Hindawi Publishing Corporation Journal of Applied Mathematics Volume 2012, Article ID 891713, 16 pages doi:10.1155/2012/891713

### Research Article

# Some Fixed Point Results in GP-Metric Spaces

## Hassen Aydi, 1 Erdal Karapınar, 2 and Peyman Salimi3

Correspondence should be addressed to Erdal Karapınar, erdalkarapinar@yahoo.com

Received 11 July 2012; Revised 27 August 2012; Accepted 14 September 2012

Academic Editor: Yuantong Gu

Copyright © 2012 Hassen Aydi et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Following a recent paper of Zand and Nezhad (2011), we establish some fixed point results in *GP*-metric spaces. The presented theorems generalize and improve several existing results in the literature. Also, some examples are presented.

#### 1. Introduction

Partial metric space is a generalized metric space introduced by Matthews [1] in which each object does not necessarily have to have a zero distance from itself. A motivation is to introduce this space to give a modified version of the Banach contraction principle [2]. Subsequently, several authors studied the problem of existence and uniqueness of a fixed point for mappings satisfying different contractive conditions, see [3–23].

On the other hand, in 2006 Mustafa and Sims [24] introduced a new notion of generalized metric spaces called *G*-metric spaces. Based on the notion of a *G*-metric space, many fixed point results for different contractive conditions have been presented, for more details see [25–42].

Recently, based on the two above notions, Zand and Nezhad [43] introduced a new generalized metric space as both a generalization of a partial metric space and a *G*-metric space. It is given as follows.

*Definition 1.1* (see [43]). Let X be a nonempty set. A function  $G_p: X \times X \times X \to [0, +\infty)$  is called a GP-metric if the following conditions are satisfied:

(GP1) 
$$x = y = z$$
 if  $G_p(x, y, z) = G_p(z, z, z) = G_p(y, y, y) = G_p(x, x, x)$ ;

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Institut Supérieur d'Informatique et des Technologies de Communication de Hammam Sousse, Université de Sousse, Route GP1-4011, Hammam Sousse, Tunisia

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Department of Mathematics, Atilim University, Incek, 06836 Ankara, Turkey

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Department of Mathematics, Sahand University of Technology, Tabriz, Iran

(GP2) 
$$0 \le G_p(x, x, x) \le G_p(x, x, y) \le G_p(x, y, z)$$
 for all  $x, y, z \in X$ ;

(GP3) 
$$G_p(x, y, z) = G_p(x, z, y) = G_p(y, z, x) = \cdots$$
, symmetry in all three variables;

(GP4) 
$$G_p(x, y, z) \le G_p(x, a, a) + G_p(a, y, z) - G_p(a, a, a)$$
 for any  $x, y, z, a \in X$ .

Then the pair (X, G) is called a GP-metric space.

*Example 1.2* (see [43]). Let  $X = [0, \infty)$  and define  $G_p(x, y, z) = \max\{x, y, z\}$ , for all  $x, y, z \in X$ . Then  $(X, G_p)$  is a GP-metric space.

**Proposition 1.3** (see [43]). Let  $(X, G_p)$  be a GP-metric space, then for any x, y, z and  $a \in X$  it follows that

(i) 
$$G_p(x, y, z) \le G_p(x, x, y) + G_p(x, x, z) - G_p(x, x, x)$$
;

(ii) 
$$G_p(x, y, y) \le 2G_p(x, x, y) - G_p(x, x, x)$$
;

(iii) 
$$G_v(x, y, z) \le G_v(x, a, a) + G_v(y, a, a) + G_v(z, a, a) - 2G_v(a, a, a)$$
;

(iv) 
$$G_p(x, y, z) \le G_p(x, a, z) + G_p(a, y, z) - G_p(a, a, a)$$
.

**Proposition 1.4** (see [43]). Every GP-metric space  $(X, G_p)$  defines a metric space  $(X, D_{G_p})$ , where

$$D_{G_p}(x,y) = G_p(x,y,y) + G_p(y,x,x) - G_p(x,x,x) - G_p(y,y,y) \quad \text{for all } x,y \in X.$$
 (1.1)

*Definition* 1.5 (see [43]). Let  $(X, G_p)$  be a *GP*-metric space and let  $\{x_n\}$  be a sequence of points of X. A point  $x \in X$  is said to be the limit of the sequence  $\{x_n\}$  or  $x_n \to x$  if

$$\lim_{m,m\to\infty} G_p(x,x_m,x_n) = G_p(x,x,x). \tag{1.2}$$

**Proposition 1.6** (see [43]). Let  $(X, G_p)$  be a GP-metric space. Then, for any sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in X, and a point  $x \in X$  the following are equivalent:

- (A)  $\{x_n\}$  is GP-convergent to x;
- (B)  $G_v(x_n, x_n, x) \rightarrow G_v(x, x, x)$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ ;
- (C)  $G_v(x_n, x, x) \to G_v(x, x, x)$  as  $n \to \infty$ .

*Definition* 1.7 (see [43]). Let  $(X, G_p)$  be a *GP*-metric space.

- (S1) A sequence  $\{x_n\}$  is called a *GP*-Cauchy if and only if  $\lim_{m,n\to\infty} G_p(x_n,x_m,x_m)$  exists (and is finite).
- (S2) A *GP*-partial metric space  $(X, G_p)$  is said to be *GP*-complete if and only if every *GP*-Cauchy sequence in X is *GP*-convergent to  $x \in X$  such that  $G_p(x, x, x) = \lim_{m,n\to\infty} G_p(x_n, x_m, x_m)$ .

Now, we introduce the following.

*Definition 1.8.* Let  $(X, G_p)$  be a *GP*-metric space.

- (M1) A sequence  $\{x_n\}$  is called a 0-*GP*-Cauchy if and only if  $\lim_{m,n\to\infty} G_p(x_n,x_m,x_m)=0$ ;
- (M2) A *GP*-metric space  $(X, G_p)$  is said to be 0-*GP*-complete if and only if every 0-*GP*-Cauchy sequence is GP-convergent to a point  $x \in X$  such that  $G_p(x, x, x) = 0$ .

