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1. Introduction

For undefined terms and notations in this study, we follow Chartrand, Lesniak, and Peng [1]. Let G be a graph with V(G) and E(G) be a set of vertices and edges, respectively. A graph G is called a (p, q) graph if G has p and q number of vertices and edges, respectively. Kotzig and Rosa [2] defined that an edge-magic total labeling of G is a bijective function f: V(G) ∪ E(G) → {1, 2, ..., p + q}, such that f(w) + f(wz) + f(z) = k for any edge wz ∈ E(G). Moreover, a super edge-magic total labeling is an edge-magic total labeling f, such that f(V(G)) = {1, 2, ..., p}.

The notion of edge-magic total labeling of a graph is generalized to edge-antimagic total labeling of graphs. Let α ≥ 0 and β ≥ 0 be integers. Let W = {w(xy): w(xy) = f(x) + f(xy) + f(y), xy ∈ E(G)}. If W forms an arithmetic sequence starting from α with common difference β, then G is called (α, β) – edge antimagic total labeling. Moreover, if f(V(G)) = {1, 2, ..., p}, then G is called super(α, β) – edge antimagic total labeling. Notice that when β = 0, the (α, β) – edge antimagic total labeling of G is the usual edge-magic total labeling of G with f(w) + f(wz) + f(z) = α for any edge wz ∈ E(G).

One of the most popular problems in the theory of graph labeling is super edge-magic total labeling of tree. Enomoto et al. [3] proposed the following conjecture.

Tree conjecture [3]: every tree is super (α, 0) – edge antimagic total labeling.

The tree conjecture is still an open problem; however, some authors proved that tree conjecture is true for some classes of tree. For example, Bhatti, Javaid, and Hussain [4] and Raheem et al. [5] proved that tree conjecture is true for subdivision of caterpillar. Javaid, Bhatti, and Aslam [6] proved that tree conjecture is true for subdivision of stars. Other authors who studied tree conjecture can be found in Gallian [7].

Another popular problem in the theory of graph labeling is super edge-magic total labeling of a cycle book. A cycle book graph is constructed from some cycles either with the same or different order. Let m ≥ 1 be any positive integer and let Cn be the cycles of order n. A graph G is called a cycle books B[[Cn, m], 2] if G consists of m cycles Cn with a common path P2. For m = 1, we define B[[Cn, m], 2] to be a cycle Cn. From now on, the graphs, a-cycle books B[[Cn, m], 2] is denoted by B[[a, m], 2].

Marr and Wallis ([8], Research problem 2.7, p.39) proposed the following problem.
**Problem 1.** Are all graphs $B[(a, m), 2]$ edge-magic total (super edge-magic total)?

Graph $B[(a, m), 2]$ is constructed from some cycles $C_a$ of the same order. Swita et al. [9] constructed a graph from some cycles with different orders. A graph $(a, b)$ – cycle book $B[(a, m), (b, n), t]$ is constructed from some cycles $C_a$ and $C_b$ with a common path $P_t$, a path of order $t$ with $m, n, a, b,$ and $t$ as the positive integers.

**Problem 2.** Are all graphs $B[(a, m), (b, n), 2]$ edge-magic total (super edge-magic total)?

Both Problems 1 and 2 are interesting problems for at least the following two reasons. First reason is the solutions of Problems 1 and 2 that can be used to construct the secret sharing scheme in information technology. Reddy and Basha [10] and Imron et al. [11] used edge-magic total labeling of caterpillar graphs to construct the secret sharing scheme. Bas-koro, Simanjuntak, and Adithia [12] used edge-magic total labeling of star graphs to construct the secret sharing scheme.

The second reason is both Problems 1 and 2 are a challenging problem for the researchers, since they are open problems. Swita et al. [9] proved Problem 2 for $a = 7$ or $a = 4x - 1$ for any integer $x$. MacDougall and Wallis [13] proved Problem 2 for $m = n = 1$ that a graph $B[(a, 1), (b, 1), 2]$ is a super edge-magic total labeling. Let $l = \min(a, b) - 3$. Notice that $l$ is a chord of cycle $C_{(a+b-2)(2r+1)}$. Thus, $l$ is a chord of graph $B[(a, 1), (b, 1), 2]$. Using Kotzig array, Singgih [14, 15] proposed a new method to construct an edge-magic total labeling (super edge-magic) of graph cycle $C_{(a+b-2)(2r+1)}$ with $[(2r+1)^2]z$ chords, each of length $l = (a, b) - 3$, from an edge-magic total labeling (super edge-magic) of graph $B[(a, 1), (b, 1), 2]$, where $z$ are the positive integers.

