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The main goal of this study is to formulate an effective iterative scheme, namely, an \(n\textsuperscript{th}\)-composite iterative scheme for approximating the fixed point of a self-map \(T: \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{U}\) with weak contraction property. We show that the \(n\textsuperscript{th}\)-composite iterative scheme is faster than the scheme obtained by Sintunavarat–Pitea’s iterative scheme. We present some examples using the MATLAB simulator to illustrate our results. Finally, we approximate the solution of some integral equations using our scheme and the Sintunavarat–Pitea scheme.

1. Introduction

The main concern of fixed point theory in distance spaces is to determine the existence and uniqueness of solutions to problems not only in mathematics but also in other fields of science. Many well-known equations can easily be converted to fixed-point equations. One of the important applications of fixed point theory is also the solution of integral and differential equations (existence and uniqueness). However, the challenges are to create some iteration to speed up the computation or approach the solution for such problems. Some creative researchers used iteration schemes to compute the fixed point numerically.

The result of Banach [1] is considered a principle in the theory of the fixed point. After that, many generalizations of this result were obtained by many researchers, see [2–20]. For example, Berinde [21] introduced the weak contraction as follows.

\textbf{Definition 1 (See [21]).} Suppose \((\mathcal{U}, d)\) is a metric space and \(T: \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{U}\) is a self-mapping on \(\mathcal{U}\). Then, we call \(T\) a weak contraction if for some \(k \in (0, 1)\) and \(\beta \geq 0\), the following inequality holds for all \(u_1, u_2 \in \mathcal{U}\), we have the following equation:

\[d(Tu_1, Tu_2) \leq kd(u_1, u_2) + \beta d(u_1, Tu_2).\] (1)

In normed, the weak contraction is as follows:

\[\|Tu_1 - Tu_2\| \leq k\|u_1 - Tu_2\| + \beta\|u_1 - Tu_2\|.\] (2)

In this paper, we consider the weak contraction when \(\beta = 0\).

In general, fixed point theory has studied the uniqueness and existence of fixed points for self-maps under certain conditions and has various applications in several fields of science such as economics, physics, applied mathematics, and some engineering subjects.
In mathematics, certain equations can easily be converted into fixed-point equations, for example, the integral equations,
\[ \omega(t) = \omega_0 + \int_{t_0}^t H(r, \omega(r))dr, \]  
where \( H \) is continuous with \( H: I \times \mathcal{R} \rightarrow \mathcal{R} \) and \( \omega \in \mathcal{C}(I) \) where \( \mathcal{C}(I) \) is the class of real valued and continuous functions and \( I \) is an interval in \( \mathcal{R} \). This integral equation has identically a solution to which is the solution of the fixed point of the self-mapping \( L: \mathcal{C}(I) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(I) \) which is defined as follows:
\[ L\omega(t) = \omega_0 + \int_{t_0}^t H(r, \omega(r))dr. \]

Numerical analysis plays a key role in creating an iteration scheme for approximating fixed points for self-maps that require some constraints by less iterations. Many researchers have obtained several iteration schemes for approximating the existing fixed points for self-maps, see [22–24]. For example, Sintunavart and Pitea [25] established an iteration process by which \((S_n)\) is defined as follows:
\[ \begin{align*}
  s_0 & \in \mathcal{C}, \\
  x_n &= (1 - b_n)s_n + b_n T s_n, \\
  y_n &= (1 - c_n)s_n + c_n x_n, \\
  \beta_n &= (1 - d_n)T y_n + a_n T x_n.
\end{align*} \]  

Recently, Berinde [26] introduced some definitions for the convergence rate, which is important for our study.

**Definition 2.** [26] Suppose that \((\beta_n)\) and \((y_n)\) are two sequences in \( \mathcal{R} \) and \( \beta, y, r \in \mathcal{R} \) such that \( \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \beta_n = \beta \) and \( \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} y_n = y \) assume that
\[ \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \beta_n - \beta = r. \]

(1) If \( r = 0 \), then \((\beta_n)\) converges to \( \beta \) faster than \((y_n)\) to \( y \).