*Example 1.9.* Let  $X = [0, +\infty)$  and define  $G_p(x, y, z) = \max\{x, y, z\}$ , for all  $x, y, z \in X$ . Then  $(X, G_p)$  is a GP-complete GP-metric space. Moreover, if  $X = \mathbb{Q} \cap [0, +\infty)$  (where  $\mathbb{Q}$  denotes the set of rational numbers), then  $(X, G_p)$  is a 0-GP-complete GP-metric space.

**Lemma 1.10.** Let  $(X, G_p)$  be a GP-metric space. Then

- (A) if  $G_p(x, y, z) = 0$ , then x = y = z;
- (B) if  $x \neq y$ , then  $G_p(x, y, y) > 0$ .

Proof. By (GP2) we have

$$G_p(x,x,x), G_p(y,y,y), G_p(x,y,y), G_p(x,x,y) \le G_p(x,y,z) = 0.$$
 (1.3)

Then, by Proposition 1.4, we have  $D_{G_p}(x, y) = 0$ , that is, x = y. Similarly, we can obtain that y = z. The assertion (A) is proved.

On the other hand, if  $x \neq y$  and  $G_p(x, y, y) = 0$ , then by (A), x = y which is a contradiction and so (B) holds.

In this paper, we establish some fixed point results in *GP*-metric spaces analogous to results of Ilić et al. [44] which were proved in partial metric spaces. Also, some examples are provided to illustrate our results. To our knowledge, we are the first to give some fixed point results in *GP*-metric spaces, and so is the novelty and original contributions of this paper. This opens the door to other possible fixed (common fixed) point results.

#### 2. Main Results

We start by stating a fixed point result of Ilić et al. [44].

**Theorem 2.1** (see [44]). Let (X, p) be a complete partial metric space. Let f be a self-mapping on X. Suppose that for all  $x, y, z \in X$  the following condition holds:

$$p(fx, fy) \le \max\{\alpha p(x, y), p(x, x), p(y, y)\},\tag{2.1}$$

where  $0 < \alpha < 1$ . Then

- (1) the set  $X_P = \{y \in X : p(x, x) = \inf_{y \in X} p(y, y)\}$  is nonempty;
- (2) there is a unique  $u \in X_P$  such that fu = u;
- (3) for all  $x \in X_P$  the sequence  $\{f^n x\}$  converges to u with respect to the metric  $d_p$  (where  $d_p(x,y) = p(x,y) p(x,x) p(y,y)$  for  $x,y \in X$ ).

The analog of Theorem 2.1 in *GP*-metric spaces is given as follows.

**Theorem 2.2.** Let  $(X, G_p)$  be a GP-complete GP-metric space. Let f be a self-mapping on X. Suppose that for all  $x, y, z \in X$  the following condition holds:

$$G_p(fx, fy, fz) \le \max\{rG_p(x, y, z), G_p(x, x, x), G_p(y, y, y), G_p(z, z, z)\},$$
 (2.2)

where  $0 \le r < 1$ . Then

- (T1) the set  $X_{G_p} = \{y \in X : G_p(x, x, x) = \inf_{y \in X} G_p(y, y, y)\}$  is nonempty;
- (T2) there is a unique  $x^* \in X_{G_p}$  such that  $fx^* = x^*$ ;
- (T3) for all  $x \in X_{G_P}$  the sequence  $\{f^n x\}$  converges to  $x^*$  with respect to the metric  $D_{G_p}$ .

*Proof.* Let  $x \in X$ . By (2.2), we have

$$G_p(fx, fx, fx) \le \max\{rG_p(x, x, x), G_p(x, x, x)\} = G_p(x, x, x).$$
 (2.3)

Hence,  $\{G_p(f^nx, f^nx, f^nx)\}_{n\geq 0}$  is a nonincreasing sequence. Put

$$S_x := \lim_n G_p(f^n x, f^n x, f^n x) = \inf_n G_p(f^n x, f^n x, f^n x),$$
 (2.4)

$$\Gamma_x := \frac{1}{1 - r} G_p(x, fx, fx) + G_p(x, x, x). \tag{2.5}$$

We shall show that

$$G_p(f^i x, f^j x, f^j x) \le 3\Gamma_x \quad \text{for all } i, j \ge 0.$$
 (2.6)

Again, by (2.2), we have for all  $m > n \ge 0$ 

$$G_p(f^n x, f^m x, f^m x) \le \max \{ rG_p(f^{n-1} x, f^{m-1} x, f^{m-1} x), G_p(f^n x, f^n x, f^n x) \}.$$
 (2.7)

At first

$$G_{p}(x, f^{j}x, f^{j}x) \leq G_{p}(x, fx, fx) + G_{p}(fx, f^{j}x, f^{j}x)$$

$$\leq G_{p}(x, fx, fx) + \max \left\{ rG_{p}(x, f^{j-1}x, f^{j-1}x), G_{p}(x, x, x) \right\}.$$
(2.8)

Similarly

$$G_p(x, f^{j-1}x, f^{j-1}x) \le G_p(x, fx, fx) + \max\{rG_p(x, f^{j-2}x, f^{j-2}x), G_p(x, x, x)\}.$$
 (2.9)

Then we have

$$G_{p}(x, f^{j}x, f^{j}x) \leq G_{p}(x, fx, fx) + \max \{rG_{p}(x, fx, fx) + r^{2}G_{p}(x, f^{j-2}x, f^{j-2}x), G_{p}(x, x, x)\}.$$
(2.10)

By continuing this process, we get

$$G_{p}(x, f^{j}x, f^{j}x)$$

$$\leq G_{p}(x, fx, fx) + \max \left\{ rG_{p}(x, fx, fx) + \dots + r^{j-1}G_{p}(x, fx, fx), G_{p}(x, x, x) \right\}$$

$$\leq G_{p}(x, fx, fx) + \max \left\{ \frac{r}{1-r}G_{p}(x, fx, fx), G_{p}(x, x, x) \right\}$$

$$\leq G_{p}(x, fx, fx) + \frac{r}{1-r}G_{p}(x, fx, fx) + G_{p}(x, x, x) = \Gamma_{x}.$$
(2.11)