Berkman, Parnas and Roditty [16], Enomoto et al. [3], Kotzig and Rosa [2], and Godbold and Slater [17] are among others who discusses the problem of $m = 1$. For $m = 2$, Problem 1 is an open problem; however, some authors provided a partial solution. Figueroa-Centeno, Ichishima, and Muntaner-Batle [18] proved that $B[(4, m), 2]$ is an edge-magic total. Furthermore, they proved that $B[(4, m), 2]$ is not super edge-magic total for $m = 1, 3, 7$ and $m = 4$, but $B[(4, m), 2]$ is super edge-magic total for $m = 5, 6, 8, 10, 11$. Moreover, they made the following conjecture.

Cycle book conjecture [18]: the graph $B[(4, m), 2]$ is super edge-magic total if and only if $m$ is even or $m = 5 \mod(8)$.

Gallian [7] reported that Yuansheng et al. [19] proved this conjecture for $m$ is even in Ars Combinatoria, 93 (2009) 431–438. A study [20] contains the abstract of Yuansheng et al. [19] and claims that Yuansheng et al. proved the cycle book conjecture is true for $m$ is even. The study [19] is the same as that of Gallian [7]. We trace this reference, and we find that this reference is neither in the table of contents of Ars Combinatoria, 93 (2009), nor in the table of contents Ars Combinatoria from 1995 up to 1999. Hence, we assume that the article of Yuansheng et al. is unpublished. Therefore, it is reasonable to publish this article. Thus, this study proves the cycle book conjecture for $m \geq 36$ and $m = 0 \mod(2)$. The solution of cycle book conjecture is available from the author for $12 \leq m \leq 34$ and $m = 0 \mod(2)$.

### 2. Preliminary Notes

In this section, we provide some previous results on super edge-magic total labeling of a graph. Figueroa-Centeno, Ichishima, and Muntaner-Batle [18] proved some necessary conditions for super edge-magic total labeling of a graph. We need them to prove the main results of this study. First, we define some notations in the following definition.

**Definition 1.** Let $G$ be a graph $B[(4, m), 2]$. $X = \{ u_{m+2} \}$, $Y = \{ v_{m+2} \}$, $Z = \{ t_{1}, t_{2}, \ldots, u_{m+2}, u_{m+2}, \ldots, u_{m+2} \}$, and $W = \{ w_{1}, w_{2}, \ldots, v_{m+2}, v_{m+2}, \ldots, v_{m+2} \}$. We define the vertex set $V(G) = X \cup Y \cup Z \cup W$ and the edge set $E(G) = \{(x, y): x \in X, y \in Y\} \cup \{(x, y): x \in Z, y \in W\} \cup \{(x, y): x \in X, y \in Z\} \cup \{(x, y): x \in Y, y \in W\}$.

The element of $X \cup Y$ and the edge $(u_{m+2}, v_{m+2})$ are called the common vertices and common edge of the $m$ copies of $C_a$, respectively.

The graph $B[(4, m), 2]$ in Definition 1 is shown in Figure 1 and the graph $B[(a, m), (b, n), 2]$ is shown in Figure 2.

**Theorem 1** (see [18]). Let $G$ be a graph, such that $|V(G)| = p$ and $|E(G)| = q$. Then, $G$ is super edge-magic total if and only if there exists a bijective function $f: V(G) \rightarrow \{1, 2, \ldots, p\}$, such that the set $S = \{ f(w) + f(z): wz \in E(G) \}$ consists of $q$ consecutive integers. In such a case, $f$ extends to a super edge-magic total labeling of $G$ with magic constant $k = p + q + s$, where $s = \min(S)$ and $S = \{k - (p + 1), k - (p + 2), \ldots, k - (p + q)\}$.

**Theorem 2** (see [18]). Let $G$ be a graph, such that $|V(G)| = p$ and $|E(G)| = q$ and $f$ be a super edge-magic total labeling of $G$. Let $S = \{ f(w) + f(z): wz \in E(G) \}$ and $s = \min(S)$. Then, $\sum_{v \in V(G)} f(v) \deg(v) = q + (q - 1)q/2$. In particular, $2\sum_{v \in V(G)} f(v) \deg(v) \equiv 0 \mod(q)$.

**Theorem 3** (see [18]). Let $G$ be a graph $B[(4, m), 2]$, such that $S = \{ f(w) + f(z): wz \in E(G) \}$ and $s = \min(S)$. If $G$ is super edge-magic total labeling, then $s = m/2 + 3$.

