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1. Introduction

Human malaria is still the most important parasitic disease globally; its transmission occurs in all six regions of the World Health Organization (WHO). For instance, 324 million people are at risk in the Eastern Mediterranean region (EMRO) [1]. *Anopheles* mosquitoes transmit various *Plasmodium* species such as *falciparum* and *vivax* that cause malaria in humans [2]. *Anopheles stephensi* Liston, is one of the most important malarial vectors in the Middle East and South Asia [3, 4]. It has recently expanded to new parts of Ethiopia, Djibouti, Lakshadweep, and Sri Lanka. In addition, the spread of the species to Sudan, Somalia, Laos, Cambodia, Vietnam, and the Maldives has also raised concerns among public health officials [5, 6].

Insecticide-treated nets (ITNs) effectively control malaria in children and adults living in areas with persistent malaria transmission. Residual spraying (IRS) and space spraying of chemical insecticides are also recommended. However, in endemic regions and regions where vectors are exophilic and exophagic, repellents are recommended [7, 8]. DEET is the most common insect repellent; however, studies have
reported that it irritates the eyes or skin and has neurological side effects [9]. In addition, when a repellent is exposed to the skin, about 3–19% of them may be absorbed by the body, leading to gastrointestinal side effects [10, 11]. Therefore, the development of new natural repellents is crucial.

Essential oils (EOs) with a wide range of biological effects, such as larvicidal, repellent, and adulticidal, have received more attention [12, 13]. However, their efficacies should be improved. In recent years, formulating EOs in nanoformulations has proposed a practical solution to meeting the challenges [14–16]. The nanoliposomes are microscopic vesicles that closely resemble a cell membrane’s structure and could carry different materials in their walls or interiors [17, 18]. In addition, features such as low intrinsic toxicity, biodegradability, amphipathic properties (hydrophilic and lipophilic), and lack of immunogenicity have made nanoliposomes an important carrier [19, 20].

In our previous study, the repellent effects of chitosan nanoparticles containing Cinnamomum zeylanicum EO (0.5%) were investigated; however, the efficacy was insufficient (31 min) [21]. Therefore, this study attempted to lengthen the complete protection time (CPT) by preparing the nanoliposomal gel containing two amounts of the C. zeylanicum EO (0.5 and 2.5%).

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Materials. The C. zeylanicum EO was purchased from Green Plant of Life Pharmaceutical Co., Iran. Carboxymethyl cellulose (CMC), egg yolk lecithin, wool fat cholesterol, and absolute ethanol were purchased from Merck Chemicals Co., Germany. DEET 40% was purchased from Reyhan Naghsh Jahan Pharmaceutical Co., Iran. It was diluted to 2.5% using ethanol.

Five to seven-day-old unfed female An. stephensi mosquitoes were reared in insectarium conditions using the WHO recommended guideline for the arm-in-cage method with a slight modification [22]. The complete protection time (CPT) as the outcome is defined as the interval between the samples’ topical application (LiopGel 0.0, 0.5, and 2.5% and DEET 2.5%) and the first mosquito landing.

The volunteers’ hands were washed with odorless soap and water, which was soaked with 70% alcohol, and dried. Nor did they smoke or use perfume, cream, and lotion for 12 hours before. Fingers up to the wrists were protected with any insecticide and were artificially fed with blood to lay eggs; defibrinated sheep’s blood was poured on glass and drawn with a parafilm and was placed upside down on the cage. A container of hot water was placed on it to adjust the temperature; thus, artificial blood-feeding was performed. In addition to two blood meals each week, 10% sugar or honey water solutions were used to feed the adults. Forty-eight hours after feeding the mosquitoes, two-thirds of a small porcelain bowl (5 ×12 cm) was filled with clean water and was placed in the mosquito cage to allow for oviposition. The eggs were transferred to larval containers and fed with fish food. After the emergence of the required population, they were used for repellent tests.

2.2. Preparation and Characterizations of Nanoliposomal Gels Containing the C. zeylanicum EO. Nanoliposomes containing 0.5 and 2.5% C. zeylanicum EOs were prepared using the ethanol injection method. Lecithin, cholesterol, and C. zeylanicum EO (at two amounts) were first dissolved at proper amounts in absolute ethanol (2000 rpm, room temperature, and overnight). Then, one mL of the obtained solution was added dropwise to 4 mL of distilled water; the concentrations of lecithin and cholesterol were fixed at 3 and 1% w/v, and the EO was fixed at 0.5 and 2.5% w/v. Finally, the mixture was stirred for 40 minutes to stabilize the formed nanoliposomes (Figure 1).

