Hindawi Journal of Applied Mathematics Volume 2020, Article ID 1746103, 11 pages https://doi.org/10.1155/2020/1746103



Research Article

Soft Separation Axioms and Fixed Soft Points Using Soft Semiopen Sets

T. M. Al-shami 🗅

Department of Mathematics, Sana'a University, Sana'a, Yemen

Correspondence should be addressed to T. M. Al-shami; tareqalshami83@gmail.com

Received 1 August 2020; Revised 28 October 2020; Accepted 30 October 2020; Published 16 November 2020

Academic Editor: Bruno Carpentieri

Copyright © 2020 T. M. Al-shami. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

The importance of soft separation axioms comes from their vital role in classifications of soft spaces, and their interesting properties are studied. This article is devoted to introducing the concepts of tt-soft semi- T_i (i = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4) and tt-soft semiregular spaces with respect to ordinary points. We formulate them by utilizing the relations of total belong and total nonbelong. The advantages behind using these relations are, first, generalization of existing comparable properties on general topology and, second, eliminating the stability shape of soft open and closed subsets of soft semiregular spaces. By some examples, we show the relationships between them as well as with soft semi- T_i (i = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4) and soft semiregular spaces. Also, we explore under what conditions they are kept between soft topology and its parametric topologies. We characterize a tt-soft semiregular space and demonstrate that it guarantees the equivalence of tt-soft semi- T_i (i = 0, 1, 2). Further, we investigate some interrelations of them and some soft topological notions such as soft compactness, product soft spaces, and sum of soft topological spaces. Finally, we define a concept of semifixed soft point and study its main properties.

1. Introduction

In daily life, human beings face different kinds of uncertainties in fields such as economics, environmental and social sciences, engineering, medicine etc. To tackle such uncertainties, different approaches were proposed like fuzzy and rough set theories. However, they have their own limitations. Molodtsov [1] introduced an effective tool that is free from these limitations to solve uncertainties, namely soft set. This tool does not require specific type of parameters. Instead, it accommodates all types of parameters such as words, numbers, sentences, and functions. After that, Maji et al. [2] established some fundamental operations between two soft sets and then tackled one of their applications in decisionmaking problems [3]. But the authors of [4] demonstrated some shortcomings of the operations defined in [2]. They also explored novel operations between soft sets which became a goal of many studies on algebraic soft structures. Al-shami and El-Shafei [5] defined new types of soft subset and equality relations and then applied them on soft linear equations.

In 2011, Shabir and Naz [6] defined a soft topological space over a family of soft sets. They constructed the fundamental notions of soft topological spaces such as the operators of soft closure and interior, soft subspace, and soft separation axioms. Min [7] continued studying soft separation axioms and corrected some alleged results in [6]. Then, Aygünoglu and Aygün [8] scrutinized the concept of compactness on soft setting. By exploiting one of the diverges between soft sets and crisp sets, Hida [9] studied a strong type of soft compactness. Al-shami [10, 11] revised many results given in many studies of soft separation axioms. Then, Al-shami et al. [12] introduced a weak type of soft compactness, namely almost soft compactness. The authors of [13] presented soft maps by using two crisp maps, one of them between the sets of parameters and the second one between the universal sets. However, the authors of [14] introduced soft maps by using the concept of soft points. Some applications of different types of soft maps were the goal of some articles (see [13-15]).

Until 2018, the belong and nonbelong relations that utilized in these studies are those given by [6]. In 2018, the

authors of [16] came up new relations of belong and nonbelong between an ordinary points and soft set, namely partial belong and total nonbelong relations. In fact, these relations widely open the door to study and redefine many soft topological notions. This leads to obtain many fruitful properties and changes which can be seen significantly on the study of soft separation axioms as it was shown in [16–18].

Das and Samanta [19] studied the concept of a soft metric based on the soft real set and soft real numbers given in [20]. Wardowski [14] tackled the fixed point in the setup of soft topological spaces. Abbas et al. [21] presented soft contraction mappings and established a soft Banach fixed point theorem in the framework of soft metric spaces. Recently, many researchers explored fixed point findings in soft metric type spaces (see, for example, [22–25]). Some results related to intuitionistic fuzzy soft sets were investigated in [26, 27]. Recently, Alcantud [28] has discussed the properties of topological separability on soft setting.

One of the significant ideas that helps to prove some properties and removes some problems on soft topology is the concept of a soft point. It was first defined by Zorlutuna and Çakir [29] in order to study the interior points of a soft set and soft neighborhood systems. Then, [19, 30] simultaneously redefined soft points to discuss soft metric spaces. In fact, the recent definition of a soft point makes similarity between many set-theoretic properties and their counterparts on soft setting. Two types of soft topologies, namely enriched soft topology and extended soft topology, were studied in [8, 30], respectively. Al-shami and Kocinac [31] discussed the equivalence between these two topologies and obtained interesting results. Recently, they [32] have introduced the concept of nearly soft Menger spaces and investigated main characteristics.

We organized this paper as follows: After this introduction, Section 2 addresses the basic principles about the soft sets and soft topologies. In Section (, we introduce the concepts of tt-soft semi- T_i (i = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4) and tt-soft semiregular spaces with respect to ordinary points by using the relations of total belong and total nonbelong. The relationships between them and their main properties are discussed with the help of interesting examples. In Section 4, we explore a semifixed soft point theorem and study some main properties. In particular, we conclude under what conditions semifixed soft points are preserved between a soft topology and its parametric topologies. Finally, conclusions of the paper in Section 5.

2. Preliminaries

To well understand the results obtained in this study, we shall mind some essential concepts, definitions, and properties from the literature.

2.1. Soft Sets

Definition 1. [1] A map G of P into the power set 2^X of a non-empty set X is called a soft set over X, where X is the universal set and P is a set of parameters.

In this study, we use a symbol G_P to refer a soft set, and we identify it as ordered pairs $G_P = \{(p, G(p)): p \in P \text{ and } G(p) \in 2^X\}.$

A class of all soft sets defined over X with P is symbolized by $S(X_P)$.

Definition 2. [33] G_p is said to be a subset of H_p , symbolized by $G_p \subseteq H_p$, if $G(p) \subseteq H(p)$ for each $p \in P$.

We write $G_P = H_P$ provided that $G_P \subseteq H_P$ and $H_P \subseteq G_P$.

Definition 3. [6, 16] For a soft set G_P and $w \in X$, we say that

- (i) $w \in G_p$, it is read as follows: w totally belongs to G_p , if $w \in G(p)$ for each $p \in P$
- (ii) $w \notin G_P$, it is read as follows: w does not partially belong to G_P , if $w \notin G(p)$ for some $p \in P$
- (iii) $w \in G_p$, it is read as follows: w partially belongs to G_p , if $w \in G(p)$ for some $p \in P$
- (iv) $w \notin G_p$, it is read as follows: w does not totally belong to G_p , if $w \notin G(p)$ for each $p \in P$.

Definition 4. [4] The relative complement of G_p is another soft set G_p^c , where a map $G^c: P \longrightarrow 2^X$ is defined by $G^c(p) = X \setminus G(p)$ for all $p \in P$.

Definition 5. [2, 16, 19, 30] A soft set (G_p) over X is said to be

- (i) a null soft set (resp., an absolute soft set), symbolized by $\tilde{\Phi}$ (resp., \tilde{X}), if G(p) is the empty (resp., universal) set for each $p \in P$
- (ii) a soft point S_p^x if there are $p \in P$ and $x \in X$ so that $G(p) = \{x\}$ and $G(p') = \emptyset$ for each $p' \in P \setminus \{p\}$. We write that $S_p^x \in G_P$ if $x \in G(p)$
- (iii) a stable soft set if all p-approximate are equal A and it is symbolized by \tilde{A} . In particular, we symbolize by x_P if $A = \{x\}$
- (iv) a countable (resp., finite) soft set if G(p) is countable (resp., finite) for each $p \in P$. Otherwise, it is said to be uncountable (resp., infinite).

Definition 6. [2, 4] The intersection and union of G_p and H_p are defined as follows:

- (i) Their intersection, symbolized by $G_P \cap H_P$, is a soft set U_P , where a mapping $U: P \longrightarrow 2^X$ is given by $U(p) = G(p) \cap H(p)$
- (ii) Their union, symbolized by $G_p \tilde{\cup} H_p$, is a soft set U_p , where a mapping $U: P \longrightarrow 2^X$ is given by $U(p) = G(p) \cup H(p)$.

The union and intersection operators were generalized for any number of soft sets in a similar way.

Definition 7. [34] The Cartesian product of G_P and H_F , symbolized by $G \times H_{P \times F}$, is defined as $(G \times H)(p, f) = G(p) \times H(f)$ for each $(p, f) \in P \times F$.

