and $Y$ a smooth Banach space. Let $T \in X \otimes_{\epsilon} Y=K W^{*}\left(Y^{*}, X\right)$ with $\|T\|=1$. Then $T$ is a multismooth point of finite order $k$ in $X \otimes_{\epsilon} Y$ if and only if $T^{*}$ attains its norm at exactly $k$ independent vectors in ext $B\left(X^{*}\right)$.

Open Problem. For Banach spaces $X$ and $Y$ let $T \in K(X, Y)$ with $\|T\|=1$. Is it true that $T$ is a multismooth point of finite order $k$ in $K(X, Y)$ if and only if $T^{*}$ attains its norm at only finitely many independent vectors, say at $y_{1}^{*}, y_{2}^{*}, \ldots, y_{r}^{*} \in$ ext $B\left(Y^{*}\right)$ such that each $T^{*} y_{i}^{*}$ is a multismooth point of finite order, say $m_{i}$, in $X^{*}$, where $k=m_{1}+m_{2}+\cdots+m_{r}$ ?

Theorem 1 above tells us that the answer is yes when $Y$ is an $L^{1}$-predual space, since in this case $K(X, Y)=Y \otimes_{\epsilon} X^{*}=$ $K W^{*}\left(X^{* *}, Y\right)$.

## 3. Multismoothness in Bochner Spaces

Let $X$ be a Banach space. In this section we discuss multismoothness in the Bochner space $L^{\infty}(\mu, X)$ of (equivalence classes of) $\mu$-essentially bounded measurable $X$-valued functions. Recall that measurable functions are constants on the atoms.

Lemma 3. Let $f \in L^{\infty}(\mu, X)$ and suppose that there is no $\mu$-atom $A$ such that $\|f(A)\|=1$. Then $f$ is not a multismooth point of any finite order.

Proof. Fix $r \in \mathbb{N}$. We will prove that $f$ is not a multismooth point of order $r$. Write $\Omega=\cup_{i=1}^{r+1} E_{i}$, where $E_{i}$ 's are disjoint measurable sets of positive measure, with $\sup \{\|f(t)\|: t \in$ $\left.E_{i}\right\}=1$. For $1 \leq i \leq r+1$, define

$$
f_{i}(t)= \begin{cases}f(t), & \text { if } t \in E_{i}  \tag{4}\\ 0, & \text { if } t \notin E_{i}\end{cases}
$$

Then $f=f_{1}+f_{2}+\cdots+f_{r+1}$ and $\left\|f_{i}\right\|=\sup \left\{\|f(t)\|: t \in E_{i}\right\}=$ 1. Moreover, $\left\|f_{i} \pm f_{j}\right\| \leq 1$ for all $i \neq j$. This shows that $f$ is not a smooth point of any order $m \leq r$; see [8]. In particular, $f$ is not a multismooth point of order $r$.

Lemma 4. Let $f \in L^{\infty}(\mu, X)$ and suppose that there are exactly $n \mu$-atoms $A_{1}, A_{2}, \ldots, A_{n}$ such that $\left\|f\left(A_{j}\right)\right\|=1$. If $\sup \left\{\|f(t)\|: t \notin \cup_{j=1}^{n} A_{j}\right\}=1$, then $f$ is not a multismooth point of any finite order.

Proof. Fix $r \in \mathbb{N}$ and write $\Omega \backslash \cup_{i=1}^{n} A_{i}$ as a disjoint union of $r$ measurable sets $E_{i}$ of positive measure and proceed as in the above proof.

Theorem 5. Let $f \in L^{\infty}(\mu, X)$ with $\|f\|=1$. Then $f$ is a multismooth point of finite order if and only if there are exactly finitely many distinct atoms $A_{1}, A_{2}, \ldots, A_{r}$ such that $\left\|f\left(A_{j}\right)\right\|=1, j=1,2, \ldots, r$ and $\sup \left\{\|f(t)\|: t \notin \cup_{j=1}^{\infty} A_{j}\right\}<1$ and each $f\left(A_{j}\right)$ is a multismooth point of finite order, say $m_{j}$, in X. In this case the order of smoothness of $f$ is $k=$ $m_{1}+m_{2}+\cdots+m_{r}$.

