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The Impact of Arid Climate on the Indoor Thermal Comfort in the South-East of Morocco
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The main objective of this work is to study the heat transfer through an administrative building’s envelope in Errachidia City in Morocco. A numerical simulation based on the finite element method was made to describe the effect of introducing several thermal insulators (air, hemp wool, glass wool, rock wool, and extruded polystyrene) of different thicknesses (5 cm, 10 cm, and 15 cm) on the heat transfer through the building’s envelope under different climatic conditions. For the stationary regime, the summer period was chosen on August 7th, 2019, at 17 h, while the winter period was opted on January 1st, 2020, at 7 h. Otherwise, for the transitional regime, the summer period was chosen from August 1st to 8th, 2019, and the winter period from January first to 8th, 2020. The physical model analyzes the temperature variation at the different layers of the wall. It depends on the indoor temperature, the instantaneous climatic conditions of the outdoor air, solar radiations, and the thermal properties of the building’s envelope. The results show that the air gap is a good thermal insulator; it acts as a damper of temperature and heat flux.

1. Introduction

The thermal comfort’s guarantee inside the building and the reduction of energy needs require the implementation of walls combining various functions and characteristics (insulation, accumulation/restitution of solar gains). The building’s envelope presents the seat of heat transfer; it is the physical interface between the external environment and the indoor air, which is desired to be maintained on a stable temperature range. Thus, to ensure a good level of comfort for the occupants, the heat stored in summer must be evacuated by an air conditioning system. While in winter, the heat loss must be compensated by a heating system which leads to waste a huge amount of energy in most buildings. Therefore, to guarantee the lowest energy consumption and the high quality of indoor comfort, it is essential to develop a high-performance envelope. For this reason, several studies have been done to enhance the thermal performance of a building’s envelope such as using advanced materials [1–4], employing insulation layers [5–7], and varying its thickness [8].

In the literature, several researchers have focused on the impact of thermal insulations and its characteristics on the heat transfer through the building’s envelope. Asan [9] presented a study about the influence of thermophysical characteristics of a building’s wall on time lag and decrement factor taking into account the convection boundary conditions; he has proven by computations applied for different building materials that those characteristics have a significant impact on the time lag and decrement factor. Boukendil et al. [2] examined a 2-D steady-state model to study the coupled conductive, convective, and radiative heat transfer through a honeycomb wall with a medium of air gap. The results show that the total heat flux through this wall varies almost
linearly despite the difference between the indoor and the outdoor's temperature. They also concluded the overall heat exchange coefficients for the thermal behavior prediction of this wall system. In addition to this, other researchers proved that the use of air gap gives optimal results. Jamal et al. [10] studied the coupled heat transfer mode by conduction, natural convection, and radiation in a double solid wall with a medium of air gap. The result shows that the use of air gap as insulation would highly help in reducing the energy consumption of buildings.

This type of field's research is still topical in the whole globe [11–17] and also in Morocco [18–20]. The paper is aimed at analyzing the following:

(i) The effect of introducing five insulations (air, glass wool, hemp wool, rock wool, and extruded polystyrene) in a building's envelope on the heat transfer and the heat flux through this wall

(ii) The impact of insulation's thickness on the heat transfer and the heat flux through this envelope

(iii) The climatic conditions were determined during a summer week in August 2019 and a winter week in January 2020, while the used model is based on the finite element method

### 2. Materials and Methods

#### 2.1. Model Description

The numerical model consists of solving the problem of heat transfer in stationary and transitional regimes, during a winter week between the 1st and 8th January 2020, and another summer week from the 1st to 8th August 2019, through the exterior walls of an administrative building (Pachalik Errachidia). The external walls under study are made of clay hollow brick with a thickness of 15 cm separated by a medium of air gap with a thickness of 5 cm (Table 1).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Wall composition</th>
<th>λ (W/m·K)</th>
<th>Cp (J/kg·K)</th>
<th>ρ (kg/m³)</th>
<th>e (m)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Coating</td>
<td>1.153</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>1700</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clay hollow brick</td>
<td>0.915</td>
<td>790</td>
<td>720</td>
<td>0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Air gap</td>
<td>0.023</td>
<td>1004</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clay hollow brick</td>
<td>0.915</td>
<td>790</td>
<td>720</td>
<td>0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coating</td>
<td>1.153</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>1700</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 1: Thermophysical characteristics of opaque walls.