Definition 8. [13] A soft mapping of $S(X_A)$ into $S(Y_B)$, symbolized by f_{ϕ} , is defined by two crisp maps $f: X \longrightarrow Y$ and $\phi: A \longrightarrow B$ so that the image of G_A is a set in $S(X_A)$ and pre-image of H_B is a set in $S(Y_B)$ and they are defined as follows.

- (i) $f_{\phi}(G_A) = (f_{\phi}(G))_B$ is a set in $S(Y_B)$, where $f_{\phi}(G)(b) = \bigcup_{a \in \phi^{-1}(b)} f(G(a))$ for each $b \in B$
- (ii) $f_{\phi}^{-1}(H_B) = (f_{\phi}^{-1}(H))_A$ is a set in $S(X_A)$, where $f_{\phi}^{-1}(H)(a) = f^{-1}(H(\phi(a)))$ for each $a \in A$.

Definition 9. [29] $f_{\phi}: S(X_A) \longrightarrow S(Y_B)$ is called a λ soft map provided that ϕ and f are λ maps, where $\lambda \in \{\text{injective, surjective, and bijective}\}$.

2.2. Soft Topology

Definition 10. [6] A family Ω of soft sets over X under a fixed set of parameters P is said to be a soft topology on X if it satisfies the following:

- (i) \tilde{X} and $\tilde{\Phi}$ are elements of Ω
- (ii) The intersection of a finite number of Ω is an element of Ω
- (iii) The union of an arbitrary number of Ω is an element of Ω .

We will call a term of soft topological space on the triple (X, Ω, P) , where a terminology of soft open is given for every member in Ω and a terminology of soft closed is given for a relative complement of a member of Ω .

Proposition 11. [6] In (X, Ω, P) , a family $\Omega_p = \{G(p): G_p \in \Omega\}$ is a classical topology on X for each $p \in P$.

We will call a term of parametric topology on Ω_p and a term of parametric topological space on (X, Ω_p) .

Definition 12. [6] (Y, Ω_Y, P) is called a soft subspace of (X, Ω, P) , where $\emptyset \neq Y \subseteq X$ and $\Omega_Y = \{\tilde{Y} \cap G_P : G_P \in \Omega\}$.

Definition 13. [35] G_P is called a soft semiopen subset of (X, Ω, P) if $G_P \subseteq {}^{\sim} cl(\text{int } (G_P))$.

Theorem 14. [35] Every soft open set is soft semiopen, and the union of an arbitrary number of soft semiopen sets is soft semiopen.

Definition 15. [35] The intersection of all soft semiclosed sets in (X, Ω, P) containing G_P is the soft preclosure of G_P . It is symbolized by $\overline{G_P}^s$.

It is clear that $x \in \bar{G}_p^s$ iff for each soft semiopen set U_p totally containing x we have $G_p \cap U_p \neq \tilde{\Phi}$; and $S_p^x \in \bar{G}_p^s$ iff for each soft semiopen set U_p totally containing S_p^x we have $G_p \cap U_p \neq \tilde{\Phi}$.

Definition 16. [36] (X, Ω, P) which does not contain disjoint soft open sets is called soft hyperconnected.

The following result will help us establish some properties of soft semiseparation axioms and soft semicompact spaces (see, for example, Theorem 42 and Proposition 49). It implies that the family of soft semiopen subsets of a soft hyperconnected space (X, Ω, P) forms a new soft topology Ω^{semi} over X that is finer than Ω .

Theorem 17. [37] A class of soft semiopen subsets of a soft hyperconnected space forms a soft topology.

Proposition 18. Let \tilde{Y} be soft open set in (X, Ω, P) . Then

- (1) If (H, P) is soft semiopen and \tilde{Y} is soft open set in (X, Ω, P) , then $(H, P) \cap (Y, P)$ is a soft semiopen set in (Y, Ω_Y, P)
- (2) If \tilde{Y} is soft open in (X, Ω, P) and (H, P) is a soft semiopen in (Y, Ω_Y, P) , then (H, P) is a soft semiopen set in (X, Ω, P) .

Definition 19. [35] (X, Ω, P) is said to be

- (i) soft semi- T_0 if there is a soft semiopen set U_P for each $x \neq y \in X$ satisfying $x \in U_P$ and $y \notin U_P$; or $y \in U_P$ and $x \notin U_P$
- (ii) soft semi- T_1 if there are two soft semiopen sets U_P and V_P for each $x \neq y \in X$ satisfying $x \in U_P$ and $y \notin U_P$; and $y \in V_P$ and $x \notin V_P$
- (iii) soft semi- T_2 if there are two disjoint soft semiopen sets U_P and V_P for each $x \neq y \in X$ satisfying $x \in U_P$ and $y \in V_P$
- (iv) soft semiregular if every soft semiclosed set H_P so that $x \notin H_P$, Ω contains two disjoint soft semiopen sets U_P and V_P satisfying $H_P \subseteq U_P$ and $x \in V_P$
- (v) soft seminormal if we separate every two disjoint soft semiclosed sets by two disjoint soft semiopen sets
- (vi) soft semi- T_3 (resp., soft semi- T_4) if it is both soft semiregular (resp., soft seminormal) and soft semi- T_1 -space

Definition 20. [38] A soft semiopen cover of (X, Ω, P) is a family of soft semiopen sets $\{G_{i_p} : i \in I\}$ so that $\tilde{X} = \tilde{\bigcup}_{i \in I} G_{i_p}$.

Definition 21. (X, Ω, P) is said to be

- (i) soft semi- T'_2 [37] if for every $S_p^x \neq S_{p'}^y \in \tilde{X}$, there are two disjoint soft semiopen sets U_p and V_p containing S_p^x and $S_{p'}^y$, respectively
- (ii) soft semicompact [38] if every soft semiopen cover of \tilde{X} has afinite subcover.

Proposition 22. [37] A soft semicompact (resp., stable soft semicompact) set in a soft semi- T_2 -space (resp., soft semi- T_2 -space) is soft semiclosed.

To study the properties that preserved under soft semi*-homeomorphism maps, the concept of a soft semi-irresolute map will be presented in this work under the name of a soft semi*-continuous map.

Definition 23. [37] $g_{\varphi}:(X,\Omega,P)\longrightarrow (Y,\theta,P)$ is called soft semi* – continuous if the inverse image of each soft semiopen set is soft semiopen.

Proposition 24. [38] The soft semi* – continuous image of a soft semicompact set is soft semicompact.

The definitions of soft semicontinuous, soft semiopen, soft semiclosed, and soft semihomeomorphism maps were given in [35] in a similar way of their counterparts in classical topology.

Definition 25. A soft topology Ω on X is said to be

- (i) an enriched soft topology [8] if all soft sets G_P so that $G(p) = \emptyset$ or X are members of Ω
- (ii) an extended soft topology [30] if $\Omega = \{G_p : G(p) \in \Omega_p \text{ for each } p \in P\}$, where Ω_p is a parametric topology on X.

Al-shami and Kočinac [31] proved that extended and enriched soft topologies are identical and obtained some useful results that help to discover the interrelations between soft topology and its parametric topologies.

Theorem 26. [31] A subset (F, P) of an extended soft topological space (X, Ω, P) is soft semiopen if and only if each p-approximate element of (F, P) is semiopen.

Proposition 27. [39] Let $\{(X_i, \Omega_i, P): i \in I\}$ be a class of mutually disjoint soft topological spaces and $X = \bigcup_{i \in I} X_i$. Then the class $\Omega = \{(G,P) \subseteq \widetilde{X}: (G,P) \cap \widetilde{X_i} \text{ is a soft open set in } (X_i, \Omega_i, P) \text{ for every } i \in I\}.$

forms a soft topology on X with P.

Definition 28. [39] (X, Ω, P) given in the above proposition is said to be the sum of soft topological spaces and is symbolized by $(\bigoplus_{i \in I} X_i, \Omega, P)$.

Theorem 29. [39] A soft set $(G,P) \subseteq \bigoplus_{i \in I} X_i$ is soft semiopen (resp., soft semiclosed) in $(\bigoplus_{i \in I} X_i, \Omega, P)$ if and only if all $(G,P) \cap \widetilde{X}_i$ are soft semiopen (resp., soft semiclosed) in (X_i,Ω_i,P) .

Proposition 30. [14] Let $g_{\varphi}: (X, \Omega, P) \longrightarrow (X, \Omega, P)$ be a soft map so that $\tilde{\cap}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} g_{\varphi}^{n}(\tilde{X})$ is a soft point S_{p}^{x} . Then, S_{p}^{x} is a unique fixed point of g_{φ} .

Theorem 31. [29] Let $\Omega = \{G_A \times F_B : G_A \in \Omega \text{ and } F_B \in \theta\}$, where (X, Ω, A) and (Y, θ, B) are two soft topological spaces. A class of all arbitrary union of members of Ω defines a soft topology over $X \times Y$ under a fixed set of parameters $A \times B$.

