

Research Article

Determination of Six Macrolide Antibiotics in Chicken Sample by Liquid Chromatography-Tandem Mass Spectrometry Based on Solid Phase Extraction

Chen Lan,¹ Dan Yin,¹ Zhicong Yang,¹ Wuduo Zhao ⁽⁾,² Yanlong Chen,¹ Wenfen Zhang,¹ and Shusheng Zhang ⁽⁾

¹College of Chemistry and Molecular Engineering, Zhengzhou University, Zhengzhou, China ²Center for Advanced Analysis and Computational Science, Zhengzhou University, Zhengzhou, China

Correspondence should be addressed to Wuduo Zhao; zhaowuduo@163.com and Shusheng Zhang; zsszz@126.com

Received 31 October 2018; Accepted 17 January 2019; Published 24 February 2019

Academic Editor: Valdemar Esteves

Copyright © 2019 Chen Lan et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

In this paper, a simple and effective method for the determination of six macrolide antibiotics (MACs), including tylosin, tilmicosin, azithromycin, clarithromycin, roxithromycin, and kitasamycin, in the chicken sample using liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry (LC-MS/MS) was developed based on a self-built porous aromatic framework- (PAF-) based solid phase sorbent. The main parameters influencing the extraction efficiency, such as sorbent amounts, type of the eluent, pH of the sample, and the eluent volume, were evaluated. Under the optimized condition, the limits of detection were from 0.2 to $0.5 \,\mu g \cdot kg^{-1}$. The recoveries of the method ranged from 82.1% to 101.4% with the relative standard deviations less than 11.1%. All the results demonstrated that the established method is potential for the determination of macrolide antibiotics in food safety analysis and monitoring.

1. Introduction

Macrolide antibiotics (MACs) are a class of lipophilic compounds and broad-spectrum antibacterial agents produced by actinomycetes or micromonospora, consisting of 12-16 carbonolactone rings [1-3]. Due to their strong antibacterial activity against pathogens such as Grampositive bacteria and Gram-negative bacteria, MACs are widely used in the treatment of human diseases, and as well as in the prevention and control of animal diseases in animal husbandry [3–5]. Although this type of antibiotic is less toxic, inappropriate or abusive use of antibiotics in farm animals might provoke their residues in food of animal origin and cause contamination of animal-derived food. And, the accumulation of drugs in edible animal tissues could be a potential hazard to human health. Once ingested by human body and accumulated to a certain concentration, MACs and their metabolites may cause

damage to the human vestibule and cochlear nerves, even to liver and kidneys, and may lead to an increase in human resistant strains [6–9]. The investigations pointed out that food consumption is the major source of human inadvertent antibiotics intake [10, 11]. In United States, European Union, China, and many other countries, maximum residue limits (MRLs) for MACs in edible animal tissues have been set up [5]. Therefore, it is of great significance to establish a rapid, sensitive, and reproducible method for the determination of macrolide antibiotics in animal-derived foods.

Recently, with the development of analytical instruments, various analytical methods have been gradually developed to determine the trace drug residues in complex matrices, including capillary electrophoresis (CE) [12–14], enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) [15], thinlayer chromatography (TLC) [16], voltammetric measurements [17], gas chromatography coupled to mass spectrometry (GC-MS) [18, 19], high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) [20–22], and liquid chromatography coupled with tandem mass spectrometry (LC-MS/MS) [2, 23–27]. Among these analytical methods, LC-MS/MS appears to be acknowledged as the most useful and authoritative methods for the quantification of MACs in complex matrices, due to its high sensitivity and good specificity [24, 26].

However, the matrix of animal-derived food samples is complex, and the impurities such as fat and protein existed in animal tissues samples, which not only affects the separation and quantitative analysis of target analytes but also may pollute the chromatographic column and analytical instruments. Therefore, proper sample preparation is important.

Until now, several sample preparation methods including solid-phase extraction (SPE) [28], liquid-liquid extraction (LLE) [29, 30], matrix solid-phase dispersion (MSPD) [9], pressurized liquid extraction (PLE) [31], and magnetic solid-phase extraction (MSPE) [5] have been used to extract macrolide antibiotics from foodstuff. And, SPE is one of the most frequent extraction and clean-up procedures in food fields, environment, and biomedical field [3, 32-34]. To date, a variety of different SPE sorbents have been developed to enrich antibiotics. For example, restricted access material has been used as SPE sorbent for adsorption and determination MACs [35], and mesoporous MCM-41 silica sorbent for simultaneous purification and enrichment of five MACs in mini-SPE [3]. And, poly (1-vinylimidazole-cotrimethylolpropane trimethacrylate) is used as a selective sorbent material for determination of MACs in mineral water and juice sample, etc. [36].

In our laboratory, we have developed some efficient SPE sorbents including calixarene [37], ion liquids [38], metal organic framework (MOF) [39], covalent organic framework (COF) [40], and porous aromatic framework sorbents [41, 42] and have been used in the analysis of the different targets. Among these sorbents, the porous aromatic framework displayed the excellent adsorption performance for multiple analytes [41, 42].

