

Research Article

Bacterial Etiology of Urinary Tract Infection and Antibiogram Profile in Children Attending Debre Tabor Comprehensive Specialized Hospital, Northwest Ethiopia

Teklehaimanot Kiros ^(b), ¹ Melaku Zeleke, ¹ Tahir Eyayu ^(b), ¹ Lemma Workineh ^(b), ¹ Shewaneh Damtie ^(b), ¹ Tesfaye Andualem ^(b), ¹ Tegenaw Tiruneh ^(b), ¹ Ayenew Assefa, ¹ Sisay Getu, ¹ Tazeb Molla, ² and Tsehaynesh Gebreyesus³

 ¹Department of Medical Laboratory Sciences, College of Health Sciences and School of Medicine, Debre Tabor University, Debre Tabor, Ethiopia
 ²Debre Tabor College of Health Sciences, Debre Tabor, Ethiopia
 ³Amhara Public Health Institute, Bahrdar, Ethiopia

Correspondence should be addressed to Teklehaimanot Kiros; tkt0932@gmail.com

Received 8 July 2022; Revised 17 July 2023; Accepted 25 July 2023; Published 1 August 2023

Academic Editor: Fouzia Sadiq

Copyright © 2023 Teklehaimanot Kiros et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Background. Bacterial urinary tract infections are important public health problems in children. This study was conducted to identify the bacterial agents of urinary tract infections and antibiogram patterns in children. Methods. A hospital-based crosssectional study including 220 children was carried out between November 15, 2021, and March 10, 2022. Simple random sampling was used to enroll participants. The sociodemographic and clinically pertinent information was gathered using a semi-structured questionnaire. Every participant in the study who was ≤15 years old gave clean-catch midstream urine. Urine samples were inoculated onto a cystine lactose electrolyte-deficient agar using a calibrated inoculating loop with a 0.001 ml capacity and then incubated aerobically for 24 hours at 37°C. Subculturing for significant bacteriuria was done on MacConkey and blood agar. Gram staining, biochemical assays, and colony characteristics were used for bacterial identification. The disc diffusion method developed by Kirby and Bauer was used for antimicrobial susceptibility testing. SPSS software version 25 was used for data entry and analysis. To find the risk factors, bivariate and multivariate logistic regression analyses were performed. An association was deemed statistically significant if the p value at the 95 percent confidence interval was less than 0.05. Results. In this study, the majority (50.5%) of the study participants were males. The mean age of the study participants was 6 ± 0.91 years. It was found that 31.8% of children had urinary tract infections. The most prevalent urinary pathogens among the isolates were E. coli (27.1%) and S. aureus (18.6%). Approximately 56% of the participants were infected with multidrug-resistant pathogens. Additionally, compared to children who have never had a urinary tract infection, children with a history of infection had 1.04 (95 percent confidence interval (CI): 0.39, 2.75) times higher risk of infection. Conclusion. This study has shown an alarming increase in the prevalence of pediatric urinary tract infections which warrants further investigation into multidrug-resistant bacterial infection.

1. Introduction

Urinary tract infections (UTIs) caused by bacteria are among the most prevalent nosocomial illnesses. They are responsible for rising mortality, morbidity, and medical costs over the world [1, 2]. Infection includes the kidneys, bladder, ureters, and urethra. The bladder and kidneys become infected when uropathogenic bacteria from the skin or rectum pass via the urethra. It is a common infection in pediatric patients and can cause various symptoms such as frequent urination and pain or burning during urination [3, 4]. The infection site, complication status, and environment where the infection was obtained are considered for classification. According to epidemiological characteristics, it can also be classified as a communityacquired UTI or a healthcare-associated UTI [1, 3, 5].

Bacterial urinary infections are responsible for more than 150 million cases worldwide [6]. According to estimates, the burden of UTI is significant in terms of healthcare expenses and lost productivity. The annual overall communal costs are substantial and amount to about US \$3.5 billion [1]. Data from developing countries have shown that nearly 10% of children with febrile illnesses have UTI but can be extended to 8-35% among malnourished children [7]. Two cross-sectional studies done in 2017 and 2019 from Nepal [8, 9] have shown a prevalence of 15.88% and 57%, respectively. Similarly, one study in India also reported 48% prevalence [10]. According to the global outlook for 2015, it is one of the most prevalent causes of febrile illness in children, with a global prevalence of 2-20% [11, 12]. Globally, about 8% and 2% of girls and boys, respectively, have experienced at least one episode of UTI by the age of 7 years [11, 13]. This can be associated with high mortality, morbidity, and long-term complications such as renal scarring, hypertension, and chronic renal failure [12, 14]. Moreover, pediatric UTI is becoming underdiagnosed, primarily because there are no clear indications or symptoms. According to estimates, pediatric patients experience a 50% miss rate for infections (including silent and symptomatic cases) [3, 15].

Both Gram-positive and Gram-negative bacteria are involved in the infection process. The most common causative agents for both uncomplicated and complicated urinary infection include Escherichia coli, Klebsiella pneumoniae, Staphylococcus saprophyticus, Enterococcus faecalis, Proteus mirabilis, Pseudomonas aeruginosa, and Staphylococcus aureus [5]. A study in Iran [16] has shown that uropathogenic E. coli was the most common isolate (51.5%) followed by Klebsiella spp. (16.8%) and Enterococcus spp. (9.9%). Another study conducted in Nepal [12] revealed that E. coli was the most predominant etiologic agent (53%) followed by E. faecalis (22%), K. pneumoniae (7%), and S. aureus (7%). Similarly, a study conducted in Greece [17] reported that 170 (76.9%) E. coli followed by 17 (7.7%) Proteus spp., 15 (6.8%) Klebsiella spp., 9 (4.1%) P. aeruginosa, 4 (1.8%) E. faecalis, 2 (0.9%) Enterobacter spp., and 2 (0.9%) Morganella morganii were the pathogens most frequently found.

The most serious issue with regard to public health is antimicrobial resistance (AMR). It has the potential to reduce the effectiveness of antibacterial medicines. Infections especially those brought on by drug-resistant microbes also raise morbidity, death, and healthcare expenditures [18, 19]. Children receive a disproportionately high amount of antibiotics compared to adults, which makes them vulnerable to the rising AMR issue [20]. Accurate diagnosis and prompt prescription of antimicrobial drugs are of the utmost importance and a top priority in the prevention and control of AMR transmission dynamics in healthcare settings [11, 12, 21].

Controlling infections necessitates research into the risk factors for UTI in children. Socioeconomic and clinical or treatment-related factors are among the others. Consequently, addressing these issues enhances the management and treatment of UTI in children [1, 5, 7]. There has not been much research done in Ethiopia on the bacterial uropathogens and the patterns of antibiotic resistance in children. Only fewer published studies have shown a prevalence of 27.5% [22], 15.9% [23], 26.45% [24], and 16.7% [7], respectively. To our knowledge, there is no published information on the bacterial profile, antimicrobial susceptibility patterns, and the risk factors connected in the study area. AMR is a very dynamic phenomenon and a quickly growing global public health concern. Generating local epidemiological and clinical data could assist to precisely diagnose and select the best treatment options. Moreover, the results of this study should assist public health experts in making an informed decision over empiric therapy.

2. Methods and Materials

2.1. Study Area, Design, and Period. A hospital-based crosssectional study was conducted from November 15/2021 to March 10/2022 among pediatric patients attending Debre Tabor Comprehensive Specialized Hospital (DTCSH), Northwest Ethiopia. Debre Tabor is located in the southwest of the Gondar Zone in the Amhara Region. It is about 666 kilometers away from Addis Ababa, the capital city of Ethiopia. The town has a latitude and longitude of 11°51'N 38°1′E, respectively, with an elevation of 2706 meters above sea level. DTCSH is currently providing a comprehensive service in collaboration with Debre Tabor University, College of Health Sciences, and School of Medicine. It is serving more than one million of the population coming to seek health services with an annual average client flow of 650,531. The hospital has the capacity to hold more than 400 beds, has more than 250 healthcare providers, and has more than 100 administrative and technical staff. It is providing a service for a large number of people coming from the surrounding zones for both outpatient and inpatient services (emergency, medical, surgical, obstetric/gynecologic, neonatal intensive care, pediatric, and orthopaedic). Based on the information from the hospital's health management and information system, the hospital is currently providing pediatric services for more than 250 children daily.