Lemma 32. Let (G, P) and (H, P) be two subsets of (X, Ω, P) and (Y, θ, P) , respectively. Then

- (i) $cl(G, P) \times cl(H, P) = cl((G, P) \times (H, P))$
- (ii) int $(G, P) \times \text{int } (H, P) = \text{int } ((G, P) \times (H, P)).$

3. Semisoft Separation Axioms

In this section, the concepts of tt-soft semi- T_i (i = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4) and tt-soft semiregular spaces are introduced, where tt denotes the total belong and total nonbelong relations that are utilized in the definitions of these concepts. The relationships between them are shown, and their main features are studied. In addition, their behaviours with the concepts of hereditary, topological, and additive properties are investigated. Some examples are provided to elucidate the obtained results.

First of all, we see that it is necessary to classify containment into several categories as it is shown in remark below. Factually, this classification will play a vital role in redefining many soft theoretic-set and soft topological concepts, in particular, the concepts of soft interior and closure operators, soft compactness, and soft separation axioms.

Remark 33. For a soft set G_p and $x \in X$, we have the following notes:

- (i) G_p totally contains x if $x \in G_p$
- (ii) G_P does not partially contain x if $x \notin G_P$
- (iii) G_P partially contains x if $x \in G_P$
- (iv) G_P does not totally contain x if $x \notin G_P$.

Definition 34. (X, Ω, P) is said to be

(i) tt-soft semi- T_0 if there exists a soft semiopen set U_P for every $x \neq y \in X$ satisfying $x \in U_P$ and $y \notin U_P$ or $y \in U_P$ and $x \notin U_P$

- (ii) tt-soft semi- T_1 if there exist soft semiopen sets U_P and V_P for every $x \neq y \in X$ satisfying $x \in U_P$ and $y \notin U_P$; and $y \in V_P$ and $x \notin V_P$
- (iii) tt-soft semi- T_2 if there exist two disjoint soft semiopen sets U_P and V_P for every $x \neq y \in X$ satisfying $x \in U_P$ and $y \notin U_P$; and $y \in V_P$ and $x \notin V_P$
- (iv) tt-soft semiregular if for every soft semiclosed set H_P so that $x \notin H_P$, there exist disjoint soft semiopen sets U_P and V_P so that $H_P \subseteq U_P$ and $x \in V_P$
- (v) tt-soft semi- T_3 (resp., tt-soft semi- T_4) if it is both tt-soft semiregular (resp., soft seminormal) and tt-soft semi- T_1 .

Remark 35. It can be noted that if F_P and G_P are disjoint soft set, then $x \in F_P$ iff $x \notin G_P$. This implies that (X, Ω, P) is a tt-soft semi- T_2 -space iff is a soft semi- T_2 -space. That is, the concepts of a tt-soft semi- T_2 -space and a soft semi- T_2 -space are equivalent.

We can say that (X, Ω, P) is tt-soft semi- T_2 if there exist two disjoint soft semiopen sets U_P and V_P for every $x \neq y \in X$ so that U_P and V_P totally contain x and y, respectively.

Remark 36. The soft semiregular spaces imply a strict condition on the shape of soft semiopen and soft semiclosed subsets. To explain this matter, let F_p be a soft semiclosed set so that $x \notin H_p$. Then, we have two cases:

- (i) There are $p, p' \in P$ so that $x \notin H(p)$ and $x \in H(p')$. This case is impossible because there do not exist two disjoint soft sets U_P and V_P containing x and H_P , respectively
- (ii) For each $p \in P$, $x \notin H(p)$. This implies that H_p must be stable.

As a direct consequence, we infer that every soft semiclosed and soft semiopen subsets of a soft semiregular space must be stable. However, this matter does not hold on the *tt*-soft semiregular spaces because we replace a partial nonbelong relation by a total nonbelong relation. Therefore a *tt*-soft semiregular space needs not be stable.

Proposition 37.

- (i) A tt-soft semi-T_i-space is always soft semi-T_i for i = 0, 1, 4
- (ii) A soft semiregular space is always tt-soft semiregular
- (iii) A soft semi- T_3 -space is always tt-soft semi- T_3 .

Proof. Since $x \notin G_P$ implies $x \notin G_P$, then the proofs of (i) and (ii) follow.

To prove (iii), it suffices to prove that a soft semi- T_i -space is tt-soft semi- T_i when (X, Ω, P) is soft semiregular. Suppose

 $x \neq y \in X$. Then, there exist two soft semiopen sets U_P and V_P so that $x \in U_P$ and $y \notin U_P$; and $y \in V_P$ and $x \notin V_P$. Since U_P and V_P are soft semiopen subsets of a soft semiregular space, then they are stable. So $y \notin U_P$ and $x \notin V_P$. Thus, (X, Ω, P) is tt-soft semi- T_1 . Hence, we obtain the desired result.

The following examples clarifies that the converse of the above proposition is not always true.

Example 1. Let $P = \{p_1, p_2\}$. A family $\Omega = \{\tilde{\Phi}, \tilde{X}, G_{i_p} : i = 1, 2, \dots, 6\}$ is a soft topology on $X = \{v, w\}$, where

$$G_{1_{p}} = \{(p_{1}, \{v\}), (p_{2}, X)\}$$

$$G_{2_{p}} = \{(p_{1}, X), (p_{2}, \{w\})\}$$

$$G_{3_{p}} = \{(p_{1}, \{v\}), (p_{2}, \{w\})\}$$

$$G_{4_{p}} = \{(p_{1}, \{w\}), (p_{2}, \emptyset)\}$$

$$G_{5_{p}} = \{(p_{1}, \emptyset), (p_{2}, \{v\})\}$$

$$G_{6_{p}} = \{(p_{1}, \{w\}), (p_{2}, \{v\})\}.$$

$$(1)$$

Since the soft sets $\{(p_1, \{\nu\}), (p_2, \{\nu\})\}$ and $\{(p_1, \{w\}), (p_2, \{w\})\}$ are not soft semiopen subsets of (X, Ω, P) , then it is not tt-soft semi- T_0 . However, it is clear that (X, Ω, P) is a soft semi- T_1 -space. Also, it is soft seminormal. Therefore, it is soft semi- T_4 .

Example 2. Let $P = \{p_1, p_2\}$. A family $\Omega = \{\tilde{\Phi}, \tilde{X}, G_{i_p} : i = 1, 2, 3, 4\}$ is a soft topology on $X = \{v, w\}$, where

$$G_{1_p} = \{(p_1, \{v\}), (p_2, \{v\})\}$$

$$G_{2_p} = \{(p_1, \{w\}), (p_2, \{w\})\}$$

$$G_{3_p} = \{(p_1, \{v\}), (p_2, X)\}$$

$$G_{4_p} = \{(p_1, \emptyset), (p_2, \{w\})\}.$$
(2)

It can be checked that a set in (X, Ω, P) is soft open iff it is soft semiopen. Since there exists an unstable soft semiopen set in (X, Ω, P) , then (X, Ω, P) is not soft semiregular. Therefore, it is not soft semi- T_3 . However, (X, Ω, P) is a tt-soft semiregular space. Also, it is a tt-soft semi- T_1 -space. Hence, it is a tt-soft semi- T_3 -space.

Before we show the relationship between tt-soft semi- T_i -spaces, we need to prove the next useful lemma.

Lemma 3. (X, Ω, P) is a tt-soft semi- T_1 -space iff x_P is soft semiclosed for every $x \in X$.

Proof. Necessity: for any distinct point $y_i \in X$ than x, Ω contains a soft semiopen set and G_{i_p} totally contains y_i so that $x \notin G_{i_p}$. So $\bigcup_{i \in I} G_i(p) = X \setminus \{x\}$ and $x \notin \bigcup_{i \in I} G_i(p)$ for each $p \in P$. Thus, $\widetilde{\bigcup}_{i \in I} G_{i_p} = X \setminus \{x\}$ is soft semiopen. Hence, x_p is soft semiclosed.

Sufficiency: let $x \neq y$. By hypothesis, x_P and y_P are soft semiclosed sets. Then x_P^c and y_P^c are soft semiopen sets so that $x \in (y_P)^c$ and $y \in (x_P)^c$. Obviously, $y \notin (y_P)^c$ and $x \notin (x_P)^c$. Hence, (X, Ω, P) is tt-soft semi- T_1 .

Proposition 38. Every tt-soft semi- T_i -space is tt-soft semi- T_{i-1} for i = 1, 2, 3, 4.

Proof. We suffice by proving the cases of i = 3, 4.

