

Research Article

Pregnancy Risk Perception and Associated Factors among Pregnant Women Attending Antenatal Care at Health Centers in Jabi Tehnan District, Amhara, Northwestern Ethiopia, 2021

Demeke Andebet Alemu,¹ Ambaye Minayehu Zegeye ^(D),² Liknaw Bewket Zeleke,³ Wale Kumlachew Dessie,⁴ Yilkal Dagnaw Melese ^(D),² Yaregal Desselaw Tarik ^(D),² Fentahun Tamene Zeleke ^(D),⁵ Dawit Misganaw Belay ^(D),² Alemitu Ayele Siyoum,⁶ and Berhane Teklay Asfaha ^(D)

¹Hailu Alemu College, Department of Midwifery, Gojjam, Ethiopia

²Department of Midwifery, College of Health Sciences, Assosa University, Assosa, Ethiopia

³Debre Markos University, College of Health Sciences, Department of Midwifery, Debre Markos, Ethiopia

⁴Department of Nursing, College of Health Sciences, Assosa University, Assosa, Ethiopia

⁵Wolkite University, College of Health Sciences, Department of Midwifery, Wolkite, Ethiopia

⁶Madawalabu University, College of Health Sciences, Department of Midwifery, Madawalabu, Ethiopia

Correspondence should be addressed to Ambaye Minayehu Zegeye; abelminayehu15@gmail.com

Received 23 June 2022; Revised 4 September 2022; Accepted 18 November 2022; Published 13 December 2022

Academic Editor: Bin Zhao

Copyright © 2022 Demeke Andebet Alemu et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Background. Pregnancy risk perception affects a pregnant woman's decision about health care services such as prenatal care, place of birth, choices about medical interventions, adherence to medical procedures, and recommendations. Therefore, the study is aimed at assessing pregnancy risk perception and associated factors among pregnant women attending antenatal care at health centers in Jabi Tehnan District. *Methods.* An institutional-based cross-sectional study was conducted among 424 mothers attending ANC at health centers in the Jabi Tehnan District from April 1 to 30, 2021. Data was collected through a face-to-face interview using a structured questionnaire which was developed according to the health belief model. The logistic regression model was used using an adjusted odds ratio with 95% CI and *p*value < 0.05 to declare significance and associations. *Result.* Four hundred twenty four (424) pregnant women were interviewed of which nearly half of the respondents 48% (43.2%, 52.7%) had good pregnancy risk perception. Women who had a history of obstetric complications (AOR: 95% CI = 3.44 : 1.73, 6.83), those who knew at least one pregnancy danger sign (AOR: 95% CI = 5.22, 2.46, 11.07), pregnant women who had a bad obstetric history (AOR: 95% CI = 2.23 : 1.13, 4.41), and knowing women who died due to pregnancy-related complications (AOR: 95% CI = 2.85 : 1.45, 5.60) were more likely to have good perception towards pregnancy risk compared to their counterparts. *Conclusion*. Obstetric complications, awareness of pregnancy danger signs, bad obstetric history, and known women who died due to pregnancy-related complications were found to be significantly associated with pregnancy risk perception.

1. Background

Risk perception means an individual's expectation about the probability, characteristics, and severity of an event, and it is an important construction for different health behavior theories such as the health belief model, protection motivation theory, and prospect theory [1]. An individual making a judgment about the probability of an event is based on experience [2]. tions, adherence to medical procedures and recommendations, and health behaviors [4–6]. Pregnancy is a normal physiological process, but some

of the common discomforts of pregnancy may make the pregnant woman feel ill. Ranging from mildly irritating to life-threatening conditions [7], pregnancy and childbirth are often perceived as normal life events without justification in many developing countries, including Ethiopia. Obstetric complications are high among normal pregnancies. These complications occurred following warning signs called obstetric danger signs [8–11].

The top commonly manifested danger signs during labor and childbirth are severe vaginal bleeding, prolonged labor, convulsions, and retained placenta. Besides, dangerous signs might occur during the postnatal period, which include severe vaginal bleeding, unconsciousness, and fever [12, 13]. Every pregnant woman is at risk of facing pregnancy-related complications that could end in death or injury to both herself and the newborn [14]. From pregnancy-related complications, hemorrhage, obstructed labor, pregnancy-induced hypertension, puerperal sepsis, and unsafe abortion are the five leading causes of maternal death in Ethiopia from 1990 to 2016. Early detection and management of those complications are important to reduce maternal mortality [15].

Pregnancy and childbirth complications are major causes of maternal death. Complications that develop during pregnancy account for 72.5% of maternal deaths are called direct causes of maternal death. Globally, the magnitudes of direct cause's maternal death are hemorrhage (27.1%), pregnancy-induced hypertension (14%), puerperal sepsis (10.7%), and unsafe abortion (7.9%). In Ethiopia, hemorrhage (29.9%), obstructed labor (22.34%), pregnancyinduced hypertension (16.9%), puerperal sepsis (14.68%), and unsafe abortion (8.6%) are major causes of maternal death [15–17].

