

Research Article

Omega, Sadhana, and PI Polynomials of Quasi-Hexagonal Benzenoid Chain

Nazeran Idrees ^(b),¹ Muhammad Jawwad Saif ^(b),² Sumiya Nasir,³ Fozia Bashir Farooq,⁴ Asia Rauf,⁵ and Fareeha Ashfaq¹

¹Department of Mathematics, Government College University Faisalabad, 38000 Faisalabad, Pakistan

²Department of Applied Chemistry, Government College University Faisalabad, 38000 Faisalabad, Pakistan

³Core Curriculum, College of Science and Human Studies, Prince Mohammad Bin Fahd University, 34754 Khobar Dhahran, Saudi Arabia

⁴Department of Mathematics, Al Imam Mohammad Ibn Saud University, 11432 Riyadh, Saudi Arabia

⁵Department of Mathematics, Government College Women University Faisalabad, 38000 Faisalabad, Pakistan

Correspondence should be addressed to Nazeran Idrees; nazeranjawwad@gmail.com

Received 1 June 2019; Accepted 21 February 2020; Published 26 March 2020

Academic Editor: Paolo Montuori

Copyright © 2020 Nazeran Idrees et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Counting polynomials are important graph invariants whose coefficients and exponents are related to different properties of chemical graphs. Three closely related polynomials, i.e., Omega, Sadhana, and PI polynomials, dependent upon the equidistant edges and nonequidistant edges of graphs, are studied for quasi-hexagonal benzenoid chains. Analytical closed expressions for these polynomials are derived. Moreover, relation between Padmakar–Ivan (PI) index of quasi-hexagonal chain and that of corresponding linear chain is also established.

1. Introduction

Counting polynomials are a well-known way of expressing molecular invariants of a chemical graph in polynomial form. These polynomials depend on chemical graph properties such as matching sets, independent sets, chromatic numbers, and equidistant edges. Some well-known polynomials are Hosoya polynomial, Wiener polynomial, sextet polynomial, matching polynomial, and chromatic polynomials. Many important topological indices can be derived from polynomials by directly taking their value at some point or after taking derivatives or integrals. Topological index is a numeric quantity related to a graph which predicts the chemical properties, physical properties, and biological activity. These invariants are used in chemical modeling, drug designing, and structural activity relations. Counting polynomial is generally expressed as

$$P(G, x) = \sum_{k} m(G, k) \times x^{k}, \qquad (1)$$

where k is the extent of a property partition and coefficients m(G, k) denote the multiplicity of the partition. The

corresponding topological index P(G) is derived as follows:

$$P(G) = P'(G, x)|_{x=1}.$$
 (2)

These polynomials count equidistant and nonequidistant edges in a graph and are very important in prediction of physiochemical properties of a molecule.

Let G = (V, E) be a connected graph with the vertex set V = V(G) and the edge set E = E(G), without loops and multiple edges. A molecular/chemical graph is a simple finite graph in which vertices denote the atoms and edges denote the chemical bonds in the underlying chemical structure. The hydrogen atoms are often omitted in any molecular graph. A chemical graph can be represented by a matrix, a sequence, a polynomial, and a number (often called a topological index) which represents the whole graph, and these representations are aimed to be uniquely defined for that graph. Two edges e = ab and f = cd of a graph *G* are said to be codistant, usually denoted by eCof, if

$$d(a,c) = d(b,d) \text{ and } d(a,d) = d(b,c) = d(a,c) + 1 = d(b,d) + 1,$$
(3)

eCoe,

 $eCof \iff fCoe$.

"Co" is reflexive and symmetric but may not be transitive. Consider $C(e) = \{f \in E(G): fCoe\}$.

If the relation is transitive on C(e) also, then C(e) is called an orthogonal cut (OC) of the graph G, and if the relation is not transitive then it is termed as quasi-orthogonal cut (QOC). Let e = ab and f = cd be two edges of a graph G, which are opposite or topologically parallel, and this relation is denoted by eopf. A set of opposite edges, within the same face or ring, eventually forming a strip of adjacent faces/rings, is called an opposite edge strip (OPS), which is a quasi-orthogonal cut (QOC).

Omega, Sadhana, and PI polynomials are defined on the basis of quasi-orthogonal cuts. Let m(G, k) denote the number of QOCs of length k and e = |E(G)| is number of edges of G.