For i=3, let $x\neq y$ in a tt-soft semi- T_3 -space (X,Ω,P) . Then, x_P is soft semiclosed. Since $y\notin x_P$ and (X,Ω,P) is tt-soft semiregular, then Ω contains two disjoint soft semiopen sets G_P and F_P satisfying F_P and F_P . Therefore, (X,Ω,P) is tt-soft semi- T_2 .

For i=4, let H_P be a soft semiclosed set so that $x \notin H_P$. Since (X, Ω, P) is tt-soft semi- T_1 , then x_P is soft semiclosed. Since $x_P \cap H_P = \tilde{\Phi}$ and (X, Ω, P) is soft seminormal, then there are disjoint soft semiopen sets G_P and F_P so that $H_P \subseteq G_P$ and F_P and F_P . Hence, (X, Ω, P) is tt-soft semi- T_3 .

We explain that the converse of proposition above is not always true by presenting the next example.

Example 1. Let $P = \{p_1, p_2\}$. A family $\Omega = \{\tilde{\Phi}, \tilde{X}, \{(p_1, \{x\}), (p_2, \emptyset)\}$ is a soft topology on $X = \{v, w\}$. The following soft sets with Ω are all soft semiopen subsets of (X, Ω, P) .

$$G_{1_p} = \{(p_1, \{v\}), (p_2, \{v\})\}$$

$$G_{2_p} = \{(p_1, \{v\}), (p_2, \{w\})\}$$

$$G_{3_p} = \{(p_1, \{v\}), (p_2, X)\}$$

$$G_{4_p} = \{(p_1, X), (p_2, \{w\})\}$$

$$G_{5_p} = \{(p_1, X), (p_2, \{w\})\}.$$
(3)

Since the soft sets $\{(p_1, \{v\}), (p_2, \{v\})\}$ are a soft semiopen set in (X, Ω, P) , then it is tt-soft semi- T_0 . However, $\{(p_1, \{w\}), (p_2, \{w\})\}$ is not a soft semiopen set in (X, Ω, P) . Hence, it is not tt-soft semi- T_1 .

Example 2. Let $\Omega = \{\tilde{\Phi}, G_P \subseteq \mathbb{N} : G_P^c \text{ is } finite\}$ be a soft topology on the set of natural numbers \mathbb{N} , where P is a set of parameters. By a simple check, one notes that a class of soft semiopen and a class of soft open sets in (\mathbb{N}, Ω, P) coincide. For each $v \neq w \in \mathbb{N}$, we have $\mathbb{N} \setminus \{w\}$ and $\mathbb{N} \setminus \{v\}$ are soft semiopen sets so that $v \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{w\}$ and $w \notin \mathbb{N} \setminus \{w\}$; and $w \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{v\}$ and $v \notin \mathbb{N} \setminus \{v\}$. Therefore, (\mathbb{N}, Ω, P) is tt-soft semi- T_1 . In contrast, all nonnull proper semiopen sets have nonnull soft intersection. Hence, (\mathbb{N}, Ω, P) is not tt-soft semi- T_2 .

Example 3. As we know, the coincidence of soft topological and classical topological spaces occurs if E is a singleton. Then, it suffices to consider examples that satisfy a semi- T_2 -space but not semi- T_3 and satisfy a semi- T_3 -space but not semi- T_4 .

In what follows, we found some properties of tt-soft semi- T_i and tt-soft semiregular.

Lemma 39. Let U_P be a subset of (X, Ω, P) and $x \in X$. Then $x \notin \overline{U_P}^s$ iff there is a soft semiopen set V_P totally containing x so that $U_P \cap V_P = \Phi$.

Proof. Let $x \notin \overline{U_p}^s$. Then, $x \in (\overline{U_p}^s)^c = V_p$. So, $U_p \cap V_p = \widetilde{\Phi}$. Conversely, if there exists a soft semiopen set V_p totally containing x so that $U_p \cap V_p = \widetilde{\Phi}$, then $U_p \subseteq V_p^c$. Therefore, $\overline{U_p}^s \subseteq V_p^c$. Since $x \notin V_p^c$, then $x \notin \overline{U_p}^s$.

Proposition 40. If (X, Ω, P) is a tt-soft semi- T_0 -space, then $\bar{x_p}^s \neq \bar{y_p}^s$ for every $x \neq y \in X$.

Proof. Let $x \neq y$ in a tt-soft semi- T_0 -space. Then, there is a soft semiopen set U_P so that $x \in U_P$ and $y \notin U_P$ or $y \in U_P$ and $x \notin U_P$. Say, $x \in U_P$ and $y \notin U_P$. Now, $y_P \cap U_P = \tilde{\Phi}$. So, by the above lemma, $x \notin y_P^{-s}$. But $x \in x_P^{-s}$. Hence, we obtain the desired result.

Corollary 41. If (X, Ω, P) is a tt-soft semi- T_0 -space, then $\bar{S}_p^{xs} \neq \bar{S}_{p'}^{y}$ for all $x \neq y$ and $p, p' \in P$.

Theorem 42. Let P be a finite set and (X, Ω, P) be soft hyperconnected. Then (X, Ω, P) is a tt-soft semi- T_1 -space if and only if $x_P = \bigcap \{U_P : x \in U_P \in \Omega^s\}$ for each $x \in X$.

Proof. To prove the "if" part, let $y \in X$. Then for each $x \in X \setminus \{y\}$, we have a soft semiopen set U_p so that $x \in U_p$ and $y \notin U_p$. Therefore $y \notin \cap {}^{\sim} \{U_p : x_p \subseteq U_p \in \Omega^s\}$. Since y is chosen arbitrary, then the desired result is proved.

To prove the "only if" part, let the given conditions be satisfied and let $x \neq y$. Let |E| = m. Since $y \notin x_P$, then for each $j = 1, 2, \cdots, m$ there is a soft semiopen set U_{i_P} so that $y \notin U_i(e_j)$ and $x \in U_{i_P}$. Since (X, Ω, P) is soft hyperconnected, then it follows from Theorem 17 that $\bigcap_{i=1}^{i=m} U_{i_P}$ is a soft semiopen set so that $y \notin \bigcap_{i=1}^{i=m} U_{i_P}$ and $x \in \bigcap_{i=1}^{i=m} U_{i_P}$. Similarly, we can get a soft semiopen set V_P so that $y \in V_P$ and $x \notin V_P$. Thus, (X, Ω, P) is a tt-soft semi- T_1 -space.

Theorem 43. If (X, Ω, P) is an extended tt-soft semi- T_1 -space, then S_p^x is soft semiclosed for all $S_p^x \in \tilde{X}$.

Proof. By Lemma 3, we have $X \setminus \{x\}$ is a soft semiopen set. Since (X, Ω, P) is extended, then a soft set H_P , where $H(p) = \emptyset$ and H(p') = X for each $p' \neq p$, is a soft semiopen set. Therefore, $X \setminus \{x\}^{\sim} \cup^{\sim} H_P$ is soft semiopen. Thus, $(X \setminus \{x\}^{\sim} \cup^{\sim} H_P)^c = S_p^x$ is soft semiclosed.

Corollary 44. If (X, Ω, P) is an extended tt-soft semi- T_1 -space, then the intersection of all soft semiopen sets containing U_P is exactly U_P for each $U_P \subseteq \tilde{X}$.

Proof. Let U_P be a soft subset of \tilde{X} . Since S_p^x is a soft semiclosed set for every $S_p^x \in U_P^c$, then $\tilde{X} \setminus S_p^x$ is a soft semiopen set containing U_P . Therefore, $U_P = \tilde{\cap} \{\tilde{X}S_p^x : S_p^x \in U_P^c\}$, as required.

Theorem 45. Let (X, Ω, P) be finite soft hyperconnected. Then, (X, Ω, P) is tt-soft semi- T_2 if and only if it is tt-soft semi- T_1 .

Proof. Necessity: it is obtained from Proposition 38.

Sufficiency: for each $x \neq y$, we have x_P and y_P are soft semiclosed sets. Since X is finite, then $\tilde{\cup}_{y \in X \setminus \{x\}} y_P$ and $\tilde{\cup}_{x \in X \setminus \{y\}} x_P$ are soft semiclosed sets. Since (X, Ω, P) is soft hyperconnected, then $(\cup_{y \in X \setminus \{x\}} y_P)^c = x_P$ and $(\tilde{\cup}_{x \in X \setminus \{y\}} x_P)^c = y_P$ are soft semiopen sets. The disjointness of x_P and y_P ends the proof that (X, Ω, P) is tt-soft semi- T_2 .

Remark 46. In Example2, note that x_P is not a soft semiopen set for each $x \in \mathbb{N}$. This clarifies that a soft set x_P in a tt-soft semi- T_1 -space need not be soft semiopen if the universal set is infinite.

Theorem 47. (X, Ω, P) is tt-soft semiregular iff for every soft semiopen subset F_P of (X, Ω, P) totally containing x, there is a soft semiopen set V_P so that $x \in V_P \subseteq \overline{V}_P^{\ \ s} \subseteq F_P$.