The following theorem is derived from the proof of Theorem 3 in [3]. For self-contained of this article, we re-write the proof again.

**Theorem 4** (see [18]). Let $G$ be a graph $B[(4, m), 2]$ in Definition 1 and let $\{ u_{m+2}, v_{m+2} \}$ be the common edges of all cycles $C_a$ in $G$. If $G$ is super edge-magic total labeling, then $f(u_{m+2}) + f(v_{m+2}) = 6ms + m^2 + 2s - 17m - 12m/2 - 2$.

Proof. Let $G$ be a graph $B[(4, m), 2]$ in Definition 1. Let $|V(G)| = p$, $|E(G)| = q$, and $m = m/2 + 2$. We first notice that $p = 2m + 2$ and $q = 3m + 1$. Moreover, $\deg(u_{m}) = \deg(v_{m}) = m + 1$, $\deg(u_{m}) = \deg(v_{m}) = \cdots = \deg(u_{m-1}) = \deg(v_{m-1}) = \deg(u_{m+1}) = \deg(v_{m+1}) = \cdots = \deg(u_{m+1}) = \deg(v_{m+1}) = 2$.

Let $A = X \cup Y$ and $B = Z \cup W$. By Theorem 2, we have $(m + 1)\sum_{w \in A} f(w) + 2\sum_{w \in B} f(w) = q + (q - 1)q/2$ or $(m - 1)\sum_{w \in A} f(w) + 2\sum_{w \in B} f(w) = q + (q - 1)q/2$. The last equality reduces to $\sum_{w \in A} f(w) + 2\sum_{w \in B} f(w) = q + (q - 1)q/2$. 


(iii) \( s = m/2 + 3 \)

(iii) \( S \) is a set of \( q \) consecutive integers

(iv) \( k = p + q + s \)

Proof. Let \( G \) be the graph \( B[[4, m], 2] \) with \(|V(G)| = p, |E(G)| = q\), and let \( G \) be a super edge-magic total. Note that \( p = 2m + 2 \) and \( q = 3m + 1 \). Let \( f \) be an edge-magic total labeling of \( G \). If \( f \) is a super edge-magic total labeling of \( G \), then the conditions (i) and (ii) follow from Theorems 4 and 3, respectively, and the conditions (iii) and (iv) follow from Theorem 1.

Let \( f \) satisfies the conditions (i), (ii), (iii), and (iv). By (i) and (ii), we conclude that

\[
f(u_{m/2+2}) + f(v_{m/2+2}) = 2m + 3.
\]

(2)

The pair \((m/2 + 2, 3m/2 + 1)\) is one of the solutions of equation (2) with \( f(u_{m/2+2}) = m/2 + 2 \) and \( f(v_{m/2+2}) = 3m/2 + 1 \). By this solution, we define the bijection \( f_1(x) \), such that \( f_1(u_{m/2+2}) = f(u_{m/2+2}) = m/2 + 2 \) and \( f_1(v_{m/2+2}) = f(v_{m/2+2}) = 3m/2 + 1 \) as follows.

\[
f_1(u_i) = m/2 + 2, \quad i = m/2 + 2,
\]

(3)

\[
f_1(v_i) = 3m/2 + 1, \quad i = m/2 + 2,
\]

(4)

\[
f_1(u_i) = i, \quad i = 1, 2, \ldots, m + 1,
\]

(5)

\[
f_1(v_i) = 3m/2 + 3, \quad i = 1,
\]

(6)

\[
f_1(v_i) = m + 2, \quad i = 2,
\]

(7)

\[
f_1(v_i) = 3m/2 + 2, \quad i = 3,
\]

(8)

\[
f_1(v_i) = 2m + 1, \quad i = m + 1.
\]

(9)

Case 1: \( m \equiv 0 \text{ mod } 4 \)

We define

\[
f_1(v_i) = \frac{3m}{2} + 3 - \left( i + \frac{1}{2} \right),
\]

(10)

\[
i = m - 1, m - 3, \ldots, \frac{m}{2} + 5, \frac{m}{2} + 3,
\]

(11)

\[
f_1(v_i) = \frac{5m + 8}{4}, \quad i = m,
\]

(12)

\[
f_1(v_i) = \frac{3m}{2} - \left( \frac{i - 6}{2} \right), \quad i = m \frac{m}{2} - 2, \ldots, 8, 6.
\]

