

### Research Article

## Pharmacokinetic Comparisons of Different Combinations of Yigan Jiangzhi Formula in Rats: Simultaneous Determination of Fourteen Components by UPLC-MS/MS

# Yang Wang<sup>(D)</sup>,<sup>1</sup> Ping Wang<sup>(D)</sup>,<sup>2</sup> Jun Xie,<sup>3</sup> Zhaorui Yin,<sup>1</sup> Xiaoyan Lin,<sup>4</sup> Yuanhong Zhao<sup>(D)</sup>,<sup>1</sup> Zheng Li,<sup>1</sup> Tao Chen,<sup>1</sup> Shuang Gu,<sup>1</sup> Qiang Lv,<sup>2</sup> Zhili Zhao,<sup>2</sup> and Changhua Xu<sup>(D)</sup><sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>First Teaching Hospital of Tianjin University of Traditional Chinese Medicine, Tianjin 300112, China
<sup>2</sup>School of Chinese Materia Medica, Tianjin University of Traditional Chinese Medicine, Tianjin 300193, China
<sup>3</sup>Food Science and Technology, Shanghai Ocean University, Shanghai 201306, China
<sup>4</sup>Tianjin Chase Sun Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd., Tianjin 301700, China

Correspondence should be addressed to Ping Wang; w-y81@163.com, Yuanhong Zhao; yuanhongzh98@163.com, and Changhua Xu; chxu@shou.edu.cn

Received 16 October 2019; Revised 26 November 2019; Accepted 14 February 2020; Published 21 March 2020

Academic Editor: Antonio V. Herrera-Herrera

Copyright © 2020 Yang Wang et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

A rapid, specific, and sensitive analysis for simultaneous determination of fourteen components (daidzein, fermononetin, apigenin, luteolin, puerarin, ononin, calycosin-7-O- $\beta$ -D-glucoside, tanshinol, rosmarinic acid, alkanoic acid, salvianolic acid B, berberine, jatrorrhizin, and palmatine) of Yigan Jiangzhi formula (YGJZF, a clinical experienced formula for damp-heat syndrome) in rat plasma was developed and validated using ultraperformance liquid chromatography coupled with mass spectrometry. Lower limit of quantitation ranged from 0.2–10.0 ng/mL, and the calibration curves showed good linearity over 500 times of measuring range. The validated method was successfully applied to the pharmacokinetics investigation of the fourteen compounds in rat plasma after oral administration of two different doses of YGJZF. Compared with the low-dose group of YGJZF, the high-dose group showed significant increase (P < 0.01 or P < 0.05) in maximum plasma concentration, maximum concentration time, and area under the plasma concentration-time curve and decrease (P < 0.01 or P < 0.05) in clearance of most of the fourteen analytes, which suggested that the bioavailability of these components could be enhanced by increasing dosage. The above results may provide useful information for cognizing the relationship between in vitro and in vivo data of the fourteen bioactive ingredients of YGJZF and further guiding rational clinical drug prescription.

#### 1. Introduction

Alcoholic liver disease (ALD) is the disorder of hepatocytes structural abnormalities and (or) dysfunctions caused by long-term excessive alcohol consumption. Severe alcohol abuse can induce extensive hepatocytes necrosis and even hepatic failure [1–3]. Hence, ALD is one of the major diseases threatening human health and is also the leading cause of liver-related morbidity and mortality worldwide [4, 5] resulting in 2.5 million deaths globally each year and 1% cost of the GDP of middle- and high-income countries [6]. However, there is still

no clearly therapeutic schedule that can be successfully applied to the treatment of ALD for modern medicine [2, 7].

Traditional Chinese medicine (TCM) has been used for thousands of years in clinical practice in China, especially for treating complex and chronic disorders. Generally, TCM is often used as the combination of multiple herbs to induce synergistic effects and reduce adverse reactions. As a complex system, TCM usually contains hundreds to millions of chemical components, which play the key role in its therapeutic effects [8, 9]. However, considering the perspective of pharmacokinetics (PK), only the chemical components absorbed into blood have the opportunity for exerting biological activity [10, 11].

According to the basic pathogenesis of TCM, deficiency, turbidity, and alcohol are the main starting and changing factors of ALD. Yigan Jiangzhi formula (YGJZF), a clinical experience formula (developed by Professor Yuanhong Zhao) based on Suye-Huanglian decoction (recorded in the chapter on damp heat which was written by the Qing dynasty physician Shengbai Xue), comprises Astragalus membranaceus, Puerariae Lobatae Radix, Salvia miltiorrhiza Bge, Folium Perillae, Coptis chinensis Franch. and Fructus polygoni orientalis in the ratio of 2:3:1:1.3:0.8:2, respectively, and has been used for treating ALD in the First Teaching Hospital of Tianjin University of Traditional Chinese Medicine for the attributes of ascending lucidity, descending turbidity, and resolving depression. Our previous clinical and pharmacological researches indicated that YGJZF shows definite hepatoprotective effect [12-15]. Phytochemical studies revealed various bioactive constituents of the herbal medicines in YGJZF, mainly including triterpene saponins, flavonoids, phenolic acids, tanshinones, and protoberberine-type alkaloids [16-21]. The bioactive components for hepatoprotective effect of YGJZF mainly appear in three structural types (flavonoids, phenolic acids, and protoberberine-type alkaloids), including daidzein, fermononetin, apigenin, luteolin, berberine, jatrorrhizin, palmatine, puerarin, ononin, calycosin-7-O- $\beta$ -D-glucoside (Glc), tanshinol, rosmarinic acid, alkanoic acid, and salvianolic acid B [22-32].

PK studies on the active ingredients of TCM play an important role in predicting and evaluating the clinical efficacy and toxicity of TCMs, guiding clinical rational drug usage, and avoiding unnecessary adverse reactions [33, 34]. In clinical practice, when the patient has obvious symptoms of ALD, the oral dose of YGJZF should be increased to 2 folds of the basal rate. From the viewpoint of the correlation between PK and pharmacodynamics, we assumed that the variation of the content of active ingredients in YGJZF of different doses (in vitro and in vivo) might generate different PK characteristics and then produce different intensity of therapeutic effect on ALD. Therefore, we attempted to compare the PK profiles of daidzein, fermononetin, apigenin, luteolin, berberine, jatrorrhizin, palmatine, puerarin, ononin, calycosin-7-O- $\beta$ -D-Glc, tanshinol, rosmarinic acid, alkanoic acid, and salvianolic acid B after oral administration of YGYZF at different dosages, which will help us to evaluate the efficacy and safety in clinical application. Until now, no data have been reported on the determination of multi-ingredients in biological samples or have compared their PK profiles after oral administration of different dosages of YGJZF. Consequently, the PK research of these components of YGJZF is necessary. And, it is essential to develop a high sensitivity and selectivity method for simultaneous determination of multiple bioactive ingredients in biological matrix for in-depth research and development of YGIZF.

In the present study, a sensitive and rapid ultraperformance liquid chromatography coupled with mass spectrometry (UPLC-MS/MS) method was developed for simultaneous determination of fourteen active components of YGJZF in rat plasma. Meanwhile, the developed approach was applied to the comparative PK profiles of the fourteen constituents in rats after oral administration of YGJZF at two doses of 13.3 g/kg and 26.6 g/kg (crude material/body weight). It is hoped that the current study would help to illuminate the action mechanism of YGJZF for treating ALD from the PK perspective.