Proof. The above two lemmas prove the "only if" part. For the converse, we choose, for any $j=1,2, \ldots, r$, linearly independent set $\left\{x_{j, 1}^{*}, x_{j, 2}^{*}, \ldots, x_{j, m_{j}}^{*}\right\} \subseteq S_{f\left(A_{j}\right)}$. So, $\left\|x_{j, i}^{*}\right\|=$ $1=\left\langle x_{j, i}^{*}, f\left(A_{j}\right)\right\rangle$. For $1 \leq j \leq r$ and $1 \leq i \leq m_{j}$ define $F_{j, i} \in\left(L^{\infty}(\mu, X)\right)^{*}$ by $F_{j, i}(g)=\left\langle x_{j, i}^{*}, g\left(A_{j}\right)\right\rangle$. These are $m_{1}+$ $m_{2}+\cdots+m_{r}=k$ linear functionals attaining their norm at $f$. Suppose that $\sum_{j=1}^{r} \sum_{i=1}^{m_{j}} a_{j, i} F_{j, i}=0$ for some scalars $a_{j, i}$. Then $\sum_{j=1}^{r} \sum_{i=1}^{m_{j}} a_{j, i}\left\langle x_{j, i}^{*}, g\left(A_{j}\right)\right\rangle=0, \forall g \in L^{\infty}(\mu, X)$. Choosing $g_{j}(t)=x$; if $t \in A_{j}$ and $g_{j}(t)=0$; if $t \notin A_{j}$, where $x \in X$, we get $\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m_{j}} a_{j, i} x_{j, i}^{*}\right)(x)=0$ for all $x \in X$ and $j=1,2, \ldots, r$. Consequently, $\sum_{i=1}^{m_{j}} a_{j, i} x_{j, i}^{*}=0$ for all $j=1,2, \ldots, r$. Since $\left\{x_{j, 1}^{*}, x_{j, 2}^{*}, \ldots, x_{j, m_{j}}^{*}\right\} \subseteq S_{f\left(A_{j}\right)}$ is linearly independent, then $a_{j, i}=0$ for all $j=1,2, \ldots, r$ and $i=1,2, \ldots, m_{j}$. Therefore, the $F_{j, i}$ 's are linearly independent.

For an atom $A$ and $g \in L^{\infty}(\mu, X)$ let $g_{A}=g(A) \chi_{A} \in$ $L^{\infty}(\mu, X)$. We will prove that if $F \in\left(L^{\infty}(\mu, X)\right)^{*}$ with $\|F\|=$ $1=F(f)$ then $F(g)=\sum_{j=1}^{r} F\left(g_{A_{j}}\right)$ for all $g \in L^{\infty}(\mu, X)$. Without loss of generality, say $\|g\|=1$. We claim that there is $\epsilon>0$ such that $\|f \pm \epsilon g\| \leq 1$. Indeed, if such $\epsilon$ does not exist, we would have a sequence $\left(t_{k}\right)$ outside $\cup_{j=1}^{r} A_{j}$ such that $\left\|f\left(t_{k}\right) \pm(1 / k) g\left(t_{k}\right)\right\|>1$. But then

$$
\begin{align*}
1 & <\left\|f\left(t_{k}\right) \pm \frac{1}{k} g\left(t_{k}\right)\right\| \leq\left\|f\left(t_{k}\right)\right\|+\frac{1}{k}\left\|g\left(t_{k}\right)\right\|  \tag{5}\\
& \leq\left\|f\left(t_{k}\right)\right\|+\frac{1}{k}
\end{align*}
$$

Hence, $\left\|f\left(t_{k}\right)\right\|>1-1 / k$ for all $k$, a contradiction to our assumption. Thus, $|F(f \pm \epsilon g)| \leq 1$ and therefore $F(g)=0$ for all $g \in L^{\infty}(\mu, X)$ such that $g\left(A_{j}\right)=0, \forall 1 \leq j \leq r$. This proves that $F(g)=\sum_{j=1}^{r} F\left(g_{A_{j}}\right)$ for all $g \in L^{\infty}(\mu, X)$. Let $Z=\oplus_{j=1}^{r} X\left(l^{\infty}\right.$-sum) and let $E=\left\{h \in Z^{*}:\|h\|=\right.$ $\left.1=h\left(f\left(A_{1}\right), f\left(A_{2}\right), \ldots, f\left(A_{r}\right)\right)\right\}$. Then by Krein-Millman Theorem we have $E=\overline{\operatorname{co~ext} E}$ with ext $E \subseteq \operatorname{ext} B\left(Z^{*}\right)$. So, any $h \in \operatorname{ext} E$ has the form $h=\left(0,0, \ldots, 0, x^{*}, 0, \ldots, 0\right)$ for some $x^{*} \in \operatorname{ext} B\left(X^{*}\right)$. Note that if, for example, $h_{1}, h_{2} \in \operatorname{ext} E$ has the form $h_{1}=\left(x^{*}, 0,0, \ldots, 0\right), h_{2}=\left(y^{*}, 0,0, \ldots, 0\right)$, then $\left\langle x^{*}, f\left(A_{1}\right)\right\rangle=\left\langle y^{*}, f\left(A_{1}\right)\right\rangle=1$. Since $f\left(A_{1}\right) \in$ $m_{1}$-smooth $B(X)$, then any $m_{1}+1 h$ 's of the above form must be linearly dependent. Consequently, any $m_{1}+m_{2}+\cdots+m_{r}+$ $1=k+1$ elements $h \in E$ must be linearly dependent.