2.2. Source Population. The source population included all children who were attending DTCSH during the study period.

2.3. Study Population. All pediatric patients ≤ 15 years old who were clinically suspected of having a UTI during the specified study period comprised the study population.

2.4. Eligibility Criteria

2.4.1. Inclusion Criteria. All pediatric patients who were clinically suspected of UTI, having age ≤ 15 years old, and those willing to participate in the study based on their family consent were included for bacteriological investigation. Moreover, children with positive urinalysis, especially for

nitrite test, leukocyte esterase, and protein, were included. Furthermore, children with indications of pyuria (presence of \geq 5 WBCs per high-power field) were included in the study.

2.4.2. Exclusion Criteria. Pediatric patients who have recently received antimicrobial treatment, are presently taking antibiotics, and whose parents/guardians refused to give their agreement for their kid to participate in the study were excluded from the study. Additionally, children who were critically ill and mentally incapacitated, absent during the time of data collection, and those whose medical records were incomplete were excluded.

2.4.3. Sample Size Determination and Sampling Technique. The required sample size for this study was determined based on the equation used for the estimation of a single population proportion formula = $[(Z\alpha/2)^2P (1-P)/d^2)]$ by taking the prevalence of 16.7% [7], using a 95% confidence interval (95% CI; $Z\alpha/2 = 1.96$) and the margin of error (d = 0.05). By substituting the above values, the sample size was approximately 214. By adding a 10% non-response rate (214 * 0.1 = 21), the total sample size required for the study was 235. Regarding the sampling method, the study participants were chosen using a simple random sampling technique. Approximately, 50 kids on average visited each day to receive care, and a third of them simultaneously provided urine for urinalysis and urine culture.

2.5. Study Variables

2.5.1. Dependent Variables

(i) Prevalence and antimicrobial susceptibility patterns.

2.5.2. Independent Variables

(i) Age, sex, residence, patient setting, duration of hospitalization, history of catheterization, previous history of UTI, previous exposure to antibiotics, presence of chronic disease, and presence of malnutrition.

2.5.3. Operational Definition

- (i) *Sensitive* (*S*): bacterial isolates are inhibited by the usually achievable concentration of antimicrobial agents [25].
- (ii) Intermediate (I): bacterial isolates with an antimicrobial agent of minimum inhibitory concentration that approach usually attainable blood and tissue levels and for which response rates may be lower than those for susceptible isolates [25].
- (iii) *Resistant (R)*: bacterial isolates uninhabited by the usually achievable concentration of the agent with normal dosage [25].

(iv) Multidrug resistance (MDR): when a bacterium is simultaneously non-susceptible to three or more drugs belonging to different classes of antibiotics [26].

2.6. Data Collection Methods

2.6.1. Sociodemographic and Clinical Data Collection. Prior to the collection of sociodemographic and clinically pertinent data, all families, care givers, and guardians of children received verbal and written participant information. Then, sociodemographic data and other pertinent clinical features were gathered using a semi-structured and pretested questionnaire. The questionnaire was initially developed in English, translated into Amharic, and then returned to English to confirm its accuracy, comprehensiveness, simplicity, coherence, and clarity. Additionally, 10% of the questions were pretested in Addis Zemen Primary Hospital prior to the study's launch. Then, taking into account the test results from the respondents, minor tweaks were made.

2.7. Laboratory Investigation

2.7.1. Specimen Collection, Handling, Processing, and Transport. 15 patients were not permitted to participate because they refused to give their consent. Prior to sample collection, the families and guardians were given the necessary instructions on how to collect a clean-catch midstream urine specimen for urinalysis and urine culture. Participants in the study and their families were also urged to supply urine samples before beginning antibacterial medication because even one round of antibiotics can have an impact on a urine culture. Since there was a high chance of contamination, urine samples collected using a collecting bag or pad were disregarded [27]. Then, 5-10 ml of urine samples was taken from each research subject using sterile, screw-capped, wide-mouth containers and labeled with the patient's medical identification number [28]. The urine sample was subsequently subjected to bacteriological testing using standard operating procedures [7, 23, 29].

2.7.2. Urine Culture. Clean-voided midstream urine was employed in this investigation to identify the urinary isolates. In short, urine samples were inoculated into cystine lactose electrolyte-deficient (CLED, Oxoid, Basingstoke, Hampshire, England) agar using a calibrated sterile plastic loop with a capacity of 0.001 ml and incubated in the aerobic atmosphere at 37° C for 24 hours. Colonies were then counted to see if there was any major bacteriuria present. In actual use, 1 μ l loop (0.01 ml capacity) was utilized to detect colony counts between 100 and 1,000 CFU/ml, whereas a 10 μ l loop (0.001 ml) was employed to detect colony counts larger than 1,000 CFU/ml.

In positive cultures for a $1 \mu l$ loop, one colony equals 1,000 CFU/ml, and for a $10 \mu l$ loop, one colony equals 100 CFU/ml. Based on these scenarios, the maximum readable using the $1 \mu l$ loop was 10^5 CFU/ml and the

maximum readable on the 10 μ l (0.01 ml) loop was 10⁴ CFU/ ml [30]. Hence, colony count yielding bacterial growth of $\geq 10^5$ CFU/ml of urine was considered significant bacteriuria. Similarly, all positive urine cultures with significant bacteriuria were then subcultured to MacConkey agar (Oxoid, Basingstoke, Hampshire, England) and 5% sheep blood agar (Oxoid, Basingstoke, Hampshire, England) [7, 22, 23]. Isolate identification to species level was done by their colony characteristics (size, shape, turbidity, and hemolysis), Gram staining, and pattern of biochemical profiles. The biochemical test was conducted to assess indole production, H2S production, lactose fermentation in TSI agar, citrate utilization, motility test, urease test, bile solubility, and lysine utilization in lysine decarboxylase(LDC) agar [28]. An oxidase test was also performed for other Gram-negative rods. On the contrary, the Gram-positive bacteria were identified using catalase and coagulase tests.

2.7.3. Antibiotic Susceptibility Testing (AST). According to the Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI), the Kirby–Bauer disc diffusion technique was used for the antimicrobial susceptibility testing [25]. A loop full of three to five isolated colonies with identical colony morphology was collected from a pure culture, transferred to a tube holding four to five milliliters of normal saline, and gently mixed until it produced a homogenous suspension. The size of the inoculum was then standardized by adjusting the suspension's turbidity to a 0.5 McFarland standard.

A sterile cotton swab was then dipped into the suspension, and the excess was removed by gentle rotation of the swab against the surface of the tube. The swab was then used to distribute the bacteria evenly over the entire surface of Mueller–Hinton agar (MHA, Oxoid, Basingstoke, Hampshire, England). The inoculated plates are left at room temperature to dry for 3–5 minutes. Then, with the aid of sterile forceps, antibiotic discs were placed on the surface of MHA.

