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In order to further clarify the sunshine stress effect of long-span and wide concrete box girder, the study selected a concrete box girder with 4 × 42 m span and 33.5 m width, formed the temperature field load through a field test and numerical simulation, established a numerical analysis model by using the finite element program of ANSYS, and comprehensively analyzed the longitudinal temperature stress distribution of a long-span and wide concrete box girder under sunshine. The midspan section of the first span of the box girder is selected for field stress measure, and the field measure results and numerical analysis results are compared and analyzed. The research shows that the results of field measurement and numerical simulation are basically consistent. Sunshine temperature has a great influence on long-span and wide concrete box girder, which should be paid enough attention.

1. Introduction

With the rapid urban development, a long-span and wide concrete box girder is more and more widely used. On the one hand, it has greatly improved the urban operation efficiency and quality of life. On the other hand, it puts forward higher requirements for civil engineering technology. A long-span and wide-width concrete box girder is mostly used in outdoor space, such as large bridge structure and public facility structure, which requires high-temperature adaptability [1, 2]. At the same time, due to the complex structure and large temperature distribution gradient, the influence is more significant [3]. In this paper, the sunshine stress effect of long-span and wide concrete box girder is studied in Zhuhai Hengqin second bridge project.

2. Model Establishment

The Hengqin second bridge is located in the southwest of Zhuhai City. Its south approaching bridge is a cast-in-situ concrete box girder with a standard span of 42 m and a width of 33.5 m for the beam top plate and 17.50 m for the bottom plate. The beam height at the center line is 2.5 m, the top plate is 0.25 m thick, and the bottom plate is 0.25 m thick. It is locally thickened near the fulcrum to meet the stress needs of the structure. Figure 1 is the cross-section diagram of the large-span wide concrete box girder.

The longitudinal length of the bridge structure is much larger than the vertical length and transverse length. If the three-dimensional conduction property of temperature in some areas of the bridge structure is ignored, it can be considered that the temperature change of the bridge along the length direction is consistent. So the three-dimensional heat conduction problem can be simplified to analyze the one-dimensional heat conduction state along the transverse and vertical directions of the bridge [4, 5]. Therefore, only one section along the longitudinal direction of the bridge needs to be selected as a representative. The middle of the first span of the first part (4 × 42 m) is selected as the temperature and stress measure section, as shown in section A in Figure 2.
In order to study the effect of temperature effect, the temperature stress of the box girder is observed while observing the temperature field. The stress measure section of the box girder is the same as the temperature measure section [8], as shown in section A-A in Figure 1.

The layout position of the stress measure sensor is shown in Figure 5. The sensor is bound with the reinforcement and embedded in the structure before concrete pouring.

The measure lasted 24 hours from 14:00 p.m. to 14:00 p.m. the next day. A total of 13 measures were recorded once every two hours. The measure results accurately reflect the change of internal stress of the box girder in one day.

The measure result takes the result at 14:00 at the beginning of the test as the zero point, and the subsequent measure results are the difference from the results at that time. The greater the positive difference, the more it decreases. The greater the negative difference, the more it increases. Therefore, the measured value is not the specific stress but reflects the stress change caused by sunshine temperature [11].

5. Stress Time History Variation

The study selected the solid70 thermal analysis unit provided by ANSYS and ignored the influence of prestressed reinforcement on temperature distribution. The stress distribution of the box girder under the temperature field is calculated and compared with the measured data. The results are shown in Figure 6.

According to the analysis and statistical results of measured and calculated values, under the action of 24-hour solar radiation, the longitudinal compressive stress of the box girder roof gradually decreases with the continuous decrease in temperature. On the contrary, when the temperature rises, the longitudinal compressive stress increases gradually. The variation law and amplitude of measured and calculated values are basically the same, and the maximum error is about 15%. At 14:00 p.m. or 16:00 p.m., the compressive stress at each measuring point of the roof reaches the maximum value. The compressive stress decreases rapidly after dusk and remains at a low level at night and begins to rise rapidly after 8:00 a.m. the next day.