Proof. Let F_p be a soft semiopen set totally containing x. Then F_p^c is semisoft closed and $x_p \cap F_p^c = \tilde{\Phi}$. So Ω contains two disjoint soft semiopen sets U_P and V_P satisfying $F_P^c \subseteq U_E$ and $x \in V_P$. Thus, $V_P \subseteq U_P^c \subseteq F_P$. Hence, $V_P^s \subseteq U_P^c \subseteq F_P$. Conversely, let F_P^c be a soft semiclosed set. Then, for each $x \notin F_P^c$, we have $x \in F_P$. By hypothesis, there is a soft semiopen set V_P totally containing x so that $V_P^s \subseteq F_P^c$. Therefore, $F_P^c \subseteq (V_P^s)^c$ and $V_P \cap (V_P^s)^c = \tilde{\Phi}$. Thus, (X, Ω, P) is tt-soft semiregular, as required.

Theorem 48. The three concepts below are identical if (X, Ω, P) is a tt-soft semiregular space.

- (i) a tt-soft semi-T₂-space
- (ii) a tt-soft semi-T₁-space
- (iii) a tt-soft semi- T_0 -space.

Proof. The directions $(i) \longrightarrow (ii)$ and $(ii) \longrightarrow (iii)$ are obvious.

To prove $(iii) \longrightarrow (i)$, let $x \neq y$ in a tt-soft semi- T_0 -space (X, Ω, P) . Then, there exists a soft semiopen set G_P so that $x \in G_P$ and $y \notin G_P$, or $y \in G_P$ and $x \notin G_P$. Say, $x \in G_P$ and $y \notin G_P$. Obviously, $x \notin G_P^c$ and $y \in G_P^c$. Since (X, Ω, P) is tt-soft semiregular, then there exist two disjoint soft semiopen sets U_P and V_P so that $x \in U_P$ and $y \in G_P^c \subseteq V_P$. Hence, (X, Ω, P) is tt-soft semi- T_2 .

Proposition 49. Let (X, Ω, P) be finite soft hyperconnected. If (X, Ω, P) is a tt-soft semi- T_2 -space, then it i tt-soft semiregular.

Proof. Let H_P be a soft semiclosed set and $x \in X$ so that $x \notin H_P$. Then $x \neq y$ for each $y \in H_P$. By hypothesis, there are two disjoint soft semiopen sets U_{i_p} and V_{i_p} so that $x \in U_{i_p}$ and $y \in V_{i_p}$. Since $\{y: y \in X\}$ is a finite set, then there is a finite number of soft semiopen sets V_{i_p} so that $H_P \subseteq \tilde{\cup}_{i=1}^{i=m} V_{i_p}$. Since (X, Ω, P) is soft hyperconnected, then it follows from Theorem 17 that $\tilde{\cap}_{i=1}^{i=m} U_{i_p}$ is a soft semiopen set containing x. Since $[\tilde{\cup}_{i=1}^{i=m} V_{i_p}] \tilde{\cap} [\tilde{\cap}_{i=1}^{i=m} U_{i_p}] = \tilde{\Phi}$, then (X, Ω, P) is tt-soft semiregular.

Corollary 50. The following concepts are identical if (X, Ω, P) is finite soft hyperconnected.

- (i) a tt-soft semi-T₃-space
- (ii) a tt-soft semi-T₂-space
- (iii) a tt-soft semi-T₁-space.

Proof. We obtain the directions $(i) \longrightarrow (ii)$ and $(ii) \longrightarrow (iii)$ from Proposition 38.

We obtain the direction $(iii) \longrightarrow (ii)$ from Theorem 45. We obtain the direction $(ii) \longrightarrow (i)$ from Proposition 49.

Theorem 51. The property of being a tt-soft semi- T_i -space (i = 0, 1, 2, 3) is a soft open hereditary.

Proof. We suffice by proving the cases of i = 3.

Let (Y,Ω_Y,P) be a soft open subspace of a tt-soft semi- T_3 -space (X,Ω,P) . To prove that (Y,Ω_Y,P) is tt-soft semi- T_1 , let $x\neq y\in Y$. Since (X,Ω,P) is a tt-soft semi- T_1 -space, then there exist two soft semiopen sets G_P and F_P so that $x\in G_P$ and $y\not\in G_P$; and $y\in F_P$ and $x\not\in F_P$. Therefore, $x\in U_P=\tilde{Y}\cap G_P$ and $y\in V_P=\tilde{Y}\cap F_P$ so that $y\not\in U_P$ and $x\not\in V_P$. It follows from Proposition 18 that U_P and V_P are soft semiopen subsets of (Y,Ω_Y,P) , so that (Y,Ω_Y,P) is tt-soft semi- T_1 .

To prove that (Y, Ω_Y, P) is tt-soft semiregular, let $y \in Y$ and F_P be a soft semiclosed subset of (Y, Ω_Y, P) so that $y \notin F_P$. Then, $F_P \tilde{\cup} \tilde{Y}^c$ is a soft semiclosed subset of (X, Ω, P) so that $y \notin F_P \tilde{\cup} \tilde{Y}^c$. Therefore, there exist disjoint soft semiopen subsets U_P and V_P of (X, Ω, P) so that $F_P \tilde{\cup} \tilde{Y}^c \tilde{\subseteq} U_P$ and $Y \in V_P$. Now, $U_P \tilde{\cap} \tilde{Y}$ and $V_P \tilde{\cap} \tilde{Y}$ are disjoint soft semiopen subsets of (Y, Ω_Y, P) so that $F_P \tilde{\subseteq} U_P \tilde{\cap} \tilde{Y}$ and $Y \in V_P \tilde{\cap} \tilde{Y}$. Thus, (Y, Ω_Y, P) is tt-soft semiregular.

Hence, (Y, Ω_Y, P) is tt-soft semi- T_3 , as required.

Theorem 52. Let (X, Ω, P) be extended and i = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4. Then, (X, Ω, P) is tt-soft semi- T_i iff (X, Ω_p) is semi- T_i for each $p \in P$.

Proof. We prove the theorem in the case of i = 4, and one can similarly prove the other cases.

Necessity: let $x \neq y$ in X. Then, there exist two soft semiopen sets U_P and V_P so that $x \in U_P$ and $y \notin U_P$; and $y \in V_P$ and $x \notin V_p$. Obviously, $x \in U(p)$ and $y \notin U(p)$; and $y \in V(p)$ and $x \in V(p)$ $\notin V(p)$. Since (X, Ω, P) is extended, then it follows from Theorem 26 that U(p) and V(p) are semiopen subsets of (X, Ω_p) for each $p \in P$. Thus, (X, Ω_p) is a semi- T_1 -space. To prove that (X, Ω_p) is seminormal, let F_p and H_p be two disjoint semiclosed subsets of (X, Ω_p) . Let F_P and H_P be two soft sets given by $F(p) = F_p$, $H(p) = H_p$, and $F(p') = H(p') = \emptyset$ for each $p' \neq p$. It follows from Theorem 26 that F_p and H_p are two disjoint soft semiclosed subsets of (X, Ω, P) . By hypothesis, there exist two disjoint soft semiopen sets G_p and W_P so that $F_P \subseteq G_P$ and $H_P \subseteq W_P$. This implies that $F(p) = F_p \subseteq G(p)$ and $H(p) = H_p \subseteq W(p)$. Since (X, Ω, P) is extended, then it follows from Theorem 26 that G(p)and W(p) are semiopen subsets of (X, Ω_p) . Thus, (X, Ω_p) is a seminormal space. Hence, it is a semi- T_4 -space.

Sufficiency: let $x \neq y$ in X. Then there exists two semiopen subsets U_p and V_p of (X, Ω_p) so that $x \in U_p$ and $y \notin U_p$; and $y \in V_p$ and $x \notin V_p$. Let U_p and V_p be two soft sets given by $U(p) = U_p$ and $V(p) = V_p$ for each $p \in P$. Since (X, Ω, P) is extended, then it follows from Theorem 26 that U_p and V_p are soft semiopen subsets of (X, Ω, P) so that $x \in U_P$ and y $\notin U_P$; and $y \in V_P$ and $x \notin V_P$. Thus, (X, Ω, P) is a tt-soft semi- T_1 -space. To prove that (X, Ω, P) is soft seminormal, let F_p and H_p be two disjoint soft semiclosed subsets of (X, Ω , P). Since (X, Ω, P) is extended, then it follows from Theorem 26 that F(p) and H(p) are two disjoint semiclosed subsets of (X, Ω_p) . By hypothesis, there exist two disjoint semiopen subsets G_p and W_p of (X, Ω_p) so that $F(p) \subseteq G_p$ and $H(p) \subseteq W_p$. Let G_P and W_P be two soft sets given by G $(p) = G_p$ and $W(p) = W_p$ for each $p \in P$. Since (X, Ω, P) is extended, then it follows from Theorem 26 that G_p and W_p are two disjoint soft semiopen subsets of (X, Ω, P) so that $F_P \subseteq G_P$ and $H_P \subseteq W_P$. Thus, (X, Ω, P) is soft seminormal. Hence, it is a tt-soft semi- T_4 -space.