Due to obstetric complications globally every day, 810 women died. The developing countries accounted for 94% (277,300) of these deaths. Of these eighty-six percent of deaths are occurred in both Sub-Saharan Africa and Southern. Sub-Saharan Africa has the highest maternal mortality ratio at 66.44% (196 000) of maternal deaths annually. In Ethiopia, the maternal mortality ratio is significantly reduced from 1030 deaths per 100,000 live births (2000) to 401 death per 100,000 live births (2017), but it remains high [18].

The maternal mortality ratio in developing countries in 2015 is twenty times higher than in developed countries. The difference in maternal mortality ratio is also present within countries between urban and rural residents and between high and low-income women [19].

Pregnancy and childbirth-related mortality are unavoidable due to three delays. These are delays in deciding to seek care, delays in accessing and reaching appropriate care, and delays in receiving appropriate care once a health facility is reached. The first delay influences the probability of the second and third delay. Their poor perception of pregnancy-related risks and complications leads pregnant women to delay decision-making to seek obstetric care [20, 21].

Therefore, this study is intended to determine pregnancy risk perception and associated factors among pregnant women attending antenatal care at health centers in Jabi Tehnan District, Amhara, Northwest Ethiopia, 2021.

2. Methods

2.1. Study Area and Period. The study was conducted in Jabi Tehnan District, West Gojjam Zone, Amhara Region, Northwest Ethiopia. Jabi Tenhnan is bordered on the southwest by Dembech, on the west by Bure, on the northwest by Sekela, on the north by Kuarit, and on the east of Degadamot district. It is located at 387 km from Addis Ababa in the northwest part of Ethiopia. According to the report from the district in 2016, it has 39 kebeles with a total population of 218,447 and 125,323 adults. In the district, there are 11 health centers and 39 health posts. The health centers give different clinical services such as family planning, antenatal care, delivery, and testing of HIV for the nearby community. All health centers provide ANC services for the nearby community. The majority of the inhabitants practiced Orthodox Christianity (97.96%) while 2.02% were Muslim [22, 23]. This study was conducted from April 01, 2021 to April 30, 2021.

2.2. Study Design. Institution-based cross-sectional study design was employed.

2.3. Source and Study Population. The source population of this study was all pregnant women coming to antenatal care service in Jabi Tehnan District health centers, and the study population was all pregnant women attending to antenatal care service in Jabi Tehnan District health centers during the data collection period.

2.4. Sample Size Determination. The sample size was calculated using the single population proportion formula by considering 50% of the population has good pregnancy risk perception. The size of the sample was calculated as follows:

$$n = \frac{Z^2 \alpha / 2 \times (p(1-p))}{d^2}.$$
 (1)

- (i) *n* was the sample size
- (ii) $Z^2 \alpha/2$ was the 95% confidence interval (standard value of 1.96)
- (iii) *P* was the estimated proportion of patients with good pregnancy risk perception = 50%
- (iv) *d* is the margin of error (precision error) = $\pm 5\%$



FIGURE 1: Sampling procedure to determine pregnancy risk perception and associated factors among pregnant women attending antenatal care at health centers in Jabi Tehnan District, Amhara, Northwest Ethiopia, 2021.

$$n = \frac{(1.96)2 \times 0.5 \times 0.5}{(0.05)2} = 385.$$
 (2)

Then, by adding a 10% nonresponse rate, the total sample size was 424.

2.5. Sampling Technique and Procedure. There are eleven health centers in the Jabi Tehnan District. All health centers were included in the study, and a systematic sampling technique was used to collect data. The total number of pregnant women attending ANC per month for the previous three consecutive months in each health center was taken from the ANC tally record book. The average number of pregnant women attending ANC per month was calculated. Based on the total number of pregnant women attending antenatal care in Jabi Tehnan District health center, the total sample was divided to each health center proportionally. p = n/N, p times of total antenatal care attendants per month in each health center (Figure 1).

2.6. Inclusion and Exclusion

2.6.1. Inclusion Criteria. Pregnant women coming to antenatal care service in Jabi Tehnan District health center and no exclusion.

2.7. Study Variables

2.7.1. Dependent Variables. Pregnancy risk perception (poor/good).

2.7.2. Independent Variables

- (i) Sociodemographic factors (age, marital status, education, occupation, religion, place of residence, income, and partner education)
- (ii) Knowing a woman who died due to pregnancyrelated complications
- (iii) Obstetric factors (gravidity, parity, history of obstetric complications, pregnancy danger signs, number of ANC visits, and bad obstetric history)
- (iv) Knowledge of pregnancy danger signs

2.7.3. Operational Definition. Pregnancy risk perception means respondents' perception of their risk of developing pregnancy-related complications and their perceived severity of consequences related to pregnancy and labor complications [24].