The geometric configuration of a multilayer building's envelope is illustrated in Figure 1. The left side of the wall is exposed to the ambient air, while its temperature $T_i$ is maintained constant. A convective heat transfer occurred between the interior facade and ambient air. The right facade of the wall is opened to a periodic outdoor ambient air temperature $T_{air}(t)$ and towards the solar radiations $\phi(t)$. Convective and radiative conditions are established inside and outside the wall, and it is translated by global coefficients $h_e$ and $h_i$.

For the summer and winter periods with a wind speed $v < 5$ m/s, the convective heat transfer coefficients $h_e$ [21] and $h_i$ [22] are given by

$$h_e = 5.7 + 3.8v,$$

$$h_i = 2.03 \left( \frac{|T_{air} - T_{si}|}{2H} \right)^{0.22},$$

where $v$ is the average wind speed m/s, $T_{air}$ is the indoor ambient temperature, $T_{si}$ is the outdoor average temperature, and $H$ is the wall's height.

#### 2.2. Method

The external wall under study is assumed to be only in the $x$ direction and time dependent. The one-dimensional, transient heat conduction equation for this problem is as follows:

$$\lambda \frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial x^2} = \rho Cp \frac{\partial T}{\partial t},$$

where $\lambda$ is the thermal conductivity, $\rho$ is the density, and $Cp$ is the specific heat of the wall material.

#### 2.3. Initial and Boundary Conditions

We have opted for the following boundary conditions:

(i) The thermophysical properties of the materials are assumed to be constant

(ii) The contact between the brick and the insulators is assumed to be perfect

(iii) The horizontal sides of the wall are adiabatic
\( \lambda \frac{\partial T}{\partial x} = 0 \) \hspace{1cm} (3)

(iv) At the interior side of the wall, the boundary condition is

\[ \lambda \frac{\partial T}{\partial x} = h_i (T_{x=0} - T_i), \quad x = 0 \] \hspace{1cm} (4)

where \( h_i \) is the interior convective heat transfer coefficient, \( T_{x=0} \) is the wall interior side's temperature, and \( T_i \) is the indoor temperature.

(v) At the exterior side of the wall, the boundary condition is

\[ \lambda \frac{\partial T}{\partial x} = h_e (T_e - T_{x=L}) + \alpha \varphi(t), \quad x = L \] \hspace{1cm} (5)

where \( h_e \) is the exterior convective heat transfer coefficient, \( T_{x=L} \) is the wall exterior side’s temperature, \( T_e \) is the outdoor temperature, and \( \varphi(t) \) is the solar radiation flux in which the solar absorption coefficient of the brick is \( \alpha = 0.6 \) \cite{23}.

Table 2: Characteristic data of the studied wall.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Period</th>
<th>( e ) (m)</th>
<th>( H ) (m)</th>
<th>( R_{si} ) (m(^2)K/W)</th>
<th>( R_{se} ) (m(^2)K/W)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Winter</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Summer</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Stationary regime

\( T_i = 20°C \) \hspace{1cm} \( T_e = 0°C \) \hspace{1cm} \( T_i = 25°C \) \hspace{1cm} \( T_e = 40°C \)

Transitional regime

\( T_i = 20°C \) \hspace{1cm} Figure 2 \hspace{1cm} \( T_i = 25°C \) \hspace{1cm} Figure 3

Figure 2: Outdoor temperature measured from January 1\textsuperscript{st} to 8\textsuperscript{th}, 2020.

Figure 3: Outdoor temperature measured from August 1\textsuperscript{st} to 8\textsuperscript{th}, 2019.

Figure 4: Solar radiations measured from January 1\textsuperscript{st} to 8\textsuperscript{th}, 2020.

Figure 5: Solar radiations measured from August 1\textsuperscript{st} to 8\textsuperscript{th}, 2019.

Table 2: Characteristic data of the studied wall.
We note that the increase of the insulators’ thickness provokes an increase in the thermal resistance of the walls.

The impact of each thermal insulation for every chosen thickness is illustrated in Figure 8. We note that using air gap as an insulator reduces heat transfer through the studied wall with the three different thicknesses. The air gap blocks heat from exiting to the outside. The obtained results are similar to the results of Lairgi et al. [1].