Porous aromatic frameworks (PAFs) possess high surface areas, high porosity, intrinsic electron rich structure, high chemical and thermal stabilities, π - π conjugated array systems, a specific hydrophobic-hydrophilic nature, and many other advantages due to the numerous existent of aromatic builder units in its structure [43, 44]. In this work, we synthesized porous aromatic framework (PAF-6) between two organic monomers, cyanuric chloride, and piperazine. The chemical structure of PAF-6 is shown in Figure 1. The aromatic rings and nitrogen atoms in the PAF-6 framework endow it with multipoint recognition sites and versatile adsorption capacity [41]. Considering its lack of toxicity and high chemical stability, PAF-6 was applied as a SPE sorbent to selectively and feasibly extract and purify six MACs from chicken samples in the present work. The LC-MS/MS method for the simultaneous separation and determination of six MACs in chicken foods was developed with high sensitivity and selectivity.

2. Experimental

2.1. Solvents and Reagents. Methanol and acetonitrile of HPLC grade were obtained from Thermo Fisher Scientific (USA); HPLC grade formic acid was purchased from Amresco (USA); other reagents used in the experiment were all analytical grade. Deionized water was purified by a Milli-Q system from Millipore (Millipore, USA). The polypropylene column and 20 µm PTFE sieve plates used for SPE were purchased from Dikma (Dikma, Germany). The chicken samples used in the experiment were provided by the Henan Province Bureau of animal husbandry. Tylosin, tilmicosin, azithromycin, clarithromycin, roxithromycin, and kitasamycin (purity > 98%) were purchased from the China Institute of Veterinary Drugs Control. The chemical structures of the six MACs are shown in Figure 2. The stock standard solution was prepared by dissolving tylosin, tilmicosin, azithromycin, clarithromycin, roxithromycin, and kitasamycin in methanol. The stock solution was stored at 4°C in the refrigerator. We got the working standard solutions by stepwise diluting of stock solutions with methanol/water (v/v, 20:80). All standard solutions were stored at 4°C prior to use. For PAF-6, the structure and synthesis have been reported in detail elsewhere [42, 45].

2.2. Sample Preparation and Extraction Procedure. The preparation process of chicken samples was according to the previous literature with some modification [21]. First, the chicken samples were homogenized in a homogenizer. 2.0 g of homogenized sample was weighed into a 50 mL centrifuge tube, and spiked with $200 \,\mu\text{L}$ of 0.1 mol/L EDTA solution and 10 mL of acetonitrile/methanol (v/v, 95:5). After continuous vortexing and shaking for 20 min and centrifuging at 5000 r/min for 5 min, the supernatant was transferred to a 25 mL heart-shaped bottle. 10 mL of acetonitrile/water (v/v, 15:2) was added to the residue for repeated extraction, and the two supernatants were combined. The bottle was evaporated until the remaining solution was about 1 mL after adding 0.4 g of NaCl. Finally, the bottle was washed with 1 mL of acetonitrile and 15 mL of water, and the eluent was collected into a 50 mL centrifuge tube as a stock solution.

The pretreatment procedure of PAF-6 SPE cartridge (60 mg/3 cc): first, the cartridges were prepared by packing 60 mg of PAF-6 into the empty polypropylene SPE cartridges (3 mL). Then, 8 mL spiked sample solution or extracting solution was passed through the cartridges, which have been preconditioned with 3 mL methanol and 3 mL water at a flow rate of $4.0 \text{ mL} \cdot \text{min}^{-1}$. Second, the cartridges were washed with 5 mL of water at the flow rate of $1.0 \text{ mL} \cdot \text{min}^{-1}$. Finally, the analytes were eluted with 5 mL 5% ammoniated methanol at the flow rate of $1.0 \text{ mL} \cdot \text{min}^{-1}$. The collected eluent was concentrated under a gentle stream of nitrogen and then was redissolved to 1.0 mL with mobile phase, then used for the following LC-MS/MS analysis.



FIGURE 1: The chemical structure of PAF-6.

2.3. LC-MS/MS Analysis. The chromatographic separation was carried out using a Thermo Scientific Hypersil GOLD C18 column (2.6 μ m, 100 mm × 2.1 mm) at 35°C with an injection volume of 5 μ L. The flow rate was 0.3 mL·min⁻¹. The mobile phases were composed of 0.10% formic acid solution as mobile phase A and methanol as mobile phase B.

A TSQ QUANTIVA (ThermoFisher, USA) triple quadrupole mass spectrometer equipped with an electron spray ionization (ESI) interface, operating in the positive-ion mode, was used. The optimum conditions of selective reaction monitoring (SRM) were carried out at the following parameters: ion spray voltage, 3500 V; auxiliary gas pressure, 5 arb. units; ion transfer tube temperature, 350°C; ion source temperature, 300°C. The values of collision energy, transitions for the SRM mode, are given in Table 1.

2.4. Theoretical Computations. According to the density functional theory (DFT), we investigate interactions between host and guest molecules [46]. In this paper, B3LYP/ 6–31 + G was used to calculate the geometry optimizations between PAF-6 and MACs. All theoretical calculations were carried out using the Gaussian 09 package.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Molecular Interaction Mechanism. To investigate the molecular interaction mechanism, a theoretical calculation was performed based on the DFT-B3LYP using 6-31G as the basis set [46], according to the following formula:

$$\Delta E = E_{\text{PAF}-6-\text{MACs}} - E_{\text{PAF}-6} - E_{\text{MACs}}.$$
 (1)

The values of E_{MACs} , E_{PAF-6} , $E_{PAF-6-MACs}$, and ΔE are listed in Table 2. These data showed that MACs could spontaneously adsorb onto the PAF-6 molecules. From Figure 3, we can speculate that inclusion complexation and hydrogenbonding interaction of host-guest existed in PAF-6 and MACs.