In the following examples, we show that a condition of an extended soft topology given in the above theorem is not superfluous.

Example 1. Let (X,Ω,P) be the same as in Example1. We showed that (X,Ω,P) is not tt-soft semi- T_0 . On the other hand, Ω_{p_1} and Ω_{p_2} are the discrete topology on X. Hence, the two parametric topological spaces (X,Ω_{p_1}) and (X,Ω_{p_2}) are semi- T_4 .

Theorem 53. The property of being a tt-soft semi- T_i -space (i = 0, 1, 2) is preserved under a finite product soft spaces.

Proof. We prove the theorem in case of i = 2. The other cases follow similar lines.

Let (X_1, Ω_1, E_1) and (X_2, Ω_2, E_2) be two tt-soft semi- T_2 -spaces and let $(x_1, y_1) \neq (x_2, y_2)$ in $X_1 \times X_2$. Then, $x_1 \neq x_2$ or

 $y_1 \neq y_2$. Without loss of generality, let $x_1 \neq x_2$. Then there exist two disjoint soft semiopen subsets G_{E_1} and H_{E_1} of (X_1, Ω_1, E_1) so that $x_1 \in G_{E_1}$ and $x_2 \notin G_{E_1}$; and $x_2 \in H_{E_1}$ and $x_1 \notin H_{E_1}$. Obviously, $G_{E_1} \times \widetilde{X_2}$ and $H_{E_1} \times \widetilde{X_2}$ are two disjoint soft semiopen subsets $X_1 \times X_2$ so that $(x_1, y_1) \in G_{E_1} \times \widetilde{X_2}$ and $(x_2, y_2) \notin G_{E_1} \times \widetilde{X_2}$; and $(x_2, y_2) \in H_{E_1} \times \widetilde{X_2}$ and $(x_1, y_1) \notin H_{E_1} \times \widetilde{X_2}$. Hence, $X_1 \times X_2$ is a tt-soft semi- T_2 -space.

For the sake of brevity, we omit the proof of the following result.

Theorem 54. The property of being a tt-soft semi- T_i -space is an additive property for i = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4.

We complete this section by discussing some interrelations between tt-soft semi- T_i -spaces (i=2,3,4) and soft semicompact spaces.

Proposition 55. A stable soft semicompact set in a tt-soft semi- T_2 -space is soft semiclosed.

Proof. It follows from Proposition 22 and Remark 35.

Theorem 56. Let H_P be a soft semicompact subset of a soft hyperconnected tt-soft semi- T_2 -space. If $x \notin H_P$, then there are disjoint soft semiopen sets U_P and V_P so that $x \in U_P$ and $H_P \subseteq V_P$.

Proof. Let $x \notin H_P$. Then $x \neq y$ for each $y \in H_P$. Since (X, Ω, P) is a tt-soft semi- T_2 -space, then there exist disjoint soft semi-open sets U_{i_p} and V_{i_p} so that $x \in U_{i_p}$ and $y \in V_{i_p}$. Therefore, $\{V_{i_p}\}$ forms a soft semiopen cover of H_P . Since H_P is soft semicompact, then $H_P \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} V_{i_p}$. By the soft hyperconnectedness of (X, Ω, P) , we obtain $\bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} U_{i_p} = U_P$ is a soft semiopen set. Hence, we obtain the desired result.

Theorem 57. Every soft hyperconnected, soft semicompact, and tt-soft semi-T₂-space is tt-soft semiregular.

Proof. Let H_P be a soft semiclosed subset of soft semicompact and tt-soft semi- T_2 -space (X, Ω, P) so that $x \notin H_P$. Then, H_P is soft semicompact. By Theorem 56, there exist disjoint soft semiopen sets U_P and V_P so that $x \in U_P$ and $H_P \subseteq V_P$. Thus, (X, Ω, P) is tt-soft semiregular.

Corollary 58. Every soft hyperconnected, soft semicompact, and tt-soft semi- T_2 -space is tt-soft semi- T_3 .

Lemma 59. Let F_p be a soft semiopen subset of a soft semiregular space. Then, for each $S_p^x \in F_p$, there exists a soft semiopen set G_p so that $S_p^x \in \overline{G}_p^s \subseteq F_p$.

Proof. Let F_p be a soft semiopen set so that $S_p^x \in F_p$. Then, $x \notin F_p^c$. Since (X, Ω, P) is soft semiregular, then there exist two disjoint soft semiopen sets G_p and W_p totally containing

x and F_p^c , respectively. Thus, $x \in G_p \subseteq W_p^c \subseteq F_p$. Hence, $S_p^x \in G_p \subseteq \overline{G_p}^s \subseteq W_p^c \subseteq F_p$.

Theorem 60. Let H_P be a soft semicompact subset of a soft semiregular space and F_P be a soft semiopen set containin H_P . Then, there exists a soft semiopen set G_P so that $H_P \subseteq G_P \subseteq \overline{G_P}^s \subseteq F_P$.

Proof. Let the given conditions be satisfied. Then, for each $S_p^x \in H_P$, we have $S_p^x \in F_P$. Therefore, there is a soft semiopen set W_{xe_p} so that $S_p^x \in W_{xe_p} \subseteq \overline{W_{xe_p}}^s \subseteq F_P$. Now, $\{W_{xe_p} : S_p^x \in F_P\}$ is a soft semiopen cover of H_P . Since H_P is soft semicompact, then $H_P \subseteq \widetilde{\cup}_{i=1}^{i=n} W_{xe_p}$. Putting $G_P = \widetilde{\cup}_{i=1}^{i=n} W_{xe_p}$. Thus, $H_P \subseteq G_p \subseteq \overline{G_p}^s \subseteq F_P$.

Corollary 61. If (X, Ω, P) is soft semicompact and soft semi- T_3 , then it is tt-soft semi- T_4 .

Proof. Suppose that F_{1_p} and F_{2_p} are two disjoint soft semiclosed sets. Then, $F_{2_p} \subseteq F_{1_p}^c$. Since (X, Ω, P) is soft semicompact, then F_{2_p} is soft semicompact and since (X, Ω, P) is soft semiregular, then there is a soft semiopen set G_p so that $F_{2_p} \subseteq G_p \subseteq \overline{G}_p^s \subseteq F_{1_p}^c$. Obviously, $F_{2_p} \subseteq G_p$, $F_{1_p} \subseteq (\overline{G}_p^s)^c$ and $G_p \cap (\overline{G}_p^s)^c = \overline{\Phi}$. Thus, (X, Ω, P) is soft seminormal. Since (X, Ω, P) is soft semi- T_3 , then it is tt-soft semi- T_4 . Hence, it is tt-soft semi- T_4 .

4. Semifixed Soft Points of Soft Mappings

In this section, we introduce a semifixed soft point property and investigate some main features, in particular, those related to parametric topological spaces.

Theorem 62. Let $\{\mathcal{B}_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ be a collection of soft subsets of a soft semicompact space (X, Ω, P) satisfying the following:

- (i) $\mathcal{B}_n \neq \tilde{\Phi}$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$
- (ii) \mathcal{B}_n is a soft semiclosed set for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$
- (iii) $\mathcal{B}_{n+1} \subseteq \mathcal{B}_n$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Then, $\tilde{\cap}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}\mathcal{B}_n\neq\tilde{\Phi}$.

Proof. Suppose that $\tilde{\cap}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}\mathcal{B}_n=\tilde{\Phi}$. Then, $\tilde{\cup}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}\mathcal{B}_n^c=\tilde{X}$. It follows from (ii) that $\{\mathcal{B}_n^c:n\in\mathbb{N}\}$ is a soft semiopen cover of \tilde{X} . By hypothesis of soft semicompactness, there exist $i_1, i_2, \cdots, i_k \in \mathbb{N}, \quad i_1 < i_2 < \cdots < i_k \quad \text{so} \quad \text{that} \quad \tilde{X}=\mathcal{B}_{i_1}^c\tilde{\cup}\,\mathcal{B}_{i_2}^c\tilde{\cup}\,\cdots$ $\tilde{\cup}\,\mathcal{B}_{i_k}^c$. It follows from (iii) that $\mathcal{B}_{i_k} \ \tilde{\subseteq}\, \tilde{X}=\mathcal{B}_{i_1}^c\tilde{\cup}\,\mathcal{B}_{i_2}^c\tilde{\cup}\,\cdots$ $\tilde{\cup}\,\mathcal{B}_{i_k}^c=[\mathcal{B}_{i_1}\ \tilde{\cap}\,\mathcal{B}_{i_2}\ \tilde{\cap}\,\cdots\ \tilde{\cap}\,\mathcal{B}_{i_k}]^c=\mathcal{B}_{i_k}^c$. This yields a contradiction. Thus, we obtain the proof that $\tilde{\cap}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}\mathcal{B}_n\neq\tilde{\Phi}$.