#### 2. Experimental

2.1. Materials and Reagents. Astragalus membranaceus, Puerariae Lobatae Radix, Salvia miltiorrhiza Bge, Folium Perillae, Coptis chinensis Franch. and Fructus polygoni orientalis were purchased from Tianjin Traditional Chinese herbs Co. (Tianjin, China), and authenticated by Prof. Zheng Li, First Teaching Hospital of Tianjin University of Traditional Chinese Medicine. The voucher specimen was TJYGJZF 180327 (Supporting Material-Voucher specimen) and deposited at the Herbarium of Tianjin University of TCM. Luteolin, apigenin, puerarin, salvianolic acid B, calycosin-7-O- $\beta$ -D-Glc, tanshinol, daidzein, berberine, palmatine, jatrorrhizin, liquiritigenin (IS1), and hesperidin (IS2) were purchased from the National Institute for Food and Drug Control (Beijing, China). Fermononetin, ononin, alkanoic acid, and rosmarinic acid were purchased from Chengdu Must Biotechnology (Chengdu, China). The purity of all the reference substances was higher than 98%. And, the structures of these standards are shown in Figure 1.

Acetonitrile, methanol, and formic acid (HPLC grade) were purchased from Fisher Scientific (Fair Lawn, NJ, USA). Pure water was obtained by a Milli-Q system (Millipore, Bedford, USA). All the other reagents were of analytical grade.

2.2. Instrument and Analytical Conditions. The UPLC-MS/ MS system was a Waters Acquity<sup>™</sup> UPLC I-Class system (Waters Corp., Milford, MA, USA) interfaced with a Waters Xevo<sup>™</sup> TQD/MS (Waters, USA) equipped with electrospray ionization (ESI) source.

UPLC separation of the analytes and internal standards was performed on an Acquity UPLC HSS T3 column ( $2.1 \times 100$  mm,  $1.8 \mu$ m), and the column temperature was maintained at 35°C during the analysis. The flow rate was 0.4 mL/min, and the mobile phase consisted of 0.1% formic acid in water (A) and 0.1% formic acid in acetonitrile (B). The gradient elution conditions were as follows: 0–2 min, 95–65% A; 2–5 min, 65–45% A; 5–5.1 min, 45–2% A; 5.1–6 min, 2% A; 6–6.1 min, 2–95% A; 6.1–8 min, 95% A. The autosampler temperature was set at 4°C, and the injection volume was 6  $\mu$ L.

The ESI source was set in two different runs (negative or positive ionization mode) using multiple reaction monitoring (MRM) to detect the mass transitions of all analytes and internal standards. MS parameters in the source were set as follows: capillary voltage 3.0 kV (ES+), 2.7 Kv (ES-); source temperature  $150^{\circ}$ C; desolvation temperature  $400^{\circ}$ C; cone gas flow 50 L/h; desolvation gas flow 700 L/h. Cone



FIGURE 1: Chemical structures of fourteen analytes and two internal standards.

voltage and collision energy were optimized and summarized in Table 1. All data were collected and processed by using MassLynx V4.1 workstation (Waters Corp.). 2.3. Preparation of Formula Sample Extract. Astragalus membranaceus (180 g), Puerariae Lobatae Radix (270 g), Salvia miltiorrhiza Bge (90 g), Folium Perillae (117 g), Coptis

No.	Analytes	Ion mode	Parent $(m/z)$	Daughter $(m/z)$	Cone (V)	Collision (eV)	Retention time (min)
1	Daidzein	ES+	254.9	91.0	52	40	3.06
2	Fermononetin	ES+	268.9	196.9	56	40	4.37
3	Apigenin	ES+	270.9	152.9	62	30	3.68
4	Luteolin	ES+	286.9	152.9	66	32	3.18
5	Berberine	ES+	336.0	277.9	12	44	3.12
6	Jatrorrhizin	ES+	338.0	280.3	52	40	2.75
7	Palmatine	ES+	352.0	279.0	54	54	3.05
8	Puerarin	ES+	416.9	296.9	46	24	1.84
9	Ononin	ES+	430.9	269.0	28	16	2.81
10	Calycosin-7-O-β-D-Glc	ES+	446.9	284.9	32	24	2.25
11	Tanshinol	ES-	197.0	122.9	24	20	1.44
12	Rosmarinic acid	ES-	359.0	160.9	32	18	2.64
13	Alkanoic acid	ES-	537.0	295.0	20	22	2.62
14	Salvianolic acid B	ES-	717.0	518.9	38	20	2.72
IS1	Liquiritigenin	ES+	257.0	136.9	38	24	3.15
IS2	Hesperidin	ES-	609.1	301.0	46	24	2.50

TABLE 1: Optimized MS/MS transitions, parameters, and retention time of the analytes and internal standards.

*chinensis* Franch. (72 g), and *Fructus polygoni orientalis* (180 g) were mixed together and then refluxed twice with water (1:10, w/v; 60 min each time). Dose-1: the extract was filtered through filter plate, and then the filtrates were merged and concentrated to a final concentration of 1.33 g/mL (crude material/decoction). Dose-2: the same process as dose-1, but the final concentration was at 2.66 g/mL (crude material/decoction). Contents of fourteen analytes in the two doses are shown in Table 2.

2.4. Preparation of Standard and Quality Control Samples. Stock solutions of fourteen analytes and two internal standards were individually prepared by dissolving 1 mg of the sixteen standard references (precisely weighed) in 1 mL of DMSO. Then, the fourteen analytes stock solutions were mixed to afford a final mixed standard solution, which contained 1 µg/mL of daidzein, apigenin, berberine, jatrorrhizin, palmatine, and calycosin-7-O- $\beta$ -D-Glc; 2.5  $\mu$ g/mL of fermononetin, ononin, and rosmarinic acid; 5 µg/mL of luteolin and salvianolic acid B; 10 µg/mL of puerarin and alkanoic acid; 50 µg/mL of tanshinol. A series of mixed standard working solutions were diluted with methanolwater (50:50, v/v) at the ratios of 1, 2.5, 5, 10, 25, 50, 100, 250, and 500, respectively. The internal standard stock solutions were mixed and diluted with methanol-acetonitrile (50:50, v/v) to obtain internal standards working solution, each one with a concentration of 500 ng/mL. The stock solutions were stored in a refrigerator (4°C) until they were required for use.

Calibration standards of the analytes were prepared by spiking  $100 \,\mu$ L drug-free blank plasma with  $10 \,\mu$ L working solutions of corresponding concentrations and  $300 \,\mu$ L of internal standards working solution. The concentrations were in the range of 0.2–100 ng/mL for daidzein, apigenin, berberine, jatrorrhizin, palmatine, and calycosin-7-O- $\beta$ -D-Glc, 0.5–250 ng/mL for fermononetin, ononin, and rosmarinic acid, 1.0–500 ng/mL for luteolin and salvianolic acid B, 2.0–1000 ng/mL for puerarin and alkanoic acid, and 10.0–5000 ng/mL for tanshinol. Three levels of quality

TABLE 2: The quantitative results of the fourteen analytes in dose-1 and dose-2 of YGJZF.