3.2. LC-MS/MS Optimization. A full scan mass spectrum was obtained for each MAC and then examined to determine the precursor ion. To obtain the most selective and sensitive product ions of each MAC, a product ion scan was performed by applying an energy ramp between 10 and 50 V. The collision energy was fully optimized for the selected transitions for each MAC. The most sensitive transitions were selected for quantification, and the secondary transitions were used for confirmation. The transitions and optimial conditions are listed in Table 1.

To achieve satisfactory separations and high responses for all target MACs, the optimal separation was achieved on GOLD Thermo Scientific Hypersil C18 $(2.6 \,\mu m,$ $100 \,\mathrm{mm} \times 2.1 \,\mathrm{mm})$ columns. Acetonitrile/water and methanol/water were tested for the separation of target compounds during the method development. The results showed that, when the methanol/water was used as the mobile phase, the response of each target was higher than that of acetonitrile/water. In order to increase the ionization efficiency of the target, we changed the aqueous phase to a 0.1% formic acid solution and found that the tailing of the target peak was reduced and the response was improved.



FIGURE 2: Chemical structures of the studied macrolide antibiotics. (a) Tylosin. (b) Tilmicosin. (c) Azithromycin. (d) Clarithromycin. (e) Roxithromycin. (f) Kitasamycin.

Compound	Formula	Precursor ion (m/z)	Product ion (m/z)	Collision energy (V)
The last in		016 502	174.000*	36.444
Tylosin	$C_{46}H_{77}NO_{17}$	916.583	772.333	27.140
Tilmicooin	СЧИО	860 501	696.333*	39.680
THIIICOSIII	$C_{46}\Pi_{80}N_2O_{13}$	809.391	174.000	43.573
Arithromasin	СЧИО	740 520	591.333*	26.230
Aziumonnychi	$C_{38}\Pi_{72}N_2O_{12}$	749.339	573.347	32.146
Clarithromycin	C H NO	749 401	158.000*	26.230
Claritinoniyeni	$C_{38}\Pi_{69}\Pi_{13}$	/40.491	590.276	16.978
Povithromucin	СНИО	837 570	679.333*	18.798
Roximioniyem	$C_{41} I_{76} N_2 O_{15}$	857.570	158.000	31.489
Vitacamucin	C H NO	772 470	109.000*	38.213
Kitasainyeni	$C_{41}\Pi_{69}\Pi_{013}$	//2.4/0	558.222	23.702

TABLE 1: Operation parameters of macrolide antibiotics in selective reaction monitoring mode.

*Ions for quantitation; other ions are for confirmation.

Therefore, methanol/0.1% formic acid was chosen as the mobile phase. In addition, in order to improve the resolution of six of MACs, a gradient elution method was used in this experiment. The optimal conditions are listed in Table 3.

3.3. Optimization of Conditions for the SPE of MACs. In this section, the main parameters affecting the extraction efficiency of MACs using PAF-6 SPE cartridges were evaluated. All the experiments were performed in triplicate.

Journal of Analytical Methods in Chemistry

TABLE 2: Adsorption energies (A	(ΔE_i) for different sites	determined using the B3LYP	method combined with	the 6-31G basis.
---------------------------------	------------------------------------	----------------------------	----------------------	------------------

PAF-6-analytes	ΔE_i (kcal/mol)
PAF-6-azithromycin	-23.47
PAF-6-clarithromycin	-16.21
PAF-6-roxithromycin	-12.13
PAF-6-tilmicosin	-5.58
PAF-6-tylosin	-21.25
PAF-6-kitasamycin	-3.45



FIGURE 3: Possible structures of the PAF-6-analyte complexes: (a) PAF-azithromycin, (b) PAF-clarithromycin, (c) PAF-kitasamycin, (d) PAF-roxithromycin, (e) PAF-tilmicosin, and (f) PAF-tylosin. Carbon: gray; hydrogen: white; oxygen: red; nitrogen: blue.

TABLE 3: The liquid chromatog	raphy gradient elution method.
-------------------------------	--------------------------------

Time (min)	A (%)	B (%)
0	95	5
1.5	95	5
3	80	20
5	40	60
7	5	95
10	5	95
10.1	95	5
12	95	5

3.3.1. Effect of PAF-6 Amount. To achieve good recoveries of MACs, the amounts of PAF-6 were investigated with the amount ranging from 20 to 80 mg. As shown in Figure 4, it

indicated that the recoveries of six target compounds went up as the amount of PAF-6 increased from 20 to 60 mg and then changed slightly from 60 to 80 mg. Thus, 60 mg amount of PAF-6 was selected as the optimum amount of the sorbent for the extraction of target MACs in the following experiments.

3.3.2. Effect of Type of Elution Solvent. The eluent directly affects the desorption efficiency. In this work, methanol, acetonitrile, acetone, and dichloromethane were investigated as eluents. However, it was found that the elution power of the above four solvents on the target was weak, and the recoveries rate were lower than 50%. Considering the interaction between the target molecule and the porous material PAF-6, a small amount of ammonia hydroxide was



FIGURE 4: Effect of sorbent amounts on macrolide antibiotics recovery.

added. It can be seen from Figure 5 that 5% aminated acetonitrile and 5% aminated methanol elute the target better than 5% ammoniated acetone and 5% aminated dichloromethane. However, the elution capacity of 5% aminated methanol and the 5% aminated acetonitrile corresponding to the target was almost equivalent. Taking into account the cost of the experiment and the safety of the experiment, a relatively inexpensive, less toxic methanol was chosen as the eluent. After that, we examined the effect of methanol with different amounts of ammonia hydroxide on the recoveries of the MACs. It can be seen from Figure 6 that when the amount of ammonia hydroxide in methanol is 5%, the recoveries of the MACs were high, so 5% ammoniated methanol was accepted.