(13)
\[ f_1(v_i) = \frac{7m + 12}{4}, \quad i = \frac{m}{2} + 1. \] (14)

Case 1.1: \( m \equiv 0 \mod(12) \)
We define
\[ f_1(v_i) = 2m + \left(\frac{3 - i}{2}\right), \quad i = 5, 11, 17, \ldots, \frac{m}{2} - 7, \frac{m}{2} - 1, \] (15)
\[ f_1(v_i) = 2m - \left(\frac{i - 3}{2}\right), \quad i = 7, 13, 19, \ldots, \frac{m}{2} - 11, \frac{m}{2} - 5, \] (16)
\[ f_1(v_i) = 2m - \left(\frac{i - 9}{2}\right), \quad i = 9, 15, 21, \ldots, \frac{m}{2} - 9, \frac{m}{2} - 3, \] (17)
\[ f_1(v_i) = 2m + 2, \quad i = 4. \] (18)

Next, we will show that \( S \) is a set of \( q \) consecutive integers with \( q = 3m + 1 \). Recall that \( X = \{u_{m+2}\} \) and \( Y = \{v_{m+2}\} \).

Let \( Z_1 = \{u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_{m+2}\} + 1 \) and \( S_1 = \{f_1(x) + f_1(y): x \in X, y \in Z_1\} \). Let \( x \in X \). By equations (3) and (5), we conclude that \( f_1(x) + f_1(u_i) = m/2 + 2 + i \), \( i = 1, 2, \ldots, m/2 + 1 \). Hence, \( S_1 = \{m/2 + 3, m/2 + 4, \ldots, m + 3\} \).

Let \( Z_2 = \{u_1, u_2\}, W_2 = \{v_2\} \) and \( S_2 = \{f_1(x) + f_1(y): x \in Z_2, y \in W_2\} \). Let \( x \in Z_2 \) and \( y \in W_2 \). By equations (5) and (7), we conclude that \( f_1(x) + f_1(y) = 2 + m + 2 = m + 4 \). Hence, \( S_2 = \{m + 4\} \).

Let \( Z_3 = \{u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_{m+2}, u_{m+2} + 1\}, W_3 = \{v_3\} \) and \( S_3 = \{f_1(x) + f_1(y): x \in Z_3, y \in W_3\} \). Let \( x \in Z_3 \) and \( u_i \in Z_1 \).

By equations (3) and (5), we conclude that \( f_1(x) + f_1(u_i) = m/2 + 2 + i = 1, 2, \ldots, m/2 + 1, m + 1, m + 2 \). Hence, \( S_3 = \{m + 5, m + 6, \ldots, m/2 + 3, m/2 + 4, \ldots, m + 3\} \).

Let \( Z_4 = \{u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_{m+2}, u_{m+2} + 1\}, W_4 = \{v_4\} \) and \( S_4 = \{f_1(x) + f_1(y): x \in Z_4, y \in W_4\} \). By equations (5) and (6), we conclude that \( f_1(x) + f_1(v_i) = 3 + m/2 + 3 = 3 + m/2 + 3 \). Hence, \( S_4 = \{3m + 2 + 4, 3m + 2 + 5\} \).

Let \( Z_5 = \{u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_{m+2}, u_{m+2} + 1\}, W_5 = \{v_5\} \) and \( S_5 = \{f_1(x) + f_1(y): x \in Z_5, y \in W_5\} \). By equations (5) and (12), we conclude that \( f_1(x) + f_1(v_i) = i + 3m/2 - (i - 6)/2, i = 6, 8, \ldots, m/2 \). Hence, \( S_5 = \{3m + 2 + 6, 3m + 2 + 7, 3m + 2 + 8, \ldots, 7m/2 + 4, \ldots, 7m/2 + 3\} \).

By equations (5) and (10), we conclude that \( f_1(u_i) + f_1(v_i) = i + 3m + 2 - i + 1/2, i = m/2 + 3, m/2 + 5, \ldots, m - 3, m - 1 \). Hence, \( S_5 = \{7m/2 + 4, 7m/2 + 5, \ldots, m/2 + 1, m/2 + 2\} \).

Let \( Z_6 = \{u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_{m+2} + 1\}, W_6 = \{v_6\} \) and \( S_6 = \{f_1(x) + f_1(y): x \in Z_6, y \in W_6\} \). By equations (3) and (4), we conclude that \( f_1(u_{m+2}) + f_1(v_{m+2}) = m/2 + 2 + 3m/2 + 2 = 1 + 2m + 3 \). Hence, \( S_6 = \{2m + 3\} \).

