

## Research Article

# Bounds on the Distance Energy and the Distance Estrada Index of Strongly Quotient Graphs

Ş. Burcu Bozkurt,<sup>1</sup> Chandrashekara Adiga,<sup>2</sup> and Durmuş Bozkurt<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Mathematics, Science Faculty, Selçuk University, 42075 Konya, Turkey

<sup>2</sup> Department of Studies in Mathematics, University of Mysore, Manasagangotri, Mysore 570 006, India

Correspondence should be addressed to Ş. Burcu Bozkurt; sbbozkurt@selcuk.edu.tr

Received 31 January 2013; Accepted 19 April 2013

Academic Editor: Chong Lin

Copyright © 2013 Ş. Burcu Bozkurt et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

The notion of strongly quotient graph (SQG) was introduced by Adiga et al. (2007). In this paper, we obtain some better results for the distance energy and the distance Estrada index of any connected strongly quotient graph (CSQG) as well as some relations between the distance Estrada index and the distance energy. We also present some bounds for the distance energy and the distance Estrada index of CSQG whose diameter does not exceed two. Additionally, we show that our results improve most of the results obtained by Güngör and Bozkurt (2009) and Zaferani (2008).

## 1. Introduction

Since the distance matrix and related matrices based on graph-theoretical distances are efficient sources of many topological indices that are widely used in theoretical chemistry [1, 2], it is of interest to study spectrum and spectrum-based invariants of these matrices.

Let  $G$  be a connected graph with  $n$  vertices and  $m$  edges and let the vertices of  $G$  be labeled as  $v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n$ . Such a graph will be referred to as connected  $(n, m)$ -graph. Let  $D = D(G)$  be the distance matrix of the graph  $G$ , where  $d_{ij}$  denotes the distance (i.e., the length of the shortest path [3]) between the vertices  $v_i$  and  $v_j$  of  $G$ . The diameter of the graph  $G$ , denoted by  $\text{diam}(G)$ , is the maximum distance between any two vertices of  $G$ . The eigenvalues of  $D(G)$  are said to be the  $D$ -eigenvalues of  $G$ . Since  $D(G)$  is a real symmetric matrix, its eigenvalues are real numbers. So, we can order them so that  $\mu_1 \geq \mu_2 \geq \dots \geq \mu_n$ . For more details on  $D$ -eigenvalues, especially on  $\mu_1$ , see [3–12].

The distance energy of the graph  $G$  is defined as [13]

$$E_D = E_D(G) = \sum_{i=1}^n |\mu_i|. \quad (1)$$

This concept was motivated by the ordinary graph energy which is defined as the sum of absolute values of ordinary graph eigenvalues [14–16]. It was also studied intensely in the literature. For instance, Indulal et al. [13] reported lower and upper bounds for the distance energy of graphs whose diameter does not exceed two. In [17] Ramane et al. generalized the results obtained in [13]. Zhou and Ilić [10] established lower bounds for the distance energy of graphs and characterized the extremal graphs. They also discussed upper bounds for the distance energy. Ilić [18] calculated the distance energy of unitary Cayley graphs and presented two families of integral circulant graphs with equal distance energy. Zaferani [19] established an upper bound for the distance energy of strongly quotient graphs. For more results on distance energy, see also the recent papers [6, 20].

Recently, another graph invariant based on graph eigenvalues was put forward in [21]. It was eventually studied under the name Estrada index in [22]. For more details on Estrada index, see [21–27]. Motivating the ideas in [21, 22] and considering the distance matrix of the graph  $G$ , the authors defined the distance Estrada index of  $G$  as the following [28]:

$$\text{DEE} = \text{DEE}(G) = \sum_{i=1}^n e^{\mu_i}. \quad (2)$$

In [28], they also established some lower and upper bounds for this index.