The following antibiotic discs obtained from Oxoid (Mast Diagnostics, UK) were used against Gram-negative bacteria isolates: amoxicillin-clavulanate acid (AMC = 20/ $10 \,\mu g$), ampicillin (AMP = $10 \,\mu g$), ceftriaxone (CTR = $30 \,\mu g$), chloramphenicol (CHL = $30 \mu g$), ciprofloxacin (CIP = $5 \mu g$), gentamicin (CN = $10 \mu g$), meropenem (MER = $10 \mu g$), nitrofurantoin (NIT = $300 \mu g$), ceftazidime (CAZ = $30 \mu g$), tetracycline $(TET = 30 \,\mu g),$ and trimethoprimsulfamethoxazole (SXT = $1.25/23.75 \,\mu$ g). Similarly, for bacteria isolates, chloramphenicol Gram-positive (CHL = $30 \mu g$), ciprofloxacin (CIP = $5 \mu g$), gentamicin (CN = $10 \mu g$), nitrofurantoin (NIT = $300 \mu g$), tetracycline (TET = $30 \mu g$), trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole (SXT = 1.25/23.75 μ g), penicillin (PEN = 10 units), clindamycin (CLN = $2 \mu g$), ampicillin (AMP = $10 \mu g$), and erythromycin $(ERY = 15 \mu g)$ were used for drug sensitivity testing. The plates were inverted and incubated in the aerobic atmosphere at 37°C for 24 hours. Diameters of the zone of inhibition around the discs were measured by a ruler, and the isolates were classified as sensitive, intermediate, and resistant as per the CLSI guideline [25].

2.8. Data Quality Assurance. Measures for quality control (QC) were used throughout the entire laboratory workup. The use of all materials, tools, reagents, and processes was properly monitored. In addition, the sterility of culture media was checked by incubating 5% of the batch at 37°C overnight and evaluated for possible contamination. Similarly, the standard reference strains such as S. aureus (ATCC25923), P. aeruginosa (ATCC-27853), E. coli (ATCC-25922), E. faecalis (ATCC 29212), and S. pneumoniae (ATCC 49619) were tested weekly for biochemical tests and agar plates including MHA with antimicrobial discs to ensure testing performance or the potency of antimicrobial discs according to the American Type Culture Collection (ATCC). Moreover, result documentation and interpretation were double-checked to avoid possible transcriptional errors.

2.9. Data Processing and Statistical Analysis. Using SPSS version 25 software, data were entered, verified, and analyzed. Texts and tables were used to present the study's findings. Both bivariate and multivariate logistic regression analyses were carried out to address the risk factors connected to pediatric UTI, and variables with the *p* value ≤ 0.2 in the bivariate logistic regression analysis were imported to the multivariate logistic regression analysis. The link between possible risk variables and pediatric UTI was estimated using the adjusted odds ratio at 95 percent confidence interval (CI). Finally, a statistically significant association was defined as one with a *p* value of <0.05 at the 95% CI.

2.10. Ethical Clearance. The Research and Ethical Review Committee at Debre Tabor University gave its approval to this study (letter of reference: chs/1109/2021). To ensure participant privacy, all data collection process and urine culture findings were kept confidential.

3. Results

3.1. Sociodemographic Characteristics. In this study, a total of 220 participants were included. The majority of the study participants (50.5%) were males. The age category of the study participants indicated that 88 (40%) followed by 87 (39.5%) were embodied under the 5–10 and 5 years group, respectively. The mean age of the study participants was 6 years \pm 0.91 standard deviations (std). Among the total, 142 (64.5%) were urban residents (Table 1).

3.2. Clinical Characteristics. According to the clinical characteristics of the study's participants, 154 (70%) were outpatient attendants. About 80 (36.4%) of the pediatric patients had experienced a past history of UTI. Among all study participants, 62 (28.1%) and 71 (32.3%) had experienced lengthy hospital stays and intensive care unit (ICU) stays, respectively (Table 2). Among the total of 220 urine specimens, the prevalence of pediatric UTI was 31.8% (95% CI: 29.72, 56.15).

Interdisciplinary Perspectives on Infectious Diseases

Variables	Category	Frequency (<i>n</i>)	Percentage (%)
Cardan	Male	111	50.5
Gender	Female	109	49.5
	<5	87	39.5
Age (years)	5-10	88	40
	11-15	45	20.5
Destine	Urban	142	64.5
Residence	Rural	78	35.5
	Civil servant	82	37.3
O_{1}	Farmer	85	38.6
Occupation (family)	Merchant	38	17.3
	Other [#]	15	6.8
	No formal education	70	31.8
Education level (children)	Kindergarten	47	21.4
	Primary school	103	46.8
	Illiterate	25	11.4
Education local (famile)	Primary school	76	34.5
Education level (family)	Secondary	66	30
	Tertiary	53	24.1

TABLE 1: Sociodemographic characteristics of children attending Debre Tabor Comprehensive Specialized Hospital, Northwest Ethiopia.

[#]Private worker, non-employed, housewife, and retired persons.

TABLE 2: Clinical characteristics of children who were clinically suspected of UTI in Debre Tabor Comprehensive Specialized Hospital, Northwest Ethiopia.

Explanatory variables	Category	Frequency (<i>n</i>)	Percentage (%)
Datiant acting	Inpatient	66	30
Patient setting	Outpatient	154	70
Providuo history of asthetorization	Yes	55	25
Previous history of catheterization	No	165	75
Length of eatheterizations $(x_{00} - 55)$	<one td="" week<=""><td>35</td><td>63.6</td></one>	35	63.6
Length of catheterizations (yes = 55)	≥One week	20	36.4
History of antimicrobial approxima	Yes	113	51.4
History of antimicrobial exposure	No	107	48.6
Deat histomy of LITI	Yes	80	36.4
Past history of 011	No	140	63.6
Mala singumation $(n-111)$	Yes	67	60.3
while circumcision $(n - 111)$	No	44	39.6
Dravious hospitalization	Yes	96	43.6
Previous hospitalization	No	124	56.4
Drolonged hospitalization	Yes	71	32.3
Protonged hospitalization	No	149	67.7
Drolonged ICU stay	Yes	62	28.2
Protoliged ICO stay	No	158	71.8
Process of malnutrition	Yes	57	25.9
Presence of manualition	No	163	74.1
History of diabatas mollitus	Yes	73	33.2
History of diabetes menitus	No	147	66.8
Dresspres of other chronic discusses	Yes	95	43.2
riesence of other chromic diseases	No	125	56.8

UTI, urinary tract infection; ICU, intensive care unit.

3.3. Bacterial Etiologic Agents. A total of 70 bacterial isolates were reported in this study. Gram-positive and negative bacteria, respectively, account for 40% and 60% of infections

(Table 3). *S. aureus* (46.4%) was the most prevalent isolate among the Gram-positive bacteria. Similarly, *E. coli* contributes 19 (27.1%) of the total isolates.

TABLE 3: Bacterial profiles detected from the urine culture of pediatric patients visiting Debre Tabor Comprehensive Specialized Hospital, Northwest Ethiopia.

Bacterial types	Frequency (n)	Percentage (%)
Gram-positive	28	40
S. aureus	13	18.6
CoNS	9	12.9
Enterococcus spp.	6	8.5
Gram-negative	42	60
E. coli	19	27.1
K. pneumoniae	9	12.9
Citrobacter spp.	4	5.7
Acinetobacter spp.	3	4.3
P. aeruginosa	7	10
Total	70	100

CoNS: coagulase-negative staphylococci.

3.4. Antibiogram Patterns. The various classes of antibacterial drugs were evaluated against the urinary pathogens. Ten antibiotics, including penicillin, cephalosporins, carbapenems, and aminoglycosides, were tested against the Gram-positive isolates. Likewise, eleven antimicrobial drugs were evaluated against the Gram-negative bacterial isolates. Only 7 (77.8%) of the CoNS in our investigation were susceptible to clindamycin, while all S. aureus isolates were 100% susceptible. Penicillin and chloramphenicol were totally resistant to all six isolates of *Enterococcus* spp. (Table 4). In the Gram-positive isolates, 20 (71.4%) and 18 (64.3%) had a sensitivity to clindamycin and ciprofloxacin, respectively. On the other hand, 25 (89.3%) and 26 (92.9%) of those isolates, respectively, have shown resistance to nitrofurantoin and penicillin. According to the antimicrobial susceptibility patterns for the Gram-negative isolates, meropenem was 100% effective against every isolate of E. coli, Acinetobacter spp., and Citrobacter spp. Moreover, K. pneumoniae has demonstrated total resistance to gentamicin, ciprofloxacin, trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole, and nitrofurantoin (Table 5).