6. Spatial Distribution of Box Girder Stress

6.1. Overall Stress Analysis. Taking 14:00 p.m. with the highest roof temperature and 6:00 a.m. with the lowest temperature difference between roof and floor as examples, Figures 7–14 describe the stress distribution of the roof, lower surface, and the middle section of the first span.

It can be seen from the figure that the roof is subjected to large compressive stress at 14 p.m., the maximum local compressive stress is about $8 \times 10^6$ Pa, and the compressive stress of the bottom plate is about $2 \times 10^6$ Pa. It can be seen from the midspan section that the middle of the web is under...
the action of tensile stress, and there is a large tensile stress at the bottom of the top plate, which is more than $2.5 \times 10^6$ Pa. The transverse and longitudinal distribution of stress in the top plate and bottom plate is uneven.

At 6 a.m., the compressive stress value of the top plate is small, the bottom plate is partially compressed and partially tensioned, and the value is small. The horizontal and vertical distribution of the stress in the box is relatively uniform.

6.2. Analysis of Transverse Distribution of Roof Stress. According to the time history stress analysis, the maximum stress of the roof and the maximum vertical stress gradient appeared at 14 p.m. Figure 15 shows the calculation results of transverse distribution of longitudinal stress of roof at 14 p.m. It can be seen from the results that the roof is in compression, and the longitudinal compressive stress in the middle is the largest, about $8.4 \times 10^6$ Pa. The transverse distribution of stress is “V” shape as a whole, and the stress decreases gradually from the middle of the section to both sides.

The main reasons for the uneven transverse distribution of stress are as follows: (1) the shear lag effect of the box girder reduces the longitudinal stress of the cantilever section and (2) the difference of stiffness between the middle and both sides of the roof leads to the difference of transverse stress distribution [12].
Figure 6: Time history diagram of temperature stress comparison.

Figure 7: Longitudinal stress distribution at 14 (roof)/Pa.

Figure 8: Longitudinal stress distribution at 14 (lower surface)/Pa.
Figure 9: Longitudinal stress distribution at 14 (middle of the first span)/Pa.

Figure 10: Longitudinal stress distribution at 14 (roof of the first span)/Pa.

Figure 11: Longitudinal stress distribution at 6 (roof)/Pa.

Figure 12: Longitudinal stress distribution at 6 (lower surface)/Pa.

Figure 13: Longitudinal stress distribution at 6 (middle of the first span)/Pa.

Figure 14: Longitudinal stress distribution at 6 (roof of the first span)/Pa.
6.3. Vertical Stress Distribution of the Box Girder. As can be seen from Figure 16, the compressive stress decreases rapidly with the increase in the distance from the top plate, and the maximum tensile stress appears at the web, which is about $2 \times 10^6$ Pa. The critical height point from compressive stress to tensile stress is 30 cm away from the top plate.

7. Conclusion

(1) Based on the field measure and numerical simulation, the time history variation of box girder stress and its uneven spatial distribution are analyzed. Result shows that the simulated value is basically consistent with the measured value, and the maximum error is about 15%. The numerical simulation method is more practical

(2) At 14 p.m., the compressive stress on the top plate of the box girder is large and unevenly distributed, the maximum value of local longitudinal compressive stress is about $8 \times 10^6$ Pa, and the stress of the bottom plate is about $2 \times 10^6$ Pa. It is necessary to strengthen the structural protection management in different periods of the project site

(3) The transverse distribution of the longitudinal compressive stress of the roof is in a “V” shape as a whole, and the stress decreases gradually from the middle of the section to both sides

(4) The middle and top of the box girder web are subjected to tensile stress. The longitudinal tensile stress at the top of the web is large, exceeding $2.5 \times 10^6$ Pa

In short, the sunshine radiation, especially in the case of superimposed dynamic and static loads, has a great impact on the long-span and wide concrete box girder, which should be paid enough attention in the process of design, construction, and use.

Data Availability
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Figure 15: Longitudinal stress distribution of the roof (the ordinate is stress (Pa); the abscissa is distance (m)).

Figure 16: Vertical distribution of longitudinal stress of the web in the middle of the first span (the ordinate is stress (Pa); the abscissa is the distance from the top plate (m)).
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