2.7.4. Data Collection Tools. The tools were first prepared in English language and later translated into Amharic language and back to English language again to maintain its consistency and have four parts. These are the following indetails.

2.7.5. Tool I Sociodemographic Characteristics. Sociodemographic characteristics such as age, marital status, education, working status, place of residence, income, partner education, and knowing a woman who died in pregnancy. 2.7.6. Tool II Obstetric Characteristics. Obstetric characteristics such as total number of pregnancies, the total number of live birth, history of obstetric complications during a previous pregnancy or labor and delivery or postnatal period, history of current pregnancy danger signs, previous place of birth, and bad obstetric history.

2.7.7. Tool III Knowledge of Pregnancy Danger Signs. The client's knowledge of pregnancy danger signs is assessed as a factor for their perception of pregnancy risk. Knowledge about pregnancy danger signs (yes or no options for being familiar with each pregnancy danger sign) is the source of information about the pregnancy danger sign. This tool consists of 10 questions, which focus on general knowledge of pregnancy danger signs.

2.7.8. Tool IV Pregnancy Risk Perception Using the Health Belief Model. The client's pregnancy risk perception using health belief model constructs was assessed. Clients are asked a question about two constructs of the health belief model that ranges from five to twenty-five score for perceived susceptibility and seven to thirty-five score for perceived severity. The total scores were calculated from the combined questions ranging from twelve to sixty. The questions have five options (1 = strongly disagree, 2 = disagree, 3 = neutral, 4 = agree, and 5 = strongly agree [25].

(1) Data Collection Procedure. Data were collected from April 1 to 30, 2021. Eleven midwives who are not working at the study site were recruited and trained for data collection. One day of training on the objective of the study, methods of data collection procedures, and tools of data collection for data collectors and supervisors were given. Each data collector completed questionnaires by interviewing clients who came on the day and completed them within 30 days. Regular supervision was done during data collection.

(2) Data Quality Control Measuers. To ensure the quality of data, the training was given on the objective of the study, methods of data collection procedures, and tools of data collection by the researcher. A pretest was conducted in 5% of the sample on March 2021 Finote Selam health center. Cronbach's alphas (0.89 up to 0.90) were used to check the internal consistency and reliability of the item. The necessary modification was made for any ambiguity, confusion, and difficult words based on pretest data analysis. Each data collector and supervisor were checked before and immediately after collection for the completeness and consistency of the questionnaire.

(3) Data Processing and Analysis. The data was cleaned, coded and entered, and analyzed using Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS) version 20. Descriptive statistics such as frequency, percentage, standard deviation, and mean were used to characterize the participants in terms of sociodemographic variables, obstetric variables, and knowledge of pregnancy danger signs. A logistic regression model was fitted to assess the association between dependent and indeTABLE 1: Sociodemographic characteristics of pregnant women attending antenatal care at Jabi Tenhan District health centers in April 2021 (n = 421).

Variables	Category	Frequency	Percent	
	15-19	47	11.1	
	20-24	115	27.3	
4 ~~~	25-29	140	33.3	
Age	30-34	57	13.5	
	35-39	42	10	
	>39	20	4.8	
Deligion	Orthodox	395	93.8	
Keligioli	Muslim	26	6.2	
Marital status	Married	420	99.8	
	Divorced	1	0.2	
	Housewife	247	58.7	
	Employed	66	15.7	
Occupation	Merchant	74	17.6	
	Student	22	5.1	
	Daily labor	12	2.9	
Dasidanaa	Urban	181	43	
Residence	Rural	240	57	
	Yes	140	33.3	
	No	281	66.7	
	Illiterate	180	42.7	
Educational status	Primary school	85	20.2	
	Secondary school	93	22.1	
	College/university	63	15	
	Illiterate	233	55.3	
Partner educational level	Primary school	36	8.6	
	Secondary school	50	11.9	
	College/university	102	24.2	

pendent variables with a p value of ≤ 0.25 in the bivariable analysis that will be included in the multivariable analysis. The adjusted odds ratio together with 95% confidence intervals was computed, and the results with pvalue < 0.05 were considered to declare a result as significantly associated.

3. Results

3.1. Sociodemographic Characteristics. Four hundred twentyone pregnant women have completed the questionnaire making the response rate of the study 99.3%. The mean age of respondents was 26.99 + 6.22, and nearly one-third (33.3%) of respondents were aged between 25 and 29 years. All respondents were Amhara in ethnicity, and 93.8% were orthodox in religion. Almost all the respondents (99.8%) were married, and more than half (58.7%) of the respondents were housewives. Of the total study participants, 57% of respondents lived in rural areas. Concerning educational status, slightly more than one-third (42.7%) of respondents have no formal schooling (Table 1). 3.2. Obstetric Characteristics. Of 421 respondents, 47.5% were primigravida, and 48% were nulliparous. Of multigravida women, 52% had experienced obstetric complications in the previous pregnancy or labor or postpartum period, and the majority (64.3%) of respondents had no history of bad obstetric history (Table 2).