Now, by Proposition 1.3 (ii), we have  $G_P(f^ix, x, x) \le 2G_P(x, f^ix, f^ix) \le 2\Gamma_x$ . Hence

$$G_p(f^i x, f^i x, f^j x) \le G_p(f^i x, x, x) + G_p(x, f^j x, f^j x) \le 3\Gamma_x, \tag{2.12}$$

that is, (2.6) holds. On the other hand, by (GP2), we have

$$S_x \le G_p(f^n x, f^n x, f^n x) \le G_p(f^n x, f^m x, f^m x).$$
 (2.13)

Given any  $\epsilon > 0$ , by (2.4), there exists  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $G_p(f^{n_0}x, f^{n_0}x, f^{n_0}x) < S_x + \epsilon$ . Since  $0 \le r < 1$ , so without loss of generality, we have  $3\Gamma_x r^{n_0} < S_x + \epsilon$ . Therefore, for all  $m, n \ge 2n_0$ 

$$G_{p}(f^{n}x, f^{m}x, f^{m}x) \leq \max \begin{cases} rG_{p}(f^{n-1}x, f^{m-1}x, f^{m-1}x), \\ G_{p}(f^{n-1}x, f^{n-1}x, f^{n-1}x), \\ G_{p}(f^{m-1}x, f^{m-1}x, f^{m-1}x) \end{cases}$$

$$\leq \max \begin{cases} r^{2}G_{p}(f^{n-2}x, f^{m-2}x, f^{m-2}x), \\ G_{p}(f^{n-2}x, f^{n-2}x, f^{n-2}x), \\ G_{p}(f^{m-2}x, f^{m-2}x, f^{m-2}x) \end{cases} \leq \cdots$$

$$\leq \max \begin{cases} r^{n_{0}}G_{p}(f^{n-n_{0}}x, f^{m-n_{0}}x, f^{m-n_{0}}x), \\ G_{p}(f^{n-n_{0}}x, f^{n-n_{0}}x, f^{n-n_{0}}x), \\ G_{p}(f^{m-n_{0}}x, f^{m-n_{0}}x, f^{m-n_{0}}x) \end{cases} \leq S_{x} + \epsilon.$$

$$(2.14)$$

Then,  $S_x = \lim_{m,n\to\infty} G_p(f^nx, f^mx, f^mx)$  and so  $\{f^nx\}$  is a *GP*-Cauchy sequence. Since  $(X, G_p)$  is *GP*-complete, then there exists  $x^* \in X$  such that  $\{f^nx\}$  *GP*-converges to  $x^*$ , that is,

$$G_p(x^*, x^*, x^*) = \lim_{\substack{m \ n \to \infty}} G_p(f^n x, f^m x, f^m x).$$
 (2.15)

Since  $\{f^nx\}GP$ -converges to  $x^*$ , then Proposition 1.6 yields that

$$G_p(x^*, x^*, x^*) = \lim_{n \to \infty} G_p(x^*, f^n x, f^n x) = \lim_{n \to \infty} G_p(f^n x, x^*, x^*).$$
 (2.16)

We obtain that

$$S_x = G_p(x^*, x^*, x^*) = \lim_{n \to \infty} G_p(x^*, f^n x, f^n x)$$
(2.17)

$$= \lim_{n \to \infty} G_p(f^n x, x^*, x^*) = \lim_{m, n \to \infty} G_p(f^n x, f^m x, f^m x).$$
 (2.18)

For all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ 

$$G_p(x^*, fx^*, fx^*) \le G_p(x^*, f^nx, f^nx) + G_p(f^nx, fx^*, fx^*) - G_p(f^nx, f^nx, f^nx). \tag{2.19}$$

By taking the limit as  $n \to \infty$  in the above inequality, we get

$$G_p(x^*, fx^*, fx^*) \le \lim_{n \to \infty} G_p(f^n x, fx^*, fx^*).$$
 (2.20)

On the other hand, from (2.2), we have

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} G_p(f^n x, f x^*, f x^*) \le \max \left\{ \begin{cases} r \lim_{n \to \infty} G_p(f^{n-1} x, x^*, x^*), \\ \lim_{n \to \infty} G_p(f^{n-1} x, f^{n-1} x, f^{n-1} x), \\ G_p(x^*, x^*, x^*) \end{cases} \right\} = G_p(x^*, x^*, x^*). \quad (2.21)$$

Thus,  $G_p(x^*, fx^*, fx^*) \le G_p(x^*, x^*, x^*)$ . By (GP2), we deduce that

$$G_p(x^*, fx^*, fx^*) = G_p(x^*, x^*, x^*).$$
 (2.22)

Now we show that  $X_{G_p}$  is nonempty. Let  $\Omega = \inf_{y \in X} G_p(y,y,y)$ . For all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , pike  $x_k \in X$  with  $G_p(x_k,x_k,x_k) < \Omega + 1/k$ . Define  $x_n^* = f^n x^*$  for all  $n \ge 1$ . Let us show that

$$\lim_{m,n\to\infty} G_p(x_n^*, x_m^*, x_m^*) = \Omega.$$
 (2.23)

Given  $\epsilon > 0$ , put  $n_0 := [3/\epsilon(1-r)] + 1$ . If  $k \ge n_0$ , then we have

$$\Omega \leq G_{p}(fx_{k}^{*}, fx_{k}^{*}, fx_{k}^{*}) \leq G_{p}(x_{k}^{*}, x_{k}^{*}, x_{k}^{*}) = S_{x_{k}} \leq G_{p}(x_{k}, x_{k}, x_{k}) < \Omega + \frac{1}{k}$$

$$< \Omega + \frac{1}{n_{0}} < \Omega + \frac{3}{\epsilon(1 - r)}$$

$$\leq G_{p}(fx_{k}^{*}, fx_{k}^{*}, fx_{k}^{*}) + \frac{3}{\epsilon(1 - r)}.$$
(2.24)

Therefore, we have

$$T_k := G_p(x_k^*, x_k^*, x_k^*) - G_p(fx_k^*, fx_k^*, fx_k^*) < \frac{3}{\epsilon(1-r)}.$$
 (2.25)