The Omega polynomial was introduced by Diudea [1] denoted by $\Omega(G, x)$ and is defined as

$$\Omega(G, x) = \sum_{k} m(G, x) \times x^{k}.$$
(4)

The Sadhana polynomial was proposed by Ashrafi et al. [2] and is defined as

$$Sd(G, x) = \sum_{k} m(G, k) \times x^{e-k}.$$
(5)

Khadikar et al. introduced a remarkable topological index called Padmakar–Ivan (PI) index [3]. Ashrafi et al. [4] introduced the PI polynomial based on counting opposite edge strips in any graph. This polynomial counts non-equidistant edges in G, denoted by PI (G, x), and is defined as

$$\operatorname{PI}(G, x) = \sum_{k} m(G, k) \times k \times x^{e-k}.$$
(6)

Counting polynomials have been a subject of interest for researchers working in chemical graph theory, some recent work included in [2–8]. Moreover, different topological aspects of hexagonal chains have been studied in [9–12].

In this paper, we aim to find counting polynomials, i.e., Omega polynomial, Sadhana polynomial, and PI polynomial of quasi-hexagonal chain. These three polynomials depend upon the distance between the edges of chemical graphs. Moreover, topological invariants of the quasi-hexagonal benzenoid chain related to these counting polynomials such as Sadhana index and Padmakar–Ivan (PI) index are also analysed. Relation between the PI index of the quasi-hexagonal chain and linear hexagonal chain is also established.

2. Results and Discussions

2.1. Quasi-Hexagonal Chain and Counting Polynomials. A chain is said to be quasi-hexagonal chain if it can be embedded into the normal hexagonal cross section/lattice in the plane



FIGURE 1: A quasi-hexagonal chain H_7 and its inner duals.

without overlapping of its vertices. A quasi-hexagonal chain, symbolized as H_n , with *n* hexagons can be defined inductively as follows: a quasi-hexagonal chain H_1 is a hexagon. For n > 1, a quasi-hexagonal chain H_n is acquired from a quasi-hexagonal chain H_{n-1} by appending another hexagon to an end hexagon at one of its sides on the limit of H_{n-1} . Figure 1 shows an example of a quasi-hexagonal chain. These chains correspond to various benzenoid systems and provide a general way to express these systems in the form of a chemical graph. Some aromatic hydrocarbons, for example, dibenz[a,h] anthracene, with five fused benzene rings, provide an example of quasi-hexagonal chains. Moreover, naphthalene, anthracene, chrysene, and phenanthrene ring systems are some other instances of quasi-hexagonal chains.

A quasi-hexagonal chain has segments consisting of maximal linear chains. The number of vertices in the inner dual of each segment is called its length. It can be denoted by $H(l_1, l_2, ..., l_s)$, where *s* denotes the number of segments in the chain and l_i denote the length of each segment. $(l_1, l_2, ..., l_s)$ is termed as the length vector of the quasi-hexagonal chain. Moreover, it can be noted that $\sum_{i=1}^{s} l_i = n + s - 1$, where *n* denotes the number of segments of the chain and *s* denotes the number of segments of the chain.

The total number of edges in quasi-hexagonal is $|E| = 5 \sum_{1}^{s} l_i - 5s + 6$. If the inner dual of a quasi-hexagonal chain is linear, then the chain is called a linear chain.

We will find distance-based polynomials by using the edgecut method introduced by Klavzar [13]. The cut lines of H_n are divided into two classes: (i) they intersect only one hexagonal, and they are orthogonal to the same edge direction; (ii) they intersect at least one hexagonal, and they are orthogonal to the remaining two edge directions. The former are denoted by red cuts and the later are denoted by blue cuts (see Figure 2).

The number of quasi-orthogonal cuts which intersect only one hexagon (having only two codistant edges) is equal to $2\sum_{i=1}^{s} l_i - 3(s-1)$. The second type of QOCs cut each segment once and has a length equal to $l_i + 1$, for each i = 1, 2, ..., s. These are summarized in Table 1.

Theorem 1. The Omega polynomial of the quasi-hexagonal chain H_n with length vector $(l_1, l_2, ..., l_s)$ is as follows:

$$\Omega(H_n, x) = x(x^{l_1} + x^{l_2} + \ldots + x^{l_s}) + x^2(2n - s + 1).$$
(7)

Proof. Let *G* be a graph of the quasi-hexagonal chain H_n . The Omega polynomial of graph *G* is given by equation (4) as $\Omega(G, x) = \sum_k m(G, k) \times x^k$.