3.3. Knowledge of Pregnancy Danger Signs. Vaginal bleeding (87.9%) was the most common mentioned danger sign during pregnancy followed by loss of fetal movement (53.2%), the onset of labor before the expected date of delivery (52.2%), and persistent vomiting (48.4%). The least mentioned danger signs during pregnancy were convulsion/loss of consciousness (27.1%) (Table 3).

3.4. Pregnancy Risk Perception. Of the total respondents, nearly half of them, 48% (43.2% and 52.7%), had good pregnancy risk perception as shown in (Figure 2).

Concerning the perceived severity of pregnancy-related complications, 57.9% perceived that pregnancy and delivery problems would last a long time while 55.9% of respondents perceived that pregnancy complications would not threaten the relationship with their partner. The majority of the respondents (74.3%) perceived that their baby will be born prematurely. 32.8% of respondents strongly agree that their baby would not survive the pressure that comes with labor and delivery (Table 4).

3.5. Factors Affecting Pregnancy Risk Perception in Jabi Tehnan. In bivariable analysis, residence, own income, knowing a woman who died due to pregnancy-related complications, past obstetric complications, current pregnancy danger signs, the number of ANC visits, bad obstetric history, and awareness of pregnancy danger signs showed *p* value less than 0.25 making them eligible for multivariable analysis.

In multivariable analysis awareness of pregnancy danger signs, bad obstetric history, past obstetric complications, and knowing a woman who died due to pregnancy-related complications were associated with pregnancy risk perception. Those who know at least one pregnancy danger sign were 5.2 times (AOR: 95% CI = 5.22 : 2.46, 11.07) more likely to have good pregnancy risk perception than their counterparts. Respondents who had bad obstetric history were 2.2 times (AOR: 95% CI = 2.23 : 1.13, 4.41) more likely to have good pregnancy risk perception than their counterparts.

Respondents who had past obstetric complications were 3.44 times (AOR: 95% CI = 3.44 : 1.73, 6.83) more likely to have good pregnancy risk perception than their counterparts. Knowing women died due to pregnancy-related complications were 2.85 times (AOR: 95% CI = 2.85 : 1.45, 5.60) more likely to have good pregnancy risk perception than their counterparts (Table 5).

4. Discussion

A major finding of this study was that above half of pregnant women had significantly poor risk perception. Out of the total study subjects, 48% had a good pregnancy risk perception. The independent variables that affect pregnancy risk perception were history of obstetric complications, knowing

TABLE 2: Obstetric characteristics of pregnant women attending antenatal care at Jabi Tenhan District health centers in April 2021 (n = 421).

Variable	Category	Frequency	Percent
	Primigravida	200	47.5
Gravidity	Multigravida	169	40.1
	Grand multigravida	52	12.4
	Null	202	48
Parity	One	77	18.3
	Two up to four	112	26.6
	Five and above	30	7.1
Past obstetric	Yes	115	52
complications	No	106	48
Pregnancy	Yes	154	36.6
danger signs	No	267	63.4
Ded ab statuia bistarra	Yes	79	35.7
Bad obstetric history	No	142	64.3

TABLE 3: Knowledge of pregnancy danger signs among pregnant women attending antenatal care at Jabi Tehnan District health centers in April 2021 (n = 314).

Variables	Frequency (%)		
Awareness of pregnancy danger signs	Yes	No	
Vaginal bleeding	276 (87.9%)	38 (12.1%)	
Severe headache	126 (40.1%)	188 (59.9%)	
Persistent vomiting	152 (48.4%)	162 (51.6%)	
Swollen hand/face	146 (46.5%)	168 (53.5%)	
Severe abdominal pain	100 (31.8%)	214 (68.2%)	
Convulsion/loss of consciousness	85 (27.1%)	229 (72.9%)	
Blurred vision/dizziness	148 (47.1%)	166 (52.9%)	
Loss of fetal movement	167 (53.2%)	147 (46.8%)	
Water break before labor	141 (44.9%)	173 (55.1%)	
The onset of labor before the expected date of delivery	164 (52.2%)	150 (47.8%)	
Persistent fever	138 (43.9%)	176 (56.1%)	



FIGURE 2: Perceived severity of pregnancy-related complications among pregnant women attending antenatal care at Jabi Tenhan District health centers in April 2021 (n = 421).

TABLE 4: Pregnancy risk perception of pregnant women attending antenatal care at Jabi Tehnan District health centers, Ethiopia in April 2021 (n = 421).