On the other hand, if  $k \ge n_0$ , then  $G_p(x_k^*, x_k^*, x_k^*) = S_{x_k} < \Omega + 1/n_0$ . It follows that

$$G_p(x_k^*, x_k^*, x_k^*) < \Omega + \frac{3}{\epsilon(1-r)}.$$
 (2.26)

By (GP4), (2.22), and (2.25), we can obtain

$$G_{p}(x_{n}^{*}, x_{m}^{*}, x_{m}^{*}) \leq G_{p}(x_{n}^{*}, f x_{n}^{*}, f x_{n}^{*}) + G_{p}(f x_{n}^{*}, x_{m}^{*}, x_{m}^{*}) - G_{p}(f x_{n}^{*}, f x_{n}^{*}, f x_{n}^{*})$$

$$= T_{n} + G_{p}(f x_{n}^{*}, x_{m}^{*}, x_{m}^{*}),$$

$$G_{p}(f x_{n}^{*}, x_{m}^{*}, x_{m}^{*}) \leq G_{p}(f x_{n}^{*}, f x_{m}^{*}, f x_{m}^{*}) + G_{p}(f x_{m}^{*}, x_{m}^{*}, x_{m}^{*}) - G_{p}(f x_{m}^{*}, f x_{m}^{*}, f x_{m}^{*})$$

$$= G_{p}(f x_{n}^{*}, f x_{m}^{*}, f x_{m}^{*}) + T_{m}.$$

$$(2.27)$$

Thus

$$G_{p}(x_{n}^{*}, x_{m}^{*}, x_{m}^{*}) \leq T_{m} + T_{n} + G_{p}(fx_{n}^{*}, fx_{m}^{*}, fx_{m}^{*})$$

$$\leq T_{m} + T_{n} + \max\{rG_{p}(x_{n}^{*}, x_{m}^{*}, x_{m}^{*}), G_{p}(x_{n}^{*}, x_{n}^{*}, x_{n}^{*}), G_{p}(x_{m}^{*}, x_{m}^{*}, x_{m}^{*})\}.$$

$$(2.28)$$

Now, by (2.25) and (2.26), we have

$$\Omega \leq G_{p}(x_{n}^{*}, x_{m}^{*}, x_{m}^{*}) \leq \max \left\{ \frac{2}{3} \epsilon, \frac{2}{3} \epsilon (1 - r) + G_{p}(x_{n}^{*}, x_{n}^{*}, x_{n}^{*}), \frac{2}{3} \epsilon (1 - r) + G_{p}(x_{m}^{*}, x_{m}^{*}, x_{m}^{*}) \right\} \\
\leq \max \left\{ \frac{2}{3} \epsilon, \Omega + \epsilon (1 - r) \right\} < \Omega + \epsilon, \tag{2.29}$$

that is, (2.23) holds. Again, Since  $(X, G_p)$  is GP-complete, then there exists  $y \in X$  such that

$$G_p(y,y,y) = \lim_{n \to \infty} G_p(y,x_n^*,x_n^*) = \lim_{n \to \infty} G_p(x_n^*,y,y) = \lim_{m,n \to \infty} G_p(x_n^*,x_m^*,x_m^*) = \Omega.$$
 (2.30)

This leads that  $y \in X_{G_p}$ , so  $X_{G_p}$  is nonempty.

Let  $x \in X$ . By (2.22), we get

$$\Omega \le G_p(fx^*, fx^*, fx^*) \le G_p(x^*, fx^*, fx^*) = G_p(x^*, x^*, x^*) = S_x = \Omega. \tag{2.31}$$

From (GP1), it follows that  $x^* = fx^*$ . By (2.17), we have

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} D_{G_p}(f^n x, x^*) = \lim_{n \to \infty} G_p(f^n x, x^*, x^*) + \lim_{n \to \infty} G_p(x^*, f^n x, f^n x)$$

$$- \lim_{n \to \infty} G_p(f^n x, f^n x, f^n x) - G_p(x^*, x^*, x^*)$$

$$= 0.$$
(2.32)

Therefore, for all  $x \in X_P$  the sequence  $\{f^n x\}$  converges with respect to the metric  $D_{G_p}$  to  $x^*$ . The uniqueness of the fixed point follows easily from (2.2).

We illustrate Theorem 2.2 by the following examples.

*Example 2.3.* Let  $X = [0, \infty)$  and define  $G_p(x, y, z) = \max\{x, y, z\}$ , for all  $x, y, z \in X$ . Then  $(X, G_p)$  is a complete *GP*-metric space. Clearly, (X, G) is not a *G*-metric space. Consider  $f: X \to X$  defined by  $fx = x^2/(1+x)$ . Without loss of generality, take  $x \le y \le z$ . We have

$$G_{p}(fx, fy, fz) = \frac{z^{2}}{1+z}$$

$$\leq z = \max\{G_{p}(x, x, x), G_{p}(y, y, y), G_{p}(z, z, z)\}$$

$$= \max\{rG_{p}(x, y, z), G_{p}(x, x, x), G_{p}(y, y, y), G_{p}(z, z, z)\},$$
(2.33)

for all  $r \in [0,1)$ . So, (2.2) holds. Here, u = 0 is the unique fixed point of f.

*Example 2.4.* Let  $X = [0, \infty)$ . Define  $G_p : X^3 \to [0, \infty)$  by  $G_p(x, y, z) = \max\{x, y, z\}$ . Clearly,  $(X, G_p)$  is a *GP*-metric space. Define  $f : X \to X$  by

$$fx = \begin{cases} x^2, & 0 \le x \le \frac{1}{3} \\ \frac{(1-x)}{2}, & \frac{1}{3} < x \le \frac{1}{2} \\ \frac{1}{(2x+1)} & x > \frac{1}{2}. \end{cases}$$
 (2.34)

Then, the inequality (2.2) of Theorem 2.2 holds. Here, u = 0 is the unique fixed point of f.

*Proof.* Clearly,  $M(x, y, z) := \max\{rG_p(x, y, z), G_p(x, x, x), G_p(y, y, y), G_p(z, z, z)\} = \max\{x, y, z\}$ , for all  $r \in (0, 1)$ . We have the following cases. *Case* 1 (0  $\leq x, y, z \leq 1/3$ ).