Let \( Z_7 = \{u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_{m+2} + 1\}, W_7 = \{v_7\} \) and \( S_7 = \{f_1(x) + f_1(y): x \in Z_7, y \in W_7\} \). By equations (5) and (15), we conclude that \( f_1(u_i) + f_1(v_i) = i + 2m + (3 - i)/2, i = 5, 11, 17, \ldots, m/2 - 2, m/2 - 1 \). Hence, \( S_7 = \{2m + 4, 2m + 7, \ldots, 9m/4 - 2, 9m/4 + 1\} \).

Let \( Z_8 = \{u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_{m+2} + 1\}, W_8 = \{v_8\} \) and \( S_8 = \{f_1(x) + f_1(y): x \in Z_8, y \in W_8\} \). By equations (5) and (18), we conclude that \( f_1(u_4) + f_1(v_4) = 2m + 6 \). Hence, \( S_8 = \{2m + 6\} \).

Let \( Z_9 = \{u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_{m+2} + 1\}, W_9 = \{v_9\} \) and \( S_9 = \{f_1(x) + f_1(y): x \in Z_9, y \in W_9\} \). By equations (5) and (11), we conclude that \( f_1(u_m) + f_1(v_m) = m + 5m/4 + 2 = 9m/2 + 2 \). Hence, \( S_9 = \{9m/4 + 2\} \).

Let \( Z_{10} = \{u_{m+2}, v_{m+2}\} \), \( W_{10} = \{v_{m+2}\} \) and \( S_{10} = \{f_1(x) + f_1(y): x \in Z_{10}, y \in W_{10}\} \). By equations (5) and (14), we conclude that \( f_1(u_{m+2}) + f_1(v_{m+2}) = m/2 + 1 + 7m/4 + 3 = 9m/4 + 4 \). Hence, \( S_{10} = \{9m/4 + 4\} \).

Let \( Z_11 = \{u_{m+2} + 1\} \), \( W_{11} = \{v_{m+2} + 1\} \) and \( S_{11} = \{f_1(x) + f_1(y): x \in Z_{11}, y \in W_{11}\} \). By equations (5) and (13), we conclude that \( f_1(u_2) + f_1(v_2) = i + 2m + 3 - i/2, i = m/2 + 2, m/2 + 4, \ldots, m - 4, m - 2 \). Hence, \( S_{11} = \{9m/4 + 5, 9m/4 + 6, 9m/4 + 7, 5m/2 + 1, 5m/2 + 2\} \).

Let \( W_{12} = \{v_{m+2} + 2, v_{m+2} + 3, \ldots, 9m/4 + 6\} \) and \( S_{12} = \{f_1(x) + f_1(y): x \in W_{12}\} \). By equations (4) and (7), we conclude that \( f_1(v_{m+2}) + f_1(v_{m+2}) = 3m/2 + 3 + m/2 + 1 = 5m/2 + 3 \). Hence, \( S_{12} = \{5m/2 + 3\} \).

Let \( W_{13} = \{v_{m+2}, v_{m+2} + 1, v_{m+2} + 2\} \) and \( S_{13} = \{f_1(x) + f_1(y): x \in W_{13}\} \). By equations (4) and (10), we conclude that \( f_1(v_{m+2}) + f_1(v_{m+2}) = 3m/2 + 1 + 3m/2 + 3 - (i + 1/2), (1/2) \).
By equations (4) and (11), we conclude that $f_1(y) = x \in W_{19}^{1}$, $y \in W_{15}^{1}$. By equations (4) and (12), we conclude that $f_1(y) = y \in W_{20}^{1}$, $y \in W_{14}^{1}$. Hence, $S_{15}^{1} = \{11m/4 + 4, 11m/4 + 5, \ldots, 3m, 3m + 1\}$.

Let $W_{16}^{1} = Y = \{v_{m+2}, v_{m+4}, \ldots, v_{2m}, y\}$, and $S_{16}^{1} = \{f_1(x) + f_1(y) : x \in W_{16}^{1}, y \in W_{15}^{1}\}$. By equations (4) and (13), we conclude that $f_1(y) = x \in \{v_{m+2}, v_{m+4}, \ldots, v_{2m}, y\}$. Hence, $S_{16}^{1} = \{13m/4 + 4, 11m/4 + 5, \ldots, 3m, 3m + 1\}$.