(a)					
Frequency (%)					
Strongly disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly agree	(SD)
105 (24.9%)	41 (9.7%)	69 (16.5%)	171 (40.6%)	35 (8.3%)	2.98 (1.36)
71 (16.9%)	58 (13.7%)	47 (11.2%)	193 (45.8%)	52 (12.4%)	3.23 (1.31)
62 (14.7%)	55 (13.1%)	51 (12.1%)	163 (38.7%)	90 (21.4%)	3.39 (1.35)
84 (19.9%)	99 (23.5%)	56 (13.3%)	132 (31.4%)	50 (11.9%)	2.92 (1.35)
119 (28.3%)	78 (18.5%)	99 (23.5%)	100 (23.8%)	25 (5.9%)	2.61 (1.28)
	(a) Strongly disagree 105 (24.9%) 71 (16.9%) 62 (14.7%) 84 (19.9%) 119 (28.3%)	(a) F Strongly disagree 105 (24.9%) 41 (9.7%) 71 (16.9%) 58 (13.7%) 62 (14.7%) 55 (13.1%) 84 (19.9%) 99 (23.5%) 119 (28.3%) 78 (18.5%)	(a) Frequency (% Strongly disagree Disagree Neutral 105 (24.9%) 41 (9.7%) 69 (16.5%) 71 (16.9%) 58 (13.7%) 47 (11.2%) 62 (14.7%) 55 (13.1%) 51 (12.1%) 84 (19.9%) 99 (23.5%) 56 (13.3%) 119 (28.3%) 78 (18.5%) 99 (23.5%)	(a) Strongly disagree Trequency (%) Disagree Neutral Agree 105 (24.9%) 41 (9.7%) 69 (16.5%) 171 (40.6%) 71 (16.9%) 58 (13.7%) 47 (11.2%) 193 (45.8%) 62 (14.7%) 55 (13.1%) 51 (12.1%) 163 (38.7%) 84 (19.9%) 99 (23.5%) 56 (13.3%) 132 (31.4%) 119 (28.3%) 78 (18.5%) 99 (23.5%) 100 (23.8%)	(a) Frequency (%) Strongly disagree Disagree Neutral Agree Strongly agree 105 (24.9%) 41 (9.7%) 69 (16.5%) 171 (40.6%) 35 (8.3%) 71 (16.9%) 58 (13.7%) 47 (11.2%) 193 (45.8%) 52 (12.4%) 62 (14.7%) 55 (13.1%) 51 (12.1%) 163 (38.7%) 90 (21.4%) 84 (19.9%) 99 (23.5%) 56 (13.3%) 132 (31.4%) 50 (11.9%) 119 (28.3%) 78 (18.5%) 99 (23.5%) 100 (23.8%) 25 (5.9%)

(b)

Variables	Frequency (%)					Maan
(2)Perceived severity	Strongly disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly agree	(SD)
Pregnancy and delivery problems would last a long time	33 (7.8%)	44 (10.5%)	102 (24.2%)	167 (39.7%)	75 (17.8%)	3.49 (1.14)
Pregnancy complications would threaten the relationship with the partner	101 (24%)	57 (13.5%)	73 (17.3%)	103 (24.5%)	87 (20.7%)	3.04 (1.47)
Pregnancy-related complications can lead to permanent changes in life	46 (10.9%)	52 (12.4%)	64 (15.2%)	153 (36.3%)	106 (25.2%)	3.52 (1.29)
Pregnancy would not last to term	50 (11.9)	32 (7.6%)	56 (13.3%)	161 (38.2%)	122 (29%)	3.65 (1.30)
The baby would not survive the pressure that comes with labor and delivery	45 (10.7%)	47 (11.2%)	29 (6.9%)	162 (38.4%)	138 (32.8%)	3.72 (1.31)
If I got pregnancy-related complications, I fear I will not survive them	88 (20.9%)	61 (14.5%)	40 (9.5%)	128 (30.4%)	104 (24.7%)	3.24 (1.49)
Premature birth	36 (8.6%)	33 (7.8%)	39 (9.3%)	145 (34.4%)	168 (39.9%)	3.89 (1.25)

women who died due to pregnancy-related complications, bad obstetric history, and awareness of pregnancy danger signs.

In this study, 48% of pregnant women had a good pregnancy risk perception. Studies conducted at health and medical enters of Hamadan City in the west of Iran, 2 tertiary-care hospitals in Winnipeg, Manitoba, two major teaching hospitals of a city in Western Canada, and urban tertiary care hospital in western Canada showed that their mean score perception of pregnancy risk was below the midpoint of scales. It means that 100% of their study participants perceived that their susceptibility to pregnancy-related complications was mild. This difference might be due to that all the research was conducted using a visual analog scale tool measurement which is only administered for literate people, data collection tool difference, sociocultural difference, sample size difference, and time gap of the study [26–29].