3.1.2. Summer Period. Figure 9 shows the lines of the total heat flux at different points of the wall on August 5th, 2019, at 14 h. The inside ambient air’s temperature equals 25°C, which is colder than the outdoor temperature (40°C). Consequently, the heat flux’s direction is from the outside toward the inside portion of the wall. By observing the evolution of the heat flux (Figure 9) at any point of the wall, we note that the thermal insulation slows this heat transfer.

Figure 10 shows the temperature profiles for various thicknesses (5 cm, 10 cm, and 15 cm) of different thermal insulators during the summer period. We note that the profiles are similar and vary in the same manner for all thermal insulations. Moreover, the increase of thermal insulators’ thicknesses leads to a significant thermal resistance of the walls. These results are similar to the results obtained by Perumal et al. [24].

Figure 11 shows the effect of each thermal insulation for every chosen thickness during the summer period. We conclude that for the three thicknesses, thermal insulation by air gap is highly desirable; it gives the best results in terms of slowing heat transfer through the wall and reducing the costs.

3.2. Transitional Regime. According to the previous results, we have chosen a thickness of 5 cm of each thermal insulator for the transitional regime. To analyze the effect of different insulators on the heat transfer through the building’s envelope, we have recorded the different temperatures, \( T_1 \) at the interior side, \( T_2 \) at the interior side of the insulator, \( T_3 \) at the exterior side of the insulator, and \( T_4 \) at the exterior side of the wall (Figure 12).
Figure 7: Temperature profiles at different thicknesses and thermal insulators during the winter period.
Figure 8: Temperature profiles for the three thicknesses and the different insulators during the winter period.

Figure 9: Lines of the total heat flux for the summer period.
Figure 10: Temperature profiles for different thicknesses and thermal insulators during a summer period.
3.2.1. Winter Period. Figure 13 presents the temperature’s variation from January 1st to 8th, 2020, at the midheight of the envelope, which is presented by a double-layered hollow clay brick and different thermal insulators (Figure 13).

The results show the layer’s temperature waves in the same manner as the external side’s one $T_4$. Moreover, we found that the temperature profile at the external facade of the wall $T_4$ and the external side of the insulation $T_3$ is almost identical, which reveals a high capacity of hollow clay bricks to store heat during the day and to diffuse it at night. The five insulations were studied acting as a thermal barrier that blocks heat to exit. Indeed, the temperature of the internal side of the insulation (glass wool, rock wool, hemp wool, and polystyrene) $T_2$ varies from a minimum of 18°C and a maximum of 19°C while its external side $T_3$ varies from 7°C to 18°C which disclose a heat block rate of 64%. While for the air gap insulation, $T_2$ varies from 18.5°C to 19 and $T_3$ waves from 6 to 19°C (Table 3). Thus, using air gap as insulation blocks heat to exit with a rate of 68%. Consequently, the thermal insulation by air gap leads to an optimal result compared to the other thermal insulators under study.
Figure 13: Temperature variation profiles on the building’s envelope and thermal insulation during the winter period.
Table 3: Side’s temperatures for the five insulations in the winter period.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Insulators</th>
<th>Min $T_1$</th>
<th>Max $T_1$</th>
<th>Min $T_2$</th>
<th>Max $T_2$</th>
<th>Min $T_3$</th>
<th>Max $T_3$</th>
<th>Min $T_4$</th>
<th>Max $T_4$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Air gap</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>18.5</td>
<td></td>
<td>19</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glass wool</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>19.5</td>
<td>18</td>
<td></td>
<td>19</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hemp wool</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>19.5</td>
<td>18</td>
<td></td>
<td>19</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rock wool</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>19.5</td>
<td>18</td>
<td></td>
<td>19</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polystyrene</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>19.5</td>
<td>18</td>
<td></td>
<td>19</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 14: Heat flux as a function of the wall thickness and thermal insulator’s types at different times of the day during a winter period.
These results have previously been highlighted by other recent researches [1, 6, 10].

Figure 14 shows the heat flux as a function of the thickness four times per day (7 h, 12 h, 19 h, and 23 h) for the different insulators used.