No	Analyta	Concentration (ng/mL)		
INO.	Allalyte	Dose-1	Dose-2	
1	Daidzein	103750	225000	
2	Fermononetin	11250	23200	
3	Apigenin	2250	6550	
4	Luteolin	5500	12000	
5	Berberine	607500	1015000	
6	Jatrorrhizin	106250	170000	
7	Palmatine	172500	270000	
8	Puerarin	12697500	16910000	
9	Ononin	116250	210000	
10	Calycosin-7-O-β-D-Glc	90000	140000	
11	Tanshinol	620000	975000	
12	Rosmarinic acid	950000	1230000	
13	Alkanoic acid	146250	245000	
14	Salvianolic acid B	1346250	1595000	

control (QC) samples (low, medium, and high) were also prepared in the same manner. All of the above solutions were stored at  $-80^{\circ}$ C before use.

2.5. Pretreatment of Plasma Samples. All of the plasma samples were prepared by a direct protein precipitation method with methanol-water (50:50, v/v).  $100 \,\mu$ L of plasma samples were spiked with  $10 \,\mu$ L of methanol-water (50:50, v/v) and  $300 \,\mu$ L of internal standards working solution to a 1.5 mL Eppendorf tube, vortexed for 2 min, and centrifuged at 13,000 rpm for 10 min at 4°C. The supernatant was transferred out and evaporated to dryness under a flow of gentle nitrogen gas at 40°C. Then, the residue was reconstituted with 100  $\mu$ L of methanol-water (50:50, v/v), vortexed for 2 min, and centrifuged at 13,000 rpm for 10 min at 4°C. Finally, the supernatant was transferred into autosampler vials, and  $6 \,\mu$ L of it was injected into the UPLC–MS/MS system for analysis.

2.6. *Method Validation*. The analytical method was validated with specificity, linearity, lower limit of quantification (LLOQ), precision, accuracy, extraction recovery, matrix effect, and stability in rat plasma according to the Guidance for Industry Bioanalytical Method Validation [35].

2.6.1. Specificity. Selectivity was evaluated by comparing different chromatograms of drug-free blank plasma, drug-free blank plasma spiked with fourteen analytes and two internal standards, and the plasma samples from the rats after oral administration of YGJZF at different dosages, respectively.

2.6.2. Linearity and Lower Limits of Quantification. The linearity of the calibration curves of all analytes was generated by plotting the peak area ratio (*y*) of each analyte to the corresponding internal standard for each standard solution versus the nominal concentration (x, ng/mL) of the calibration standard, with weighted  $(1/x^2)$  least square linear regression. The linearity was evaluated by means of correlation coefficient (r) of each calibration curve. IS1 was used as internal standard for positive ion mode detection (daidzein, apigenin, berberine, jatrorrhizin, palmatine, calycosin-7-O- $\beta$ -D-Glc, fermononetin, ononin, luteolin, and puerarin) and IS2 for the negative ion mode (rosmarinic acid, salvianolic acid B, alkanoic acid, and tanshinol), respectively. The lower limit of quantification (LLOQ) was defined as the lowest concentration on the calibration curve that can be quantitated with accuracy and precision less than 20%. And, the LLOQ was determined as at least 10 times of the signal/noise ratio.

2.6.3. Precision and Accuracy. The intraday precision (evaluated with the relative standard deviation, RSD) and accuracy (evaluated with the relative error, RE) were assessed in a single day by using six replicates of QC samples at three concentrations (low, medium, and high). And, the interday precision and accuracy were determined by employing the QC samples on three consecutive days. The RSD and RE were calculated using the following equations:

$$RSD = \frac{\text{standard deviation (SD)}}{\text{mean measured concentration}} \times 100\%,$$
$$RE = \frac{\text{meanmeasured concentration} - \text{nominal concentration}}{\text{nominal concentration}} \times 100\%.$$
(1)

The value of RSD and RE should be less than 15%. And, the concentrations of QC samples were calculated by the calibration curve of freshly prepared samples.

2.6.4. Extraction Recovery and Matrix Effect. The extraction recoveries and matrix effects of all analytes were determined by assaying QC samples at three concentrations (n = 6, each concentration). The extraction recoveries were calculated by

5

comparing the peak areas of the extracted QC samples (set A) with postextraction blank plasma spiked with working standard solutions in corresponding concentrations at three levels of QC samples (set B). By comparing the peak areas acquired from set B with the pure standard solutions in corresponding concentrations at three levels of QC samples (set C), the matrix effects were evaluated. Moreover, extraction recoveries and matrix effects of the two internal standards were evaluated by the same method at one concentration (50 ng/mL).

2.6.5. Stability. The stability of the fourteen analytes was determined by using six replicates of QC samples at three concentrations in different conditions: short-term stability at room temperature (25°C) for 4 h, postpreparative stability in treated plasma samples in autosampler (4°C) for 24 h, long term stability at three freeze-thaw cycles ( $-80^{\circ}$ C to 25°C as one cycle), and storage at  $-80^{\circ}$ C for 30 days.

2.7. Pharmacokinetics Study. Twelve male Sprague-Dawley rats (200–230 g body weight) were supplied by the Beijing Vital River Laboratory Animal Technology Co., Ltd (Beijing, China). All of the animals were kept under controlled laboratory condition (at  $23 \pm 2^{\circ}$ C, with relative humidity of  $60 \pm 5\%$  and on a 12 h dark-light cycle) for 7 days with free access to standard diet and water. All of the rats were fasted for 12h with free access to water prior to the oral administration of the YGJZF extracts. The animal study was carried out according to the Guidelines for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals of the First Teaching Hospital of Tianjin University of Traditional Chinese Medicine. The twelve rats were randomly divided into two groups (n = 6, per group) and oral administered with single dose-1 (13.3 g/kg) or dose-2 (26.6 g/kg), respectively. Blood samples (0.5 mL) of the rats were collected from the fossa orbitalis vein at 0, 0.083, 0.17, 0.33, 0.5, 0.75, 1, 2, 3, 5, 7, 9, 12, 24, 36, 48, and 72 h after dosing into heparinized 1.5 mL Eppendorf tube and centrifuged at 6000 rpm for 10 min. Then, the supernatant was immediately transferred and stored at -80°C until analysis.

PK parameters, including maximum plasma concentration ( $C_{\text{max}}$ ), maximum concentration time ( $T_{\text{max}}$ ), terminal half-life value ( $T_{1/2}$ ), area under the plasma concentration-time curve from 0 to infinity (AUC<sub>0-∞</sub>), area under the plasma concentration-time curve from 0 to the last time (AUC<sub>0-t</sub>), mean residence time (MRT), and clearance (CL), were calculated by using DAS 3.0 software (Mathematical Pharmacology Professional Committee of China, Shanghai, China). Statistical analysis comparisons of these PK parameters between two groups were performed by SPSS (version 19.0, Armonk, NY, USA), and a P < 0.05 was considered statistically significant. All data were presented as mean ± SD.