Now, let $F_{1}, F_{2}, \ldots, F_{k+1} \in L^{\infty}(\mu, X)^{*}$ such that $\left\|F_{i}\right\|=$ $1=F_{i}(f)$. We will prove that the $F_{i}$ 's are linearly dependent. By the argument above we see that $F_{i}(g)=\sum_{j=1}^{r} F_{i}\left(g_{A_{j}}\right)$ for all $g \in L^{\infty}(\mu, X)$. For $1 \leq i \leq k+1$ define $h_{i} \in$ $Z^{*}=\oplus_{j=1}^{r} X^{*}\left(l^{1}\right.$-sum $)$ by $h_{i}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{r}\right)=F_{i}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{r} h_{x_{j}}\right)$, where $h_{x_{j}}=x_{j} \chi_{A_{j}}$. Then $h_{i} \in Z^{*}$ with $\left\|h_{i}\right\|=1=$ $h_{i}\left(f\left(A_{1}\right), f\left(A_{2}\right), \ldots, f\left(A_{r}\right)\right)=\sum_{j=1}^{r} F_{i}\left(f_{A_{j}}\right)=F_{i}(f)$ so that $h_{i} \in E$ for all $i=1,2, \ldots, k+1$ and therefore $\left\{h_{1}, h_{2}, \ldots, h_{k+1}\right\}$ is linearly dependent. Hence, there are scalars $a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{k+1}$, not all zeros, with $\sum_{i=1}^{k+1} a_{i} h_{i}=0$. The proof will be complete if we show that $\sum_{i=1}^{k+1} a_{i} F_{i}=0$. Indeed, if $g \in L^{\infty}(\mu, X)$ then $\sum_{i=1}^{k+1} a_{i} h_{i}\left(g\left(A_{1}\right), g\left(A_{2}\right), \ldots, g\left(A_{r}\right)\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{k+1} a_{i} F_{i}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{r} g_{A_{j}}\right)=$ $\sum_{i=1}^{k+1} a_{i} F_{i}(g)=0$. This shows that $f \in k$-smooth $B\left(L^{\infty}(\mu, X)\right)$ and completes the proof of the "if" part.

## 4. Multismoothness in Direct Sums of Banach Spaces

Lin and Rao characterized in [9] multisoothness in $l^{\infty}$-direct sums and proved the following theorem.

Theorem 6 (see [9]). Let $\left\{X_{i}: i \in I\right\}$ be an infinite family of nonzero Banach spaces. Let $X=\oplus_{\infty} X_{i}$ and let $x=\left(x_{i}\right)$ be a unit vector in $X$. Let $I_{1}=\left\{i \in I:\left\|x_{i}\right\|<1\right\}$, let $I_{2}=\{i \in I$ : $\left\|x_{i}\right\|=1$ and $x_{i}$ is a multismooth point of finite order $\}$, and let $I_{3}=I \backslash\left(I_{1} \cup I_{2}\right)$. Then, $x$ is a multismooth point of finite order if and only if $I_{3}=\emptyset, I_{2}$ is finite, and $\sup _{i \in I_{1}}\left\|x_{i}\right\|<1$. In this case the order of smoothness of $x$ is $k=\sum_{i \in I_{2}} m_{i}$, where $m_{i}$ is the order of smoothness of $x_{i}$ in $X_{i}, i \in I_{2}$.

In this section we deal with $l^{1}$-direct sums. Indeed we prove the following result.