Let $W_{17}^{1} = Y = \{v_{m+2}, v_{m+3}, \ldots, v_{2m}, y\}$, and $S_{17}^{1} = \{f_1(x) + f_1(y) : x \in W_{17}^{1}, y \in W_{15}^{1}\}$. By equations (4) and (14), we conclude that $f_1(y) = x \in \{v_{m+2}, v_{m+3}, \ldots, v_{2m}, y\}$. Hence, $S_{17}^{1} = \{13m/4 + 4, 11m/4 + 5, \ldots, 3m, 3m + 1\}$.

Let $W_{18}^{1} = Y = \{v_{m+2}, v_{m+3}, \ldots, v_{2m}, y\}$, and $S_{18}^{1} = \{f_1(x) + f_1(y) : x \in W_{18}^{1}, y \in W_{15}^{1}\}$. By equations (4) and (15), we conclude that $f_1(y) = x \in \{v_{m+2}, v_{m+3}, \ldots, v_{2m}, y\}$. Hence, $S_{18}^{1} = \{13m/4 + 4, 11m/4 + 5, \ldots, 3m, 3m + 1\}$.

Let $W_{19}^{1} = Y = \{v_{m+2}, v_{m+3}, \ldots, v_{2m}, y\}$, and $S_{19}^{1} = \{f_1(x) + f_1(y) : x \in W_{19}^{1}, y \in W_{15}^{1}\}$. By equations (4) and (16), we conclude that $f_1(y) = x \in \{v_{m+2}, v_{m+3}, \ldots, v_{2m}, y\}$. Hence, $S_{19}^{1} = \{13m/4 + 4, 11m/4 + 5, \ldots, 3m, 3m + 1\}$.

Let $W_{20}^{1} = Y = \{v_{m+2}, v_{m+3}, \ldots, v_{2m}, y\}$, and $S_{20}^{1} = \{f_1(x) + f_1(y) : x \in W_{20}^{1}, y \in W_{15}^{1}\}$. By equations (4) and (17), we conclude that $f_1(y) = x \in \{v_{m+2}, v_{m+3}, \ldots, v_{2m}, y\}$. Hence, $S_{20}^{1} = \{13m/4 + 4, 11m/4 + 5, \ldots, 3m, 3m + 1\}$.

Let $W_{21}^{1} = Y = \{v_{m+2}, v_{m+3}, \ldots, v_{2m}, y\}$, and $S_{21}^{1} = \{f_1(x) + f_1(y) : x \in W_{21}^{1}, y \in W_{15}^{1}\}$. By equations (4) and (18), we conclude that $f_1(y) = x \in \{v_{m+2}, v_{m+3}, \ldots, v_{2m}, y\}$. Hence, $S_{21}^{1} = \{13m/4 + 4, 11m/4 + 5, \ldots, 3m, 3m + 1\}$.

Let $W_{22}^{1} = Y = \{v_{m+2}, v_{m+3}, \ldots, v_{2m}, y\}$, and $S_{22}^{1} = \{f_1(x) + f_1(y) : x \in W_{22}^{1}, y \in W_{15}^{1}\}$. By equations (4) and (19), we conclude that $f_1(y) = x \in \{v_{m+2}, v_{m+3}, \ldots, v_{2m}, y\}$. Hence, $S_{22}^{1} = \{13m/4 + 4, 11m/4 + 5, \ldots, 3m, 3m + 1\}$.

Let $W_{23}^{1} = Y = \{v_{m+2}, v_{m+3}, \ldots, v_{2m}, y\}$, and $S_{23}^{1} = \{f_1(x) + f_1(y) : x \in W_{23}^{1}, y \in W_{15}^{1}\}$. By equations (4) and (20), we conclude that $f_1(y) = x \in \{v_{m+2}, v_{m+3}, \ldots, v_{2m}, y\}$. Hence, $S_{23}^{1} = \{13m/4 + 4, 11m/4 + 5, \ldots, 3m, 3m + 1\}$.

Let $W_{24}^{1} = Y = \{v_{m+2}, v_{m+3}, \ldots, v_{2m}, y\}$, and $S_{24}^{1} = \{f_1(x) + f_1(y) : x \in W_{24}^{1}, y \in W_{15}^{1}\}$. By equations (4) and (21), we conclude that $f_1(y) = x \in \{v_{m+2}, v_{m+3}, \ldots, v_{2m}, y\}$. Hence, $S_{24}^{1} = \{13m/4 + 4, 11m/4 + 5, \ldots, 3m, 3m + 1\}$.