This study showed that 48.9% (43.9% and 53.7%) of study subjects perceived that they were susceptible to pregnancy-related complications. This finding was higher than those studies conducted in Mandera County, Kenya (14.5%). This difference might be due to sociocultural differences of study participants, study population, and time gap of the study [25]. This research showed that 29.7% (25.7% and 34.4%) of pregnant women were perceived them to be susceptible to bad pregnancy outcomes, and 58.2% (53.7% and 62.9%) were perceived that they are susceptible to difficult pregnancy periods. This finding was consistent with the study conducted in Mandera County, Kenya (28.2%) [25].

In this study, 74.3% (70.5% and 78.4%) of pregnant women perceived that their baby will be born prematurely. This result was consistent with studies conducted in Mandera County, Kenya (75%). But studies conducted at Hamadan City, Iran and Winnipeg, Manitoba showed that mean score perceptions were below the midpoint of scales that indicate mild risk perception. This difference might be due to the difference of measurement scale, sociocultural difference, and time gap of the study [25, 26, 28].

This study revealed that 45.1% (40.1% and 49.9%) of pregnant women were perceived that the occurrence of pregnancy complications would not threaten their relationship with their partners, which is lower than a study conducted in Mandera County, Kenya (51%). This difference might be due to the sociocultural difference of study participants and the time gap of the study. Besides, it may be because Ethiopian women were honest with their husbands to keep their promise during the marriage [25].

TABLE 5: Factors	affecting pregnancy	risk perception o	of pregnant womer	n attending ante	enatal care at J	labi Tenhan D	istrict health o	centers in
April 2021 (n = 42	21).							

Variables		Pregnancy risk perception Good Poor		COR (95% CI)	AOR (95% CI)	p value
Knowing at least one programmy danger sign	Yes	166	148	2.21 (1.39, 3.49)	5.22 (2.46,11.07)	≤0.001
	No	36	71	1	1	
		54	25	2.49 (1.40, 4.43)	2.23 (1.13, 4.41)	0.022
	No	66	76	1	1	
Obstatuis secondisation	Yes	78	37	3.21 (1.85, 5.58)	3.44 (1.73, 6.83)	≤0.001
Obstetric complication		42	64	1	1	
		107	47	4.12 (2.69, 6.30)	1.78 (0.87, 3.65)	0.116
Having pregnancy danger signs	No	95	172	1	1	
	Yes	129	75	3.39 (2.27, 5.06)	2.85 (1.45, 5.60)	0.002
Knowing women died due to pregnancy-related complications	No	73	144	1	1	
	Fourth	49	43	2.52 (1.33, 4.79)	2.29 (0.78, 6.74)	0.131
Loval of ANC visit	Third	68	59	2.55 (1.39, 4.67)	0.95 (0.35, 2.57)	0.918
Level of ANC visit		62	66	2.08 (1.14, 3.80)	0.64 (0.22, 1.81)	0.39
	First	23	51	1	1	
		73	108	0.58 (0.39, 0.86)	0.29 (0.078, 1.11)	0.071
Kesidence	Rural	129	111	1	1	
Own income		60	80	0.73 (0.49,1.10)	3.52 (0.89, 13.80)	0.093
		142	139	1	1	

In this study, mean score perception pregnancy risk in pregnant women showed that they did not perceive that they are at risk for dying due to pregnancy-related complications. Studies conducted in Winnipeg, Manitoba, Western Canada, and the west of Iran had mild risk perception. This difference may be due to differences in measurement scale, data collection tool, sociocultural difference, and educational status of respondents [26–28].

Approximately, seventy percent of women perceived that their babies would die during labor and delivery. This result was inconsistent with studies conducted in western Canada and Hamadan City in the west of Iran. This difference may be due to differences in measurement scale, sociocultural difference, and educational status of respondents [26, 27].

Pregnant women who had awareness of pregnancy danger signs were more likely to have positive pregnancy risk perception than their counterparts. This may be due to knowledge about pregnancy danger signs clearing rumors about pregnancy-related complications and increasing their awareness about pregnancy-related complications.

According to this study, pregnant women with bad obstetric history were more likely to have positive pregnancy risk perception. This may be due to pregnant women being familiarized with the bad obstetric outcome and learning their susceptibility towards pregnancy-related complications.

In this research, one of the factors that affecting positively, pregnancy risk perception was knowing a woman who died due to pregnancy-related complications. This may be because these women learned the probability of developing pregnancy-related complications as well as the consequences of pregnancy-related complications from women's death due to pregnancy-related complications.

Generally, pregnant women with a bad obstetric history, past obstetric complication, and knew a woman who died due to pregnancy-related complications were associated with pregnancy risk perception. This result was similar to the concept of availability of the Heuristic approach which means that an individual makes a judgment about likelihood of an event based on experience or information from others [2]. In this study, experience and information from others are pregnant women with bad obstetric history and past obstetric complications and knew a woman who died due to pregnancy-related complications, respectively.