#### 3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Optimization of UPLC-MS/MS Conditions. To obtain satisfactory chromatographic behaviors for all analytes and



FIGURE 2: Product ion mass spectra of fourteen analytes and two internal standards.

internal standards, two columns were examined: ACQUITY UPLC<sup>®</sup> HSS T3 ( $2.1 \times 100$  mm,  $1.8 \mu$ m; Waters Corp., Wexford, Ireland) and ACQUITY UPLC® BEH C18  $(2.1 \times 100 \text{ mm}, 1.7 \,\mu\text{m}; \text{Waters Corp.}, \text{Wexford}, \text{Ireland})$ . The T3 column was selected as it could provide efficient chromatographic separation. Different mobile phase compositions (acetonitrile-water and methanol-water) were investigated for achieving better elutive power and lower background noise. And, it was observed that the former contains better effect. Meanwhile, by comparing different concentrations (0.05%, 0.1%, and 0.2%) of polarity regulators (formic acid and acetic acid) in mobile phase, conclusion was formed that adding 0.1% formic acid to the mobile phase system could improve the peak shape and enhance the resolution and sensitivity of the analytes and internal standards. Therefore, acetonitrile-water (adding 0.1% formic acid) was employed as the mobile phase for our present study. In order to optimize the precursor and product ions of all analytes and internal standards, solutions of these compounds at a concentration 400 ng/mL were directly infused into the mass spectrometer.

The ESI sources were set in two different runs (negative or positive ionization modes). Daidzein, apigenin, berberine, jatrorrhizin, palmatine, calycosin-7-O- $\beta$ -D-Glc, fermononetin, ononin, luteolin, and puerarin responded perfectly in the positive ion mode; in contrast, the others were stable and exhibited higher abundance in the negative ion mode. In order to ensure the accuracy of quantitative, two compounds

(liquiritigenin (IS1) for positive and hesperidin (IS2) for the negative ion mode) were selected as the internal standards for calculating the concentration of corresponding analytes. In the full scan mode, the protonated molecular ion  $[M + H]^+$  of the analytes detected in the positive mode showed higher abundance and stability, whereas the deprotonated molecule  $[M - H]^-$  of the remaining analytes was the preponderant ion in the negative mode. Under the product ion scan mode, the structurally similar molecule may have the same fragment pathway. For instance, ononin and calycosin-7-O- $\beta$ -D-Glc are two flavonoid glycosides; in collision chamber, they easily break their glycosidic bonds and both produced the fragment ion of  $[M + H - C_6 H_{10} O_5]^+$ at m/z 269.0 and 284.9. Moreover, berberine and jatrorrhizin (as two typical protoberberine-type alkaloids) produced the identical ion of  $[M + H-C_3H_6O]^+$  at m/z 277.9 and 280.3, while the other two phenolic acids of rosmarinic acid and salvianolic acid B both displayed the ion of [M-H- $C_9H_{10}O_5$ ]<sup>-</sup> at 160.9 and 518.9. In addition, it was interesting to note that apigenin and luteolin (two semblable flavonoid aglycones) had the same product ion at m/z 152.9, which was corresponding to the different fragment ions of [M+H- $C_8H_6O$ <sup>+</sup> and  $[M + H - C_8H_6O_2]^+$ , respectively. And, the most abundant and stable product ions of daidzein, fermononetin, palmatine, puerarin, tanshinol, alkanoic acid, IS1, and IS2 were  $[M + H - C_9 H_8 O_3]^+$  (*m*/*z* 91.0),  $[M + H - C_3 H_4 O_2]^+$  (*m*/*z* 196.9),  $[M + H - C_3 H_5 O_2]^+$  (*m*/*z* 279.0),  $[M + H - C_4 H_8 O_4]^+$  $(m/z 296.9), [M-H-C_2H_2O_3]^- (m/z 122.9), [M-H-$ 



(b) FIGURE 3: Continued.



FIGURE 3: Representative MRM chromatograms of (a) blank plasma, (b) blank plasma spiked with the analytes at LLOQ and internal standards, (c) plasma sample after oral administration of dose-1 for 0.5 h, and (d) plasma sample after oral administration of dose-2 for 0.5 h.

 $C_{10}H_{10}O_7$ <sup>[-</sup> (*m*/*z* 295.0), [M + H-C<sub>8</sub>H<sub>8</sub>O]<sup>+</sup> (*m*/*z* 136.9), and [M-H-C<sub>12</sub>H<sub>20</sub>O<sub>9</sub>]<sup>-</sup> (*m*/*z* 301.0), separately. The optimized operating parameters for the analytes and internal standards are summarized in Table 1, and the product spectra of the sixteen compounds are shown in Figure 2.

3.2. Optimization of Sample Preparation. Sample preparation plays an important role in ensuring the accuracy and reliability of in vivo quantitative results. Different methods of liquid-liquid extraction and protein precipitation were tested for comparing the extraction capability. The result showed that the recovery of phenolic acids is relatively low when using liquid-liquid extraction (solvents containing ethyl acetate or *n*-butanol). Protein precipitation is simple and easy to operate despite the resulting precipitation is heterogeneous and incomplete while acetonitrile or methanol was used alone. In conclusion, a simple one-step plasma protein-precipitating procedure with methanol-acetonitrile (50:50, v/v) was carried out for sample preparation, which could afford higher recovery and better precision for the analytes and internal standards.

#### 3.3. Method Validation

*3.3.1. Specificity.* The representative chromatograms of blank plasma, blank plasma spiked with fourteen analytes,

#### Journal of Analytical Methods in Chemistry

9

TABLE 3: The regression equation, linear range	es, and LLOQs of the fourteen analytes in	n rat plasma.
--	---	---------------

No.	Analyte	Regression equation $(W = 1/x^2)$	r	Linear range (ng/mL)	LLOQ (ng/mL)
1	Daidzein	y = 1.0767x + 2.3433	0.9933	0.2-100	0.2
2	Fermononetin	y = 0.1497x + 0.0763	0.9981	0.5-250	0.5
3	Apigenin	y = 0.5257x + 0.1422	0.9975	0.2-100	0.2
4	Luteolin	y = 0.2124x + 0.0092	0.9992	1.0-500	1.0
5	Berberine	y = 1.0672x + 0.7246	0.9979	0.2-100	0.2
6	Jatrorrhizin	y = 1.9106x + 0.3999	0.9985	0.2-100	0.2
7	Palmatine	y = 2.5077x + 0.4315	0.9993	0.2-100	0.2
8	Puerarin	y = 0.1864x + 2.7353	0.9937	2.0-1000	2.0
9	Ononin	y = 1.7778x + 0.1393	0.9980	0.5-250	0.5
10	Calycosin-7-O-β-D-Glc	y = 0.9216x + 0.1215	0.9989	0.2-100	0.2
11	Tanshinol	y = 0.0158x - 0.0041	0.9979	10.0-5000	10.0
12	Rosmarinic acid	y = 0.9884x + 0.0390	0.9943	0.5-250	0.5
13	Alkanoic acid	y = 0.1800x + 0.0130	0.9989	2.0-1000	2.0
14	Salvianolic acid B	y = 0.4326x + 0.0395	0.9987	1.0-500	1.0

TABLE 4: Accuracy and precision of the fourteen analytes in rat plasma (mean  $\pm$  SD, n = 3 days, 6 replicates per day).