3.3.3. Effect of Volume of Elution Solvent. The volume of the eluent is another factor that affects the SPE recovery. As shown in Figure 7, the recoveries of MACs increased with the increase of eluent volume from 1 to 5 mL and then changed slightly from 5 mL to 9 mL. Therefore, 5 mL 5% ammoniated methanol was chosen as the volume of eluent.

3.3.4. Effect of Sample Flow Rate. Optimization of sample flow rate was conducted over the range of 1 to $6 \text{ mL} \cdot \text{min}^{-1}$. The results (Figure 8) showed that the extraction recoveries increased obviously as the flow rate increases from 1 to $4 \text{ mL} \cdot \text{min}^{-1}$ and then decreased, which indicated that $4 \text{ mL} \cdot \text{min}^{-1}$ was the optimal flow rate for further experiment.

3.3.5. Effect of pH of Sample Solution. The pH of sample solution plays an important role in SPE process because it could strongly affect the surface charge of the sorbent and the ionic or neutrality state of target analytes and further



FIGURE 5: Effect of eluent type on macrolide antibiotics recovery.



FIGURE 6: Effect of ammonia amounts on macrolide antibiotics recovery.

affected the extraction efficiency accordingly [34]. The effects of pH on the recoveries of MACs were investigated in the pH range of 3–9. From the results (Figure 9), the highest recovery was obtained when the pH was 6. This can be attributed to two reasons. On the one hand, too low pH may destroy the adsorption capacity of PAF-6 and lead to the low recoveries. On the other hand, most MACs exists in the form of ions under weakly alkaline conditions, which can significantly weaken the hydrogen bonding interaction between MACs and PAF-6, and leads to the low recoveries. Thus, pH 6 was optimized for the following experiments.



FIGURE 7: Effect of eluent volume on macrolide antibiotics recovery.



FIGURE 8: Effect of sample flow rate on macrolide antibiotics recovery.

3.3.6. Reusability of the PAF-6. In order to investigate the properties of the porous material PAF-6 sorbents, we examined the reusability of this material. The results indicated that, as shown in Figure 10, the recoveries of MACs only slightly reduced when it was used after three times. However, in order to make the experimental results more accurate, we used the self-made SPE column for two times in this work.

3.4. Method Validation. To investigate the suitability and practicability of this method regarding determination of MACs in chicken samples, a series of parameters in experiment were validated. Under the above-optimized



FIGURE 9: Effect of sample pH on macrolide antibiotics recovery.



FIGURE 10: The average recovery after PAF-6 being consecutively regenerated.

conditions, the method validation parameters are presented in Table 4. LC-MS/MS chromatogram of the chicken sample spiked with MACs equivalent to the limit of quantifications (LOQs) is shown in Figure 11. The method showed good linearity over the concentration range from $2.5 \,\mu \text{g} \cdot \text{kg}^{-1}$ to $100 \,\mu \text{g} \cdot \text{kg}^{-1}$ for tylosin, tilmicosin, and kitasamycin, and 1 to $40 \,\mu \text{g} \cdot \text{kg}^{-1}$ for azithromycin, clarithromycin, and roxithromycin. The limit of detections (LODs) values ranged from 0.2 to $0.5 \,\mu \text{g} \cdot \text{kg}^{-1}$ based on a signal-to-noise (s/n) ratio of 3. The precision of the method was evaluated by analyzing the spiked products at three concentrations levels (as shown in Table 5), and every solution was measured in triplicate. As can be seen from Table 5, the average recoveries of the six

TABLE 4: Method validation parameters for determination of macrolide antibiotics.

Analyte	Linear equation	Correlation coefficient (r)	Limit of detection $(\mu g \cdot kg^{-1})$	Limit of quantification ($\mu g \cdot kg^{-1}$)
Tylosin	y = 4.769e4x - 7.013e4	0.9942	0.5	1.8
Tilmicosin	y = 7.169e4x - 4.283e4	0.9982	0.5	1.8
Azithromycin	y = 2.081e5x + 5.737e4	0.9987	0.2	0.8
Clarithromycin	y = 3.931e5x - 9.809e4	1.0000	0.2	0.8
Roxithromycin	y = 1.599e5x - 5.39e4	0.9996	0.2	0.8
Kitasamycin	y = 1.957e5x - 3.47e4	0.9964	0.5	1.8





FIGURE 11: Liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry chromatogram of extract of blank chicken sample spiked with six macrolide antibiotics equivalent to the limit of quantifications. (a) Clarithromycin. (b) Azithromycin. (c) Kitasamycin. (d) Roxithromycin. (e) Tilmicosin. (f) Tylosin.