During the past forty years or so enormous amount of research work has been done on graph labeling, where the vertices are assigned values subject to certain conditions. These interesting problems have been motivated by practical problems. Recently, Adiga et al. [29] introduced the notion of strongly quotient graphs and studied these types of graphs. Throughout this paper by a labeling  $f$  of a graph  $G$  of order  $n$  we mean an injective mapping

$$f: V(G) \longrightarrow \{1, 2, \dots, n\}. \quad (3)$$

We define the quotient function

$$f_q: E(G) \longrightarrow Q \quad (4)$$

by

$$f_q(e) = \min \left\{ \frac{f(v)}{f(w)}, \frac{f(w)}{f(v)} \right\} \quad (5)$$

if  $e$  joins  $v$  and  $w$ . Note that for any  $e \in E(G)$ ,  $0 < f_q(e) < 1$ .

A graph with  $n$  vertices is called a strongly quotient graph if its vertices can be labeled  $1, 2, \dots, n$  such that the quotient function  $f_q$  is injective, that is, the values  $f_q(e)$  on the edges are all distinct. For detailed information on graph labeling and strongly quotient graphs, see [19, 23, 29, 30]. Throughout this paper SQG and CSQG stand for strongly quotient graph and connected strongly quotient graph of order  $n$  with maximum number of edges, respectively.

In this paper, we obtain some bounds for the distance energy  $E_D(G)$  and the distance Estrada index  $DEE(G)$  as well as some relations between  $DEE(G)$  and  $E_D(G)$  where  $G$  is CSQG. We present some bounds for  $E_D(G)$  and  $DEE(G)$  of CSQG whose diameter does not exceed two. We also show that our results improve most of the results obtained in [19, 28] for CSQG.

## 2. Preliminaries

In this section, we give some lemmas which will be used in our main results.

**Lemma 1** (see [31]). *Let  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n$  be nonnegative numbers. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} & n \left[ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n a_i - \left( \prod_{i=1}^n a_i \right)^{1/n} \right] \\ & \leq n \sum_{i=1}^n a_i - \left( \sum_{i=1}^n \sqrt[n]{a_i} \right)^2 \\ & \leq n(n-1) \left[ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n a_i - \left( \prod_{i=1}^n a_i \right)^{1/n} \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

**Lemma 2** (see [17]). *Let  $G$  be a connected  $(n, m)$ -graph and  $\mu_1, \mu_2, \dots, \mu_n$  its  $D$ -eigenvalues. Then*

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \mu_i = 0, \quad (7)$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \mu_i^2 = 2 \sum_{i < j} (d_{ij})^2. \quad (8)$$

**Lemma 3** (see [13]). *Let  $G$  be a connected  $(n, m)$ -graph and let  $\text{diam}(G) \leq 2$ , where  $\text{diam}(G)$  denotes the diameter of the graph  $G$ . Then*

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \mu_i^2 = 2(2n^2 - 2n - 3m). \quad (9)$$

**Lemma 4** (see [28]). *Let  $G$  be a connected  $(n, m)$ -graph and  $\text{diam}(G)$  be the diameter of  $G$ . Then*

$$\sum_{i < j} (d_{ij})^2 \leq \frac{n(n-1)}{2} \text{diam}^2(G). \quad (10)$$

*The equality holds in (10) if and only if  $G \cong K_n$ .*

**Lemma 5** (see [19]). *If  $G$  is a SQG, then  $-1$  is a  $D$ -eigenvalue of  $G$  with multiplicity greater than or equal to  $|P| = l$ , where*

$$P = \left\{ p : p \text{ is prime and } \frac{n}{2} < p \leq n \right\}. \quad (11)$$

**Lemma 6** (see [19]). *If  $G$  is a SQG, then  $-2$  is a  $D$ -eigenvalue of  $G$  with multiplicity greater than or equal to  $s$  where*

$$s = \sum_{\substack{p \text{ prime} \\ p \leq \lfloor n/2 \rfloor}} ([\log_p n] - 1). \quad (12)$$

## 3. Bounds on Distance Energy of CSQG

In this section, we will present a better upper bound and a new lower bound for  $E_D(G)$  where  $G$  is CSQG with  $D$ -eigenvalues  $\mu_1, \mu_2, \dots, \mu_n$ . Let  $n_+$  be the number of positive  $D$ -eigenvalues of  $G$  and  $l$  and  $s$  are as defined in Lemmas 5 and 6, respectively. For our convenience, we rename the  $D$ -eigenvalues such that  $\mu_{n-l-s+1} = \mu_{n-l-s+2} = \dots = \mu_{n-s} = -1$  and  $\mu_{n-s+1} = \mu_{n-s+2} = \dots = \mu_n = -2$ .