No	A se alvet a	Come (malmal)	Int	raday		Int	erday	
INO.	Analyte	Conc. (ng/mL)	Measured (ng/mL)	Acc. (%)	Prec. (%)	Measured (ng/mL)	Acc. (%)	Prec. (%)
		0.50	$0.48 \pm 0.04$	-4.00	8.33	$0.48 \pm 0.04$	-4.00	8.33
1	Daidzein	10.00	$10.03 \pm 1.07$	0.30	10.67	$10.56 \pm 0.75$	5.60	7.10
		80.00	$81.32 \pm 1.59$	1.65	1.96	$83.14 \pm 2.17$	3.93	2.61
		1.25	$1.32 \pm 0.04$	5.60	3.03	$1.22 \pm 0.12$	-2.40	9.84
2	Fermononetin	25.00	$26.12\pm0.95$	4.48	3.64	$26.27\pm0.88$	5.08	3.35
		200.00	$209.67 \pm 5.14$	4.83	2.45	$214.35\pm8.29$	7.18	3.87
		0.5	$0.53 \pm 0.05$	6.00	9.43	$0.51 \pm 0.05$	2.00	9.80
3	Apigenin	10	$10.13\pm0.32$	1.30	3.16	$9.86 \pm 0.37$	-1.40	3.75
		80	$82.42\pm2.20$	3.03	2.45	$80.41 \pm 3.39$	0.51	4.22
		2.5	$2.65\pm0.09$	6.00	3.40	$2.51\pm0.27$	0.40	10.76
4	Luteolin	50	$52.03 \pm 1.42$	4.06	2.73	$52.18 \pm 1.56$	4.36	2.99
		400	$426.65 \pm 8.57$	6.66	2.01	$408.59 \pm 16.33$	2.15	4.00
		0.5	$0.48 \pm 0.04$	-4.00	8.33	$0.49\pm0.06$	-2.00	12.24
5	Berberine	10	$10.83 \pm 0.34$	8.30	3.14	$10.59\pm0.92$	5.80	8.69
		80	$86.55 \pm 1.31$	8.19	1.51	$83.71 \pm 3.11$	4.64	3.72
		0.5	$0.53 \pm 0.04$	6.00	7.55	$0.52\pm0.05$	4.00	9.62
6	Jatrorrhizin	10	$10.68\pm0.19$	6.80	1.78	$10.95\pm0.52$	9.50	4.75
		80	$77.22 \pm 1.67$	-3.48	2.16	$77.54 \pm 1.97$	-3.07	2.54
		0.5	$0.52\pm0.04$	4.00	7.69	$0.55\pm0.05$	10.00	9.09
7	Palmatine	10	$10.37\pm0.23$	3.70	2.22	$10.54\pm0.62$	5.40	5.88
		80	$79.30 \pm 1.08$	-0.88	1.36	$80.02\pm3.02$	0.02	3.77
		5	$5.11 \pm 0.47$	2.20	9.20	$5.23 \pm 0.68$	4.60	13.60
8	Puerarin	100	$102.31\pm7.76$	2.31	7.58	$104.50\pm6.69$	4.50	6.40
		800	$778.29 \pm 16.52$	-2.71	2.12	$782.42 \pm 18.26$	-2.20	2.33
		1.25	$1.27 \pm 0.08$	1.60	6.30	$1.28 \pm 0.11$	2.40	8.59
9	Ononin	25	$25.87\pm0.76$	3.48	2.94	$25.70\pm0.62$	2.80	2.41
		200	$185.75 \pm 5.04$	-7.13	2.71	$178.21 \pm 7.76$	-10.90	4.35
		0.5	$0.48\pm0.04$	-4.00	8.33	$0.51\pm0.04$	2.00	7.84
10	Calycosin-7-O-β-D-Glc	10	$10.03\pm0.18$	0.30	1.79	$9.65 \pm 0.46$	-3.50	4.77
		80	$80.43 \pm 1.38$	0.54	1.72	$74.57 \pm 5.46$	-6.79	7.32
		25	$26.35 \pm 2.71$	5.40	10.28	$24.63 \pm 2.26$	-1.48	9.18
11	Tanshinol	500	$506.70 \pm 13.32$	1.34	2.63	$475.29 \pm 30.29$	-4.94	6.37
		4000	$3786.47 \pm 111.61$	-5.34	2.95	$3691.07 \pm 146.59$	-7.72	3.97
		1.25	$1.18 \pm 0.08$	-5.60	6.78	$1.20 \pm 0.07$	-4.00	5.83
12	Rosmarinic acid	25	$23.63 \pm 0.57$	-5.48	2.41	$23.05 \pm 1.06$	-7.80	4.60
		200	$220.90 \pm 4.96$	10.45	2.25	$215.64 \pm 12.18$	7.82	5.65
		5	$5.02\pm0.55$	0.40	10.96	$4.79\pm0.49$	-4.20	10.23
13	Alkanoic acid	100	$100.22\pm2.23$	0.22	2.23	$94.08 \pm 5.46$	-5.92	5.80
		800	$758.75 \pm 26.20$	-5.16	3.45	$749.11 \pm 22.27$	-6.36	2.97

TABLE 4: Continued.

No.	Analyte	Conc. (ng/mL)	Int	raday		Interday			
			Measured (ng/mL)	Acc. (%)	Prec. (%)	Measured (ng/mL)	Acc. (%)	Prec. (%)	
	Salvianolic acid B	2.5	$2.72\pm0.08$	8.80	2.94	$2.44\pm0.25$	-2.40	10.25	
14		50	$52.00\pm0.82$	4.00	1.58	$48.57 \pm 3.18$	-2.86	6.55	
		400	$406.38 \pm 5.96$	1.60	1.47	$404.17\pm14.87$	1.04	3.68	

TABLE 5: Extraction recovery and matrix effect of the fourteen analytes and two internal standards in rat plasma (mean  $\pm$  SD, n = 6).