C	A 1t .	A	Detection color (as les ⁻¹)	\mathbf{D}	RSD	0 (%)
Sample	Analyte	Amount spiked ($\mu g \cdot kg$)	Detection value ($\mu g \cdot \kappa g$)	Recovery (%)	Intraday	Interday
		2.5	2.54	101.4	3.7	7.9
	Tylosin	10	8.93	89.3	3.5	5.6
		50	45.61	91.2	6.7	9.6
		2.5	2.42	96.7	4.2	7.5
	Tilmicosin	10	8.59	85.9	2.5	4.6
		50	44.35	88.7	2.1	4.5
		1	0.82	82.1	5.6	9.8
	Azithromycin	5	4.45	88.9	2.3	6.3
Chielen		20	16.92	84.6	3.9	8.1
Chicken		1	0.97	97.0	3.4	5.2
	Clarithromycin	5	4.09	82.8	5.9	10.6
		20	18.08	90.4	1.7	3.5
		1	0.87	87.4	4.1	7.1
	Roxithromycin	5	0.50	100.1	3.8	8.2
		20	16.70	83.6	5.1	10.3
		2.5	2.31	92.3	3.5	6.9
	Kitasamycin	10	8.61	86.1	5.1	11.1
	-	50	43.90	87.8	2.7	7.1

TABLE 5: Analytical results of macrolide antibiotics in samples.

MACs ranged from 82.1% to 101.4% with the relative standard deviations (RSDs) less than 11.1%. The intraday RSD was determined by analyzing on the same day six replicates and the interday RSD was evaluated doing three replicates in three difference days. From these results, the developed method was accurate and reproducible.

3.5. Application to Real Samples. To demonstrate the applicability of the method developed in this study, we applied the established SPE-LC-MS/MS method for the determination of residual contents of six MACs in chicken samples. Ten batches of chicken samples (provided by the Henan Province Bureau of animal husbandry) from different producing areas in Henan Province were detected. Tylosin was detected in two samples with the contents of $38.752 \,\mu\text{g}\cdot\text{kg}^{-1}$ and $79.211 \,\mu\text{g}\cdot\text{kg}^{-1}$, respectively. Azithromycin and tilmicosin were detected in one sample, and the contents were $27.336 \,\mu\text{g}\cdot\text{kg}^{-1}$ and $56.719 \,\mu\text{g}\cdot\text{kg}^{-1}$, respectively. The results of six MACs in chicken samples were determined and are shown in Table 6.

3.6. Comparison of the Proposed Method with Previously Reported Results. The performance of the developed extraction method was compared with some other reported methods for the analysis of macrolide antibiotics. As shown in Table 7, liquid extraction, pressurized liquid extraction, solid-phase extraction, and magnetic solid-phase extraction have been used for the determination of different antibiotics in meat samples or other food samples. In comparison with various methods (Table 7), the first four ways of sample preparation were simple and fast, which used liquid extraction and a dilution step prior to direct injection into the instrument, but there was a high risk of mass spectrometry source contamination due to injecting "dirty" extracts. Compared with the last three approaches using magnetic molecularly imprinted polymers or commercial SPE cartridges (Oasis HLB cartridge and Bond-Elut C18 SPE cartridge) [5, 24, 50], the developed method in this work exhibited lower LODs and higher recoveries.

In comparison, the developed extraction method was demonstrated to be a simple, sensitive, effective one for determination of macrolide antibiotics in the chicken

												-								
	Chick	cen.	Chick	ten	Chicke	u:	Chicke.	u	Chick€	u	Chicke	u	Chicke	u	Chicke	u	Chicke	u	Chicke	ų
Analyta	sampl	e 1	sampl	e 2	sample	33	sample	4	sample	5	sample	9	sample	4	sample	8	sample	6	sample	10
Allalyce	Found	RSD	Found	RSD	Found	RSD	Found	RSD	Found	RSD	Found	RSD	Found	RSD	Found	RSD	Found	RSD	Found	RSD
	$(\mu g/kg)$	(%)	(µg/kg)	(%)	$(\mu g/kg)$	(%)	$(\mu g/kg)$	(%)	$(\mu g/kg)$	(%)	(µg/kg)	(%)	(µg/kg)	(%)	(µg/kg)	(%)	(µg/kg)	(%)	(µg/kg)	(%)
Tylosin	/	/	/	/	38.752	5.74	/	/	79.211	6.68	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	/
Clarithromycin	/	/	/	/	_	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	_	/	/	/
Azithromycin	/	/	27.336	3.56	_	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	_	/	/	/
Roxithromycin	/	/	/	/	_	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	_	/	/	/
Kitasamycin	/	/	/	/	_	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	_	/	/	/
Tilmicosin	/	/	56.719	4.83	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	/
				ľ						ľ										

TABLE 6: Results of the macrolide in real chicken samples (n = 3).

Sample	Method of sample preparation	Sorbent	Instrument technique	Limit of detection $(\mu g \cdot kg^{-1})$	Reference
Meat	Accelerated solvent extraction	_	LC-MS/MS	<0.61	[1]
Fish	Extracted with trichloroacetic acid	_	LC-MS/MS	0.4–74.24	[47]
Chicken	Two-step extraction	_	LC-MS/MS	0.20-1	[48]
Meat and fish	Pressurized liquid extraction (PLE)	_	LC-(ESI)MS	20-51	[49]
Foodstuff	Magnetic solid-phase extraction (MSPE)	Magnetic molecularly imprinted polymers (MMIPs)	HPLC-UV ^a	15-200	[5]
Royal jelly	Liquid-phase extraction and SPE	Oasis HLB cartridges	LC-MS/MS	0.4-2	[50]
Tissues	SPE	Bond-Elut C18 SPE cartridge	LC-MS/MS	0.5	[24]
Chicken	Solid-phase extraction (SPE)	PAF-6	LC-MS/MS	0.20-0.50	This work

TABLE 7: Comparison of different analytical methods for the determination of macrolide antibiotics in food samples.