Consider the following:

$$G_p(fx, fy, fz) = \max\{x^2, y^2, z^2\} \le \max\{x, y, z\} = M(x, y, z).$$
 (2.35)

Case 2  $(1/3 < x, y, z \le 1/2)$ .

Consider the following:

$$G_p(fx, fy, fz) = \max\left\{\frac{(1-x)}{2}, \frac{(1-y)}{2}, \frac{(1-z)}{2}\right\} \le \max\{x, y, z\} = M(x, y, z).$$
 (2.36)

Case 3 (x, y, z > 1/2).

Consider the following:

$$G_p(fx, fy, fz) = \max\left\{\frac{1}{(2x+1)}, \frac{1}{(2y+1)}, \frac{1}{(2z+1)}\right\} \le M(x, y, z).$$
 (2.37)

Case 4  $(0 \le x \le 1/3, 1/3 < y \le 1/2 \text{ and } z > 1/2)$ .

Consider the following:

$$G_p(fx, fy, fz) = \max\left\{x^2, \frac{(1-y)}{2}, \frac{1}{(2z+1)}\right\} \le M(x, y, z).$$
 (2.38)

Case 5  $(0 \le x, y \le 1/3, 1/3 < z \le 1/2)$ .

Consider the following:

$$G_p(fx, fy, fz) = \max\left\{x^2, y^2, \frac{(1-x)}{2}\right\} \le M(x, y, z).$$
 (2.39)

Case 6  $(0 \le x, y \le 1/3, z > 1/2)$ .

Consider the following:

$$G_p(fx, fy, fz) = \max\left\{x^2, y^2, \frac{1}{(2x+1)}\right\} \le M(x, y, z).$$
 (2.40)

Case 7  $(1/3 < x, y \le 1/2, 0 \le z \le 1/3)$ .

Consider the following:

$$G_p(fx, fy, fz) = \max\left\{\frac{(1-x)}{2}, \frac{(1-y)}{2}, z^2\right\} \le M(x, y, z).$$
 (2.41)

Case 8  $(1/3 \le x, y \le 1/2, z > 1/2)$ .

Consider the following:

$$G_p(fx, fy, fz) = \max\left\{\frac{(1-x)}{2}, \frac{(1-y)}{2}, \frac{1}{(2z+1)}\right\} \le M(x, y, z).$$
 (2.42)

Case 9  $(x, y > 1/2, 0 \le z \le 1/3)$ .

Consider the following:

$$G_p(fx, fy, fz) = \max\left\{\frac{1}{(2x+1)}, \frac{1}{(2y+1)}, z^2\right\} \le M(x, y, z).$$
 (2.43)

Case 10  $(x, y > 1/2, 1/3 < z \le 1/2)$ .

Consider the following:

$$G_p(fx, fy, fz) = \max\left\{\frac{1}{(2x+1)}, \frac{1}{(2y+1)}, \frac{(1-z)}{2}\right\} \le M(x, y, z).$$
 (2.44)

Thus, the inequality (2.2) holds. Applying Theorem 2.2, we get u=0 is the unique point fixed point of f.

Also, Ilić et al. [44] proved the following result.

**Theorem 2.5** (see [44]). Let (X, P) be a complete partial metric space. Let f be a self-mapping on X. Suppose that for all  $x, y, z \in X$  the following condition holds:

$$p(fx, fy) \le \max\left\{\alpha p(x, y), \frac{p(x, x) + p(y, y)}{2}\right\},\tag{2.45}$$

where  $0 < \alpha < 1$ . Then

- (i) the set  $X_P = \{y \in X : p(x, x) = \inf_{y \in X} p(y, y)\}$  is nonempty;
- (ii) there is a unique  $x^* \in X_P$  such that  $fx^* = x^*$ ;
- (iii) for all  $x \in X_P$ , the sequence  $\{f^n x\}$  converges to  $x^*$  with respect to the metric  $d_p$ .

The analog of Theorem 2.5 in *GP*-metric spaces is stated as follows.

**Theorem 2.6.** Let  $(X, G_p)$  be a GP-complete GP-metric space. Let f be a self-mapping on X. Suppose that for all  $x, y, z \in X$  the following condition holds:

$$G_p(fx, fy, fz) \le \max \left\{ rG_p(x, y, z), \frac{G_p(x, x, x) + G_p(y, y, y) + G_p(z, z, z)}{3} \right\},$$
 (2.46)

where  $0 \le r < 1$ . Then

- (R1) the set  $X_P = \{y \in X : G_p(x, x, x) = \inf_{y \in X} G_p(y, y, y)\}$  is nonempty;
- (R2) there is a unique  $x^* \in X_P$  such that  $fx^* = x^*$ ;
- (R3) for all  $x \in X_{G_p}$ , the sequence  $\{f^n x\}$  converges to  $x^*$  with respect to the metric  $D_{G_p}$ .

Proof. Since

$$G_{p}(x,x,x),G_{p}(y,y,y),G_{p}(z,z,z)$$

$$\leq \max\{rG_{p}(x,y,z),G_{p}(x,x,x),G_{p}(y,y,y),G_{p}(z,z,z)\},$$
(2.47)

then

$$\frac{G_{p}(x,x,x) + G_{p}(y,y,y) + G_{p}(z,z,z)}{3} \\
\leq \max\{rG_{p}(x,y,z), G_{p}(x,x,x), G_{p}(y,y,y), G_{p}(z,z,z)\}.$$
(2.48)

Thus

$$G_p(fx, fy, fz) \le \max\{rG_p(x, y, z), G_p(x, x, x), G_p(y, y, y), G_p(z, z, z)\}.$$
 (2.49)

Then, the conditions of Theorem 2.1 hold. Hence, it follows that (R1), (R2), and (R3) hold.  $\Box$ 

*Example 2.7.* Let X = [0,1] and define  $G_p(x,y,z) = \max\{x,y,z\}$ , for all  $x,y,z \in X$ . We have  $(X,G_p)$  is a complete GP-metric space. Take  $fx = x^2/2$  and r = 1/2. For all  $x \le y \le z$ , we have

$$G_{p}(fx, fy, fz) = \frac{z^{2}}{2}$$

$$\leq rG_{p}(x, y, z)$$

$$\leq \max\{rG_{p}(x, y, z), G_{p}(x, x, x), G_{p}(y, y, y), G_{p}(z, z, z)\},$$
(2.50)

that is, (2.2) holds. Here, u = 0 is the unique fixed point of f.