No.	Analyte	Conc. (ng/mL)	Extraction recovery	RSD (%)	Matrix effect	RSD (%)
		0.5	$98.11 \pm 5.64$	5.75	$106.77 \pm 8.84$	8.28
1	Daidzein	10	$105.24 \pm 7.57$	7.19	$103.41 \pm 6.04$	5.84
		80	$96.40 \pm 2.69$	2.79	$99.75 \pm 2.65$	2.66
		1.25	$88.58 \pm 4.13$	4.66	$96.12 \pm 7.71$	8.02
2	Fermononetin	25	$90.70 \pm 6.63$	7.31	$92.17 \pm 5.20$	5.64
		200	$91.50 \pm 3.22$	3.52	$94.97 \pm 8.94$	9.41
		0.5	$94.69 \pm 5.12$	5.41	$90.62 \pm 7.89$	8.71
3	Apigenin	10	$102.92 \pm 4.14$	4.02	$94.48 \pm 1.69$	1.79
		80	$87.33 \pm 2.47$	2.83	$98.42 \pm 2.46$	2.50
		2.5	$74.65 \pm 8.93$	11.96	$94.35 \pm 4.82$	5.11
4	Luteolin	50	$77.32 \pm 3.36$	4.35	$94.32 \pm 4.98$	5.28
		400	$74.67 \pm 0.94$	1.26	$92.93 \pm 1.17$	1.26
		0.5	$99.45 \pm 4.34$	4.37	$92.95 \pm 8.82$	9.49
5	Berberine	10	$96.10 \pm 3.97$	4.13	$89.92 \pm 2.91$	3.23
		80	$97.45 \pm 3.43$	3.52	$88.10 \pm 1.24$	1.41
		0.5	$108.92 \pm 3.05$	2.80	$89.39 \pm 2.11$	2.36
6	Jatrorrhizin	10	$97.06 \pm 3.79$	3.91	$80.54 \pm 1.37$	1.70
		80	$96.54 \pm 1.93$	2.00	$93.94 \pm 1.79$	1.91
		0.5	$92.76 \pm 4.93$	5.31	$101.12 \pm 4.91$	4.86
7	Palmatine	10	$97.03 \pm 5.38$	5.54	$91.39 \pm 3.63$	3.97
		80	$99.60 \pm 3.04$	3.05	$92.68 \pm 1.57$	1.69
		5	$94.45 \pm 7.51$	7.95	$97.14 \pm 4.81$	4.95
8	Puerarin	100	$93.55 \pm 5.46$	5.84	$106.56 \pm 1.87$	1.75
		800	$98.28 \pm 2.68$	2.73	$89.56 \pm 1.80$	2.01
		1.25	$104.03 \pm 6.38$	6.13	$82.93 \pm 2.29$	2.76
9	Ononin	25	$104.11 \pm 2.28$	2.19	$81.66 \pm 1.16$	1.41
		200	$98.41 \pm 1.38$	1.40	$89.79 \pm 2.29$	2.55
		0.5	$98.21 \pm 4.75$	4.84	$71.00 \pm 4.58$	6.45
10	Calycosin-7-O-β-D-Glc	10	$104.26 \pm 2.43$	2.33	$73.04 \pm 4.41$	6.04
		80	$96.91 \pm 3.98$	4.10	$77.70 \pm 1.51$	1.94
		25	$98.86 \pm 6.14$	6.21	$98.67 \pm 1.80$	1.82
11	Tanshinol	500	$97.99 \pm 3.65$	3.72	$90.88 \pm 2.48$	2.73
		4000	$102.47 \pm 2.03$	1.98	$87.06 \pm 4.37$	5.02
		1.25	$95.45 \pm 4.53$	4.75	$94.79 \pm 9.09$	9.59
12	Rosmarinic acid	25	$96.60 \pm 2.76$	2.86	$96.08 \pm 6.78$	7.06
		200	$96.92 \pm 3.84$	3.96	$96.80 \pm 2.84$	2.93
		5	$88.12 \pm 7.80$	8.85	$111.53 \pm 8.22$	7.37
13	Alkanoic acid	100	$86.99 \pm 4.42$	5.08	$112.35 \pm 6.11$	5.44
		800	$92.60 \pm 2.20$	2.38	$106.26 \pm 2.43$	2.29
		2.5	$85.57 \pm 9.14$	10.68	$111.95 \pm 8.63$	7.71
14	Salvianolic acid B	50	$83.19 \pm 3.37$	4.05	$114.98 \pm 9.97$	8.67
		400	$83.81 \pm 4.66$	5.56	$112.75 \pm 2.83$	2.51
IS1	Liquiritigenin	50	93.46	6.18	98.20	4.35
IS2	Hesperidin	50	89.27	3.88	100.79	4.70

and two internal standards and plasma samples at 0.5 h (after oral administration of different dosages of YGJZF) are shown in Figures 3(a)-3(d). It is easy to find that all analytes and two internal standards were eluted out within 5 min. And, the background was very low, and no

interference from endogenous substances and metabolites was observed.

3.3.2. Linearity and Lower Limits of Quantification. The calibration curves, correlation coefficients, linear ranges, and

TABLE 6: Stability of the fourteen analytes in rat plasma under different conditions (mean  $\pm$  SD, n = 6).

No.	Analyte	Conc. (ng/mL)	25°C	for 4 h	4°C fo	or 24 h	Three fro	eeze-thaw cles	−80°C fro da	ozen for 30 ays
			Acc. (%)	Prec. (%)	Acc. (%)	Prec. (%)	Acc. (%)	Prec. (%)	Acc. (%)	Prec. (%)
		0.5	4.78	4.63	-3.75	6.67	2.59	2.96	3.27	3.46
1	Daidzein	10	1.57	2.49	1.43	7.78	-1.60	6.25	1.69	5.90
		80	-4.09	6.11	2.46	8.30	-2.36	9.06	2.35	8.54
		1.25	-2.79	3.63	1.35	7.52	2.94	4.82	-3.15	1.25
2	Fermononetin	25	2.88	6.10	3.09	4.52	2.09	7.39	1.32	5.28
		200	2.67	4.54	-2.81	5.65	4.20	7.94	5.25	1.87
		0.5	4.04	6.67	1.58	4.49	0.96	2.17	3.06	6.87
3	Apigenin	10	-2.43	4.84	-2.84	4.45	-1.60	6.25	3.25	2.38
		80	-3.10	3.15	-3.77	3.60	-5.06	8.28	4.68	3.26
		2.5	1.77	6.67	-1.33	1.68	1.33	4.67	-2.16	3.76
4	Luteolin	50	2.90	4.85	0.71	2.12	6.25	2.19	4.42	3.67
		400	1.44	3.00	1.26	4.10	1.37	2.06	1.88	2.74
		0.5	-3.49	6.67	3.03	6.25	4.16	5.05	2.79	5.61
5	Berberine	10	2.57	4.58	-2.42	10.02	-3.63	4.27	2.11	3.38
		80	2.44	4.19	-2.60	3.98	-4.35	3.03	3.70	5.49
		0.5	2.22	2.78	-3.67	6.67	3.33	5.04	6.39	8.25
6	Jatrorrhizin	10	-1.10	1.99	0.57	2.48	2.56	3.21	-3.73	4.81
		80	-4.07	7.42	-1.57	1.02	-2.13	1.57	3.28	9.34
		0.5	1.39	6.67	4.72	2.62	2.33	5.36	-2.58	5.71
7	Palmatine	10	-2.96	4.02	-1.39	5.33	0.83	2.31	3.58	2.19
		80	-1.07	4.94	-2.16	4.69	-2.04	8.06	4.01	11.64
		5	4.45	12.43	3.13	3.97	3.21	7.33	1.59	6.28
8	Puerarin	100	-1.81	5.79	-2.68	6.31	3.38	4.19	2.11	5.20
		800	-2.97	7.64	-3.75	9.18	-1.96	8.57	3.51	7.29
		1.25	1.33	5.37	4.00	4.84	1.33	2.83	1.26	4.43
9	Ononin	25	-2.84	2.70	-2.94	6.04	-1.81	8.44	-1.57	4.91
		200	-2.71	7.91	-5.66	6.39	-2.99	8.46	5.05	4.78
		0.5	-1.71	2.11	2.93	3.98	5.15	10.04	-4.06	5.75
10	Calycosin-7-O-β-D-Glc	10	-5.46	9.60	-4.49	13.92	-4.79	2.77	3.71	3.74
		80	-3.13	7.42	-4.41	8.13	-3.03	6.38	3.84	6.32
		25	-2.80	3.11	-5.33	8.80	-3.31	9.84	-7.67	10.91
11	Tanshinol	500	-3.08	8.89	2.34	1.27	-2.36	4.79	-4.47	4.05
		4000	-2.12	8.35	-5.65	11.94	-3.87	5.09	-5.03	6.53
		1.25	-4.00	5.93	-4.83	9.42	-5.33	7.49	-1.33	5.97
12	Rosmarinic acid	25	-2.50	9.19	-1.62	3.66	-3.27	5.46	-4.57	7.32
		200	2.92	7.10	5.33	10.16	3.32	6.77	-1.43	2.35
		5	-2.00	10.85	3.33	13.03	-2.67	9.79	-3.52	5.79
13	Alkanoic acid	100	-5.79	13.22	-2.14	2.89	-4.88	3.81	-3.33	5.67
		800	-2.05	7.84	-3.99	9.35	-1.36	7.32	-3.80	5.13
		2.5	-1.33	9.40	-2.17	12.67	-2.70	9.33	-2.67	4.24
14	Salvianolic acid B	50	-3.04	10.25	-1.30	5.32	-1.86	2.41	-5.06	7.65
		400	-2.68	6.47	-3.36	4.13	-3.72	3.40	-2.68	7.22

LLOQs of all analytes are shown in Table 3. All calibration curves showed good linearity ( $r \ge 0.9933$ ).