^aHPLC-UV: high-performance liquid chromatography-ultraviolet.

sample. Therefore, the developed SPE procedure coupled with LC-MS/MS could become an alternative tool for analyzing the residues of MACs in food samples.

4. Conclusions

In this work, the PAF-6 was successfully applied to extract and purify MACs from the chicken samples. Compared with the traditional commercial SPE cartridge, PAF-6 SPE cartridge showed good adsorption capacity and reproducibility, which greatly saved the experimental cost. The developed LC-MS/MS based on PAF-6 SPE was reliable, sensitive, accuracy, and practical for the determination of MACs in the chicken samples. The method is a promising candidate for use in the food safety monitoring.

Data Availability

The data used to support the findings of this study are included within the article.

Conflicts of Interest

The authors declare that there are no conflicts of interest regarding the publication of this paper.

Acknowledgments

This project was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (21775140, 21475119, and 21705143) and the Outstanding Scientific and Technological Innovation Talent of Henan Province (184200510019).

References

- Y. Tao, G. Yu, D. Chen et al., "Determination of 17 macrolide antibiotics and avermectins residues in meat with accelerated solvent extraction by liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry," *Journal of Chromatography B*, vol. 897, pp. 64–71, 2012.
- [2] X. Song, T. Zhou, Q. Liu et al., "Molecularly imprinted solidphase extraction for the determination of ten macrolide drugs residues in animal muscles by liquid chromatography-tandem

mass spectrometry," Food Chemistry, vol. 208, pp. 169–176, 2016.

- [3] L.-J. Du, L. Yi, L.-H. Ye et al., "Miniaturized solid-phase extraction of macrolide antibiotics in honey and bovine milk using mesoporous MCM-41 silica as sorbent," *Journal of Chromatography A*, vol. 1537, pp. 10–20, 2018.
- [4] D. Peng, S. Ye, Y. Wang et al., "Development and validation of an indirect competitive enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay for the screening of tylosin and tilmicosin in muscle, liver, milk, honey and eggs," *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*, vol. 60, no. 1, pp. 44–51, 2011.
- [5] Y. Zhou, T. Zhou, H. Jin et al., "Rapid and selective extraction of multiple macrolide antibiotics in foodstuff samples based on magnetic molecularly imprinted polymers," *Talanta*, vol. 137, pp. 1–10, 2015.
- [6] A. Pruden, R. Pei, H. Storteboom, and K. H. Carlson, "Antibiotic resistance genes as emerging contaminants: studies in northern Colorado[†]," *Environmental Science & Technology*, vol. 40, no. 23, pp. 7445–7450, 2006.
- [7] K. Wang, K. Lin, X. Huang, and M. Chen, "A simple and fast extraction method for the determination of multiclass antibiotics in eggs using LC-MS/MS," *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*, vol. 65, no. 24, pp. 5064–5073, 2017.
- [8] M. Friedman, "Antibiotic-resistant bacteria: prevalence in food and inactivation by food-compatible compounds and plant extracts," *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*, vol. 63, no. 15, pp. 3805–3822, 2015.
- [9] M. A. García-Mayor, A. Gallego-Picó, R. M. Garcinuño, P. Fernández-Hernando, and J. S. Durand-Alegría, "Matrix solid-phase dispersion method for the determination of macrolide antibiotics in sheep's milk," *Food Chemistry*, vol. 134, no. 1, pp. 553–558, 2012.
- [10] L. Alban, E. O. Nielsen, and J. Dahl, "A human health risk assessment for macrolide-resistant Campylobacter associated with the use of macrolides in Danish pig production," *Preventive Veterinary Medicine*, vol. 83, no. 2, pp. 115–129, 2008.
- [11] K. Ji, Y. Kho, C. Park et al., "Influence of water and food consumption on inadvertent antibiotics intake among general population," *Environmental Research*, vol. 110, no. 7, pp. 641–649, 2010.
- [12] Y. Guo, L. Meng, Y. Zhang et al., "Sensitive determination of four tetracycline antibiotics in pig plasma by field-amplified sample stacking open-tubular capillary electrochromatography with dimethylethanolamine aminated polychloromethyl

styrene nano-latex coated capillary column," *Journal of Chromatography B*, vol. 942-943, pp. 151–157, 2013.