FIGURE 2: The elementary cuts of the quasi-hexagonal chain $H_{10}(5,4,3)$.

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	TABLE	1:	Number	of	codistant	edges	of	the	quasi-hexagonal	chain	Η".
---------------------------------------	-------	----	--------	----	-----------	-------	----	-----	-----------------	-------	-----

Types of edges	Types of QOCs	No. of QOCs	No. of codistant edges
t_1	М	1	$l_1 + 1$
-		1	$l_2 + 1$
		—	—
		—	—
		—	
		1	$l_s + 1$
t_2	S	$2\sum_{1}^{s} l_i - 3(s-1)$	2

Now, using Table 1 for number of codistant edges and substituting the values of quasi-orthogonal cuts and number of cuts, we get

$$\Omega(H_n, x) = 1 \cdot x^{l_1 + 1} + 1 \cdot x^{l_2 + 1} + \dots + 1 \cdot x^{l_s + 1} + \left(2\sum_{i=1}^{s} l_i - 3(s - 1)\right) x^2 = x^{l_1 + 1} + x^{l_2 + 1} + \dots + x^{l_s + 1} + 2x^2\sum_{i=1}^{s} l_i - 3(s - 1)x^2$$
$$= x^{l_1} \cdot x + x^{l_2} \cdot x + \dots + x^{l_s} \cdot x + 2x^2(n + s - 1) - 3(s - 1)x^2,$$
(8)

where

$$\sum_{1}^{s} l_{i} = (n + s - 1)$$

= $x \left(x^{l_{1}} + x^{l_{2}} + \dots + x^{l_{s}} \right) + x^{2} (2n - 2 + 2s - 3s + 3).$
(9)

By simplifying, we get the Omega polynomial of ${\cal H}_n$ as follows:

$$\Omega(H_n, x) = x(x^{l_1} + x^{l_2} + \dots + x^{l_s}) + x^2(2n - s + 1).$$
(10)

Theorem 2. The Sadhana polynomial of the quasi-hexagonal chain H_n is given by

$$Sd(H_n, x) = x^{5n} (x^{-l_1} + x^{-l_2} + \dots + x^{-l_s}) + x^{5n-1}$$

$$\cdot (2n - 2s + 1).$$
(11)

Proof. Consider graph G of the quasi-hexagonal chain H_n . The Sadhana polynomial is defined as follows:

$$Sd(G, x) = \sum_{k} m(G, k) \times x^{e-k}, \quad e = |E| = 5 \sum_{1}^{s} l_i - 5s + 6.$$
(12)

Substituting the values of codistant edges and number of quasi-orthogonal cuts from Table 1, we obtain

$$Sd(H_{n},x) = 1.x^{5}\sum_{i}^{s}l_{i}-5s+6-l_{1}-1} + 1.x^{5}\sum_{i}^{s}l_{i}-5s+6-l_{2}-1} + \dots + 1.x^{5}\sum_{i}^{s}l_{i}-5s+6-l_{s}-1} + \left(2\sum_{i}^{s}l_{i}-3(s-1)\right)x^{5}\sum_{i}^{s}l_{i}-5s+6-2}$$
$$= 1.x^{5}\sum_{i}^{s}l_{i}-5s+5-l_{1}} + 1.x^{5}\sum_{i}^{s}l_{i}-5s+5-l_{2}} + \dots + 1.x^{5}\sum_{i}^{s}l_{i}-5s+5-l_{s}} + \left(2\sum_{i}^{s}l_{i}-3(s-1)\right)x^{5}\sum_{i}^{s}l_{i}-5s+4}$$
$$= x^{5}\sum_{i}^{s}l_{i}-5s+5}\left(x^{-l_{1}} + x^{-l_{2}} + \dots + x^{-l_{s}}\right) + \left(2\sum_{i}^{s}l_{i}-3(s-1)\right)x^{5}\sum_{i}^{s}l_{i}-5s+4}.$$

$$(13)$$

Here, we substitute $\sum_{i=1}^{s} l_i = (n + s - 1)$ in the above expression and obtain

$$Sd(H_n, x) = x^{5(n+s-1)-5s+5} (x^{-l_1} + x^{-l_2} + \dots + x^{-l_s}) + x^{5(n+s-1)-5s+4} (2(n+1-s) + 3(s-1)).$$
(14)