*3.3.3. Precision and Accuracy.* The precision and accuracy of the intra- and interday variation of the fourteen analytes are shown in Table 4. RSD values of precision were in the range of 1.36–13.60%, and the RE of accuracy was within 11%.

3.3.4. Extraction Recovery and Matrix Effect. The extraction recoveries and matrix effects of the fourteen analytes were evaluated by QC samples at three concentration levels (Table 5). The average extraction recoveries of all analytes and internal standards were in the range of 74.65–108.92%.

And, the mean matrix effects were between 71.00% and 114.98%, and no obvious matrix effects were observed from analytes and internal standards. Meanwhile, the variations (RSD) of extraction recoveries and matrix effects of the same analytes at three QC concentration levels were within 12%, demonstrating the consistence in these data.

3.3.5. Stability. The stability of the fourteen analytes in rat plasma under different conditions is investigated and summarized in Table 6. RE and RSD values of these analytes were in the range of -7.67-6.39 and 1.02-13.92%. It was demonstrated that the analytes in rat plasma were stable after storage at room temperature for 4 h, at 4°C (in



FIGURE 4: Concentration-time curves of the fourteen analytes in rat plasma after oral administration of dose-1 and dose-2 of YGJZF (mean  $\pm$  SD, n = 6).

autosampler) for 24 h, three freeze-thaw cycles, and at  $-80^{\circ}$ C for 30 days.

3.4. Pharmacokinetics Study. A comparative PK investigation of the fourteen constituents in Sprague-Dawley rats after oral administration of two doses of YGJZF was conducted with the validated method. The mean plasma concentration-time profiles of the fourteen analytes are shown in Figure 4, and the main PK parameters ( $C_{\text{max}}$ ,  $T_{\text{max}}$ ,  $t_{1/2}$ , AUC<sub>0-t</sub>, AUC<sub>0- $\infty$ </sub>, MRT<sub>0-t</sub> and CL) are listed in Table 7.