In this study, age of pregnant women is not significantly associated with pregnancy risk perception. But women aged < 18 years had statistically significantly associated with pregnancy risk perception than women aged 18 to 35 in studies conducted at health and medical centers of Hamadan City in the west of Iran [26]. This difference might be due to sampling size difference (421 pregnant women versus 240 pregnant women), age category difference (15-43 years versus <35 years), study participant difference (both nulliparous and multiparous versus only nulliparous), measurement scale differences (Likert scale versus visual analog scale), and the difference in data collection tools.

5. Conclusion

The pregnant women perceive that they were risky in developing pregnancy-related complications, and their consequences were low. Only forty-eight percent of pregnant women had good pregnancy risk perception. This is likely to have implications for medical care and pregnancy outcomes.

History of obstetric complication and bad obstetric history was associated with a higher degree of actual risk perception in pregnancy whilst women who knew the death of pregnant women due to pregnancy complication and knew at least one pregnancy danger signs were more likely to be concerned about risk.

5.1. Strength. The strength of this research is that it is the primary research conducted in Ethiopia and Africa. Besides, the research measures the outcome variables using the two components of the health belief model (perceived susceptibility and severity).

5.2. Limitations. This study was conducted within the clinical area. Therefore, the results cannot be generalized to a woman who failed to attend antenatal care. In addition, cultural influence of pregnancy risk perception should be identify using a qualitative research approach, but this research lacks it.

Abbreviations

- ANC: Antenatal care
- FANC: Focused antenatal care
- HBM: Health belief model
- MMR: Maternal mortality ratio
- PPH: -Postpartum hemorrhage.

Data Availability

The original data for this study are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

Ethical Approval

Ethical clearance was obtained from the Debre Markos University College of Health Sciences' ethical review committee. Besides, permission was obtained from the Jabi Tehnan District Health Bureau. All study participants were informed about the purpose of the study, and informed consent was obtained from each study participant orally.

Disclosure

I would like to acknowledge that this is my original work and for sure not published anywhere but posted as preprint [30].

Conflicts of Interest

The authors declare that there are no conflicts of interest regarding the publication of this paper.

Authors' Contributions

DA and AM wrote study design, data entry, data analysis, and result. AM was responsible of the data analysis, results' writing, preparing the manuscript, and reading and approving the final manuscript. DM collected and entered the data. WK, YD, YD, LB, FT, DM, AA, and BT managed the manuscript writing and read and approved the final manuscript.

Acknowledgments

We would like to thank the Hailu Alemu College for the financial support to this study and the Debre Markos University for granting permission to this study.

References

- C. Darker, "Risk perception," in *Encyclopedia of Behavioral Medicine*, M. D. Gellman and J. R. Turner, Eds., pp. 1689–1691, Springer, New York, 2013.
- [2] A. Tversky and D. Kahneman, "Judgment under uncertainty: heuristics and biases," *Science*, vol. 185, no. 4157, pp. 1124– 1131, 1974.
- [3] J. Jackson, N. Allum, and G. Gaskell, "Bridging levels of analysis in risk perception research: the case of the fear of crime," *Forum Qualitative Sozialforschung/Forum: Qualitative Social Research*, vol. 7, no. 1, 2006.
- [4] S. J. Atkinson and M. F. Farias, "Perceptions of risk during pregnancy amongst urban women in Northeast Brazil," *Social Science & Medicine*, vol. 41, no. 11, pp. 1577–1586, 1995.
- [5] M. Kowalewski, A. Jahn, and S. S. Kimatta, "Why do at-risk mothers fail to reach referral level? Barriers beyond distance and cost," *African Journal Of Reproductive Health*, vol. 4, no. 1, pp. 100–109, 2000.
- [6] P. D. Suplee, K. Dawley, and J. R. Bloch, "Tailoring peripartum nursing care for women of advanced maternal age," *Journal of Obstetric, Gynecologic & Neonatal Nursing*, vol. 36, no. 6, pp. 616–623, 2007.
- [7] M. Fraser and M. A. Cooper, "Myles text book for midwives," *Midwifery*, vol. 33, no. 3, p. 752, 2003.
- [8] Y. Agus, S. Horiuchi, and S. E. Porter, "Rural Indonesia women's traditional beliefs about antenatal care," *BMC Research Notes*, vol. 5, no. 1, pp. 1–8, 2012.
- [9] S. Gabrysch and O. M. Campbell, "Still too far to walk: literature review of the determinants of delivery service use," *BMC Pregnancy And Childbirth*, vol. 9, no. 1, pp. 1–18, 2009.
- [10] Y. Baral, K. Lyons, J. Skinner, and E. Van Teijlingen, "Determinants of skilled birth attendants for delivery in Nepal," *Kathmandu University Medical Journal*, vol. 8, no. 3, pp. 325–332, 2012.
- [11] B. N. Sianchapa, Knowledge and Perception of Women towards Danger Signs in Pregnancy in Choma District, 2012.
- [12] A. Fitwi, G. Buruh, M. Rejeu et al., Awareness and Perception of Husbands towards Obstetric Danger Signs in Northern Ethiopia, Researchsquare, 2020.
- [13] S. Nurgi, E. Tachbele, W. Dibekulu, and M. A. Wondim, "Knowledge, attitude and practice of obstetric danger signs during pregnancy in Debre Berhan, Ethiopia," *Health Science Journal*, vol. 11, no. 6, pp. 1–7, 2017.