Let $W_{25}^{1} = Y = \{v_{m+2}, v_{m+3}, \ldots, v_{2m}, y\}$, and $S_{25}^{1} = \{f_1(x) + f_1(y) : x \in W_{25}^{1}, y \in W_{15}^{1}\}$. By equations (4) and (22), we conclude that $f_1(y) = x \in \{v_{m+2}, v_{m+3}, \ldots, v_{2m}, y\}$. Hence, $S_{25}^{1} = \{13m/4 + 4, 11m/4 + 5, \ldots, 3m, 3m + 1\}$.

Let $W_{26}^{1} = Y = \{v_{m+2}, v_{m+3}, \ldots, v_{2m}, y\}$, and $S_{26}^{1} = \{f_1(x) + f_1(y) : x \in W_{26}^{1}, y \in W_{15}^{1}\}$. By equations (4) and (23), we conclude that $f_1(y) = x \in \{v_{m+2}, v_{m+3}, \ldots, v_{2m}, y\}$. Hence, $S_{26}^{1} = \{13m/4 + 4, 11m/4 + 5, \ldots, 3m, 3m + 1\}$.
\[ \frac{3m}{12} \text{ consecutive integers.} \]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( S^i_{12} )</th>
<th>( S^i_{10} )</th>
<th>( S^i_{11} )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2m + 4</td>
<td>2m + 5</td>
<td>2m + 6 \equiv S^i_{10}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2m + 7</td>
<td>2m + 8</td>
<td>2m + 9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2m + 10</td>
<td>2m + 11</td>
<td>2m + 12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \ldots )</td>
<td>( \ldots )</td>
<td>( \ldots )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9m/4 - 4</td>
<td>9m/4 - 4</td>
<td>9m/4 - 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9m/4 - 2</td>
<td>9m/4 - 1</td>
<td>9m/4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9m/4 + 1</td>
<td>9m/4 + 2 = S^i_{12}</td>
<td>9m/4 + 3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\[
\text{Table 2: } S^i_{23} \cup (S^i_{25} \cup S^i_{27}) \cup S^i_{24} \text{ consists of } 3m/12 - 1 \text{ consecutive integers.}
\]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( S^i_{23} )</th>
<th>( S^i_{25} \cup S^i_{27} )</th>
<th>( S^i_{24} )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>13m/4 + 3</td>
<td>13m/4 + 4 = S^i_{13}</td>
<td>13m/4 + 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13m/4 + 6</td>
<td>13m/4 + 7</td>
<td>13m/4 + 8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13m/4 + 9</td>
<td>13m/4 + 10</td>
<td>13m/4 + 11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \ldots )</td>
<td>( \ldots )</td>
<td>( \ldots )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7m/2 - 6</td>
<td>7m/2 - 5</td>
<td>7m/2 - 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7m/2 - 3</td>
<td>7m/2 - 2</td>
<td>7m/2 - 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7m/2</td>
<td>7m/2 + 1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

It can be proved in the same lines as the previous proof of Case 1.1 that \( S \) is a set of \( q \) consecutive integers with \( q = 3m + 1 \). Thus, by Theorem 1, we conclude that \( G \) is super edge-magic total. Moreover, by (iv), we have \( f_1(\{uv\}) = k - (f_1(u) + f_1(v)) \) for all \( uv \in E(G) \). Hence, the theorem in this case.

Case 1.2: \( m \equiv 4 \mod(12) \)

We define

\[ f_1(v_i) = 2m - \left( \frac{i - 9}{2} \right), \quad i = 5, 11, 17, \ldots, \frac{m}{2} - 9, \frac{m}{2} - 3, \]

\[ f_1(v_i) = 2m - \left( \frac{i - 3}{2} \right), \quad i = 7, 13, 19, \ldots, \frac{m}{2} - 7, \frac{m}{2} - 1, \]

\[ f_1(v_i) = 2m - \left( \frac{i - 3}{2} \right), \quad i = 9, 15, 21, \ldots, \frac{m}{2} - 11, \frac{m}{2} - 5. \]

It can be proved in the same lines as previous proof of Case 1.1 that \( S \) is a set of \( q \) consecutive integers with \( q = 3m + 1 \). Thus, by Theorem 1, we conclude that \( G \) is super edge-magic total. Hence, the theorem in this case.