3.5. Multidrug Resistance. In this study, the multidrug resistance (MDR) pattern was done based on the previously published international guideline [26]. Based on this, thirtynine (n = 39) of the isolates were resistant to three and more antimicrobial agents belonging to different classes. Therefore, the overall prevalence of MDR was 55.7% (95% CI: 32.26, 76.09). The MDR patterns of the urinary isolates are also summarized in Table 6.

3.6. Associated Risk Factor Analysis. To determine the independent factors linked to the probability of developing pediatric UTI, the risk factor analysis was carried out (Table 7). Bivariate logistic regression analysis was analyzed for all variables. The multivariate logistic regression model was then used to identify possible risk factors. According to the analysis of this study, children with a prior history of UTI had 1.04 (95% confidence interval: 0.39–2.75) times higher risk of developing UTI than children without such a history. The probability of developing a UTI was also 2.18 (0.14–5.13) times higher in underweight children than in well-nourished children. As a result, there is a statistically significant correlation between the two variables and the likelihood of UTI.

4. Discussion

The bacterial origin of UTI is responsible for causing infections among children. Early diagnosis of urinary pathogens and prompt treatment can significantly reduce the complications affecting the lives of many children [31]. Otherwise, a late or undiagnosed UTI can increase negative impacts like morbidity, mortality, and medical costs [17].

In this study, the overall prevalence of pediatric UTI was 31.8%. The current finding is significantly higher than the results of earlier research, such as those from Addis Ababa 15.8% [23], Bahrdar 16.7% [7], Iran 16.2% [32], two studies from Nepal (16% and 19.68% [11, 12], respectively) and Nigeria 11.96% [33]. Likewise, considerably lower outcomes were reported from Iran (3.6% and 7.87% [34, 35], respectively) India 4% [36], America 7% [37], and Nigeria 3% [38]. However, the present finding is consistent with the research conducted in Gondar 26.45% [24], Iran 50.5% [16], and Egypt 41.3% [39]; however, much lower than the study done in Turkey 100% [21]. The discrepancies in the magnitude of UTI may be attributed due to the difference in study population [16, 40], study sample size [32, 35, 36], study area, setting [12, 17, 41], and study design and period [11, 36, 42].

In this study, both the Gram-positive isolates and Gramnegative isolates were detected. According to the distribution of the isolates, the most common urinary pathogens among children were E. coli 19 (27.1%), S. aureus 13 (18.6%), and K. pneumoniae 9(12.9%). Among the Gram-negative isolates, E. coli accounts for 45.2%, whereas S. aureus contributes 46.4%. Similar to the present finding, a study in Addis Ababa [23] reported that E. coli (49.5%) was the most predominant isolate followed by *Klebsiella* spp. (27.9%), S. aureus (8.2%), and Enterococcus spp. (11.5%). Another study from Gondar [24] has shown that E. coli (54.88%) was the most frequently detected urinary pathogen followed by S. aureus (9.75%), P. aeruginosa (4.88%), and Enterococcus species (3.66%). Moreover, another study [7] has revealed that E. coli (63.6%) was the most dominant isolate among the Gram-negative isolates while the CoNS (among others, S. saprophyticus, 33.3%) was more prevalent among Grampositive bacterial uropathogens. Furthermore, the present study has shown similar findings to many other studies including [11, 12, 16, 17, 21, 35].

Regarding the AST patterns, all isolates of S. *aureus* were susceptible to clindamycin (100%) and all isolates of *Enterococcus* spp. were completely resistant to penicillin and chloramphenicol. Additionally, the Gram-negative isolates have shown that all isolates of *E. coli*, *Acinetobacter* spp., and *Citrobacter* spp. were completely susceptible to meropenem (100%) while the majority of isolates of *K. pneumoniae* showed resistance to nitrofurantoin, trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole, ciprofloxacin, and gentamicin. Aligning to the present study, a study in Brazil [41] reported that Gram-negative isolates,

Destorial					Antin	nicrohial agente	the ted $(n = 10)$				
isolates	þ		DEM == (0/)	EDV = (///	(/0/ ² ² (//)		тет ₂₀ (0/)		()) IV)	NIT = _ (0/)	
13/01/41/03	ч	AMF 110.(%)	FEN 110. (%)	EKI 110. (%)	001 IIO. (%)	CIF 110. (%)	1E1 110. (%)	CUL 110. (%)	CIN 110. (%)	INTI 110. (%)	CLIN 110. (%)
	s	Ι	2 (15.4)	4(30.8)	1(7.7)	6 (46.1)	3 (23.1)	4(30.8)	7 (53.8)	0 (0)	13 (100)
<i>S. aureus</i> $(n = 13)$	I	I	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	2 (15.4)	0 (0)	1 (7.7)	0 (0)	1 (7.7)	0 (0)
	R		11 (84.6)	9 (69.2)	12 (92.3)	5 (38.5)	10 (76.9)	8 (61.5)	6 (46.1)	12 (92.3)	0 (0)
	S		0 (0)	7 (77.8)	0 (0)	8 (88.9)	5 (55.6)	3 (33.3)	8 (88.9)	1(11.1)	7 (77.8)
$CoNS \ (n=9)$	Π	I	0 (0)	1(11.1)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	4(44.4)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)
	R		9 (100)	1(11.1)	9 (100)	1(11.1)	4 (44.4)	2 (22.2)	1(11.1)	8 (88.9)	1(11.1)
	S	5 (83.3)	0 (0)	2 (33.3)	I	4 (66.7)	3 (50)	0 (0)		1 (16.7)	
Enterococcus spp. $(n = 6)$	Ι	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	I	1 (16.7)	0 (0)	0 (0)	I	0 (0)	I
	R	1 (16.7)	6 (100)	4 (66.7)		1 (16.7)	3 (50)	6(100)		5 (83.3)	
	S	5 (17.9)	2 (7.1)	13 (46.4)	1 (3.6)	18 (64.3)	11 (39.3)	7 (25)	15 (53.6)	2 (7.1)	20 (71.4)
Total (%)	Ч	0	0	1 (3.6)	0	3 (10.7)	0	5 (17.9)	0	1 (3.6)	0
	R	1 (3.6)	26 (92.9)	14 (50)	21 (75)	7 (25)	17 (60.7)	16 (57.1)	7 (25)	25 (89.3)	1 (3.6)
"—" denotes not applicabl trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazc	le; P, ole (1.2	patterns; CHL :5/23.75 μg); PEN	, chloramphenico V, penicillin (10 un	 (30 μg); CIP, its); CLN, clindar 	ciprofloxacin ([!] nycin (2 μg); AN	5 μg); CN, gent 1P, ampicillin (10	amicin (10 μg);) μg); ERY, erythı	NIT, nitrofurant omycin (15 μ g); S,	coin $(300 \ \mu g)$; sensitive; I, into	TET, tetracyclino ermediate; R, resi	: (30 μg); SXT, stant.

TABLE 4: Antimicrobial susceptibility pattern for the Gram-positive bacteria isolated from urine cultures of the pediatric patients at Debre Tabor Comprehensive Specialized Hospital.