By simplifying, we get

$$Sd(H_n, x) = x^{5n} \left(x^{-l_1} + x^{-l_2} + \dots + x^{-l_s} \right) + x^{5n-1} (2n-2s+1).$$
(15)

$$\square$$

Remark 1. Sadhana index, introduced by Khadikar et al. [14] while modeling aromatic stabilities of acenes and helicenes, is defined as the first derivative of the Sadhana polynomial at x = 1. The Sadhana index of the quasi-hexagonal chain H_n is given as

$$Sd(H_n) = \frac{d}{dx} (Sd(H_n, x))_{x=1} = 10n^2 - 40sn$$

+ 12n - 24s - 10. (16)

Theorem 3. Consider the quasi-hexagonal chain H_n . Then, its PI index is given by

$$\operatorname{PI}(H_n, x) = (5n - 1)(5n - s + 1) - \sum_{1}^{s} l_i^2.$$
 (17)

Proof. Consider the graph G of the quasi-hexagonal chain H_n :

$$\operatorname{PI}(G, x) = \sum_{k} m(G, k) \times k \times x^{e-k}.$$
(18)

Substituting the values in the above equation from Table 1, we obtain

Substituting $\sum_{1}^{s} l_i = n + s - 1$, we obtain

$$PI(H_n, x) = (l_1 + 1)x^{5n-l_1} + (l_2 + 1)x^{5n-l_2} + \cdots + (l_s + 1)x^{5n-l_s} + (4n - 2s + 2)x^{5n-1}.$$
(20)

By differentiating the above equation, we obtain

$$PI'(H_n, x) = (l_1 + 1)(5n - l_1)x^{5n - l_1 - 1} + (l_2 + 1)$$

$$\cdot (5n - l_2)x^{5n - l_2 - 1} + \dots + (l_s + 1)$$

$$\cdot (5n - l_s)x^{5n - l_s - 1} + (4n - 2s + 2)(5n - 1)x^{5n - 2}.$$
(21)

To compute the PI index of the quasi-hexagonal chain, we evaluate its value at x = 1:

$$PI'(H_n, x)\Big|_{x=1} = (l_1 + 1)(5n - l_1) + (l_2 + 1)(5n - l_2) + \cdots + (l_s + 1)(5n - l_s) + (4n - 2s + 2)(5n - 1),$$

$$PI'(H_n, x)\Big|_{x=1} = (5n - 1)\sum_{i=1}^{s} l_i - \sum_{1}^{s} l_i^2 + (5n - 1)(4n - 2s + 2),$$

$$PI(H_n) = (5n - 1)(n + s - 1) - \sum_{1}^{s} l_i^2 + (5n - 1) \cdot (4n - 2s + 2),$$

(22)

where we have $\sum_{1}^{s} l_i = n + 1 - s$. Then,

$$\mathrm{PI}(H_n) = (5n-1)(n+s-1+4n-2s+2) - \sum_{1}^{s} l_i^2. \quad (23)$$

By simplifying, we get the PI index of H_n which is



FIGURE 3: Linear hexagonal chain L_{11} .

TABLE 2: Number of codistant edges of the linear hexagonal chain L_n .

Types of edges	Types of QOCs	No. of QOCs	No. of codistant edges
t_1	m_1	1	<i>n</i> + 1
t_2	m_2	2 <i>n</i>	2

TABLE 3: Omega, Sadhana, and PI polynomials of the quasi-hexagonal chain for different values of n.