**Theorem 7.** *Let  $G$  be a connected strongly quotient graph (CSQG) with  $n > 3$  vertices and maximum edges  $m$ . Let  $P = \{p : p \text{ is prime and } (n/2) < p \leq n\}$  and  $|P| = l$ . Then*

$$E_D(G) \geq l + 2s + \sqrt{W + (n-l-s)(n-l-s-1)\phi^{2/n-l-s}}, \quad (13)$$

$$E_D(G) \leq l + 2s + \sqrt{(n-l-s-1)W + (n-l-s)\phi^{2/n-l-s}}, \quad (14)$$

where

$$\phi = \prod_{i=1}^{n-l-s} |\mu_i| = \frac{|\det D|}{2^s},$$

$$s = \sum_{\substack{p\text{-prime} \\ p \leq \lfloor n/2 \rfloor}} ([\log_p n] - 1), \quad W = 2 \sum_{i < j} (d_{ij})^2 - l - 4s. \quad (15)$$

*Proof.* Taking  $a_i = \mu_i^2$  and replacing  $n$  by  $n-l-s$  in Lemma 1, we obtain

$$K \leq (n-l-s) \sum_{i=1}^{n-l-s} \mu_i^2 - \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n-l-s} |\mu_i| \right)^2 \leq (n-l-s-1) K, \quad (16)$$

where

$$K = (n-l-s) \left[ \frac{1}{n-l-s} \sum_{i=1}^{n-l-s} \mu_i^2 - \left( \prod_{i=1}^{n-l-s} \mu_i^2 \right)^{1/(n-l-s)} \right]. \quad (17)$$

By Lemmas 5 and 6, we know that  $-1$  and  $-2$  are the  $D$ -eigenvalues of the strongly quotient graph  $G$  with multiplicity greater than or equal to  $l$  and  $s$ , respectively. Therefore, considering (8) we obtain

$$K \leq (n-l-s) \left( 2 \sum_{i < j} (d_{ij})^2 - l - 4s \right) - (E_D(G) - l - 2s)^2$$

$$\leq (n-l-s-1) K. \quad (18)$$

Observe that

$$K = (n-l-s) \left[ \frac{1}{n-l-s} \sum_{i=1}^{n-l-s} \mu_i^2 - \left( \prod_{i=1}^{n-l-s} \mu_i^2 \right)^{1/(n-l-s)} \right]$$

$$= (n-l-s) \left[ \frac{1}{n-l-s} \left( 2 \sum_{i < j} (d_{ij})^2 - l - 4s \right) - \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n-l-s} |\mu_i| \right)^{2/(n-l-s)} \right] \quad (19)$$

$$= W - (n-l-s) \phi^{2/(n-l-s)}.$$

Hence we get the result.  $\square$

*Remark 8.* In [19] Zaferani obtained the following upper bound for the distance energy of CSQG:

$$E_D(G) \leq l + 2s + \sqrt{(n-l-s) \left( 2 \sum_{i < j} (d_{ij})^2 - l - 4s \right)}. \quad (20)$$

The upper bound (14) is better than the upper bound (20). Using the Arithmetic-Geometric Mean Inequality, we can easily see that

$$W = 2 \sum_{i < j} (d_{ij})^2 - l - 4s \geq (n-l-s) \phi^{2/(n-l-s)}. \quad (21)$$

Considering this and the upper bound (14), we arrive at

$$E_D(G) \leq l + 2s + \sqrt{(n-l-s-1)W + (n-l-s) \phi^{2/(n-l-s)}}$$

$$\leq l + 2s + \sqrt{(n-l-s)W - (n-l-s) \phi^{2/(n-l-s)} + (n-l-s) \phi^{2/(n-l-s)}}$$

$$= l + 2s + \sqrt{(n-l-s) \left( 2 \sum_{i < j} (d_{ij})^2 - l - 4s \right)} \quad (22)$$

which is the upper bound (20).

Using Theorem 7 and Lemma 3, we can give the following result.