Theorem 7. Let $\left\{X_{i}: i \in I\right\}$ be any family of nonzero Banach spaces. Let $X=\oplus_{1} X_{i}$ and let $x=\left(x_{i}\right)$ be a unit vector in $X$. Let $I_{1}=\left\{i \in I: x_{i}=0\right.$ and $\left.\operatorname{dim} X_{i}<\infty\right\}, I_{2}=\left\{i \in I: x_{i}=\right.$ 0 and $\left.\operatorname{dim} X_{i}=\infty\right\}, I_{3}=\left\{i \in I: x_{i} \neq 0\right.$ and $x_{i} /\left\|x_{i}\right\| \in$ smooth $\left.B\left(X_{i}\right)\right\}, I_{4}=\left\{i \in I: x_{i} \neq 0\right.$ and $x_{i} /\left\|x_{i}\right\| \in$ $r$-smooth $B\left(X_{i}\right)$ for some natural number $\left.r \geq 2\right\}$, and $I_{5}=$ $I \backslash \cup_{i=1}^{4} I_{i}$. Then $x$ is a multismooth point of finite order if and only if $I_{2}=I_{5}=\emptyset$ and $I_{1} \cup I_{4}$ is finite. In this case the order of smoothness of $x$ is $k=\sum_{i \in I_{1}} \operatorname{dim} X_{i}+\sum_{i \in I_{4}} m_{i}-\left|I_{4}\right|+1$, where $m_{i}$ is the order of smoothness of $x_{i}$ in $X_{i}, i \in I_{4}$ and $\left|I_{4}\right|$ is the number of elements in $I_{4}$.

For the sake of completeness, let us first state and prove the characterization of smoothness.

Theorem 8. Let $\left\{X_{i}: i \in I\right\}$ be any family of nonzero Banach spaces. Let $X=\oplus_{1} X_{i}$ and let $x=\left(x_{i}\right)$ be a unit vector in $X$. Then $x$ is a smooth point if and only if for any $i \in I, x_{i} \neq$ 0 and $x_{i} /\left\|x_{i}\right\| \in \operatorname{smooth} B\left(X_{i}\right)$.

Proof. Suppose $j \in I$ and $x_{j}=0$, or $x_{j} \neq 0$ but $x_{i} /\left\|x_{i}\right\|$ is not a smooth point in $X_{j}$. Then there are distinct unit functionals $y_{0}^{*}, z_{0}^{*} \in X_{j}^{*}$ with $y_{0}^{*}\left(x_{j}\right)=z_{0}^{*}\left(x_{j}\right)=\left\|x_{j}\right\|$. For $i \neq j$, choose any unit functional $x_{i}^{*} \in X_{i}^{*}$ with $x_{i}^{*}\left(x_{i}\right)=\left\|x_{i}\right\|$. Let $y^{*}=$ $\left(y_{i}^{*}\right)$ and $z^{*}=\left(z_{i}^{*}\right)$ where $y_{i}^{*}=z_{i}^{*}=x_{i}^{*}, i \neq j, y_{j}^{*}=y_{0}^{*}$ and $z_{j}^{*}=z_{0}^{*}$. Clearly, $y^{*}$ and $z^{*}$ are distinct elements in $S_{x}$. So $x$ is not a smooth point.

Conversely, suppose $x_{i}^{*} \in X_{i}^{*}$ is the unique element in $S_{x_{i} /\left\|x_{i}\right\|} i \in I$. Let $x^{*}=\left(x_{i}^{*}\right)$. Then $x^{*} \in X^{*}$ with $\left\|x^{*}\right\|=$ $\sup _{i \in I}\left\|x_{i}^{*}\right\|=1$ and $x^{*}(x)=\sum_{i \in I} x_{i}^{*}\left(x_{i}\right)=\sum_{i \in I}\left\|x_{i}\right\|=\|x\|=$ 1. Now if $y^{*}=\left(y_{i}^{*}\right) \in S_{x}$ then $\sum_{i \in I} y_{i}^{*}\left(x_{i}\right)=1=\sum_{i \in I}\left\|x_{i}\right\|$. Since $y_{i}^{*}\left(x_{i}\right) \leq\left\|x_{i}\right\|$ then $y_{i}^{*}\left(x_{i} /\left\|x_{i}\right\|\right)=1$ for all $i \in I$ and hence $y^{*}=x^{*}$.

Lemma 9. Let $Y$ and $Z$ be nonzero Banach spaces and $X=$ $Y \oplus_{1} Z$. Let $x=(0, z) \in X$ where $z \in k$-smooth $B(Z)$. If $\operatorname{dim} Y=n<\infty$ then $x \in(k+n)$-smooth $B(X)$.