- [14] M. Hiluf and M. Fantahun, "Birth preparedness and complication readiness among women in Adigrat town, North Ethiopia," *Ethiopian Journal of Health Development*, vol. 22, no. 1, pp. 14–20, 2008.
- [15] W. Mekonnen and A. Gebremariam, "Causes of maternal death in Ethiopia between 1990 and 2016: systematic review with meta-analysis," *Ethiopian Journal of Health Development*, vol. 32, no. 4, 2018.
- [16] Organization WH, International Statistical Classification of Diseases and Related Health Problems: Tabular List, World Health Organization, 2004.
- [17] L. Say, D. Chou, A. Gemmill et al., "Global causes of maternal death: a WHO systematic analysis," *The Lancet Global Health*, vol. 2, no. 6, pp. e323–e333, 2014.
- [18] World Health Organization, Trends in maternal mortality 2000 to 2017: estimates by WHO, UNICEF, UNFPA, World Bank Group and the United Nations Population Division, World Health Organization, 2009.
- [19] A. Conde-Agudelo, J. M. Belizán, and C. Lammers, "Maternalperinatal morbidity and mortality associated with adolescent pregnancy in Latin America: cross-sectional study," *American Journal Of Obstetrics And Gynecology*, vol. 192, no. 2, pp. 342– 349, 2005.
- [20] H. E. Knight, A. Self, and S. H. Kennedy, "Why are women dying when they reach hospital on time? A systematic review of the 'third delay'," *PloS One*, vol. 8, no. 5, article e63846, 2013.
- [21] S. Thapa, Risk Perception of Woman on Pregnancy, Childbirth, and the Postpartum Phase and the Association of the First Delay: A Case of Rural Nepal: Master's Thesis, Wageningen University, The Netherlands, 2014.
- [22] Central Statistical Agency, 2007 Population And Housing Census Of Ethiopia, 2012.
- [23] M. Meseret, M. Shibabaw, and G. Tsegaye, "Determinants of tuberculosis among adults in Jabi Tehnan district, West Gojjam zone, Northwest Ethiopia: a case control study," *International Journal of Public Health & Safety*, vol. 2, no. 3, article 1000128, 2017.
- [24] S. Thapa, Risk perception of woman on pregnancy, childbirth and the postpartum phase and the association to the first delay: a case of rural Nepal (Doctoral dissertation, M. Sc. Thesis, the Wageningen University), Wageningen University, 2014.
- [25] M. C. Muthingu, Uptake Of Focused Antenatal Care Services Among Women Of Reproductive Age In Nakuru County, Kenya, 2019.
- [26] Z. Taghizadeh, M. A. Cheraghi, A. Kazemnejad, J. Pooralajal, and S. Aghababaei, "Difference in perception of pregnancy risk in two maternal age groups," *Journal Of Clinical And Diagnostic Research: JCDR*, vol. 11, no. 5, pp. QC09– QC12, 2017.
- [27] A. Gupton, M. Heaman, and L. W. K. Cheung, "Complicated and uncomplicated pregnancies: women's perception of risk," *Journal of Obstetric, Gynecologic, & Neonatal Nursing*, vol. 30, no. 2, pp. 192–201, 2001.
- [28] H. Bayrampour, M. Heaman, K. A. Duncan, and S. Tough, "Comparison of perception of pregnancy risk of nulliparous women of advanced maternal age and younger age," *Journal* of Midwifery & Women's Health, vol. 57, no. 5, pp. 445–453, 2012.

- [29] M. Heaman, J. Beaton, A. Gupton, and J. Sloan, "A comparison of childbirth expectations in high-risk and low-risk pregnant women," *Clinical Nursing Research*, vol. 1, no. 3, pp. 252– 265, 1992.
- [30] D. A. Alemu, A. M. M. Zegeye, W. K. Dessie, L. B. Zeleke, and D. M. Belay, Pregnancy Risk Perception and Associated Factors among Pregnant Women Attending Antenatal Care at Health Centers in Jabitehnan District, Amhara, Northwest Ethiopia, 2021.