E. Coli $(n = 19)$ R. 7 R.				Α	ntimicrobial	agents tested	(n = 11)				
E. Coli $(n = 19)$ B. $Coli (n = 19)$ C. R	MC no (%)	CIP no (%)	MER no (%)	AMP no (%)	CN no (%)	NIT no (%)	TET no (%)	CHL no (%)	SXT no (%)	CTR no (%)	CAZ no (%)
E. Coli $(n = 19)$ I 0 R 7 · R	2 (63.2)	3(15.8)	19 (100)	9 (47.4)	5(26.3)	(0)	2(10.5)	6 (31.6)	1(5.3)	16(84.2)	11 (57.9)
R 7(0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	2 (10.5)	0 (0)	1 (5.3)	0 (0)	0 (0)	1(5.3)	0 (0)
	(36.8)	16 (84.2)	0 (0)	10 (52.6)	12 (63.2)	19 (100)	16 (84.2	13 (68.4)	18 (94.7)	2 (10.5)	8 (42.1)
S 2((22.2)	0 (0)	7 (77.8)	3 (33.3)	0 (0)	0 (0)	2 (22.2)	0 (0)	0 (0)	5 (55.6)	7 (77.8)
K. pneumoniae $(n=9)$ I 0	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	2 (22.2)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	4(44.4)	0 (0)	2 (22.2)	0 (0)
R 7((77.8)	9 (100)	2 (22.2)	4 (44.4)	9 (100)	9 (100)	7 (77.8)	5 (55.6)	9 (100)	2 (22.2)	2 (22.2)
S 2	2 (50)	2 (50)	4 (100)	0 (0)	3 (75)	1 (25)	0 (0)	1 (25)	0 (0)	3 (75)	1 (25)
Citrobacter spp. $(n = 4)$ I 1	1 (25)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	1 (25)	1 (25)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	1 (25)
R 1	1 (25)	2 (50)	0 (0)	4(100)	0 (0)	2 (50)	4(100)	3 (75)	4 (100)	1 (25)	2 (50)
A circate actor corr	Ι	0 (0)	3 (100)		0 (0)	I			0 (0)	1(33.3)	0 (0)
$I_{ii} = 3$ I	Ι	0 (0)	0 (0)		0 (0)	I			0 (0)	0 (0)	1 (33.3)
R = 2		3 (100)	0 (0)		3 (100)				3 (100)	2 (66.7)	2 (66.7)
S	Ι	3 (42.9)	6 (85.7)		1 (14.3)		I		I		5 (71.4)
P. aeruginosa $(n = 7)$ I	I	2 (28.6)	0 (0)		2 (28.6)						0 (0)
R		2 (28.6)	1 (14.3)		4 (57.1)	Ι			I		2 (28.6)
S 16	5 (38.1)	8 (19)	39 (92.9)	12 (28.6)	9 (21.4)	1 (2.4)	4 (9.5)	7 (16.7)	1 (2.4)	25 (59.5)	24 (57.1)
Total (%) I I	1 (2.4)	2 (4.7)	0	2 (4.7)	5 (11.9)	1 (2.4)	1 (2.4)	4 (9.5)	0	3 (7.1)	2 (4.7)
R 15	5 (35.7)	32 (76.2)	3 (7.1)	18 (42.9)	28 (66.7)	30 (71.4)	27 (64.3)	21 (50)	34 (81)	7 (16.7)	18 (42.9)
"—" denotes not applicable; P, patterns; AM($10 \mu g$); MER, meropenem ($10 \mu g$); NIT, nitro	1C, amoxicill rofurantoin (lin-clavulanate (300 µg); TET, t	acid (20/10 µg); etracycline (30,	AMP, ampicilli ug); SXT, trimet	n (10 μg); CTR, hoprim-sulfam	ceftriaxone (30 ethoxazole (1.2	μg); CHL, chlor 5/23.75 μg); CA	amphenicol (30 Z, ceftazidime (3	μg); CIP, cipro 30 μg); S, sensiti	floxacin (5 μg); (ve; I, intermedi	DN, gentamicin ite; R, resistant.

•	ğ
F	ĝ.
-	
	ze
÷	all
•	2
	ğ
	e.
	SIV
	GD
-	ŝ
	ž
	Ξ
C	3
`	Ļ
-	ğ
E	13
	re
-	e
4	2
	ಐ
3	⊒
•	VIS
	ŝ
	eni
:	Ĕ
	ñ
	E
	ati
÷	g
	å
_	ne
5	Ξ.
	s
	re
_	Ξ
	B
	ĕ
	Ξ
	Ĕ
ç	Ħ
-	ğ
	ate
	õ
•	a B
•	Ľ
	Ĕ
-	Da
,	ve
;	Ē
	ŝ
	ď
	ġ
	ra
(5
-	ğ
	Ĕ
ر	¥.
	E
;	Ĕ
	pa
	4
÷	Ī
5	Ë
	ë
	ISC
	su
	1a.
-	Ö
	ĭ
•	Ē
:	<u> </u>
	2
-	Anti
1	5: Anti

Bacterial types	Total isolates no (%)	R_0 no (%)	R_1 no (%)	R_2 no (%)	R ₃ no (%)	R_4 no (%)	≥R5 no (%)
Gram-positive	28 (40)	I	2 (7.1)	6 (21.4)	10 (35.7)	6 (21.4)	4 (14.3)
S. aureus	13 (46.4)	I	1 (7.7)	4(30.8)	3 (23.1)	5(38.5)	1 (7.7)
CoNS	9 (32.1)	Ι		2 (22.2)	4(44.4)	I	1(11.1)
Enterococcus spp.	6 (21.4)	Ι	1 (16.7)	Ι	3 (50)	1 (16.7)	2 (33.3)
Gram-negative	42 (60)	1 (2.4)	3 (7.1)	19(45.2)	13 (31)	4(9.5)	2(4.8)
E. coli	19 (45.2)		2(10.5)	7 (36.8)	2(10.5)	1(5.3)	1(5.3)
К. рпеитопіае	9 (21.4)	I	1(11.1)	5(55.6)	4 (44.4)	1(11.1)	
Citrobacter spp.	4 (9.5)	1 (25)	1 (25)	2 (50)	3 (75)	I	I
Acinetobacter spp.	3 (7.1)			2(28.6)	3(100)	2 (66.7)	I
P. aeruginosa	7 (16.7)	I	I	3(42.9)	1 (14.3)	I	1(14.3)
Total	70 (100)	1 (1.4)	5 (7.1)	25 (35.7)	23 (32.9)	10(14.3)	6 (8.6)
R_0 , no antibiotic resistance; R_1	1, resistance to one; R ₂ , resistan	I (1.4) nce to two; R ₃ , resistance	(7.1) (7.1) to three; R_4 , resistance t	o four; $\geq R_5$, resistance to	(22.22) (22.27) five and more than five	10 (14.2) antimicrobials belo	guiguo

÷	
/es	
μ	
JT	
ž	
f	
jţ	
Sc	
Ĕ	
p	
ize	
ial	
ec	
Sp	
je	
siv	
en	
eh	
đ	
Я	
Ŭ	
or	
abe	
Ĥ	
re	
)eb	
Ð.	
ng	
idi	
en	
att	
ų,	
lre	
tilo	
сh	
of	
ē	
Ē	
Ē	
0	
ine	
n	
e	
무	
E	
fro	
q	
re	
0V6	
22	
re	
su	
ğe	
ho	
)at	
do.	
In	
a	
eri	
ğ	
p	
of	
Е	
teı	
)at	
دہ بدر	
лç	
tai	
sis	
re	
g	
dri	
lti	
1u	
4	
9	pia
ILE	ioț
^{AB}	扭
Г	щ