(2) If \( r \in (0, +\infty) \), then \((\beta_n)\) and \((y_n)\) have the same rate of convergence.

**Definition 3.** [26] Suppose that \((\mathcal{U}, \|\|)\) is a normed space and \((u_n)\) and \((t_n)\) are two sequences in \( \mathcal{U} \). Also, assume that the two sequences \((u_n)\) and \((t_n)\) are converges to an element \( u \in \mathcal{U} \). Then, the error estimates \( \|u_n - u\| \leq \beta_n \) and \( \|t_n - u\| \leq y_n \) are available and \((\beta_n)\) and \((y_n)\) are in \( 0, +\infty \) such that \( \beta_n, y_n \rightarrow 0 \).

If \( \beta_n \rightarrow 0 \) faster than \( y_n \rightarrow 0 \), then \( u_n \rightarrow u \) faster than \( t_n \rightarrow u \).

### 2. Main Results

Next, we construct a new iterative scheme, namely, \( n^{th} \)-composite iterative scheme for approximating the fixed point of a self-mapping \( T \) on \( \mathcal{U} \) of weak contraction kind. Henceforth, we assume that \((\mathcal{U}, \|\|)\) is a Banach space, \( \emptyset \neq C \subseteq \mathcal{U} \) is closed and convex, and \( T: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C} \) is a self-mapping. We define the \( n^{th} \)-composite iterative scheme \((BQ_n)\) by the following expression:
\[ \begin{align*}
  b_0 & \in \mathcal{C}, \\
  w_n &= (1 - a_n)T^nb_n + a_n T^{n+1}b_n, \\
  q_n &= (1 - a_n^r)T^nb_n + a_n^r T^nw_n, \\
  b_{n+1} &= (1 - a_n)T^nb_{n+1} + a_n T^{n+1}w_n.
\end{align*} \]

**Theorem 4.** Suppose that \( T: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C} \) satisfies condition 1 and \( u \) is a fixed point of \( T \). If \((b_n)\) is a sequence in \( C \) defined by \((QB_n)\), and \((a_n)\), \((a_n^r)\) are sequences in \( C \) such that \((a_n)\) in \([a, 1 - a], (a_n)\) in \([a, 1 - a]\), \((a_n)\) in \([a, 1 - a]\), with \( a, a, a^r \in (0, 1/2) \). If \( a \geq a^r/2 \), then \( BQ_n \rightarrow u \) faster than \((S_n)\).

**Proof.** For any positive integer \( n \), utilizing \((BQ_n)\), we obtain the following equation:
\[ \begin{align*}
  &\|b_{n+1} - u\| = \|(1 - a_n)T^{n+1}q_n + a_n T^{n+1}w_n - u\| \\
  &\leq (1 - a_n)\|T^{n+1}q_n - u\| + a_n \|T^{n+1}w_n - u\| \\
  &\leq k^{n+1}\left[ (1 - a_n)\|q_n - u\| + a_n \|w_n - u\| \right].
\end{align*} \]

So,
\[ \|b_{n+1} - u\| \leq k^{n+1}\left[ (1 - a_n)\|q_n - u\| + a_n \|w_n - u\| \right]. \]

Now,
\[ \begin{align*}
  &\|q_n - u\| = \|(1 - a_n^r)T^nw_n + a_n^r T^n u - u\| \\
  &\leq (1 - a_n^r)\|T^n w_n - u\| + a_n^r \|T^n u - u\| \\
  &\leq k^n\left[ (1 - a_n^r)\|b_n - u\| + a_n^r \|w_n - u\| \right].
\end{align*} \]

Therefore,
\[ \|q_n - u\| \leq k^n\left[ (1 - a_n^r)\|b_n - u\| + a_n^r \|w_n - u\| \right]. \]