The recorded flux for the five insulators (air gap, glass wool, hemp wool, rock wool, and polystyrene) is maximal at 12 h and minimal at 7 h, and it varies approximately from 20 W/m² to 30 W/m² between 19 h and 23 h.

We note that the heat flux has a linear curve at the external layer of the wall, but with different values depending on the different moments during the day. At 12 h, the heat flux is higher compared to another time, and it reaches a value of 55 W/m² at the external side of the wall, and it decreased to 30 W/m² at 23 h; then, it gradually diminished towards the inside with a value of 2.5 W/m² for the air gap and approximately 4 W/m² for the other insulators.

Figure 15 shows the heat flux as a function of wall thickness and thermal insulation’s type at $t = 12$ h during a winter period.

3.2.2. Summer Period. Figure 16 presents the temperature’s variation for the summer period from August first to 8th, 2019, at the midheight of the envelope, which is consisting a double-layered hollow clay brick and different thermal insulators.

The temperature at the external side of the wall $T_4$ reaches 58°C during the summer period considering the solar radiation. The thermal resistance is particularly low if glass wool, rock wool, polystyrene, and hemp wool are used as insulators compared to the air gap. Indeed, the temperature at the external side of the glass wool, hemp wool, rock wool, and polystyrene $T_1$ balances between 29°C and 51°C, and at its internal sides, $T_2$ varies between 27°C and 30°C (Table 4) which shows a heat reduction of 41.18%, while the temperature at the external side of the air gap $T_3$ waves from 30°C to 52°C and its internal side $T_2$ varies from 26°C to 28°C. Thus, the use of air gap in summer helps to reduce heat with a rate of 46.16% and reaches particularly a constant value $T_1$ of 25°C on the inside of the wall.

Figure 17 shows the heat flux as a function of the wall thickness of the different thermal insulations used (air gap, glass wool, hemp wool, rock wool, and polystyrene) at four moments per day (7 h, 12 h, 19 h, and 23 h).

The recorded flux is maximal at 12 h and reaches a value of 115 W/m² at the external side of the wall, minimal at 7 h, while at 23 h, it reaches a value of 65 W/m² for the wall containing the air gap and a value of 60 W/m² for the other insulators. Then, the flux decreases slightly into the interior of the wall with a value of 3 W/m² for the air gap and 5 W/m² for the other thermal insulators.

At 19 h, the heat flux has the same profile as at 23 h with a gap of 3 W/m². Moreover, the heat flux decreases linearly in the external layer of the brick but with different values depending on the four moments of the day. At 7 h, the heat flux is becoming almost constant with a value of 2 W/m².

Figure 18 represents the heat flux as a function of wall thickness and thermal insulation’s type at $t = 12$ h. We note that the profiles of the five insulators are similar at the external layer of brick; then, it decreases to the wall’s internal side with different values, 2.5 W/m² for the air gap and 5 W/m² for the other insulators.
Figure 16: Temperature variation profiles of different sides of the wall and insulators during a summer period.

Table 4: Side’s temperatures for the five insulations in the summer period.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Insulators</th>
<th>$T_1$ Min</th>
<th>$T_1$ Max</th>
<th>$T_2$ Min</th>
<th>$T_2$ Max</th>
<th>$T_3$ Min</th>
<th>$T_3$ Max</th>
<th>$T_4$ Min</th>
<th>$T_4$ Max</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Air gap</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glass wool</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hemp wool</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rock wool</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polystyrene</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>58</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 17: Heat flux as a function of the wall thickness and insulators at different times of the day during the summer period.
4. Conclusions

In this study, we have studied the effect of thermal insulation by air gap, hemp wool, glass wool, rock wool, and extruded polystyrene, plus the impact of their thicknesses (5 cm, 10 cm, and 15 cm) on heat transfer through an administrative building’s envelope located in Errachidia, under different climatic conditions during winter and summer periods.

The results show for stationary and transitional regimes, during the summer and the winter periods, in a semidesert climate of Errachidia City which is characterized by a significant sunshine. The thermal insulation by air gap is more efficient compared to the other thermal insulators under study; it acts as a heat absorber during the summer. While in winter, the air gap blocks heat towards the exit. Consequently, it ensures simultaneously the thermal comfort of the occupants and energy savings and reduces the materials’ costs.
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