Interdisciplinary Perspectives on Infectious Diseases

	Ċ	L (0/)	UTU	status	Logistic reg	ression analysis
Variables	Category	Frequency (%)	Positive no (%)	Negative no (%)	Bivariate COR (95% CI)	Multivariate AOR (95% CI)
	Male	111 (50.5)	31 (27.9)	80 (72.1)	1	1
Gender	Female	109(49.5)	39 (35.8)	70 (64.2)	1.01 (0.02–1.72)	0.67 (0.02–1.86)
	<5	8 7 (39.5)	34 (39.1)	53 (60.9)	1.78(0.55 - 5.58)	$1.41 \ (0.86 - 5.97)$
Age (years)	5-10	88 (40)	19 (21.6)	69 (78.4)	1.35(0.44 - 4.65)	1.21(0.33 - 4.32)
	11-15	45 (20.5)	17 (37.8)	28 (62.2)	1	
Davidance	Urban	142 (64.5)	26 (18)	116 (82)	1	1
vesidence	Rural	78 (35.5)	44 (56.4)	34(43.6)	1.07 (0.08–1.12)	0.88 (0.32–2.11)
	Civil servant	82 (37.3)	18 (22)	64 (78)	1	1
Committee (familie)	Farmer	85 (38.6)	22 (26)	63 (74)	2.09 (1.66–2.22)	0.86 (0.03 - 1.77)
Оссирацоп (таппиу)	Merchant	38 (17.3)	15 (39.5)	23 (60.5)	0.19 (0.06 - 1.32)	1.06(0.03 - 2.07)
	Other [#]	15 (6.8)	15 (100)	0	1.66(0.24 - 1.98)	0.92 (0.05 - 1.98)
	No formal education	70 (31.8)	23 (32.9)	47 (67.1)	1.11 (0.02-1.86	$0.44 \ (0.03 - 1.26)$
Education level (children)	Kindergarten	47 (21.4)	13 (27.7)	34 (72.3)	$0.04 \ (0.01 - 0.83)$	$0.21 \ (0.01 - 0.89)$
	Primary school	103(46.8)	34 (33)	69 (67)	1	1
	Illiterate	25 (11.4)	8 (32)	17 (68)	$0.13 \ (0.02 - 0.88)$	$1.01 \ (0.06 - 1.01)$
	Primary school	76 (34.5)	26 (34.2)	50(65.8)	0.25 (0.11-0.71)	1.23 (0.99–2.76)
Education level (family)	Secondary	66 (30)	19 (28.8)	47 (71.2)	1.55(0.82 - 2.44)	0.85 (0.02 - 1.19)
	Tertiary	53 (24.1)	17 (32.1)	36 (67.9)		~
	Yes	55 (25)	47 (85.5)	8 (14.5)	0.45 (0.21-1.38)	3.22 (1.06-7.31)
History of catheterization	No	165 (75)	23 (13.9)	142 (86.1)	1	1
	Yes	80 (36.4)	52 (65)	28 ((35)	0.27 (0.09–2.44)	$1.04 \ (0.39 - 2.75)^{*}$
Previous history of UTI	No	140 (63.6)	18 (12.9)	122 (87.1)		
	Yes	113 (51.4)	27 (23.9)	86 (76.1)	0.52 (0.143-0.96)	0.96 (0.31-2.58)
History of antimicrobial treatment	No	107 (48.6)	43 (40.2)	64 (59.8)		~
	Yes	57 (25.9)	42 (73.7)	15 (26.3)	0.46 (0.16–1.48)	$2.18 (0.14 - 5.135)^{*}$
Presence of malnutrition	No	163 (74.1)	28 (17.2)	135 (82.8)	1	1
	Inpatient	66 (30)	31 (47)	35 (53)	2.11 (1.02-4.58)	0.12 (0.08–2.37)
Fatient setting	Outpatient	154(70)	39 (25.3)	115 (74.7)	1	
Duclourd ICII atom	Yes	62 (28.2)	55 (88.7)	7 (11.3)	1.37 (0.14 - 3.92)	1.45(0.29, 4.48)
riounged ICO stays	No	158 (71.8)	15(9.5)	143(90.5)	1	1
I awath of outhotonizations (reso = 55)	<one td="" week<=""><td>35 (63.6)</td><td>17 (48.6)</td><td>18 (51.4)</td><td>1</td><td></td></one>	35 (63.6)	17 (48.6)	18 (51.4)	1	
terigui ui cauteterizationis (yes – 33)	≥One week	20(36.4)	9 (45)	11 (55)	2.09 (1.04 - 3.09)	2.01 (0.99–2.66)
Mala circumcision $(u - 111)$	Yes	67 (60.3)	37 (55.2)	30(44.8)	$0.33 \ (0.13 - 1.45)$	1.18(1.01 - 1.73)
Marc circanificiation (n - 111)	No	44 (39.6)	23 (52.3)	21(47.7)	1	
Dravious hosnitalization	Yes	96 (43.6)	55 (57.3)	41 (42.7)	0.22 (0.06–1.17)	1.08 (0.09–1.88)
I LANORS HOSPICEREZERION	No	124 (56.4)	15 (12.1)	109(87.9)	1	1
Drolonged hosnitalization	Yes	71 (32.3)	26 (36.6)	45 (63.4)	1.02 (0.11–2.05)	$0.99 \ (0.31 - 1.86)$
monwindow notices i	No	149 (67.7)	44 (29.5)	105(70.5)	1	1
History of diabetic matatus	Yes	73 (33.2)	19 (26)	54 (74)	1.43(1.26-2.01)	0.83 (0.77–1.78)
	No	147 (66.8)	51 (34.7)	96 (65.3)	1	
Presence of other chronic diseases	Yes	95 (43.2)	30(31.6)	65 (68.4)	0.55 (0.07–1.12)	0.78 (0.17–2.09)
I LOCHICC OF OUTLY CHILDRING CHOCO	No	125 (56.8)	40 (32)	85 (68)		

10

particularly E. coli, indicated a high resistance against trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole (51%). In agreement with the present study, another study from Greece [17] has indicated that the majority of Gram-negative isolates were susceptible to ampicillin and amoxicillin-clavulanate acid. Contrary to the current finding, a study from Iran [16] reported that both Gram-negative and positive bacteria isolates have shown the highest resistance against amoxicillin (83.8%) and clindamycin (100%), respectively. In another study [35] in contrast to present findings, it was revealed that ciprofloxacin is 100% active against Gram-negative isolates including Klebsiella spp. and P. aeruginosa isolates followed by amikacin, nalidixic acid, and gentamicin. The variation might be due to the market availability of antibiotic discs [35] provided that nalidixic acid was not tested in the present study, the production of extendedspectrum beta-lactamase or carbapenemases [11, 16], and the presence of highly virulence factors that enable uropathogenic isolates to withstand resistance for cephalosporins and carbapenems [40]. Moreover, the difference in the study area, the level of infection prevention and control [43], the socioeconomic condition of the study population, and the influence of the prevalence of drug resistance pathogens across the globe will contribute to the disparity [44].

In this study, the overall prevalence of MDR was 55.7%. Nearly concordant results with the present MDR rate were found like 58.54% [24], 66% [7], 64.9% [11], and 50% [35]. However, data that were slightly different from the current findings, such as 73.7% [23] and 32% [12], were also reported. The difference in the prevalence of MDR can be explained by the difference in definition of MDR given that the present study adheres to the previously published international guideline, irrational consumption of antimicrobials in human and animal settings, the pathogen's virulence factors [19], lack of periodic surveillance for the emerging MDR in clinical settings [45], community, societal, and economic standing [17], and excessive empirical therapy especially cephalosporins and carbapenem regimens [11].

Another important issue of this study is the risk factor analysis. The multivariate logistic regression model has revealed that children having a previous history of UTI were 1.04 (95% CI: 0.39-2.75) times at more risk to develop UTI compared with those who have no infections. In the meantime, malnourished children were 2.18 (95% CI: 0.14-5.13) times at greater risk for the acquisition of UTI compared with normally nourished. Similar to our findings, one study [22] reported that under-nourished children were 5.41 (95% CI: 2.64–11.07) times at higher risk of contracting UTI compared with those who were normally nourished. This may be due to the fact that severe malnutrition can make children susceptible to different infections including UTIs by diminishing their immunity. Also, the children with a past history of UTI were 1.04 times at more risk to contract UTI compared with those who have no UTI. Similar results were found in [7, 24].