Proof. Let $z_{1}^{*}, z_{2}^{*}, \ldots, z_{k}^{*} \in S_{z}$ be linearly independent and choose $n$ linearly independent unit functionals $y_{1}^{*}, y_{2}^{*}$, $\ldots, y_{n}^{*} \in Y^{*}$. Let

$$
x_{i}^{*}= \begin{cases}\left(0, z_{i}^{*}\right), & \text { if } 1 \leq i \leq k  \tag{6}\\ \left(y_{i-k}^{*}, z_{1}^{*}\right), & \text { if } k+1 \leq i \leq k+n\end{cases}
$$

Clearly $x_{i}^{*} \in S_{x} ; 1 \leq i \leq k+n$. They are linearly independent. Indeed if $\sum_{i=1}^{k+n} a_{i} x_{i}^{*}=0$ then $\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{k+i} y_{i}^{*}=$ 0 and $\sum_{i=1}^{k} a_{i} z_{i}^{*}+\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{k+i}\right) z_{1}^{*}=0$. Since $\left\{y_{1}^{*}, y_{2}^{*}, \ldots, y_{n}^{*}\right\}$ is lineraly independent then $a_{k+i}=0 ; 1 \leq i \leq n$ and thus $\sum_{i=1}^{k} a_{i} z_{i}^{*}=0$. But $\left\{z_{1}^{*}, z_{2}^{*}, \ldots, z_{k}^{*}\right\}$ is also lineraly independent. So $a_{i}=0 ; 1 \leq i \leq k$. Hence $\left\{x_{1}^{*}, x_{2}^{*}, \ldots, x_{k+n}^{*}\right\}$ is lineraly independent.

Now suppose $g_{i}^{*}=\left(h_{i}^{*}, k_{i}^{*}\right) \in X^{*}=Y^{*} \oplus_{\infty} Z^{*} ; 1 \leq$ $i \leq k+n+1$, where $h_{i}^{*} \in Y^{*}$ and $k_{i}^{*} \in Z^{*}$ with $\left\|g_{i}^{*}\right\|=1=g_{i}^{*}(x)=k_{i}^{*}(z)$. Thus $g_{i}^{*}=\left(h_{i}^{*}, k_{i}^{*}\right) \in$
$Y^{*} \oplus_{\infty} \operatorname{sp} S_{z}$. Since $\operatorname{dim}\left(Y^{*} \oplus_{\infty} \operatorname{sp} S_{z}\right)=k+n$ then we see that $g_{1}^{*}, g_{2}^{*}, \ldots, g_{k+n+1}^{*}$ must be linearly dependent. Therfore $x \in(k+n)$-smooth $B(X)$.

Lemma 10. Let $Y$ and $Z$ be nonzero Banach spaces and $X=$ $Y \oplus_{1} Z$. Let $x=(y, z) \in X$ where $y, z \neq 0, y /\|y\| \in$ $m$-smooth $B(Y)$ and $z /\|z\| \in k$-smooth $B(Z)$. Then $x \in(m+$ $k-1)$-smooth $B(X)$.

Proof. Say $m \geq k$. Let $\left\{y_{1}^{*}, y_{2}^{*}, \ldots, y_{m}^{*}\right\} \subseteq S_{y /\|y\|}$ and let $\left\{z_{1}^{*}, z_{2}^{*}, \ldots, z_{k}^{*}\right\} \subseteq S_{z /\|z\|}$ be linearly independent sets. Let

$$
x_{i}^{*}= \begin{cases}\left(y_{i}^{*}, z_{1}^{*}\right), & \text { if } 1 \leq i \leq m  \tag{7}\\ \left(y_{i-m+1}^{*}, z_{i-m+1}^{*}\right), & \text { if } m+1 \leq i \leq m+k-1\end{cases}
$$

Clearly $x_{i}^{*} \in S_{x} ; 1 \leq i \leq m+k-1$. They are linearly independent. Indeed if $\sum_{i=1}^{m+k-1} a_{i} x_{i}^{*}=0$ then $\sum_{i=1}^{m} a_{i} y_{i}^{*}+$ $\sum_{i=m+1}^{m+k-1} a_{i} y_{i-m+1}^{*}=0$ and $\sum_{i=m+1}^{m+k-1} a_{i} z_{i-m+1}^{*}+\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} a_{i}\right) z_{1}^{*}=$ 0 . Since $\left\{y_{1}^{*}, y_{2}^{*}, \ldots, y_{m}^{*}\right\}$ and $\left\{z_{1}^{*}, z_{2}^{*}, \ldots, z_{k}^{*}\right\}$ are linearly independent sets then $a_{1}=0, a_{i}=0 ; k+1 \leq i \leq m, a_{i}+$ $a_{m+i-1}=0 ; 2 \leq i \leq n$ and $a_{i}=0 ; m+1 \leq i \leq m+k-1$. This makes $a_{i}=0$ for all $1 \leq i \leq m+k-1$. Hence $\left\{x_{1}^{*}, x_{2}^{*}, \ldots, x_{m+k-1}^{*}\right\}$ is linearly independent.