The particle size of the nanoliposomes was investigated by a dynamic light scattering type apparatus (K-One Nano, Ltd, Korea). Particle size distribution was also calculated in the equation; d90 − d10/d50, where d is the diameter and 90, 10, and 50 are percentiles of the particles with a lower diameter than these values.

The attenuated total reflection-Fourier transform infrared (ATR-FTIR) analysis investigated the successful loading of the EO into nanoliposomes. The nanoliposomes and free nanoliposomes (without EO) were first centrifuged for 60 min at 12000 g (4°C). Then, the obtained pellets were kept at room temperature for three days to reduce their moisture and subject to the instrument (Bruker Company, Model Tensor II, USA). The spectra were recorded in the range of 400–4000 cm−1.

Furthermore, CMC as a thickening agent was added to the prepared free/nanoliposomes containing 0.5 and 2.5% EOs to increase the viscosity and facilitate topical use. The prepared gels were named LipoGel 0.0%, LipoGel 0.5%, and LipoGel 2.5%, respectively; they were considered for stability and repellent tests. The gels were held at two temperatures (4 and 26°C) for six months and checked for sedimentation, crenation, and biphasic.

2.3. Repellent Assay. Repellent assays were performed under insectarium conditions using the WHO recommended guideline for the arm-in-cage method with a slight modification [22]. The complete protection time (CPT) as the outcome is defined as the interval between the samples’ topical application (LiopGel 0.0, 0.5, and 2.5% and DEET 2.5%) and the first mosquito landing.

For testing, cubic wooden cages 40 × 40 × 40 cm were used; they were covered with a fine mesh and had a cloth sleeve at the front. The treated volunteers’ forearms were placed (motionless) in a cage containing 200 hungry female mosquitoes (without access to blood and sugar water) for 3 min to ensure that the mosquitoes were motivated to land and bite. The same procedure was then consistently repeated at every half-hour interval. However, after the first repetition
and estimation of the CPT, exposure to mosquitoes was continuously carried out for a final 30 min.

### 3. Results

#### 3.1. Particle Size and Particle Size Distribution of the Nanoliposomes

TEM images and DLS profiles of the nanoliposomes containing 0.5 and 2.5% *C. zeylanicum* EOs with $119 \pm 6$ and $195 \pm 9$ nm sizes are depicted in Figures 2 and 3. The distributions of the particles were calculated 0.98 and 0.96, respectively, possessing narrow particle size distribution as values that were lower than 1 [23]. Besides, both particles were spherical.

#### 3.2. Physicochemical Properties of the Nanoliposomal Gel

No sedimentation, cremation, or phase separations were observed after six months of storage of the gels (LipoGel 0.0%, LipoGel 0.5%, and LipoGel 2.5%) at two temperatures (4 and 26°C).

The ATR-FTIR spectrum of *C. zeylanicum* EOs, free liposomes, nanoliposome containing EO, and the nanoliposomal gel containing EO are shown in Figure 4 (A, B, C, and D). The spectrum of *C. zeylanicum* EOs displays a broad peak at $3468 \text{ cm}^{-1}$; it is allocated to OH related to alcohol and phenols in EO. The bands at $3061$ and $3028 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ relate to $\text{C-H}$, the band at $2923 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ shows $\text{CH}$ stretching vibration of alkanes, and the bands at $2812$ and $2740 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ indicate C-H aldehyde. Besides, the strong peak at $1728 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ represents the aldehyde of saturated fat, and the peaks at $1671$ and $1624 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ correspond to the stretching vibration of an aldehyde carbonyl C=O bond. These main and strong peaks revealed a high amount of cinnamaldehyde and aldehydes in the *C. zeylanicum* EO, which agrees with the GC-MS analysis report of the used EO [24].

The ATR-FTIR spectrum of free liposomes showed the bands at $2981$ and $2904 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ attributed to C-C-H stretching, the band at $1454 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ displays CH$_2$ bending, and the characteristic absorption at around $1385 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ showed CH$_3$ bending. The band at $1274 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ demonstrated the presence of the P=O group in lecithin. The strong peak at $1044 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ relates to C-O stretching.

The ATR-FTIR spectrum of nanoliposomes containing the *C. zeylanicum* EO confirmed that the EO successfully loaded in the nanoliposome wall. The strong and broadband at $3000$ to $3500 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ related to OH due to intermolecular hydrogen bonding showed the EO encapsulated in nanoliposomes. The sharp and new peak at $1639 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ represents the aldehyde of saturated fat, and the peaks at $1671$ and $1624 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ correspond to the stretching vibration of an aldehyde carbonyl C=O bond. These main and strong peaks revealed a high amount of cinnamaldehyde and aldehydes in the *C. zeylanicum* EO, which agrees with the GC-MS analysis report of the used EO [24].