Similarly, we have the following.

**Theorem 2.8.** Let  $(X, G_p)$  be a GP-complete GP-metric space. Let f be a self-mapping on X. Suppose that for all  $x, y, z \in X$  the following condition holds:

$$G_p(fx, fy, fz)^3 \le rG_p(x, x, x)G_p(y, y, y)G_p(z, z, z),$$
 (2.51)

where  $0 \le r < 1$ . Then

- (N1) the set  $X_{G_p} = \{ y \in X : G_p(x, x, x) = \inf_{y \in X} G_p(y, y, y) \}$  is nonempty;
- (N2) there is a unique  $x^* \in X_{G_p}$  such that  $fx^* = x^*$ ;
- (N3) for all  $x \in X_{G_v}$ , the sequence  $\{f^n x\}$  converges with respect to the metric  $D_{G_v}$  to  $x^*$ .

The following lemma is useful.

**Lemma 2.9.** Let  $(X, G_p)$  be a GP-metric space and  $\{x_n\}$  be a sequence in X. Assume that  $\{x_n\}GP$  converges to a point  $x \in X$  with  $G_p(x, x, x) = 0$ . Then  $\lim_{n \to +\infty} G_p(x_n, y, y) = G_p(x, y, y)$  for all  $y \in X$ . Moreover,  $\lim_{m,n \to +\infty} G_p(x_n, x_m, x) = 0$ .

Proof. By (GP4), we have

$$G_p(x, y, y) - G_p(x, x_n, x_n) \le G_p(x_n, y, y) \le G_p(x_n, x, x) + G_p(x, y, y),$$
 (2.52)

and so  $\lim_{n\to+\infty} G_p(x_n,y,y) = P(x,y,y)$ . Again by (GP4), we get

$$G_v(x_n, x_m, x) \le G_v(x_n, x, x) + G_v(x, x, x_m),$$
 (2.53)

and hence  $\lim_{m,n\to+\infty} G_p(x_n,x_m,x)=0$ .

**Theorem 2.10.** Let  $(X, G_p)$  be a 0-GP-complete GP-metric space and f be a self-mapping on X. Assume that  $(1/3)G_p(x, fx, fx) < G_p(x, y, y)$  implies

$$G_p(fx, fy, fy) \le \alpha G_p(x, y, y) + \beta G_p(x, fx, fx) + \gamma G_p(y, fy, fy)$$
 (2.54)

for all  $x, y \in X$ , where  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \ge 0$  with  $\alpha + \beta + \gamma < 1$ . Then f has a unique fixed point.

*Proof.* If x = fx, then x is a fixed point for f. Assume that  $x \neq fx$ . So by Lemma 1.10, it follows that  $G_p(x, fx, fx) > 0$ . Therefore,  $(1/3)G_p(x, fx, fx) < G_p(x, fx, fx)$  and so from (2.54), we have

$$G_p(fx, f^2x, f^2x) \le rG_p(x, fx, fx)$$
 for all  $x \in X, r = \frac{\alpha + \beta}{1 - \gamma} < 1.$  (2.55)

Let  $x_0 \in X$  and define a sequence  $\{x_n\}$  by  $x_n = f^n x_0$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Now by (2.55), we can obtain that

$$G_p(f^n x_0, f^{n+1} x_0, f^{n+1} x_0) \le rG_p(f^{n-1} x_0, f^n x_0, f^n x_0) \le \dots \le r^n G_p(x_0, f x_0, f x_0).$$
 (2.56)

Then, for any m > n, by (2.56), we get

$$G_{p}(f^{n}x_{0}, f^{m}x_{0}, f^{m}x_{0}) \leq G_{p}(f^{n}x_{0}, f^{n+1}x_{0}, f^{n+1}x_{0}) + G_{p}(f^{n+1}x_{0}, f^{m}x_{0}, f^{m}x_{0})$$

$$\leq G_{p}(f^{n}x_{0}, f^{n+1}x_{0}, f^{n+1}x_{0}) + G_{p}(f^{n+1}x_{0}, f^{n+2}x_{0}, f^{n+2}x_{0})$$

$$+ G_{p}(f^{n+2}x_{0}, f^{m}x_{0}, f^{m}x_{0})$$

$$\leq G_{p}(f^{n}x_{0}, f^{n+1}x_{0}, f^{n+1}x_{0}) + G_{p}(f^{n+1}x_{0}, f^{n+2}x_{0}, f^{n+2}x_{0})$$

$$+ G_{p}(f^{n+2}x_{0}, f^{n+3}x_{0}, f^{n+3}x_{0}) + \dots + G_{p}(f^{m-1}x_{0}, f^{m}x_{0}, f^{m}x_{0})$$

$$\leq \frac{r^{n}}{1-r}G_{p}(x_{0}, fx_{0}, fx_{0}).$$

$$(2.57)$$

It implies that  $\lim_{m,n\to\infty} G_p(f^nx_0, f^mx_0, f^mx_0) = 0$ ; that is,  $\{x_n\}$  is a 0-GP-Cauchy sequence. Since X is 0-GP-complete, so  $\{x_n\}$  GP converges to some point  $z \in X$  with  $G_p(z,z,z) = 0$ , that is,

$$\lim_{n \to +\infty} G_p(x_n, z, z) = \lim_{n \to \infty} G_p(x_n, x_n, z) = G_P(z, z, z) = 0.$$
 (2.58)