**Corollary 9.** Let  $G$  be a connected strongly quotient graph (CSQG) with  $n > 3$  vertices and maximum edges  $m$  and let  $\text{diam}(G) \leq 2$ , where  $\text{diam}(G)$  denotes the diameter of  $G$ . Then

$$E_D(G) \geq l + 2s + \sqrt{R + (n-l-s)(n-l-s-1) \phi^{2/(n-l-s)}},$$

$$E_D(G) \leq l + 2s + \sqrt{(n-l-s-1)R + (n-l-s) \phi^{2/(n-l-s)}}, \quad (23)$$

where  $R = 2(2n^2 - 2n - 3m) - l - 4s$ .

#### 4. Bounds on Distance Estrada Index of CSQG

In this section, we will use similar ideas as in [22, 24–27] to obtain some bounds for  $\text{DEE}(G)$ , where  $G$  is CSQG. These bounds are based on the distance energy  $E_D(G)$  and several other graph invariants.

**Theorem 10.** Let  $G$  be a connected strongly quotient graph (CSQG) with  $n > 3$  vertices and maximum edges  $m$ . Then

$$\text{DEE}(G) \geq se^{-2} + le^{-1} + (n-l-s) e^{(l+2s)/(n-l-s)}. \quad (24)$$

*Proof.* Using the Arithmetic-Geometric Mean Inequality, we get

$$\text{DEE}(G) = se^{-2} + le^{-1} + \sum_{i=1}^{n-l-s} e^{\mu_i}$$

$$\geq se^{-2} + le^{-1} + (n-l-s) \left( \prod_{i=1}^{n-l-s} e^{\mu_i} \right)^{1/(n-l-s)} \quad (25)$$

$$= se^{-2} + le^{-1} + (n-l-s) \left( e^{\sum_{i=1}^{n-l-s} \mu_i} \right)^{1/(n-l-s)}.$$

From (7) and Lemmas 5 and 6, we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n-l-s} \mu_i + s(-2) + l(-1) = 0 \quad \text{that is,} \quad \sum_{i=1}^{n-l-s} \mu_i = l + 2s. \quad (26)$$

Employing (25) and (26), we conclude that

$$DEE(G) \geq se^{-2} + le^{-1} + (n - l - s) e^{(l+2s)/(n-l-s)}. \quad (27)$$

This completes the proof.  $\square$

**Theorem 11.** *The distance Estrada index  $DEE(G)$  and the distance energy  $E_D(G)$  of CSQG with  $n > 3$  vertices and maximum edges  $m$  satisfy the following inequalities:*

$$DEE(G) \geq se^{-2} + le^{-1} + \frac{1}{2} E_D(G) (e - 1) + (n + s - n_+), \quad (28)$$

$$DEE(G) \leq se^{-2} + le^{-1} + (n - l - s - 1) + e^{E_D(G)/2}. \quad (29)$$

*Proof. Lower bound:* Using Lemmas 5 and 6 and the inequalities  $e^x \geq xe$  and  $e^x \geq 1 + x$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} DEE(G) &= \sum_{i=1}^n e^{\mu_i} = se^{-2} + le^{-1} + \sum_{i=1}^{n-l-s} e^{\mu_i} \\ &\geq se^{-2} + le^{-1} + \sum_{\mu_i > 0} e\mu_i + \sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ \mu_i \leq 0}}^{n-l-s} (1 + \mu_i). \end{aligned} \quad (30)$$

From (26), we get

$$\begin{aligned} DEE(G) &\geq se^{-2} + le^{-1} + (e - 1)(\mu_1 + \mu_2 + \cdots + \mu_{n_+}) \\ &\quad + (n - l - s - n_+) + l + 2s \\ &= se^{-2} + le^{-1} + \frac{1}{2} E_D(G) (e - 1) + (n + s - n_+). \end{aligned} \quad (31)$$

Hence the lower bound (28).