Case 1.3: \( m \equiv 8 \mod(12) \)

We define

\[ f_1(v_i) = 2m + 2, \quad i = 4, \]

\[ f_1(v_i) = 2m - 1, \quad i = 5, \]

\[ f_1(v_i) = 2m - 4, \quad i = 9, \]

\[ f_1(v_i) = 2m, \quad i = 7, \]

\[ f_1(v_i) = 2m - 3, \quad i = 11, \]

\[ f_1(v_i) = \frac{5m + 6}{4}, \quad i = m, \]

\[ f_1(v_i) = \frac{3m}{2} - \left( \frac{i - 6}{2} \right), \quad i = 6, 12, 18, \ldots, \frac{m}{2} - 1, \frac{m}{2} - 1, \]

\[ f_1(v_i) = \frac{3m}{2} - \left( \frac{i - 1}{2} \right), \quad i = 1, 7, 13, \ldots, \frac{m}{2} - 5, \frac{m}{2} + 3, \]

\[ f_1(v_i) = \frac{7m + 14}{4}, \quad i = \frac{m}{2}. \]

Case 2.1: \( m \equiv 2 \mod(12) \)
We define
\[ f_1(v_i) = 2m + \left( \frac{3 - i}{2} \right), \quad i = 5, 11, 17, \ldots, \frac{m}{2} - 8, \frac{m}{2} - 2, \]  
(35)
\[ f_1(v_i) = 2m - \left( \frac{i - 3}{2} \right), \quad i = 7, 13, 19, \ldots, \frac{m}{2} - 12, \frac{m}{2} - 6, \]  
(36)
\[ f_1(v_i) = 2m - \left( \frac{i - 9}{2} \right), \quad i = 9, 15, 21, \ldots, \frac{m}{2} - 10, \frac{m}{2} - 4, \]  
(37)
\[ f_1(v_i) = 2m + 2, \quad i = 4. \]  
(38)

It can be proved in the same lines as the previous proof of Case 1.1 that \( S \) is a set of \( q \) consecutive integers with \( q = 3m + 1 \). Thus, by Theorem 1, we conclude that \( G \) is super edge-magic total. Hence, the theorem in this case.

Case 2.2: \( m \equiv 6 \mod (12) \)

We define
\[ f_1(v_i) = 2m - \left( \frac{i - 9}{2} \right), \quad i = 5, 11, 17, \ldots, \frac{m}{2} - 10, \frac{m}{2} - 4, \]  
(39)
\[ f_1(v_i) = 2m - \left( \frac{i - 3}{2} \right), \quad i = 7, 13, 19, \ldots, \frac{m}{2} - 8, \frac{m}{2} - 2, \]  
(40)
\[ f_1(v_i) = 2m - \left( \frac{i - 3}{2} \right), \quad i = 9, 15, 21, \ldots, \frac{m}{2} - 12, \frac{m}{2} - 6, \]  
(41)
\[ f_1(v_i) = 2m, \quad i = 4. \]  
(42)

It can be proved in the same lines as the previous proof of Case 1.1 that \( S \) is a set of \( q \) consecutive integers with \( q = 3m + 1 \). Thus, by Theorem 1, we conclude that \( G \) is super edge-magic total. Hence, the theorem in this case.

Case 2.3: \( m \equiv 10 \mod (12) \)

We define
\[ f_1(v_i) = 2m + 2, \quad i = 4, \]  
(43)
\[ f_1(v_i) = 2m - 1, \quad i = 5, \]  
(44)
\[ f_1(v_i) = 2m - 4, \quad i = 9, \]  
(45)
\[ f_1(v_i) = 2m, \quad i = 7, \]  
(46)
\[ f_1(v_i) = 2m - 3, \quad i = 11, \]  
(47)
\[ f_1(v_i) = 2m - \left( \frac{i - 9}{2} \right), \quad i = 13, 19, \ldots, \frac{m}{2} - 10, \frac{m}{2} - 4, \]  
(48)
\[ f_1(v_i) = 2m - \left( \frac{i - 3}{2} \right), \quad i = 15, 21, \ldots, \frac{m}{2} - 8, \frac{m}{2} - 2, \]  
(49)
\[ f_1(v_i) = 2m - \left( \frac{i - 3}{2} \right), \quad i = 17, 23, \ldots, \frac{m}{2} - 12, \frac{m}{2} - 6. \]  
(50)

It can be proved in the same lines as previous proof of Case 1.1 that \( S \) is a set of \( q \) consecutive integers with \( q = 3m + 1 \). Thus, by Theorem 1, we conclude that \( G \) is super edge-magic total. Hence, the theorem.

4. Conclusion

We are able to prove the cycle book conjecture for \( m \) is even, but we cannot prove it for \( m \equiv 5 \mod (8) \). Hence, the cycle book conjecture is an open problem.
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