In addition,
\[ \begin{align*}
  &\|w_n - u\| = \|(1 - a_n^r)T^nb_n + a_n^r T^{n+1}b_n - u\| \\
  &\leq (1 - a_n^r)\|T^n b_n - u\| + a_n^r \|T^{n+1}b_n - u\| \\
  &\leq k^n\left[ (1 - a_n^r)\|b_n - u\| + ka_n^r \|b_n - u\| \right]
\end{align*} \]

Therefore,
\[ \|w_n - u\| \leq k^n\left[ (1 - (1 - k)a_n^r)\|b_n - u\| \right]. \]

From (11) and (13), we obtain the following equation:
\[ \begin{align*}
  &\|q_n - u\| \leq k^n\left[ (1 - a_n)\|b_n - u\| + a_n \|w_n - u\| \right] \\
  &\leq k^n\left[ (1 - a_n^r)\|b_n - u\| + k a_n^r \|1 - (1 - k)a_n^r\|\|b_n - u\| \right] \\
  &\leq k^n\left[ (1 - k)a_n^r - (1 - k)ka_n^r a_n^r\|b_n - u\| \right].
\end{align*} \]
Thus,
\[
\|q_n - u\| \leq k^n [1 - (1 - k^n)a_n^\prime - (1 - k)k^n a_n a_n^\prime] \|b_n - u\|.
\]
(15)

Now,
\[
\|b_{n+1} - u\| \leq k^{n+1} \left\{ (1 - a_n) \|q_n - u\| + a_n \|w_n - u\| \right\}
\leq k^{n+1} \left\{ (1 - a_n)k^n [1 - (1 - k^n)a_n^\prime - (1 - k)k^n a_n a_n^\prime] \|b_n - u\| + a_n \|w_n - u\| \right\}
\leq k^{n+1} \left\{ (1 - a_n)k^n [1 - (1 - k^n)a_n^\prime - (1 - k)k^n a_n a_n^\prime] + a_n k^n (1 - (1 - k)a_n^\prime) \|b_n - u\| \right\}
= k^{2n+1} \left\{ (1 - a_n) [1 - (1 - k^n)a_n^\prime - (1 - k)k^n a_n a_n^\prime] + a_n (1 - (1 - k)a_n^\prime) \|b_n - u\| \right\}
\leq k^{2n+1} \left\{ 1 - (1 - k^n)aa^\prime - (1 - k)a \left[ k^n a^\prime a + a \right] \right\} \|b_n - u\|
= k^{2n+1} \left\{ 1 - (1 - k^n)aa^\prime - (1 - k)aa^\prime \left[ 1 + k^n a^\prime \right] \right\} \|b_n - u\|.
\]
(16)

Therefore,
\[
\|b_n - u\| \leq k^{2n} \left\{ 1 - (1 - k^{n-1})aa^\prime - (1 - k)aa^\prime \left[ 1 + k^{n-1} a^\prime \right] \right\} \|b_0 - u\|.
\]
(17)

But the iterative process \(t_n\) implies
\[
\|s_n - u\| \leq \|s_0 - u\| \left\{ 1 - a^\prime (1 - k) \left( a^\prime - a \left[ 1 - a^\prime \right] \right) \right\}^n.
\]
(18)

Let \(a_n = k^{2n} \left\{ 1 - (1 - k^{n-1})aa^\prime - (1 - k)aa^\prime \left[ 1 + k^{n-1} a^\prime \right] \right\} \|b_0 - u\|\) and
\[
\beta_n = \left\{ 1 - (1 - k) a^\prime \left( a^\prime - a \left[ 1 - a^\prime \right] \right) \right\} \|s_0 - u\|.
\]
(19)