Now to prove that $x \in(m+k-1)$-smooth $B(X)$ it is clearly enough to show that any $x^{*} \in S_{x}$ must be in $\operatorname{sp}_{1}\left\{x_{1}^{*}, x_{2}^{*}, \ldots, x_{m+k-1}^{*}\right\}$. So suppose $x^{*}=\left(h^{*}, k^{*}\right) \in \mathrm{S}_{x}$, where $h^{*} \in Y^{*}$ and $k^{*} \in Z^{*}$. Then

$$
\begin{align*}
1 & =x^{*}(x)=h^{*}(y)+k^{*}(z) \leq\left\|h^{*}\right\|\|y\|+\left\|k^{*}\right\|\|z\|  \tag{8}\\
& \leq\|y\|+\|z\|=\|x\|=1 .
\end{align*}
$$

So $h^{*} \in S_{y /\|y\|}$ and $k^{*} \in S_{z /\|z\|}$ and hence there are scalars $\alpha_{i}$; $1 \leq i \leq m$ and $\beta_{j} ; 1 \leq j \leq k$ such that $h^{*}=\sum_{i=1}^{m} \alpha_{i} y_{i}^{*}$ and $k^{*}=\sum_{j=1}^{k} \beta_{j} z_{j}^{*}$. Thus $1=h^{*}(y /\|y\|)=\sum_{i=1}^{m} \alpha_{i} y_{i}^{*}(y /\|y\|)=$ $\sum_{i=1}^{m} \alpha_{i}$. Similarly $\sum_{j=1}^{k} \beta_{j}=1$.
let $G=\left(y^{* *}, z^{* *}\right) \in X^{* *}=Y^{* *} \oplus_{1} Z^{* *}$ with $G\left(x_{i}^{*}\right)=0$ for all $1 \leq i \leq m+k-1$. Then $y^{* *}\left(y_{i}^{*}\right)+z^{* *}\left(z_{1}^{*}\right)=0$; $1 \leq i \leq m$ and $y^{* *}\left(y_{i-m+1}^{*}\right)+z^{* *}\left(z_{i-m+1}^{*}\right)=0 ; m+$ $1 \leq i \leq m+k-1$. Thus $G\left(x^{*}\right)=y^{* *}\left(h^{*}\right)+z^{* *}\left(k^{*}\right)=$ $y^{* *}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} \alpha_{i} y_{i}^{*}\right)+z^{* *}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{k} \beta_{j} z_{j}^{*}\right)=-\sum_{i=1}^{m} \alpha_{i} z^{* *}\left(z_{1}^{*}\right)+$ $\beta_{1} z^{* *}\left(z_{1}^{*}\right)-\sum_{j=2}^{k} \beta_{j} z^{* *}\left(z_{1}^{*}\right)=0$ since $\sum_{i=1}^{m} \alpha_{i}=1=\sum_{j=1}^{k} \beta_{j}$. This proves that $x^{*} \in \operatorname{sp}\left\{x_{1}^{*}, x_{2}^{*}, \ldots, x_{m+k-1}^{*}\right\}$. Therefore $x \in$ ( $m+k-1$ )-smooth $B(X)$.

We now can easily prove Theorem 7.
Proof of Theorem 7. First note that if $I_{2} \neq \emptyset, I_{5} \neq \emptyset, I_{1}$ is infinite, or $I_{4}$ is infinite, then one can easily construct an infinite linearly independent subset of $S_{x}$. On the other hand, if $I_{2}=I_{5}=\emptyset$ and $I_{1} \cup I_{4}$ is finite, then writing $X=\oplus_{1} X_{i}$ as $X=W_{1} \oplus_{1} W_{2} \oplus_{1} W_{3}$, where $W_{1}=\oplus_{1}\left\{X_{i}: i \in I_{1}\right\}$, $W_{2}=\oplus_{1}\left\{X_{i}: i \in I_{3}\right\}, W_{3}=\oplus_{1}\left\{X_{i}: i \in I_{4}\right\}$ and applying Theorem 8 and Lemmas 9 and 10 we get the result.
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