*Upper bound:* Considering  $f(x) = e^x$  which monotonically increases in the interval  $(-\infty, +\infty)$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} DEE &= \sum_{i=1}^n e^{\mu_i} = se^{-2} + le^{-1} + \sum_{i=1}^{n-l-s} e^{\mu_i} \\ &\leq se^{-2} + le^{-1} + (n - l - s - n_+) + \sum_{i=1}^{n_+} e^{\mu_i} \\ &= se^{-2} + le^{-1} + (n - l - s - n_+) + \sum_{i=1}^{n_+} \sum_{k \geq 0} \frac{(\mu_i)^k}{k!} \\ &= se^{-2} + le^{-1} + (n - l - s) + \sum_{k \geq 1} \frac{1}{k!} \sum_{i=1}^{n_+} (\mu_i)^k \\ &\leq se^{-2} + le^{-1} + (n - l - s) + \sum_{k \geq 1} \frac{1}{k!} \left[ \sum_{i=1}^{n_+} (\mu_i) \right]^k \\ &= se^{-2} + le^{-1} + (n - l - s - 1) + e^{E_D(G)/2}. \end{aligned} \quad (32)$$

This completes the proof.  $\square$

*Remark 12.* In [28] the following result was obtained for connected  $(n, m)$ -graphs

$$DEE(G) \leq n - 1 + e^{E_D(G)}. \quad (33)$$

Since the function  $f(x) = e^x$  monotonically increases in the interval  $(-\infty, +\infty)$ , we conclude that the upper bound (29) is better than the upper bound (33) for  $DEE(G)$  of CSQG with  $n > 3$  vertices and maximum edges  $m$ .

**Theorem 13.** *The distance Estrada index  $DEE(G)$  and the distance energy  $E_D(G)$  of CSQG with  $n > 3$  vertices and maximum edges  $m$  satisfy the following inequality:*

$$\begin{aligned} DEE(G) - E_D(G) &< se^{-2} + le^{-1} + (n - l - s - 1) \\ &\quad - \sqrt{T} + e^{\sqrt{T}}, \end{aligned} \quad (34)$$

where  $T = n(n - 1)\text{diam}^2(G) - l - 4s$  and  $\text{diam}(G)$  is the diameter of  $G$ .

*Proof.* From (32) and Lemma 2, we get

$$\begin{aligned} DEE(G) &\leq se^{-2} + le^{-1} + (n - l - s) + \sum_{k \geq 1} \frac{1}{k!} \sum_{i=1}^{n_+} (\mu_i)^k \\ &= se^{-2} + le^{-1} + (n - l - s) + \frac{E_D(G)}{2} \\ &\quad + \sum_{k \geq 2} \frac{1}{k!} \sum_{i=1}^{n_+} (\mu_i)^k \\ &< se^{-2} + le^{-1} + (n - l - s) + E_D(G) \\ &\quad + \sum_{k \geq 2} \frac{1}{k!} \sum_{i=1}^{n_+} (\mu_i)^k \\ &\leq se^{-2} + le^{-1} + (n - l - s) + E_D(G) \\ &\quad + \sum_{k \geq 2} \frac{1}{k!} \left[ \sum_{i=1}^{n_+} (\mu_i)^2 \right]^{k/2} \\ &\leq se^{-2} + le^{-1} + (n - l - s) + E_D(G) \\ &\quad + \sum_{k \geq 2} \frac{1}{k!} \left[ 2 \sum_{i < j} (d_{ij})^2 - \sum_{i=n_++1}^n (\mu_i)^2 \right]^{k/2}. \end{aligned} \quad (35)$$

By Lemmas 5 and 6, we know that  $-1$  and  $-2$  are the  $D$ -eigenvalues of the strongly quotient graph  $G$  with multiplicity greater than or equal to  $l$  and  $s$ , respectively. These imply that

$$\sum_{i=n_++1}^n (\mu_i)^2 \geq l + 4s. \quad (36)$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{DEE}(G) - E_D(G) &< se^{-2} + le^{-1} + (n - l - s - 1) \\ &\quad - \sqrt{2 \sum_{i < j} (d_{ij})^2 - l - 4s} + e^{\sqrt{2 \sum_{i < j} (d_{ij})^2 - l - 4s}}. \end{aligned} \quad (38)$$

It is easy to see that the function  $f(x) = e^x - x$  monotonically increases in the interval  $(0, +\infty)$ . Then by Lemma 4, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \text{DEE}(G) - E_D(G) &< se^{-2} + le^{-1} + (n - l - s - 1) \\ &\quad - \sqrt{T} + e^{\sqrt{T}}. \end{aligned} \quad (39)$$

From (36) and Lemma 4, we also have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{DEE}(G) - \frac{E_D(G)}{2} &\leq se^{-2} + le^{-1} + (n - l - s - 1) \\ &\quad - \sqrt{T} + e^{\sqrt{T}} \end{aligned} \quad (40)$$

that is better than the upper bound (34).  $\square$

From Theorem 13 and Lemma 3, we can give the following result.