Then, we obtain the following equation:
\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \|b_n - u\| \leq \lim_{n \to \infty} k^{2n} \left\{ 1 - (1 - k^{n-1})aa^\prime - (1 - k)aa^\prime \left[ 1 + k^{n-1} a^\prime \right] \right\} \|b_0 - u\| = 0,
\]
(20)

and
\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \|s_n - u\| \leq \lim_{n \to \infty} \left\{ 1 - (1 - k) a^\prime \left( a^\prime - a \left[ 1 - a^\prime \right] \right) \right\}^n \|s_0 - u\| = 0.
\]
(21)

Since \( \alpha_i = k^{2n} \left\{ 1 - (1 - k)aa^\prime \left[ 1 + a^\prime \right] \right\} \|b_0 - u\| < \beta_1 = \left\{ 1 - (1 - k) a^\prime \left( a^\prime - a \left[ 1 - a^\prime \right] \right) \right\} \|s_0 - u\|\), we have
\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \left\{ k^{2n} \left\{ 1 - (1 - k^{n-1})aa^\prime - (1 - k)aa^\prime \left[ 1 + k^{n-1} a^\prime \right] \right\} \right\} ^n = 0.
\]
(22)
Consequently, we obtain our result.

3. Numerical Examples

**Example 1.** Suppose $\mathcal{H} = \mathbb{R}$ with the usual normed and suppose $\mathcal{E} = [0, 50]$. Assume that $T : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$ is defined by $T(x) = (5x^2 - 2x + 48)^{1/3}$. Let $a = 0.45$, $a' = 0.25$, and $a'' = 0.1$ and let $a_n = 0.45$, $a'_n = 0.75 - (1/(4 + n))$ and $a''_n = 0.4 + (1/(2 + n))$, $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$. By mean value theorem, one can ensures that $T$ satisfies condition 1. Moreover, the sequences $(a_n)$, $(a'_n)$, and $(a''_n)$ and the constants $a$, $a'$, and $a''$ satisfy the conditions of Theorem 4. Thus, $(BQ_n)$ is faster than $(S_n)$. Table 1 illustrates the results obtained by using $(BQ_n)$ and $(S_n)$ for reckoning the approximated fixed point of $T$ when we start from an arbitrary point $b_0 = 50$.

One can see in the above table that the solution was attained by $BQ_n$ scheme at $8^{th}$ round while it needed $39^{th}$ round to be achieved through $S_n$ scheme which means that $BQ_n$ is faster and more effective than $S_n$ under the assumed conditions.

**Example 2.** Suppose $\mathcal{H} = \mathbb{R}$ with the usual normed and suppose $\mathcal{E} = [0, 2]$. Assume that $T : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$ is defined by $T(x) = e^{\ln x^2/3}$. Let $a = 0.35$, $a' = 0.25$, and $a'' = 0.1$ and let $a_n = 0.6 - (2/(9 + n))$, $a'_n = 0.75 - (1/(4 + n))$ and $a''_n = 0.2$, $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$. By mean value theorem, one can ensures that $T$ satisfies condition 1. Moreover, the sequences $(a_n)$, $(a'_n)$, and $(a''_n)$ and the constants $a$, $a'$, and $a''$ satisfy the conditions of Theorem 4. Thus, $(BQ_n)$ is faster than $(S_n)$. Table 2 illustrates the results obtained by using $(BQ_n)$ and $(S_n)$ for reckoning the approximated fixed point of $T$ when we start from an arbitrary point $b_0 = 2$.

$BQ_n$ is faster and more effective than $S_n$ under the assumed conditions since one can see in the above table that the solution was attained by $BQ_n$ scheme at $6^{th}$ round while it needed $22^{th}$ round to be achieved through $S_n$ scheme.