4.1. Strengths and Limitations. This study focused on the profile of bacterial uropathogens, their antibacterial patterns, and the prevalence of UTIs in children. Instead of depending on empirical treatment, it will make it easier to

provide appropriate treatment through the systematic selection of antibiotics based on knowledge of the local epidemiological and clinical data. This study has some drawbacks. The multidrug resistance caused by urinary pathogens that produce extended-spectrum beta-lactamases and carbapenemases was not covered in this investigation.

5. Conclusion

In general, children had UTIs more commonly from Gramnegative bacteria than from Gram-positive bacteria. MDR prevalence has been shown to be alarmingly high (55.7%). Only previous exposure to UTI and the past history of malnutrition have been found to have statistically significant relationship with the development of UTI in children. Therefore, precise isolate identification and careful antibiotic selection based on clinical data are crucial to mitigating the rapid evolution of drug-tolerant bacterial infections.

Abbreviations

Antimicrobial resistance
Antimicrobial susceptibility testing
Cystine lactose electrolyte-deficient
Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute
Debre Tabor Comprehensive Specialized Hospital
Multidrug resistance
Mueller-Hinton agar
Urinary tract infection.

Data Availability

The data used to support the findings of this study are included within the article.

Conflicts of Interest

The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest.

Authors' Contributions

Teklehaimanot Kiros was responsible for conceptualization. Teklehaimanot Kiros, Melaku Zeleke, and Tsehaynesh Gebreyesus were responsible for data execution/acquisition and curation. Teklehaimanot Kiros, Tsehaynesh Gebreyesus, and Tahir Eyayu were responsible for statistical analysis of data. Teklehaimanot Kiros, Tsehaynesh Gebreyesus, Shewaneh Damtie, and Tazeb Molla were responsible for methodology. Teklehaimanot Kiros, Lemma Workineh, and Tesfaye Andualem were responsible for supervision. Teklehaimanot Kiros, Melaku Zeleke, and Tsehaynesh Gebreyesus were responsible for original draft preparation. Teklehaimanot Kiros, Shewaneh Damtie, Tegenaw Tiruneh, Tsehaynesh Gebreyesus, and Sisay Getu were responsible for review and editing/critical revision of the manuscript. Teklehaimanot Kiros, Lemma Workineh, and Ayenew Assefa were responsible for finalizing and approval of publication. Teklehaimanot Kiros and Tesfaye Andualem were responsible for journal selection for publication. Each author acknowledges that they are jointly and severally liable

for the manuscript's content. All authors examined the final draft of the work and gave their approval for publication.

Acknowledgments

We extend our gratitude to the Microbiology Department, DTCSH, for their substantial support throughout the study course. We are also indebted to thank the study participants for their voluntary participation.

References

- R. Öztürk and A. Murt, "Epidemiology of urological infections: a global burden," *World Journal of Urology*, vol. 38, pp. 2669–2679, 2020.
- [2] P. Behzadi and E. Behzadi, "The microbial agents of urinary tract infections at central laboratory of Dr. Shariati Hospital, Tehran, Iran," *Turk Klin Tip Bilim*, vol. 28, no. 4, p. 445, 2008.
- [3] C. Walsh and T. Collyns, "The pathophysiology of urinary tract infections," *Surgery*, vol. 35, no. 6, pp. 293–298, 2017.
- [4] K. Tullus and N. Shaikh, "Urinary tract infections in children," *The Lancet*, vol. 395, no. 10237, pp. 1659–1668, 2020.
- [5] A. L. Flores-Mireles, J. N. Walker, M. Caparon, and S. J. Hultgren, "Urinary tract infections: epidemiology, mechanisms of infection and treatment options," *Nature Reviews Microbiology*, vol. 13, no. 5, pp. 269–284, 2015.
- [6] V. M. Luna-Pineda, S. A. Ochoa, A. Cruz-Córdova et al., "Features of urinary *Escherichia coli* isolated from children with complicated and uncomplicated urinary tract infections in Mexico," *PLoS One*, vol. 13, no. 10, Article ID 204934, 2018.
- [7] A. Fenta, M. Dagnew, S. Eshetie, and T. Belachew, "Bacterial profile, antibiotic susceptibility pattern and associated risk factors of urinary tract infection among clinically suspected children attending at Felege-Hiwot comprehensive and specialized hospital, Northwest Ethiopia. A prospective study," *BMC Infectious Diseases*, vol. 20, no. 1, pp. 673–710, 2020.
- [8] B. Aryal, P. Mandal, and P. Tripathi, "Microbiological spectrum and susceptibility pattern of clinical isolates from children suspected of urinary tract infection, visiting Kanti children hospital, Kathmandu," *Global Journal of Medical Research*, vol. 14, no. 7, pp. 1–5, 2014.
- [9] G. Maharjan, P. Khadka, G. Siddhi Shilpakar, G. Chapagain, and G. R. Dhungana, "Catheter-associated urinary tract infection and obstinate biofilm producers," *The Canadian Journal of Infectious Diseases and Medical Microbiology*, vol. 2018, Article ID 7624857, 7 pages, 2018.
- [10] P. Sargiary, L. Baro, G. Choudhry, and L. Saikia, "Bacteriological profile and antimicrobial susceptibility pattern of community acquired urinary tract infection in children: a tertiary care experience," *J Dental Med Sci*, vol. 15, no. 6, pp. 61–65, 2016.
- [11] N. P. Parajuli, P. Maharjan, H. Parajuli et al., "High rates of multidrug resistance among uropathogenic *Escherichia coli* in children and analyses of ESBL producers from Nepal," *Antimicrobial Resistance and Infection Control*, vol. 6, no. 1, pp. 9–7, 2017.
- [12] L. B. Shrestha, R. Baral, P. Poudel, and B. Khanal, "Clinical, etiological and antimicrobial susceptibility profile of pediatric urinary tract infections in a tertiary care hospital of Nepal," *BMC Pediatrics*, vol. 19, no. 1, pp. 36–38, 2019.
- [13] O. B. Ahmed, "Bacterial profile and antimicrobial susceptibility pattern of urinary tract infection in Khartoum, Sudan," *Int J Curr Res*, vol. 7, no. 11, pp. 22344–22347, 2015.