**Corollary 14.** *Let  $G$  be a connected strongly quotient graph (CSQG) with  $n > 3$  vertices and maximum edges  $m$  and let  $\text{diam}(G) \leq 2$ , where  $\text{diam}(G)$  denotes the diameter of  $G$ . Then*

$$\begin{aligned} \text{DEE}(G) - E_D(G) &< se^{-2} + le^{-1} + (n - l - s - 1) \\ &\quad - \sqrt{R} + e^{\sqrt{R}}, \end{aligned} \quad (41)$$

where  $R = 2(2n^2 - 2n - 3m) - l - 4s$ .

**Remark 15.** In [28] the following result was obtained for a connected  $(n, m)$ -graph  $G$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{DEE}(G) - E_D(G) &\leq n - 1 - \text{diam}(G) \sqrt{n(n-1)} \\ &\quad + e^{\text{diam}(G) \sqrt{n(n-1)}}. \end{aligned} \quad (42)$$

Since the functions  $f(x) = e^x$  and  $f(x) = e^x - x$  monotonically increase in the intervals  $(-\infty, +\infty)$  and  $(0, +\infty)$ , respectively, we conclude that the upper bound (34) is better than the upper bound (42) for CSQG with  $n > 3$  vertices and maximum edges  $m$ .

## Acknowledgment

The authors thank the referees for their helpful comments and suggestions concerning the presentation of this paper.

## References

- [1] I. Gutman and B. Furtula, *Distance in Molecular Graphs-Theory and Applications*, vol. 12-13, University of Kragujevac, Kragujevac, Serbia, 2012.
- [2] Z. Mihalić, D. Veljan, D. Amić, S. Nikolić, D. Plavšić, and N. Trinajstić, "The distance matrix in chemistry," *Journal of Mathematical Chemistry*, vol. 11, no. 1, pp. 223–258, 1992.
- [3] F. Buckley and F. Harary, *Distance in Graphs*, Addison-Wesley, Redwood City, CA, USA, 1990.
- [4] D. M. Cvetković, M. Doob, and H. Sachs, *Spectra of Graphs*, Johann Ambrosius Bart Verlag, Heidelberg, Germany, 3rd edition, 1995.
- [5] I. Gutman and M. Medeleanu, "On the structure-dependence of the largest eigenvalue of the distance matrix of an alkane," *Indian Journal of Chemistry*, vol. 37, pp. 569–573, 1998.
- [6] G. Indulal, "Sharp bounds on the distance spectral radius and the distance energy of graphs," *Linear Algebra and its Applications*, vol. 430, no. 1, pp. 106–113, 2009.
- [7] G. Indulal and I. Gutman, "On the distance spectra of some graphs," *Mathematical Communications*, vol. 13, no. 1, pp. 123–131, 2008.
- [8] P. Křivka and N. Trinajstić, "On the distance polynomial of a graph," *Applied Mathematics*, vol. 28, no. 5, pp. 357–363, 1983.
- [9] B. Zhou, "On the largest eigenvalue of the distance matrix of a tree," *MATCH Communications in Mathematical and in Computer Chemistry*, vol. 58, no. 3, pp. 657–662, 2007.
- [10] B. Zhou and A. Ilić, "On distance spectral radius and distance energy of graphs," *MATCH Communications in Mathematical and in Computer Chemistry*, vol. 64, no. 1, pp. 261–280, 2010.
- [11] B. Zhou and N. Trinajstić, "On the largest eigenvalue of the distance matrix of a connected graph," *Chemical Physics Letters*, vol. 447, no. 4–6, pp. 384–387, 2007.
- [12] B. Zhou and N. Trinajstić, "Further results on the largest eigenvalues of the distance matrix and some distance based matrices of connected (molecular) graphs," *Internet Electronic Journal of Molecular Design*, vol. 6, pp. 375–384, 2007.
- [13] G. Indulal, I. Gutman, and A. Vijayakumar, "On distance energy of graphs," *MATCH Communications in Mathematical and in Computer Chemistry*, vol. 60, no. 2, pp. 461–472, 2008.
- [14] I. Gutman, "The energy of a graph," *Berichte der Mathematisch-Statistischen Sektion im Forschungszentrum Graz*, vol. 103, pp. 1–22, 1978.
- [15] I. Gutman, "The energy of a graph: old and new results," in *Algebraic Combinatorics and Applications*, pp. 196–211, Springer, Berlin, Germany, 2001.
- [16] X. Li, Y. Shi, and I. Gutman, *Graph Energy*, Springer, New York, NY, USA, 2012.
- [17] H. S. Ramane, D. S. Revankar, I. Gutman, S. B. Rao, B. D. Acharya, and H. B. Walikar, "Bounds for the distance energy of a graph," *Kragujevac Journal of Mathematics*, vol. 31, pp. 59–68, 2008.
- [18] A. Ilić, "Distance spectra and distance energy of integral circulant graphs," *Linear Algebra and its Applications*, vol. 433, no. 5, pp. 1005–1014, 2010.
- [19] R. K. Zaferani, "A note on strongly quotient graphs," *Bulletin of the Iranian Mathematical Society*, vol. 34, no. 2, pp. 97–144, 2008.
- [20] Ş. B. Bozkurt, A. D. Güngör, and B. Zhou, "Note on the distance energy of graphs," *MATCH Communications in Mathematical and in Computer Chemistry*, vol. 64, no. 1, pp. 129–134, 2010.