**Example 3.** Suppose $\mathcal{H} = \mathbb{R}$ with the usual normed and suppose $\mathcal{E} = [0, 1]$. Assume that $T : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$ is defined by $T(x) = 1 + x^2/7 - x^5$. Let $a = 0.35$, $a' = 0.25$, and $a'' = 0.1$ and let $a_n = 0.6 - (2/(9 + n))$, $a'_n = 0.75 - (1/(4 + n))$, and $a''_n = 0.4 + (1/(2 + n))$, $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$. It follows by mean value theorem that $T$ satisfies condition 1. Moreover, the sequences $(a_n)$, $(a'_n)$, and $(a''_n)$ and the constants $a$, $a'$, and $a''$ satisfy the conditions of Theorem 4. Thus, $(BQ_n)$ is faster than $(S_n)$. Table 3 illustrates the results obtained by using $(BQ_n)$ and $(S_n)$ for reckoning the approximated fixed point of $T$ when we start from $b_0 = 0$.

Observe that under the assumed conditions $BQ_n$ is faster and more effective than $S_n$ since one can see in Tables 1–3 that the solution was attained by $BQ_n$ scheme at $4^{th}$ round while it needed $10^{th}$ round to be achieved through $S_n$ scheme.

| Table 1: The results obtained by using $(BQ_n)$ and $(S_n)$ for reckoning the approximated fixed point of $T$ when we start from $b_0 = 50$. |
|---|---|---|
| Steps | $BQ_n$ | $S_n$ |
| 1 | 19.073217881051000 | 19.097061775637600 |
| 2 | 7.078653010637430 | 10.841595168052900 |
| 3 | 6.028447204181710 | 7.947865238145880 |
| 4 | 6.000021260262850 | 8.052413432632650 |
| 5 | 6.000000045588770 | 6.335322149550770 |
| 6 | 6.000000000000000 | 6.139846632672200 |
| 7 | 6.000000000000000 | 6.058315239773500 |
| 8 | 6 | 6.024304287747400 |
| 37 | 6 | 6.000000000000000 |
| 38 | 6 | 6.000000000000000 |
| 39 | 6 | 6 |

| Table 2: The results obtained by using $(BQ_n)$ and $(S_n)$ for reckoning the approximated fixed point of $T$ when we start from $b_0 = 2$. |
|---|---|---|
| Steps | $BQ_n$ | $S_n$ |
| 1 | 0.582038390098360 | 0.578010282006729 |
| 2 | 0.305245538425600 | 0.368424501387440 |
| 3 | 0.299784457634900 | 0.313785066721133 |
| 4 | 0.299786904175140 | 0.302692991943990 |
| 5 | 0.299786903228173 | 0.300379530730230 |
| 6 | 0.299786903228166 | 0.299996280405721 |
| 19 | 0.299786903228166 | 0.299786903282234 |
| 20 | 0.299786903282179 | 0.299786903282179 |
| 21 | 0.299786903282168 | 0.299786903282168 |
| 22 | 0.299786903282166 | 0.299786903282166 |
| 23 | 0.299786903282166 | 0.299786903282166 |

| Table 3: The results obtained by using $(BQ_n)$ and $(S_n)$ for reckoning the approximated fixed point of $T$ when we start from $b_0 = 0$. |
|---|---|---|
| Steps | $BQ_n$ | $S_n$ |
| 1 | 0.143592129157553 | 0.143586038445553 |
| 2 | 0.146365378902920 | 0.146295585732113 |
| 3 | 0.146365489032898 | 0.146367392725813 |
| 4 | 0.146365489032909 | 0.1463654847871654 |
| 5 | 0.146365489032909 | 0.146365488082888 |
| 6 | 0.146365489032909 | 0.146365489010892 |
| 7 | 0.146365489032909 | 0.146365489032939 |
| 8 | 0.146365489032909 | 0.146365489032987 |
| 9 | 0.146365489032909 | 0.146365489032908 |
| 10 | 0.146365489032909 | 0.146365489032909 |
| 11 | 0.146365489032909 | 0.146365489032909 |

4. Applications

Next, we aim to give an application on our result in physics particularly the newton law of heat transfer. For that end, we need the following results.