п		(l_1, l_2, \ldots, l_s)	$\Omega(H_n, x)$	$S(H_n, x)$	$\operatorname{PI}(H_n, x)$
1	1	(1)	$3x^{2}$	$2x^4$	$6x^4$
2	1	(2)	$x^3 + 3x^2$	$3x^9 + x^8$	$8x^9 + 3x^8$
3	1	(3)	$x^4 + 6x^2$	$5x^{14} + x^{12}$	$12x^{14} + 4x^{12}$
	2	(2, 1)	$2x^3 + 5x^2$	$3x^{14} + 2x^{13}$	$10x^{14} + 6x^{13}$
4	1	(4)	$x^5 + 8x^2$	$7x^{19} + x^{16}$	$16x^{19} + 5x^{16}$
	2	(3, 2)	$x^4 + x^3 + 7x^2$	$3x^{19} + x^{18} + x^{17}$	$14x^{19} + 3x^{18} + 4x^{17}$
	3	(2, 2, 2)	$6x^3 + 6x^2$	$3x^{19} + 3x^{18}$	$12x^{19} + 9x^{18}$
5	1	(5)	$11x^{2}$	$10x^{24} + x^{20}$	$20x^{24} + 6x^{20}$
	2	(4, 2)	$x^4 + x^3 + 9x^2$	$7x^{24} + x^{23} + x^{21}$	$18x^{24} + 3x^{23} + 5x^{21}$
	3	(3, 2, 2)	$x^4 + 2x^3 + 8x^2$	$5x^{24} + 2x^{23} + x^{22}$	$16x^{24} + 6x^{23} + x^{22}$
	4	(2, 2, 2, 2)	$4x^3 + 7x^2$	$3x^{24} + 4x^{23}$	$14x^{24} + 12x^{23}$
6	1	(6)	$x^7 + 12x^2$	$11x^{29} + x^{24}$	$20x^{29} + 6x^{20}$
	2	(5, 2)	$x^6 + x^3 + 11x^2$	$9x^{29} + x^{28} + x^{25}$	$22x^{29} + 3x^{28} + 6x^{25}$
	3	(4, 2, 2)	$x^5 + 2x^3 + 10x^2$	$7x^{29} + 2x^{28} + x^{26}$	$20x^{29} + 6x^{28} + x^{26}$
	4	(3, 2, 2, 2)	$x^4 + 3x^3 + 9x^2$	$5x^{29} + 3x^{28} + x^{27}$	$18x^{29} + 9x^{28} + 4x^{27}$

$$PI(H_n) = (5n-1)(5n-s+1) - \sum_{1}^{s} l_i^2.$$
(24)

2.2. Relation between the PI Index of the Linear Hexagonal Chain L_n and Quasi-Hexagonal Chain H_n . Let L_n denote the linear hexagonal chain as depicted in Figure 3. Quasi-orthogonal cuts and number of edges in these cuts are described in Table 2.

The following theorem gives the Padmakar–Ivan (PI) index of the linear hexagonal chain.

Theorem 4. Consider the graph of linear hexagonal chain L_n . Then, its PI Index is given by

$$\mathrm{PI}(L_n)\big|_{x=1} = 24n^2. \tag{25}$$

Proof. Let *G* be a graph of the linear hexagonal chain L_n . We employ Table 2 to prove the theorem. We apply formula and do some computations to gain the solution. As we know by definition, the PI Index is given by equation (6) as

$$\operatorname{PI}(L_n, x)\big|_{x=1} = \sum_k m(L_n, k) \times k \times x^{e-k}, \qquad (26)$$

Using Table 2,

$$PI(L_n, x) = (n+1)x^{5n+1-(n+1)} + 2n(2)x^{5n+1-2}$$

= (n+1)x⁴ⁿ + 4nx⁵ⁿ⁻¹. (27)

Differentiating with respect to x, we obtain

$$\operatorname{PI}'(L_n, x) = (n+1)4nx^{4n-1} + 4n(5n-1)x^{5n-2}.$$
 (28)

Substituting x = 1, we obtain the Padmakar–Ivan (PI) index of the linear hexagonal chain:

$$\operatorname{PI}(L_n) = 24n^2. \tag{29}$$

Theorem 5. Consider a quasi-hexagonal chain (with *n* hexagons) H_n , with $L(G) = (l_1, l_2, ..., l_s)$, where L_n be the corresponding linear hexagonal chain. Then, $PI(H_n) = PI(L_n) + n^2 - \sum_{i=1}^{s} l_i^2 + 100n - 100s^2 - 100ns + 185s + 89$.

Proof. From Theorem 3, we can easily see that

$$PI(H_n) = (5n-1)(5n-s+1) - \sum_{i=1}^{s} l_i^2,$$

$$PI(H_n) = 25n^2 - 5n + s - 1 - \sum_{i=1}^{s} l_i^2.$$
(30)

Moreover, from Theorem 4, we have

$$\operatorname{PI}(L_n) = 24n^2. \tag{31}$$

Comparing (30) and (31), we get the desired relation between the PI index of the two chains:

$$PI(H_n) = PI(L_n) + 24n^2 - 5n + s - 1 - \sum_{1}^{s} l_i^2.$$
 (32)

Counting polynomials of the quasi-hexagonal chain H_n are analysed for different values of n, and the results are summarized in Table 3.