- [13] P. Paul, T. Duchateau, C. Sänger-van de Griend, E. Adams, and A. Van Schepdael, "Capillary electrophoresis with capacitively coupled contactless conductivity detection method development and validation for the determination of azithromycin, clarithromycin, and clindamycin," *Journal of Separation Science*, vol. 40, no. 17, pp. 3535–3544, 2017.
- [14] J. Zhou, Y. Chen, and R. Cassidy, "Separation and determination of the macrolide antibiotics (erythromycin, spiramycin and oleandomycin) by capillary electrophoresis coupled with fast reductive voltammetric detection," *Electrophoresis*, vol. 21, no. 7, pp. 1349–1353, 2000.
- [15] I. Galvidis, G. Lapa, and M. Burkin, "Group determination of 14-membered macrolide antibiotics and azithromycin using antibodies against common epitopes," *Analytical Biochemistry*, vol. 468, pp. 75–82, 2015.
- [16] M. Ahmed, Y. Sree, S. Abdel-Fattah, N. Hassan, and M. El-Dein, "Determination of tylosin, spiramycin, and erythromycin residues in egyptian buffaloes' meat by thin-layer chromatography-bioautography," *Journal of Planar Chromatography-Modern TLC*, vol. 26, no. 5, pp. 409–416, 2013.
- [17] O. Vajdle, V. Guzsvány, D. Š.zsve et al., "Voltammetric behavior and determination of the macrolide antibiotics azithromycin, clarithromycin and roxithromycin at a renewable silver - amalgam film electrode," *Electrochimica Acta*, vol. 229, pp. 334–344, 2017.
- [18] K. Takatsuki, I. Ushizawa, and T. Shoji, "Gas chromatographic-mass spectrometric determination of macrolide antibiotics in beef and pork using single ion monitoring," *Journal of Chromatography A*, vol. 391, pp. 207–217, 1987.
- [19] S. Thangadurai, "Gas chromatographic-mass spectrometric determination of azithromycin in biological fluids," *Journal of Analytical Science and Technology*, vol. 6, no. 1, pp. 1–6, 2015.
- [20] M. J. G. de la Huebra, U. Vincent, and H. C. von Holst, "Sample preparation strategy for the simultaneous determination of macrolide antibiotics in animal feedingstuffs by liquid chromatography with electrochemical detection (HPLC-ECD)," *Journal of Pharmaceutical and Biomedical Analysis*, vol. 43, no. 5, pp. 1628–1637, 2007.
- [21] C. Leal, R. Codony, R. Compañó, M. Granados, and M. D. Prat, "Determination of macrolide antibiotics by liquid chromatography," *Journal of Chromatography A*, vol. 910, no. 2, pp. 285–290, 2001.
- [22] L. Liu, B. Yang, F. Zhang, and X. Liang, "A magnetic restricted access material for rapid solid phase extraction of multiple macrolide antibiotics in honey," *Analytical Methods*, vol. 9, no. 20, pp. 2990–2996, 2017.
- [23] M. Chen, Q. Yi, J. Hong, L. Zhang, K. Lin, and D. Yuan, "Simultaneous determination of 32 antibiotics and 12 pesticides in sediment using ultrasonic-assisted extraction and high performance liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry," *Analytical Methods*, vol. 7, no. 5, pp. 1896– 1905, 2015.
- [24] L. C. Dickson, "Performance characterization of a quantitative liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometric method for 12 macrolide and lincosamide antibiotics in salmon, shrimp and tilapia," *Journal of Chromatography B*, vol. 967, pp. 203–210, 2014.
- [25] X. Song, T. Zhou, J. Li, Y. Su, J. Xie, and L. He, "Determination of macrolide antibiotics residues in pork using molecularly imprinted dispersive solid-phase extraction coupled with LC-

MS/MS," Journal of Separation Science, vol. 41, no. 5, pp. 1138–1148, 2018.

- [26] W. Zhou, Y. Ling, T. Liu et al., "Simultaneous determination of 16 macrolide antibiotics and 4 metabolites in milk by using Quick, Easy, Cheap, Effective, Rugged, and Safe extraction (QuEChERS) and high performance liquid chromatography tandem mass spectrometry," *Journal of Chromatography B*, vol. 1061-1062, pp. 411–420, 2017.
- [27] W.-x. Zhu, J.-z. Yang, W. Wei, Y.-f. Liu, and S.-s. Zhang, "Simultaneous determination of 13 aminoglycoside residues in foods of animal origin by liquid chromatographyelectrospray ionization tandem mass spectrometry with two consecutive solid-phase extraction steps," *Journal of Chromatography A*, vol. 1207, no. 1-2, pp. 29–37, 2008.
- [28] R. J. Mi, H. J. Lee, T. S. Lee et al., "Simultaneous determination of macrolide residues in fish and shrimp by liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry," *Food Science and Biotechnology*, vol. 20, no. 3, pp. 823–827, 2011.
- [29] L. Jank, M. T. Martins, J. B. Arsand et al., "High-throughput method for macrolides and lincosamides antibiotics residues analysis in milk and muscle using a simple liquid-liquid extraction technique and liquid chromatographyelectrospray-tandem mass spectrometry analysis (LC-MS/ MS)," *Talanta*, vol. 144, pp. 686–695, 2015.
- [30] J. Wang, D. Leung, and S. P. Lenz, "Determination of five macrolide antibiotic residues in raw milk using liquid Chromatography–Electrospray ionization tandem mass spectrometry," *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*, vol. 54, no. 8, pp. 2873–2880, 2006.
- [31] C. Juan, J. C. Moltó, J. Mañes, and G. Font, "Determination of macrolide and lincosamide antibiotics by pressurised liquid extraction and liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry in meat and milk," *Food Control*, vol. 21, no. 12, pp. 1703–1709, 2010.
- [32] H. K. El, S. Mokh, S. Doumyati, I. M. Al, and E. Verdon, "Development and validation of a multiclass method for the determination of antibiotic residues in honey using liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry," *Food Additives* and Contaminants-Part A Chemistry, Analysis, Control, Exposure and Risk Assessment, vol. 34, no. 4, pp. 582–597, 2017.
- [33] S. Ji, T. Li, W. Yang et al., "A hollow porous molecularly imprinted polymer as a sorbent for the extraction of 7 macrolide antibiotics prior to their determination by HPLC-MS/MS," *Mikrochim Acta*, vol. 185, no. 3, p. 203, 2018.
- [34] X. J. Wu, G. N. Wang, K. Yang, H. Z. Liu, and J. P. Wang, "Determination of tetracyclines in milk by graphene-based solid-phase extraction and high-performance liquid chromatography," *Analytical Letters*, vol. 50, no. 4, pp. 641–650, 2016.
- [35] J. Ding, N. Ren, L. Chen, and L. Ding, "On-line coupling of solid-phase extraction to liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry for the determination of macrolide antibiotics in environmental water," *Analytica Chimica Acta*, vol. 634, no. 2, pp. 215–221, 2009.
- [36] R. A. Teixeira, D. H. Â. Flores, R. C. S. da Silva, F. V. A. Dutra, and K. B. Borges, "Pipette-tip solid-phase extraction using poly (1-vinylimidazole-co-trimethylolpropane trimethacrylate) as a new molecularly imprinted polymer in the determination of avermectins and milbemycins in fruit juice and water samples," *Food Chemistry*, vol. 262, pp. 86–93, 2018.
- [37] W. Zhang, Y. Zhang, Q. Jiang et al., "Tetraazacalix[2]arence[2] triazine coated Fe₃O₄/SiO₂ magnetic nanoparticles for simultaneous dispersive solid phase extraction and