Proposition 63. Let (X, Ω, P) be a soft semicompact and soft semi- T'_2 -space and $g_{\varphi}: (X, \Omega, P) \longrightarrow (X, \Omega, P)$ be a soft

semi *-continuous map. Then there exists a unique soft point $S_p^x \in \tilde{X}$ of g_{ω} .

Proof. Let $\{\mathscr{B}_1 = g_{\omega}(\tilde{X}) \text{ and } \mathscr{B}_n = g_{\omega}(\mathscr{B}_{n-1}) = g_{\omega}^n(\tilde{X}) \text{ for }$ each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ be a family of soft subsets of (X, Ω, P) . It is clear that $\mathcal{B}_{n+1} \subseteq \mathcal{B}_n$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Since g_{φ} is soft semi*-continuous, then \mathcal{B}_n is a soft semicompact set for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and since (X, Ω, P) is soft semi- T'_2 , then \mathcal{B}_n is also a soft semiclosed set for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. It follows from Theorem 62 that $(H, P) = \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{B}_n$ is a nonnull soft set. Note that $g_{\varphi}(H,P) = g_{\varphi}(\,\widetilde{\cap}_{\,n\in\mathbb{N}}g_{\varphi}^{n}(\tilde{X}\,))\,\widetilde{\subseteq}\,\,\widetilde{\cap}_{\,n\in\mathbb{N}}g_{\varphi}^{n+1}(\tilde{X}\,)\,\widetilde{\subseteq}\,\,\widetilde{\cap}_{\,n\in\mathbb{N}}g_{\varphi}^{n}(\tilde{X}\,)$ = (H, P). To show that $(H,P) \subseteq g_{\varphi}(H, P)$, suppose that there is a $S_p^x \in (H, P)$ so that $S_p^x \notin g_{\varphi}(H, P)$. Let $\mathscr{C}_n = g_{\varphi}^{-1}$ $(S_p^x) \cap \mathcal{B}_n$. Obviously, $\mathcal{C}_n \neq \tilde{\Phi}$ and $\mathcal{C}_n \subseteq \mathcal{C}_{n-1}$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Now, $g_{\varphi}^{-1}(S_{p}^{x})$ is a soft compact subset of a soft semi- T_{2}^{\prime} -space, then $g_{\omega}^{-1}(S_{p}^{x})$ is soft closed; therefore, \mathscr{C}_{n} is a soft semiclosed set for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. By Theorem 62, there exists a soft point P_m^y so that $P_m^y \in g_{\varphi}^{-1}(S_p^x) \cap \mathcal{B}_n$. Therefore, $S_p^x = g_{\varphi}(P_m^y) \in g_{\varphi}(H, P)$. This is a contradiction. Thus, $g_{\varphi}(H, P) = (H, P)$. Hence, the proof is complete.

Definition 64.

- (i) (X, Ω, P) is said to have a semifixed soft point property if every soft semi*-continuous map g_{φ} : $(X, \Omega, P) \longrightarrow (X, \Omega, P)$ has a fixed soft point
- (ii) A property is said to be a semi*-soft topological property if the property is preserved by soft semi*-homeomorphism maps.

Proposition 65. The property of being a semifixed soft point is a semi*-soft topological property.

Proof. Let (X, Ω, P) and (Y, θ, P) be a soft semi*-homeomorphic. Then, there is a bijective soft map $f_{\varphi}: (X, \Omega, P) \longrightarrow (Y, \theta, P)$ so that f_{φ} and f_{φ}^{-1} are soft semi*-continuous. Since (X, Ω, P) has a semifixed soft point property, then every soft semi*-continuous map $g_{\varphi}: (X, \Omega, P) \longrightarrow (X, \Omega, P)$ has a semifixed soft point. Now, let $h_{\varphi}: (Y, \theta, P) \longrightarrow (Y, \theta, P)$ be a soft semi*-continuous. Obviously, $h_{\varphi} \circ f_{\varphi}: (X, \Omega, P) \longrightarrow (Y, \theta, P)$ is a soft semi*-continuous. Also, $f_{\varphi}^{-1} \circ h_{\varphi} \circ f_{\varphi}: (X, \Omega, P) \longrightarrow (X, \Omega, P)$ is a soft semi*-continuous. Since (X, Ω, P) has a semifixed soft point property, then $f_{\varphi}^{-1}(h_{\varphi}(f_{\varphi}(S_{p}^{x}))) = S_{p}^{x}$ for some $S_{p}^{x} \in \tilde{X}$. consequently, $f_{\varphi}(f_{\varphi}^{-1}(h_{\varphi}(f_{\varphi}(S_{p}^{x})))) = f_{\varphi}(S_{p}^{x})$. This implies that $h_{\varphi}(f_{\varphi}(S_{p}^{x})) = f_{\varphi}(S_{p}^{x})$. Thus, $f_{\varphi}(S_{p}^{x})$ is a semifixed soft point of h_{φ} . Hence, (Y, θ, P) has a semifixed soft point property, as required.

Before we investigate a relationship between soft topological space and their parametric topological spaces in terms of possessing a fixed (soft) point, we need to prove the following result.

Theorem 66. If Ω is extended, then $g_{\varphi}:(X,\Omega,P)\longrightarrow (Y,\theta,P)$ is soft semi*-continuous iff $g:(X,\Omega_p)\longrightarrow (Y,\theta_{\phi(p)})$ is semi*-continuous.

Proof. Necessity: let U be a semiopen subset of $(Y,\theta_{\phi(p)})$. Then θ contains a soft semiopen set G_P satisfying $G(\phi(p))=U$. Since g_{ϕ} is a soft semi*-continuous map, then $g_{\phi}^{-1}(G_P)$ is a soft semiopen set. Definition 8 implies that a soft subset $g_{\phi}^{-1}(G_P)=(g_{\phi}^{-1}(G))_P$ of (X,Ω,P) is given by $g_{\phi}^{-1}(G)(p)=g^{-1}(G(\phi(p)))$ for each $p\in P$. By hypothesis, Ω is extended; we obtain from Theorem 26 that a subset $g^{-1}(G(\phi(p)))=g^{-1}(U)$ of (X,Ω_p) is semiopen. Hence, a map g is semi*-continuous.

Sufficiency: let G_P be a soft semiopen subset of (Y, θ, P) . According to Definition 8, a soft subset $g_\phi^{-1}(G_P) = (g_\phi^{-1}(G))_p$ of (X, Ω, P) is given by $g_\phi^{-1}(G)(p) = g^{-1}(G(\phi(p)))$ for each $p \in P$. Since a map g is semi*-continuous, then a subset $g^{-1}(G(\phi(p)))$ of (X, Ω_p) is semiopen. By hypothesis, Ω is extended; we obtain from Theorem 26 that $g_\phi^{-1}(G_P)$ is a soft semiopen subset of (X, Ω, P) . Hence, a soft map g_ϕ is soft semi*-continuous.

Definition 67. (X, Ω) is said to have a semifixed point property if every semi*-continuous map $g:(X,\Omega)\longrightarrow (X,\Omega)$ has a fixed point.

Proposition 68. (X, Ω, P) has the property of a semifixed soft point iff (X, Ω_p) has the property of a semifixed point for each $p \in P$.

Proof. Necessity: let (X,Ω,P) has the property of a semifixed soft point. Then every soft semi*-continuous map $g_{\varphi}:(X,\Omega,P)\longrightarrow (X,\Omega,P)$ has a fixed soft point. Say, S_p^x . It follows from the above theorem that $g_p:(X,\Omega_p)\longrightarrow (X,\theta_{\phi(p)})$ is semi*-continuous. Since S_p^x is a fixed soft point of g_{φ} , then it must be that $g_p(x)=x$. Thus, g_p has a fixed point. Hence, we obtain the desired result.

Sufficiency: let (X,Ω_p) has the property of a semifixed point for each $p \in P$. Then, every semi*-continuous map $g_p:(X,\Omega_p) \longrightarrow (X,\theta_{\phi(p)})$ has a fixed point. Say, x. It follows from the above theorem that $g_{\varphi}:(X,\Omega,P) \longrightarrow (X,\theta,P)$ is soft semi*-continuous. Since x is a fixed point of g_p , then it must be that $g_{\varphi}(S_p^x) = S_p^x$. Thus, g_{φ} has a fixed soft point. Hence, we obtain the desired result.