Now, we suppose that the following inequality holds:

$$\frac{1}{3}G_p(x, fx, fx) \ge G_p(x, y, y), \qquad \frac{1}{3}G_p(fx, f^2x, f^2x) \ge G_p(fx, y, y), \tag{2.59}$$

for some  $x, y \in X$ . Then, by Proposition 1.3 (iii) and (2.55), we have

$$G_{p}(x, fx, fx) \leq G_{p}(x, y, y) + 2G_{p}(fx, y, y)$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{3}G_{p}(x, fx, fx) + \frac{2}{3}G_{p}(fx, f^{2}x, f^{2}x)$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{3}G_{p}(x, fx, fx) + \frac{2r}{3}G_{p}(x, fx, fx) < G_{p}(x, fx, fx)$$
(2.60)

which is a contradiction. Thus, for all  $x, y \in X$ , either

$$\frac{1}{3}G_p(x, fx, fx) < G_p(x, y, y) \quad \text{or } \frac{1}{3}G_p(fx, f^2x, f^2x) < G_p(fx, y, y)$$
 (2.61)

holds. Therefore, either

$$\frac{1}{3}G_p(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+1}) \le G_p(x_{2n}, z, z) \quad \text{or } \frac{1}{3}G_p(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2}, x_{2n+2}) \le G_p(x_{2n+1}, z, z)$$
 (2.62)

holds for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

On the other hand, by (2.54), it follows that

$$G_{p}(x_{2n+1}, fz, fz) \leq \alpha G_{p}(x_{2n}, z, z) + \beta G_{p}(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+1}) + \gamma G_{p}(z, fz, fz),$$

$$G_{p}(x_{2n+2}, fz, fz) \leq \alpha G_{p}(x_{2n+1}, z, z) + \beta G_{p}(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2}, x_{2n+2}) + \gamma G_{p}(z, fz, fz).$$
(2.63)

If we take the limit as  $n \to \infty$  in each of these inequalities, having in mind (2.58), (2.62), and applying Lemma 2.9, then we get  $(1 - \gamma)G_p(z, fz, fz) \le 0$ , that is, z = fz. The uniqueness of the fixed point follows easily from (2.54).

As a consequence of Theorem 2.10, we may state the following corollaries.  $\Box$ 

**Corollary 2.11.** Let  $(X, G_p)$  be a 0-GP-complete GP-metric space and f be a self-mapping on X. Assume that

$$\frac{1}{3}G_p(x,fx,fx) < G_p(x,y,y) \quad implies \ G_p(fx,fy,fy) \le rG_p(x,fx,fx)$$
 (2.64)

for all  $x, y \in X$ , where  $0 \le r < 1$ . Then f has a unique fixed point.

**Corollary 2.12.** Let  $(X, G_p)$  be a 0-GP-complete GP-metric space and f be a self-mapping on X. Assume that

$$\frac{1}{3}G_p(x, fx, fx) < G_p(x, y, y) \quad implies G_p(fx, fy, fy) \le rG_p(y, fy, fy)$$
 (2.65)

for all  $x, y \in X$ , where  $0 \le r < 1$ . Then f has a unique fixed point.

#### 3. Conclusion

In [43], Zand and Nezhad initiated the notion of a *GP*-metric space. Also, they studied fully its topology. Based on this new space, in this paper we present some fixed point results for self mappings involving different contractive conditions. They are illustrated by some examples. The presented theorems are the first results in fixed point theory on *GP*-metric spaces.

#### **Acknowledgments**

The authors thank the editor and referees for their valuable comments and suggestions.

#### References

- [1] S. G. Matthews, "Partial metric topology," *Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences*, vol. 728, Proceedings of the 8th Summer Conference on General Topology and Applications, pp. 183–197, 1994.
- [2] S. Banach, "Sur les opérations dans les ensembles abstraits et leur application aux équations intégrales," Fundamenta Mathematicae, vol. 3, pp. 133–181, 1922.
- [3] T. Abedelljawad, E. Karapınar, and K. Taş, "Existence and uniqueness of common fixed point on partial metric spaces," *Applied Mathematics Letters*, vol. 24, pp. 1894–1899, 2011.
- [4] T. Abdeljawad, E. Karapınar, and K. Taş, "A generalized contraction principle with control functions on partial metric spaces," *Computers & Mathematics with Applications*, vol. 63, no. 3, pp. 716–719, 2012.