determination of trace multitarget analytes," Analytical Chemistry, vol. 88, no. 21, pp. 10523-10532, 2016.

- [38] Q. Jiang, Q. Liu, Q. Chen et al., "Dicationic polymeric ionicliquid-based magnetic material as an adsorbent for the magnetic solid-phase extraction of organophosphate pesticides and polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons," *Journal of Separation Science*, vol. 39, no. 16, pp. 3221–3229, 2016.
- [39] X. Ma, X. Zhou, A. Yu et al., "Functionalized metal-organic framework nanocomposites for dispersive solid phase extraction and enantioselective capture of chiral drug intermediates," *Journal of Chromatography A*, vol. 1537, pp. 1–9, 2018.
- [40] Z. Deng, K. Hu, L. Bi et al., "Selective removal of IgG from the urine of patients with proteinuria using a polymer coated core-shell magnetic nanoparticle," *RSC Advances*, vol. 6, no. 109, pp. 107732–107738, 2016.
- [41] Y. Chen, W. Zhang, Y. Zhang et al., "In situ preparation of core-shell magnetic porous aromatic framework nanoparticles for mixed-mode solid-phase extraction of trace multitarget analytes," *Journal of Chromatography A*, vol. 1556, pp. 1–9, 2018.
- [42] D. Yin, Y. Chen, Y. Zhang et al., "2D porous aromatic framework as a novel solid-phase extraction adsorbent for the determination of trace BPA in milk," *Chromatographia*, vol. 81, no. 5, pp. 749–758, 2018.
- [43] D. Beaudoin, T. Maris, and J. D. Wuest, "Constructing monocrystalline covalent organic networks by polymerization," *Nature Chemistry*, vol. 5, no. 10, pp. 830–834, 2013.
- [44] S. Demir, N. K. Brune, J. F. Van Humbeck et al., "Extraction of lanthanide and actinide ions from aqueous mixtures using a carboxylic acid-functionalized porous aromatic framework," ACS Central Science, vol. 2, no. 4, pp. 253–265, 2016.
- [45] H. Zhao, Z. Jin, H. Su, X. Jing, F. Sun, and G. Zhu, "Targeted synthesis of a 2D ordered porous organic framework for drug release," *Chemical communications*, vol. 47, no. 22, pp. 6389–6391, 2011.
- [46] M. J. Frisch, G. W. T. Trucks, H. B. Schlegel et al., Gaussian 09, Revision A. 02, Gaussian Inc., Wallingford, CT, USA, 2009.
- [47] L. R. Guidi, F. A. Santos, A. C. S. R. Ribeiro, C. Fernandes, L. H. M. Silva, and M. B. A. Gloria, "A simple, fast and sensitive screening LC-ESI-MS/MS method for antibiotics in fish," *Talanta*, vol. 163, pp. 85–93, 2017.
- [48] S. Yoshikawa, C. Nagano, M. Kanda et al., "Simultaneous determination of multi-class veterinary drugs in chicken processed foods and muscle using solid-supported liquid extraction clean-up," *Journal of Chromatography B*, vol. 1057, pp. 15–23, 2017.
- [49] H. Berrada, F. Borrull, G. Font, and R. M. Marcé, "Determination of macrolide antibiotics in meat and fish using pressurized liquid extraction and liquid chromatographymass spectrometry," *Journal of Chromatography A*, vol. 1208, no. 1-2, pp. 83–89, 2008.
- [50] W. Zheng, J. A. Park, A. M. Abd EI–Aty et al., "Development and validation of a simple solid-phase extraction method coupled with liquid chromatography–triple quadrupole tandem mass spectrometry for simultaneous determination of lincomycin, tylosin A and tylosin B in royal jelly," *Biomedical Chromatography*, vol. 32, no. 4, article e4145, 2018.





Journal of Analytical Methods in Chemistry



The Scientific World Journal











Bioinorganic Chemistry and Applications



Submit your manuscripts at www.hindawi.com



International Journal of Medicinal Chemistry





Advances in Tribology



International Journal of Analytical Chemistry



Journal of

Spectroscopy



BioMed Research International



Nanotechnology



International Journal of Spectroscopy





International Journal of Electrochemistry



Biochemistry Research International