- [21] E. Estrada, "Characterization of 3D molecular structure," *Chemical Physics Letters*, vol. 319, no. 5-6, pp. 713–718, 2000.
- [22] J. A. de la Peña, I. Gutman, and J. Rada, "Estimating the Estrada index," *Linear Algebra and its Applications*, vol. 427, no. 1, pp. 70–76, 2007.
- [23] Ş. B. Bozkurt, C. Adiga, and D. Bozkurt, "On the energy and Estrada index of strongly quotient graphs," *Indian Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics*, vol. 43, no. 1, pp. 25–36, 2012.
- [24] G. H. Fath-Tabar, A. R. Ashrafi, and I. Gutman, "Note on Estrada and  $L$ -Estrada indices of graphs," *Bulletin Classe des Sciences Mathématiques et Naturelles Sciences Mathématiques*, no. 34, pp. 1–16, 2009.
- [25] I. Gutman, H. Deng, and S. Radenković, "The Estrada index : an updated survey," D. Cvetković and I. Gutman, Eds., pp. 155–174, *Selected Topics on Applications of Graph Spectra*, Belgrade, Serbia, 2011.
- [26] J. P. Liu and B. L. Liu, "Bounds of the Estrada index of graphs," *Applied Mathematics Journal of Chinese Universities*, vol. 25, no. 3, pp. 325–330, 2010.
- [27] B. Zhou, "On Estrada index," *MATCH Communications in Mathematical and in Computer Chemistry*, vol. 60, no. 2, pp. 485–492, 2008.
- [28] A. D. Güngör and Ş. B. Bozkurt, "On the distance Estrada index of graphs," *Hacettepe Journal of Mathematics and Statistics*, vol. 38, no. 3, pp. 277–283, 2009.
- [29] C. Adiga, R. K. Zaferani, and M. Smitha, "Strongly quotient graphs," *South East Asian Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences*, vol. 5, no. 2, pp. 119–127, 2007.
- [30] C. Adiga and R. K. Zaferani, "On colorings of strongly multiplicative and strongly quotient graphs," *Advanced Studies in Contemporary Mathematics*, vol. 13, no. 2, pp. 171–182, 2006.
- [31] B. Zhou, I. Gutman, and T. Aleksić, "A note on Laplacian energy of graphs," *MATCH Communications in Mathematical and in Computer Chemistry*, vol. 60, no. 2, pp. 441–446, 2008.