- [14] J. J. Zorc, D. A. Kiddoo, and K. N. Shaw, "Diagnosis and management of pediatric urinary tract infections," *Clinical Microbiology Reviews*, vol. 18, no. 2, pp. 417–422, 2005.
- [15] A. D. Hay, K. Birnie, J. Busby et al., "The Diagnosis of Urinary Tract infection in Young children (DUTY): a diagnostic prospective observational study to derive and validate a clinical algorithm for the diagnosis of urinary tract infection in children presenting to primary care with an acute illness," *Health Technology Assessment*, vol. 20, no. 51, pp. 1–294, 2016.
- [16] G. Pouladfar, M. Basiratnia, M. Anvarinejad, P. Abbasi, F. Amirmoezi, and S. Zare, "The antibiotic susceptibility patterns of uropathogens among children with urinary tract infection in Shiraz," *Medicine*, vol. 96, no. 37, p. 7834, 2017.
- [17] E. Mantadakis, E. K. Vouloumanou, M. Panopoulou et al., "Susceptibility patterns of uropathogens identified in hospitalised children with community-acquired urinary tract infections in Thrace, Greece," *Journal of global antimicrobial resistance*, vol. 3, no. 2, pp. 85–90, 2015.
- [18] P. Behzadi, E. Urbán, M. Matuz, R. Benkő, and M. Gajdács, "The role of gram-negative bacteria in urinary tract infections: current concepts and therapeutic options," *Advances in Experimental Medicine and Biology*, vol. 1323, pp. 35–69, 2021.
- [19] M. Ahmadi, R. Ranjbar, P. Behzadi, and T. Mohammadian, "Virulence factors, antibiotic resistance patterns, and molecular types of clinical isolates of Klebsiella Pneumoniae," *Expert Review of Anti-infective Therapy*, vol. 20, no. 3, pp. 463–472, 2022.
- [20] A. Bryce, A. D. Hay, I. F. Lane, H. V. Thornton, M. Wootton, and C. Costelloe, "Global prevalence of antibiotic resistance in paediatric urinary tract infections caused by *Escherichia coli* and association with routine use of antibiotics in primary care: systematic review and meta-analysis," *Bmj*, vol. 352, p. 939, 2016.
- [21] I. O. Ipek, A. Bozaykut, D. C. Arman, and R. G. Sezer, "Antimicrobial resistance patterns of uropathogens among children in Istanbul, Turkey," *Southeast Asian Journal of Tropical Medicine and Public Health*, vol. 42, no. 2, pp. 355–362, 2011.
- [22] E. Mitiku, A. Amsalu, and B. T. Tadesse, "Pediatric urinary tract infection as a cause of outpatient clinic visits in southern Ethiopia: a cross sectional study," *Ethiopian journal of health sciences*, vol. 28, no. 2, pp. 187–196, 2018.
- [23] Y. Merga Duffa, K. Terfa Kitila, D. Mamuye Gebretsadik, and A. Bitew, "Prevalence and antimicrobial susceptibility of bacterial uropathogens isolated from pediatric patients at yekatit 12 hospital medical college, Addis Ababa, Ethiopia," *International journal of microbiology*, vol. 2018, Article ID 8492309, 8 pages, 2018.
- [24] B. Ayelign, B. Abebe, A. Shibeshi et al., "Bacterial isolates and their antimicrobial susceptibility patterns among pediatric patients with urinary tract infections," *Turkish journal of urology*, vol. 44, no. 1, pp. 62–69, 2018.
- [25] C. L. S. Institute, Performance Standards for Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing [S]. CLSI Supplement M100, Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute Wayne, Wayne, PA, USA, 2018.
- [26] A.-P. Magiorakos, A. Srinivasan, R. Carey et al., "Multidrugresistant, extensively drug-resistant and pandrug-resistant bacteria: an international expert proposal for interim standard definitions for acquired resistance," *Clinical Microbiology and Infection*, vol. 18, no. 3, pp. 268–281, 2012.
- [27] J. Mandal, "Pathogenesis and laboratory diagnosis of childhood urinary tract infection," *EMJ Urol*, vol. 4, no. 1, pp. 101–107, 2016.

- [28] T. Kiros, D. Asrat, Z. Ayenew, and E. Tsige, "Bacterial urinary tract infection among adult renal transplant recipients at St. Paul's hospital millennium medical college, Addis Ababa, Ethiopia," *BMC Nephrology*, vol. 20, no. 1, p. 289, 2019.
- [29] C. D. Doern and S. E. Richardson, "Diagnosis of urinary tract infections in children," *Journal of Clinical Microbiology*, vol. 54, no. 9, pp. 2233–2242, 2016.
- [30] A. L. Leber, *Clinical Microbiology Procedures Handbook*, John Wiley and Sons, Hoboken, NJ, USA, 2020.
- [31] M. Sharifian, A. Karimi, S. R. Tabatabaei, and N. Anvaripour, "Microbial sensitivity pattern in urinary tract infections in children: a single center experience of 1,177 urine cultures," *Japanese Journal of Infectious Diseases*, vol. 59, no. 6, pp. 380–382, 2006.
- [32] R. Ranjbar, A. M. Haghi, J. N. Joneydi, and M. Abedini, "The prevalence and antimicrobial susceptibility of bacterial uropathogens isolated from pediatric patients," 2009, https://ijph. tums.ac.ir/index.php/ijph/article/view/3200.
- [33] O. Aiyegoro, O. Igbinosa, I. Ogunmwonyi, E. Odjadjare, O. Igbinosa, and A. Okoh, "Incidence of urinary tract infections (UTI) among children and adolescents in Ile-Ife, Nigeria," *African Journal of Microbiology Research*, vol. 1, no. 2, pp. 13–19, 2007.
- [34] D. M. Youssef, H. Elfateh, R. Sedeek, and S. Seleem, "Epidemiology of urinary tract infection in neonatal intensive care unit: a single center study in Egypt," *Journal of Academy of Medical Sciences*, vol. 2, no. 1, p. 25, 2012.
- [35] M. A. Rezaee and B. Abdinia, "Etiology and antimicrobial susceptibility pattern of pathogenic bacteria in children subjected to UTI: a referral hospital-based study in Northwest of Iran," *Medicine*, vol. 94, no. 39, p. 1606, 2015.
- [36] S. R. Mirsoleymani, M. Salimi, M. Shareghi Brojeni, M. Ranjbar, and M. Mehtarpoor, "Bacterial pathogens and antimicrobial resistance patterns in pediatric urinary tract infections: a four-year surveillance study (2009–2012)," *International Journal of Pediatrics*, vol. 2014, Article ID 126142, 6 pages, 2014.
- [37] C. Ashok, G. Kumar, and H. Viswanathakumar, "Study of the prevalence and clinical profile of urinary tract infection in febrile children aged 3-6 years who attended pediatric outpatient department in a tertiary care hospital," *International Journal of Health Sciences and Research*, vol. 3, no. 2, pp. 1–5, 2013.
- [38] N. Shaikh, N. E. Morone, J. E. Bost, and M. H. Farrell, "Prevalence of urinary tract infection in childhood: a metaanalysis," *The Pediatric Infectious Disease Journal*, vol. 27, no. 4, pp. 302–308, 2008.
- [39] R. Ibekwe, V. Muoneke, and M. Ibekwe, "Childhood urinary tract infection in abakaliki: etiological organisms and antibiotic sensitivity pattern," *Annals of Medical and Health Sciences Research*, vol. 2, no. 1, pp. 29–32, 2012.
- [40] K. W. Yun, H. Y. Kim, H. K. Park, W. Kim, and I. S. Lim, "Virulence factors of uropathogenic *Escherichia coli* of urinary tract infections and asymptomatic bacteriuria in children," *Journal of Microbiology, Immunology, and Infection*, vol. 47, no. 6, pp. 455–461, 2014.
- [41] E. B. M. Guidoni, E. N. Berezin, S. Nigro, N. A. Santiago, V. Benini, and J. Toporovski, "Antibiotic resistance patterns of pediatric community-acquired urinary infections," *Brazilian Journal of Infectious Diseases*, vol. 12, no. 4, pp. 321–323, 2008.
- [42] U. D. Allen, N. MacDonald, L. Fuite, F. Chan, and D. Stephens, "Risk factors for resistance to "first-line" antimicrobials among urinary tract isolates of *Escherichia coli* in

children," *Canadian Medical Association Journal*, vol. 160, no. 10, pp. 1436–1440, 1999.

- [43] M. Sarshar, P. Behzadi, C. Ambrosi, C. Zagaglia, A. T. Palamara, and D. Scribano, "FimH and anti-adhesive therapeutics: a disarming strategy against uropathogens," *Antibiotics*, vol. 9, no. 7, p. 397, 2020.
- [44] M. S. Khonsari, P. Behzadi, and F. Foroohi, "The prevalence of type 3 fimbriae in Uropathogenic *Escherichia coli* isolated from clinical urine samples," *Meta Gene*, vol. 28, Article ID 100881, 2021.
- [45] L. Issakhanian and P. Behzadi, "Antimicrobial agents and urinary tract infections," *Current Pharmaceutical Design*, vol. 25, no. 12, pp. 1409–1423, 2019.