3. Concluding Remarks

Counting polynomials provide an elegant way to encode the topological indices of chemical graphs, which are quantifiers of different physiochemical properties of the compounds and are widely used in structure activity relationships. Distance-based counting polynomials, namely, Omega, Sadhana, and PI polynomials of the quasi-hexagonal benzenoid chain are computed via the edge-cut method of Klavzar. Quasi-hexagonal benzenoid chains are an abstract idea to present a wide class of aromatic hydrocarbons. These polynomials are well-known tools for correlating the chemical graph with the physiochemical properties of different benzenoid chains. Moreover, the numerical invariant of the linear hexagonal chain (of benzenoid systems) is derived and its relation with that of the quasi-hexagonal chain is established.

Data Availability

The data used to support the findings of this study are included within the article.

Conflicts of Interest

The authors declare that there are no conflicts of interest regarding the publication of this paper.

References

- M. V. Diudea, "Omega polynomial," Carpathian Journal of Mathematics, vol. 22, pp. 43–47, 2006.
- [2] A. R. Ashrafi, M. Ghorbani, and M. Jalali, "Computing Sadhana polynomial of V- phenylenic nanotubes and nanotori," *Indian Journal of Chemistry*, vol. 47A, pp. 535–537, 2008.
- [3] P. V. Khadikar, P. P. Kale, N. V. Deshpande, S. Karmarkar, and V. K. Agrawal, "Novel PI indices of hexagonal chains," *Journal of Mathematical Chemistry*, vol. 29, no. 2, pp. 143–150, 2001.
- [4] A. R. Ashrafi, M. Jalali, M. Ghorbani, and M. V. Diudea, "Computing PI and omega polynomials of an infinite family of fullerenes," *MATCH Communications in. Mathematical* and Computer Chemistry, vol. 60, no. 3, pp. 905–916, 2008.
- [5] M. A. Iranmanesh, M. Saheli, and M. V. Diudea, "Counting polynomials in the crystal network flu," *Studia Universitatis Babes-Bolyai, Chemia*, vol. 61, no. 1, 2016.
- [6] A. Q. Baig, M. Imran, and H. Ali, "Computing Omega, Sadhana and PI polynomials of benzoid carbon nanotubes," *Optoelectronics and Advanced Materials-Rapid Communications*, vol. 9, no. 1-2, pp. 248–255, 2015.

- [7] M. R. Farahani, "Omega and related counting polynomials of triangular benzenoid gn," *Journal of Chemica Acta*, vol. 2, no. 1, pp. 43–45, 2013.
- [8] O. Bodroža-Pantić, "Algebraic structure count of some cyclic hexagonal-square chains on the Möbius strip," *Journal of Mathematical Chemistry*, vol. 41, no. 3, pp. 283–294, 2007.
- [9] L. Zhang and F. Zhang, "Extremal hexagonal chains concerning k-matchings and k- independent sets," *Journal of Mathematical Chemistry*, vol. 27, no. 44, pp. 319–329, 2000.
- [10] Y. Zeng and F. Zhang, "Extremal polyomino chains on k-matchings and k-independent sets," *Journal of Mathematical Chemistry*, vol. 42, no. 2, pp. 125–140, 2007.
- [11] M. Xie and F. Zhang, "Relation between wiener numbers of quasi-hexagonal chains and quasi-polyomino chains," *Journal* of Systems Science and Complexity, vol. 23, no. 4, pp. 873–882, 2010.
- [12] A. A. Dobrynin and I. Gutman, "The average Wiener index of hexagonal chains," *Computers & Chemistry*, vol. 23, no. 6, pp. 571–576, 1999.
- [13] S. Klavzar, "A bird's eye view of the cut method and a survey of its applications in chemical graph theory," MATCH Communications in Mathematical and Computer Chemistry, vol. 60, no. 2, pp. 255–274, 2008.
- [14] P. V. Khadikar, S. Joshi, A. V. Bajaj, and D. Mandloi, "Correlations between the benzene character of acenes or helicenes and simple molecular descriptors," *Bioorganic & Medicinal Chemistry Letters*, vol. 14, no. 5, pp. 1187–1191, 2004.