- [5] I. Altun, F. Sola, and H. Simsek, "Generalized contractions on partial metric spaces," *Topology and its Applications*, vol. 157, no. 18, pp. 2778–2785, 2010.
- [6] H. Aydi, "Some coupled fixed point results on partial metric spaces," *International Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences*, vol. 2011, Article ID 647091, 11 pages, 2011.
- [7] H. Aydi, "Some fixed point results in ordered partial metric spaces," Journal of Nonlinear Science and its Applications, vol. 4, no. 3, pp. 210–217, 2011.
- [8] H. Aydi, "Fixed point results for weakly contractive mappings in ordered partial metric spaces," *Journal of Advanced Mathematical Studies*, vol. 4, no. 2, pp. 1–12, 2011.
- [9] H. Aydi, "Fixed point theorems for generalized weakly contractive condition in ordered partial metric spaces," *Journal of Nonlinear Analysis and Optimization*, vol. 2, no. 2, pp. 33–48, 2011.
- [10] H. Aydi, E. Karapınar, and W. Shatanawi, "Tripled fixed point results in generalized metric spaces," Journal of Applied Mathematics, vol. 2012, Article ID 314279, 10 pages, 2012.
- [11] H. Aydi, "Common fixed point results for mappings satisfying  $(\psi, \phi)$ -weak contractions in ordered partial metric spaces," *International Journal of Mathematics and Statistics*, vol. 12, no. 2, pp. 53–64, 2012.
- [12] L. Ćirić, B. Samet, H. Aydi, and C. Vetro, "Common fixed points of generalized contractions on partial metric spaces and an application," *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, vol. 218, no. 6, pp. 2398–2406, 2011.
- [13] K. P. Chi, E. Karapınar, and T. D. Thanh, "A generalized contraction principle in partial metric spaces," *Mathematical and Computer Modelling*, vol. 55, no. 56, pp. 1673–1681, 2012.
- [14] C. Di Bari, M. Milojević, S. Radenović, and P. Vetro, "Common fixed points for self-mappings on partial metric spaces," Fixed Point Theory and Applications, vol. 2012, article 140, 2012.
- [15] E. Karapınar and M. Erhan, "Fixed point theorems for operators on partial metric spaces," Applied Mathematics Letters, vol. 24, no. 11, pp. 1894–1899, 2011.
- [16] E. Karapınar, "Generalizations of Caristi Kirk's theorem on partial metric spaces," Fixed Point Theory and Applications, vol. 2011, article 4, 2011.
- [17] E. Karapınar, "Weak  $\varphi$ -contraction on partial metric spaces," *Journal of Computational Analysis and Applications*, vol. 14, no. 2, pp. 206–210, 2012.
- [18] E. Karapınar and U. Yüksel, "Some common fixed point theorems in partial metric spaces," *Journal of Applied Mathematics*, vol. 2011, Article ID 263621, 16 pages, 2011.
- [19] E. Karapınar, "A note on common fixed point theorems in partial metric spaces," *Miskolc Mathematical Notes*, vol. 12, no. 2, pp. 185–191, 2011.
- [20] H. K. Nashine, Z. Kadelburg, and S. Radenović, "Common fixed point theorems for weakly isotone increasing mappings in ordered partial metric spaces," *Mathematical and Computer Modelling*. In press.
- [21] S. Oltra and O. Valero, "Banach's fixed point theorem for partial metric spaces," *Rendiconti dell'Istituto di Matematica dell'Università di Trieste*, vol. 36, no. 1-2, pp. 17–26, 2004.
- [22] S. Romaguera, "A Kirk type characterization of completeness for partial metric spaces," *Fixed Point Theory and Applications*, vol. 2010, Article ID 493298, 6 pages, 2010.
- [23] O. Valero, "On Banach fixed point theorems for partial metric spaces," *Applied General Topology*, vol. 6, no. 2, pp. 229–240, 2005.
- [24] Z. Mustafa and B. Sims, "A new approach to generalized metric spaces," *Journal of Nonlinear and Convex Analysis*, vol. 7, no. 2, pp. 289–297, 2006.
- [25] M. Abbas, A. R. Khan, and T. Nazir, "Coupled common fixed point results in two generalized metric spaces," *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, vol. 217, no. 13, pp. 6328–6336, 2011.
- [26] M. Abbas, T. Nazir, and D. Dorić, "Common fixed point of mappings satisfying (E.A) property in generalized metric spaces," *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, vol. 218, no. 14, pp. 7665–7670, 2012.
- [27] H. Aydi, E. Karapınar, and W. Shatanawi, "Tripled common fixed point results for generalized contractions in ordered generalized metric spaces," *Fixed Point Theory and Applications*, vol. 2012, article 101, 2012.
- [28] H.-S. Ding and E. Karapınar, "A note on some coupled fixed point theorems on G-metric space," *Journal of Inequalities and Applications*, vol. 2012, article 170, 2012.
- [29] Z. Mustafa and B. Sims, "Some remarks concerning D—metric spaces," in *Proceedings of the Internatinal Conferences on Fixed Point Theorey and Applications*, pp. 189–198, Valencia, Spain, July 2003.
- [30] Z. Mustafa, A new structure for generalized metric spaces with applications to fixed point theory [Ph.D. thesis], The University of Newcastle, Callaghan, Australia, 2005.
- [31] Z. Mustafa, H. Obiedat, and F. Awawdeh, "Some fixed point theorem for mapping on complete G-metric spaces," Fixed Point Theory and Applications, vol. 2008, Article ID 189870, 12 pages, 2008.
- [32] Z. Mustafa, W. Shatanawi, and M. Bataineh, "Existence of fixed point results in G-metric spaces," International Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences, vol. 2009, Article ID 283028, 10 pages, 2009.

- [33] Z. Mustafa and H. Obiedat, "A fixed point theorem of Reich in G-metric spaces," Cubo, vol. 12, no. 1, pp. 83-93, 2010.
- [34] Z. Mustafa and B. Sims, "Fixed point theorems for contractive mappings in complete G-metric spaces," Fixed Point Theory and Applications, vol. 2009, Article ID 917175, 10 pages, 2009.
- [35] Z. Mustafa, M. Khandagji, and W. Shatanawi, "Fixed point results on complete G-metric spaces," Studia Scientiarum Mathematicarum Hungarica, vol. 48, no. 3, pp. 304–319, 2011.
- [36] Z. Mustafa, F. Awawdeh, and W. Shatanawi, "Fixed point theorem for expansive mappings in Gmetric spaces," International Journal of Contemporary Mathematical Sciences, vol. 5, no. 49-52, pp. 2463-2472, 2010.
- [37] Z. Mustafa, H. Aydi, and E. Karapınar, "On common fixed points in G-metric spaces using (E.A) property," Computers & Mathematics with Applications, vol. 64, no. 6, pp. 1944–1956, 2012.
- [38] Z. Mustafa, H. Aydi, and E. Karapınar, "Mixed g-monotone property and quadruple fixed point theorems in partially ordered metric spaces," Fixed Point Theory and Applications, vol. 2012, article 71, 2012.
- [39] R. Saadati, S. M. Vaezpour, P. Vetro, and B. E. Rhoades, "Fixed point theorems in generalized partially ordered G-metric spaces," Mathematical and Computer Modelling, vol. 52, no. 5-6, pp. 797–801, 2010.
- [40] W. Shatanawi, "Fixed point theory for contractive mappings satisfying Φ-maps in G-metric spaces," Fixed Point Theory and Applications, vol. 2010, Article ID 181650, 9 pages, 2010.
- [41] N. Tahat, H. Aydi, E. Karapınar, and W. Shatanawi, "Common fixed points for single-valued and multi-valued maps satisfying a generalized contraction in G-metric spaces," Fixed Point Theory and Applications, vol. 2012, article 48, 2012.
- [42] W. Shatanawi, "Some fixed point theorems in ordered G-metric spaces and applications," Abstract and Applied Analysis, vol. 2011, Article ID 126205, 11 pages, 2011.
- [43] M. R. A. Zand and A. D. Nezhad, "A generalization of partial metric spaces," Journal of
- Contemporary Applied Mathematics, vol. 24, pp. 86–93, 2011. [44] D. Ilić, V. Pavlović, and V. Rakočević, "Some new extensions of Banach's contraction principle to partial metric space," Applied Mathematics Letters, vol. 24, no. 8, pp. 1326–1330, 2011.

















Submit your manuscripts at http://www.hindawi.com