**Lemma 5** (See [27, 28]): $w(x)$ is a solution for the I.V.P (the initial value problem).
\[
\dot{\zeta}(t) = H(t, \zeta(t)), \zeta(t_0) = \zeta_0; \quad (23)
\]
\[
\Rightarrow \zeta(t) = \zeta_0 + \int_{t_0}^{t} H(r, \zeta(r)) \, dr. \quad (24)
\]

Let \( I \) is an interval in \( \mathbb{R} \) and let \( \mathcal{C}(I) \) stands for the class of real-valued continuous functions \( \zeta: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \) with the sup-norm
\[
\| \zeta \|_{\sup} = \sup_{t \in I} |\zeta(t)|. \quad (25)
\]

The theorem below is obtained in [29].

**Theorem 6.** [29] Suppose \( H: I \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \), where \( I \) is an interval in \( \mathbb{R} \) and let \( t_0 \) be an interior point of \( I \). Assume that \( H(t, x) \) is a continuous function of \((t, x)\) satisfying the following condition for some \( \mu > 0 \)
\[
|H(t, x) - H(t, y)| \leq \mu|x - y|, \quad (26)
\]
for all \( x, y \in \mathbb{R}, \ t \in I \). Then, equation (23) has a unique continuously differentiable solution \( w \in \mathcal{C}(I) \).

Newton’s law of cooling is formed as a differential equation predicting the cooling of a warm body in a cold environment, which can be formed as follows:

\[
x'(t) = -\mu (x(t) - x_\delta), \quad (27)
\]
where \( x(t) \) is temperature of the object, \( x_\delta \) the environment temperature which is constant, and \( \mu \) is a constant of proportionality.

If \( x(t_0) = x_0 \), then we get the I.V.P.

\[
x'(t) = -\mu (x(t) - x_\delta), x(t_0) = x_0. \quad (28)
\]

Suppose \( H(t, x) = -\mu (x(t) - x_\delta) \). Then, it is easy to verify that \( H \) satisfies condition 13. Hence, by Theorem 10, there is a unique solution for 15.

In fact, one can solve 15 to find that the exact solution is

\[
x(t) = x_\delta + (x_0 - x_\delta) e^{-\mu(t-t_0)}. \quad (29)
\]

Now, we move on to show the usability of the \( n^{th} \) composite scheme \((BQ_n)\) through the following example.

**Example 4.** A piece of iron with an initial temperature of 920° is removed from the furnace and then placed in a room with a temperature of 20° to cool. Suppose that the temperature of the piece of iron initially decreases at a rate of 9°/min. What is the relationship between the temperature of the piece of iron and time?

Assuming that the iron piece follows the Newton’s law for cooling, so we obtain the following equation:

\[
x'(t) = -\mu (x(t) - x_\delta), x(0) = 920, x'(0) = -9. \quad (30)
\]

It is easy to figure out that \( \mu = 0.01 \), hence the solution is
\[ x(t) = 900 e^{-0.01t} + 20. \]  \hspace{1cm} (31)

Next, suppose \( \mathcal{S} x(t) = 920 + \int_0^t -0.01 (x(r) - 20) \, dr \), where \( H(t, x) = -0.01 (x(t) - 20) \). Then, \( \mathcal{S} \) has a unique fixed point after the argument in Theorem 10.

Figures 1–3 show the results for calculating the approximate fixed point of \( \mathcal{S} \) when we start at \( x(t) = \cos t \). Note that we only do 6 iterations with MATLAB.

5. Conclusions

In the presented study, we developed a new iterative scheme for approximating the fixed point for weak contraction-type mappings. In the numerical examples, we conclude that our iterative scheme computes the fixed point faster than that of Sintunavarat–Pitea. We approximated the function that described the relation between time and temperature of some material that obeys Newton’s law for heat transfer by utilizing our significant scheme. This application shows the applicability of the fixed point theory in different scientific fields.
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