The ATR-FTIR spectrum of LipoGel showed the broadband at $3000$ to $3500 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ related to OH due to intermolecular hydrogen bonding showed the EO encapsulated in nanoliposomes. The sharp and new peak at $1639 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ represents the aldehyde of saturated fat, and the peaks at $1671$ and $1624 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ correspond to the stretching vibration of an aldehyde carbonyl C=O bond. These main and strong peaks revealed a high amount of cinnamaldehyde and aldehydes in the *C. zeylanicum* EO, which agrees with the GC-MS analysis report of the used EO [24].
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around 2924 and 2853 cm\(^{-1}\) correspond to C-H stretching due to the *C. zeylanicum* EO and CMC. The characteristic band at about 2359 and 2342 cm\(^{-1}\) can be related to COO\(^-\) and OH bending in CMC. The peak at about 1731 and 1674 cm\(^{-1}\) can be related to C=O stretching representing carbonyl groups in the *C. zeylanicum* EO and CMC. The weak peak at about 1575 cm\(^{-1}\) displayed carboxylate groups stretching vibrations. The band at about 1272 cm\(^{-1}\) related to the presence of the P=O group. The sharp and strong peak at 1044 cm\(^{-1}\) demonstrated C-O stretching.

3.3. Repellent Effects of the Nanoliposomal Gels Containing the *C. zeylanicum* EO. In Figure 5, LipoGel 2.5% with CPT 303 ± 10 min was substantially more potent than all samples \((p < 0.001)\). The second high-efficacy sample was 2.5% DEET with CPT 242 ± 12. Besides, LipoGel 0.5% with CPT
35 ± 6 min was significantly more potent than LipoGel 0.0% with CPT 18 ± 4 min (p = 0.015).

4. Discussion

The chemical composition of the used C. zeylanicum EO was investigated using gas chromatography-mass Spectrometry and reported in our previous study [24]. As a result, cinnamaldehyde (62%), linalool (7%), transcaryophyllene (7%), transcinnamyl acetate (4%), and benzyl benzoate (3%) were identified as five major compounds [24].

In the current study, nanoliposomes containing the C. zeylanicum EO were first prepared using the ethanol injection method. Due to the high solubility of fats in ethanol, the preparation of nanoliposomes containing higher amounts of EOs than polymeric nanoparticles is possible in this method [25, 26]. Besides, due to the hydrophobicity of EOs, nanoliposomes have a higher loading capacity than chitosan nanoparticles [27, 28]. However, due to the low viscosity of the prepared nanoliposomes, its topical application was a challenge; by adding a thickening agent and transforming them into a gel, the challenge was thus met. Interestingly, the nanoliposomal gel containing the 2.5% C. zeylanicum EO with CPT 303 min was achieved under the required condition of 2 h CPT of the Environmental Protection Agency regulation to register as a repellent [29].

Furthermore, some reports on using other nanoformulations as mosquito repellents have been published, e.g., solid-lipid nanoparticles containing Zataria multiflora (1%) with CPT 95 min against An. stephensi [30]. In another work, chitosan nanoparticles containing the C. zeylanicum EO (0.5%) were applied against An. stephensi with a CPT of 31 min [21]. Another paper reported protection times of nanoemulsion containing Mentha piperita and Eucalyptus globulus EOs at 4.17 h and 5.21 h against An. stephensi [31]. Noted, those nanoformulations contain 50% EOs, so achieving high protection time is predictable; however, to commercialize such nanoformulations, which contain large amounts of EOs, their pungent odor must be manipulated. Another research reported protection times nanosized microemulsions containing 5, 10, and 15% Eucalyptus globulus EOs with 82, 135, and 170 min [32]. In the mentioned research, a mixture of mosquitoes was used: Culex pipiens (62%), Ochlerotatus caspius (22%), Culex pusillus (10%), and Culex tritaeniorhynchus (6%).

5. Conclusion

The nanoliposomal gel containing 0.5 and 2.5% C. zeylanicum EOs and the free liposomal gel (LipoGel 0.0%) were prepared. The complete protection times of them and DEET (2.5%) were investigated against An. stephensi using the arm-in-cage method. LipoGel 0.0% did not show proper efficacy (18 ± 4 min); however, the gel containing the 2.5% EO (LipoGel 2.5%) was significantly more potent than DEET 2.5%. Therefore, it could be considered for further investigations under field conditions and other important mosquitoes.
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