5. Conclusion

This work is a contribution of studying new classes to soft topology. First, we have introduced new soft separation axioms with respect to ordinary points by using total belong and total nonbelong relations. This way of definition helps us to generalize existing comparable properties via general topology and to remove a strict condition of the shape of soft open and closed subsets of soft semiregular spaces. In gen-

eral, we have studied their main properties and showed the interrelations between them with help of interesting examples. Second, we have defined semifixed soft point and investigated its basic properties.

As future works, we shall study them on the contents of supra soft topological spaces, minimal soft topological spaces, and soft weak structures. Finally, we hope that the concepts initiated herein will find their applications in many fields soon.

Data Availability

No data were used to support this study.

Conflicts of Interest

The author declares that he has no competing interests.

Acknowledgments

We are highly grateful to the anonymous referees for their helpful comments and suggestions for improving the paper.

References

- [1] D. Molodtsov, "Soft set theory-first results," Computers & Mathematics with Applications, vol. 37, pp. 19–31, 1999.
- [2] P. K. Maji, R. Biswas, and R. Roy, "Soft set theory," Computers & Mathematcs with Applications, vol. 45, no. 4-5, pp. 555–562, 2003.
- [3] P. K. Maji, R. Biswas, and R. Roy, "An application of soft sets in a decision making problem," *Computers & Mathematcs with Applications*, vol. 44, no. 8-9, pp. 1077–1083, 2002.
- [4] M. I. Ali, F. Feng, X. Liu, W. K. Min, and M. Shabir, "On some new operations in soft set theory," *Computers & Mathematcs with Applications*, vol. 57, no. 9, pp. 1547–1553, 2009.
- [5] T. M. Al-shami and M. E. El-Shafei, "T-soft equality relation," *Turkish Journal of Mathematics*, vol. 44, no. 4, pp. 1427–1441, 2020.
- [6] M. Shabir and M. Naz, "On soft topological spaces," Computers & Mathematics with Applications, vol. 61, no. 7, pp. 1786–1799, 2011.
- [7] W. K. Min, "A note on soft topological spaces," Computers & Mathematics with Applications, vol. 62, pp. 3524–3528, 2011.
- [8] A. Aygünoglu and H. Aygün, "Some notes on soft topological spaces," *Neural Computing and Applications*, vol. 21, no. S1, pp. 113–119, 2012.
- [9] T. Hida, "A comprasion of two formulations of soft compactness," *Annals of Fuzzy Mathematics and Informatics*, vol. 8, no. 4, pp. 511–524, 2014.
- [10] T. M. Al-shami, "Comments on "Soft mappings spaces"," Scientific World Journal, vol. 2019, article 6903809, pp. 1-2, 2019.
- [11] T. M. Al-shami, "Comments on some results related to soft separation axioms," *Afrika Matematika*, vol. 31, no. 7-8, pp. 1105–1119, 2020.
- [12] T. M. Al-shami, M. E. El-Shafei, and M. Abo-Elhamayel, "Almost soft compact and approximately soft Lindelöf spaces," *Journal of Taibah University for Science*, vol. 12, no. 5, pp. 620–630, 2018.

- [13] A. Kharal and B. Ahmad, "Mappings on soft classes," *New Mathematics and Natural Computation*, vol. 7, no. 3, pp. 471–481, 2011.
- [14] D. Wardowski, "On a soft mapping and its fixed points," *Fixed Point Theory and Applications*, vol. 2013, no. 1, 2013.
- [15] P. Majumdar and S. K. Samanta, "On soft mappings," *Computers & Mathematcs with Applications*, vol. 60, no. 9, pp. 2666–2672, 2010.
- [16] M. E. El-Shafei, M. Abo-Elhamayel, and T. M. Al-shami, "Partial soft separation axioms and soft compact spaces," *Univerzitet u Nišu*, vol. 32, no. 13, pp. 4755–4771, 2018.
- [17] T. M. Al-shami and M. E. El-Shafei, "Partial belong relation on soft separation axioms and decision making problem: two birds with one stone," *Soft Computing*, vol. 24, no. 7, pp. 5377–5387, 2020.
- [18] M. E. El-Shafei and T. M. Al-shami, "Applications of partial belong and total non-belong relations on soft separation axioms and decision-making problem," *Computational and Applied Mathematics*, vol. 39, no. 3, 2020.
- [19] S. Das and S. K. Samanta, "Soft metric," *Annals of Fuzzy Mathematics and Informatics*, vol. 6, no. 1, pp. 77–94, 2013.
- [20] S. Das and S. K. Samanta, "Soft real sets, soft real numbers and their properties," *Journal of Fuzzy Mathematics*, vol. 20, no. 3, pp. 551–576, 2012.
- [21] M. Abbas, G. Murtaza, and S. Romaguera, "Soft contraction theorem," *Journal of nonlinear and convex analysis*, vol. 16, no. 3, pp. 423–435, 2015.
- [22] M. Abbas, G. Murtaza, and S. Romaguera, "Remarks on fixed point theory in soft metric type spaces," *Univerzitet u Nišu*, vol. 33, no. 17, pp. 5531–5541, 2019.
- [23] S. Mohinta and T. K. Samanta, "Variant of soft compatible, weakly soft commuting maps and common fixed point theorem," *International Journal of Mathematical Sciences & Appli*cations, vol. 2, no. 2, 2016.
- [24] S. Mohinta and T. K. Samanta, "A comparison of various type of soft compatible maps and common fixed point theorem-II," *International Journal on Cybernetics & Informatics*, vol. 4, no. 5, pp. 1–18, 2015.
- [25] M. I. Yazar, Ç. Gunduz, and S. Bayramov, "Fixed point theorems of soft contractive mappings," *Univerzitet u Nišu*, vol. 30, no. 2, pp. 269–279, 2016.
- [26] F. Feng, H. Fujita, M. I. Ali, R. R. Yager, and X. Liu, "Another view on generalized intuitionistic fuzzy soft sets and related multiattribute decision making methods," *IEEE Transactions* on Fuzzy Systems, vol. 27, no. 3, pp. 474–488, 2019.
- [27] F. Feng, Z. Xu, H. Fujita, and M. Liang, "Enhancing PRO-METHEE method with intuitionistic fuzzy soft sets," *International Journal of Intelligent Systems*, vol. 35, no. 7, pp. 1071– 1104, 2020.
- [28] J. C. R. Alcantud, "Soft open bases and a novel construction of soft topologies from bases for topologies," *Mathematics*, vol. 8, no. 5, p. 672, 2020.
- [29] I. Zorlutuna and H. Çakir, "On continuity of soft mappings," *Applied Mathematics & Information Sciences*, vol. 9, no. 1, pp. 403–409, 2015.
- [30] S. Nazmul and S. K. Samanta, "Neighbourhood properties of soft topological spaces," *Annals of Fuzzy Mathematics and Informatics*, vol. 6, no. 1, pp. 1–15, 2013.
- [31] T. M. Al-shami and L. D. R. Kocinac, "The equivalence between the enriched and extended soft topologies," Applied

- and Computational Mathematics, vol. 18, no. 2, pp. 149–162, 2019.
- [32] T. M. Al-shami and L. D. R. Kocinac, "Nearly soft Menger spaces," *Journal of Mathematics*, vol. 2020, Article ID 3807418, 9 pages, 2020.
- [33] F. Feng, Y. M. Li, B. Davvaz, and M. I. Ali, "Soft sets combined with fuzzy sets and rough sets: a tentative approach," *Soft Computing*, vol. 14, no. 9, pp. 899–911, 2010.
- [34] K. V. Babitha and J. J. Suntil, "Soft set relations and functions," *Computers & Mathematics with Applications*, vol. 60, no. 7, pp. 1840–1849, 2010.
- [35] B. Chen, "Soft semi-open sets and related properties in soft topological spaces," *Applied Mathematics & Information Sciences*, vol. 7, no. 1, pp. 287–294, 2013.
- [36] A. Kandil, O. A. E. Tantawy, S. A. El-Sheikh, and A. M. Abd El-latif, "Soft connectedness via soft ideals," *Journal of New results in science*, vol. 4, pp. 90–108, 2014.
- [37] T. M. Al-shami, M. E. El-Shafei, and M. Abo-Elhamayel, "Seven generalized types of soft semi-compact spaces, Korean," *The Korean Journal of Mathematics*, vol. 27, no. 3, pp. 661–690, 2019.
- [38] J. Mahanta and P. K. Das, "On soft topological space via semiopen and semi-closed soft sets," *Kyungpook National University*, vol. 54, pp. 221–236, 2014.
- [39] T. M. Al-shami, L. D. R. Kocinac, and B. A. Asaad, "Sum of soft topological spaces," *Mathematics*, vol. 8, no. 6, p. 990, 2020.