	TABL	Е 7: PK pa	trameters of the fo	ourteen analytes after	oral administratic	on of dose-1 and dose-	2 of YGJZF (mean $\pm$ SD,	, n = 6).	
No.	Analyte	Group	$T_{\rm max}$ (h)	C <sub>max</sub> (ng/mL)	$T_{1/2}$ (h)	AUC <sub>0-t</sub> (ng h/mL)	$AUC_{0-\infty}$ (ng h/mL)	$MRT_{0-t}$ (h)	CL (L/kg/h)
-	Daidroin	Dose-1	$7.00 \pm 0.00$	$70.60 \pm 13.24$	$21.73 \pm 5.42$	$892.44 \pm 140.57$	$957.28 \pm 166.21$	$17.37 \pm 1.25$	$1.11 \pm 0.18$
T	Daudzelli	Dose-2	$11.57 \pm 1.13^{**}$	$185.67 \pm 17.55^{**}$	$18.99 \pm 6.25$	$2929.33 \pm 356.15^{**}$	$3062.05 \pm 336.23^{**}$	$16.98\pm0.80$	$0.74 \pm 0.08^{**}$
ç	Domononotin	Dose-1	$0.50 \pm 0.00$	$9.62 \pm 0.75$	$5.55 \pm 1.41$	$25.07 \pm 5.53$	$30.65 \pm 6.86$	$3.75 \pm 0.23$	$3.82 \pm 0.84$
4	Letinomolicity	Dose-2	$0.50 \pm 0.00$	$23.42 \pm 4.38^{**}$	$7.35 \pm 1.03$	$48.16 \pm 6.59^{**}$	$64.12 \pm 8.47^{**}$	$3.66 \pm 0.21$	$3.81 \pm 0.98$
ç	A second	Dose-1	$7.33 \pm 0.82$	$2.27 \pm 0.37$	$5.19 \pm 1.18$	$20.08 \pm 3.50$	$22.06 \pm 4.61$	$9.54 \pm 0.46$	$1.06 \pm 0.22$
n	Apigeniii	Dose-2	$11.57 \pm 1.13^{**}$	$5.43 \pm 0.95^{**}$	$11.07 \pm 2.53^{**}$	$73.03 \pm 8.18^{**}$	$87.12 \pm 12.08^{**}$	$12.54 \pm 0.69^{**}$	$0.43 \pm 0.22^{**}$
~	T ntoolin	Dose-1	$6.00 \pm 1.10$	$5.83 \pm 0.36$	$5.91 \pm 1.03$	$62.24 \pm 11.28$	$67.85 \pm 6.61$	$9.39 \pm 0.35$	$0.83 \pm 0.15$
<del>1</del>	THEOTH	Dose-2	$11.14 \pm 1.46^{**}$	$9.44 \pm 1.91^{**}$	$9.12 \pm 1.96^{**}$	$128.32 \pm 22.28^{**}$	$136.04 \pm 20.17^{**}$	$12.05 \pm 0.58^{**}$	$0.59 \pm 0.17^{*}$
L	Doubouino	Dose-1	$0.33 \pm 0.00$	$10.92 \pm 1.53$	$28.57 \pm 3.83$	$60.82 \pm 14.40$	$98.58 \pm 12.98$	$24.43 \pm 3.17$	$68.22 \pm 14.22$
n	Derverine	Dose-2	$0.17 \pm 0.00$	$19.54 \pm 4.16^{**}$	$29.84 \pm 5.08$	$82.99 \pm 17.38^*$	$136.71 \pm 19.25^{**}$	$19.28 \pm 1.80^{**}$	$108.48 \pm 21.26^{**}$
9	Tatanaahiria	Dose-1	$0.50 \pm 0.00$	$2.80 \pm 0.54$	$5.21 \pm 1.92$	$5.76 \pm 1.15$	$7.53 \pm 0.88$	$2.97 \pm 0.10$	$142.45 \pm 14.22$
0	Jatrorriitziii	Dose-2	$0.17 \pm 0.00$	$5.96 \pm 1.02^{**}$	$9.01 \pm 2.40^{*}$	$9.39 \pm 1.67^{**}$	$15.60 \pm 2.56^{**}$	$2.96 \pm 0.36$	$111.50 \pm 18.20^{**}$
ſ	Dolmotino	Dose-1	$0.50 \pm 0.00$	$6.56 \pm 1.44$	$5.18\pm1.01$	$22.80 \pm 5.20$	$27.80 \pm 6.08$	$4.07 \pm 0.19$	$64.39 \pm 12.97$
	ramiaume	Dose-2	$0.17 \pm 0.00$	$13.00 \pm 2.51^{**}$	$9.58 \pm 1.92^{**}$	$53.12 \pm 4.17^{**}$	$59.16 \pm 10.41^{**}$	$3.90 \pm 0.29$	$67.30 \pm 13.15$
0	Discontin	Dose-1	$0.47 \pm 0.07$	$700.35 \pm 88.69$	$18.22 \pm 2.70$	$3658.38 \pm 441.21$	$3835.09 \pm 486.41$	$14.68 \pm 2.00$	$33.56 \pm 4.30$
0	r uei ai iii	Dose-2	$0.26 \pm 0.09^{**}$	$1474.99 \pm 224.25^{**}$	$21.54 \pm 5.83$	$5930.15 \pm 614.81^{**}$	$6364.14 \pm 655.14^{**}$	$15.28 \pm 1.27$	$26.81 \pm 2.71^{**}$
0	nin on O	Dose-1	$0.17\pm0.00$	$6.73 \pm 0.61$	$2.65 \pm 0.51$	$14.87\pm2.08$	$17.46 \pm 1.68$	$2.41 \pm 0.23$	$67.12 \pm 6.57$
n	OIDIIII	Dose-2	$0.17 \pm 0.00$	$15.05 \pm 3.46^{**}$	$4.69\pm1.48$	$20.11 \pm 3.53^*$	$28.77 \pm 6.34^{**}$	$2.20 \pm 0.28$	$76.29 \pm 10.10$
01		Dose-1	$0.50 \pm 0.00$	$3.30 \pm 0.13$	$3.85 \pm 1.68$	$5.08 \pm 0.62$	$6.38 \pm 0.75$	$2.06 \pm 0.17$	$142.62 \pm 16.25$
10	Jup-U-p-D-J-U-D-U-D-U-D-U-D-U-D-D-D-D-D-D-D-D-D	Dose-2	$0.17\pm0.00$	$9.01 \pm 1.66^{**}$	$6.79 \pm 2.28^{*}$	$8.47 \pm 1.96^{**}$	$11.64 \pm 2.04^{**}$	$1.57 \pm 0.12^{**}$	$123.81 \pm 24.03$
11	Touching	Dose-1	$0.75 \pm 0.00$	$182.69 \pm 21.72$	$3.70 \pm 0.72$	$437.71 \pm 97.41$	$508.99 \pm 105.81$	$2.59 \pm 0.08$	$12.65 \pm 2.76$
11	TALISHINI	Dose-2	$0.17 \pm 0.00$	$637.19 \pm 71.44^{**}$	$7.85 \pm 2.62^{**}$	$1365.06 \pm 292.73^{**}$	$2169.55 \pm 523.01^{**}$	$2.93 \pm 0.31^{*}$	$4.74 \pm 1.23^{**}$
5	Docurrentinic acid	Dose-1	$0.50 \pm 0.00$	$89.13 \pm 13.69$	$1.61 \pm 0.44$	$149.22 \pm 22.98$	$152.56 \pm 21.53$	$2.02 \pm 0.19$	$63.35 \pm 9.20$
17	NUSHIAI IIIIC ACIU	Dose-2	$0.17 \pm 0.00$	$170.94 \pm 37.20^{**}$	$5.39 \pm 1.27^{**}$	$283.95 \pm 66.86^{**}$	$319.74 \pm 51.17^{**}$	$2.68 \pm 0.24$	$30.60 \pm 6.86^{**}$
13	Allonoic acid	Dose-1	$1.00 \pm 0.00$	$14.30 \pm 1.73$	$4.92 \pm 1.81$	$83.18 \pm 10.47$	$103.70 \pm 14.48$	$4.50 \pm 0.22$	$14.65 \pm 2.80$
CT	MINALIUL ACIN	Dose-2	$0.50 \pm 0.00$	$27.27 \pm 3.89^{**}$	$9.06 \pm 2.28^{**}$	$99.73 \pm 19.49$	$150.73 \pm 21.69^{*}$	$4.22 \pm 0.19$	$10.03 \pm 2.31^*$
17	Solvinnolic acid R	Dose-1	$0.50 \pm 0.00$	$56.12 \pm 10.41$	$3.09 \pm 0.72$	$95.12 \pm 15.15$	$105.11 \pm 14.37$	$2.31 \pm 0.26$	$130.09 \pm 17.78$
F.1	Dalvialiully and D	Dose-2	$0.17 \pm 0.00$	$86.06 \pm 13.74^{*}$	$5.08 \pm 1.43^{*}$	$96.72 \pm 16.56$	$117.56 \pm 23.28$	$2.10 \pm 0.28$	$140.23 \pm 27.20$
$^{*}P < 0.0$	15, **P < 0.01.								

 $C_{\text{max}}$  and  $T_{\text{max}}$  are primarily used to describe the absorption rate of drugs in the body. As the data showed, most of the fourteen analytes could reach  $C_{\text{max}}$  in an hour after administration. Compared with those of the dose-1, nine analytes in dose-2, including berberine, jatrorrhizin, palmatine, puerarin, calycosin-7-O- $\beta$ -D-Glc, tanshinol, rosmarinic acid, alkanoic acid, and salvianolic acid B exhibited a relatively short  $T_{\text{max}}$ , indicating that these compounds were absorbed into blood more quickly after applying higher dose. The remaining compounds were all flavonoid glycosides or aglycones, in which  $T_{\text{max}}$  of daidzein, apigenin, and luteolin was delayed; however, there was no change in that of fermononetin and ononin. Meanwhile, a bimodal phenomenon of 3 flavonoids (daidzein, apigenin, and luteolin) was presented in Figure 4. In accordance with what had been reported previously [36-38], the first peak appeared in less than 1 h and the second peak at about 5 h or even later probably due to distribution, reabsorption, and enterohepatic circulation [39-41].

Upon the dose-2 level, most of the analytes showed significantly higher value (P < 0.01 or P < 0.05) in  $C_{\text{max}}$  and AUC, and among them, apigenin and tanshinol were increased nearly four times can be observed, suggesting the absorption of these analytes could be significantly improved after administration of dose-2. One could find that the contents of salvianolic acid B were higher than those of tanshinol in YGJZF extracts (Table 2), but in vivo, the opposite was true (Table 7). This phenomenon is consistent with the previous literature reports [42, 43]. In rats' plasma, besides the prototypical-tanshinol, these also include the tanshinol which was metabolized by salvianolic acid B [44]. In addition, as shown in Table 7, compared with dose-1, the CL of tanshinol and apigenin in dose-2 was significantly decreased (P < 0.01).

The concentration of berberine, jatrorrhizin, and palmatine had a distinct decline after oral administration (Figure 4, Tables 2 and 7), which indicated that the oral bioavailability of these alkaloids was very poor due to its structure and other reasons [45]. Moreover, Table 7 shows the  $MRT_{0-t}$  of berberine in dose-2 was significantly decreased (P < 0.05). The above evidence demonstrating that the CL of most of the analytes was slowed down and the action time in vivo was prolonged by increasing the dosage. Furthermore, compared with the dose-1, the dose-2 showed significantly longer (P < 0.01 or P < 0.05) in  $t_{1/2}$  of apigenin, luteolin, jatrorrhizin, palmatine, caly- $\cos n - 7 - O - \beta - D - Glc$ , tanshinol, rosmarinic acid, alkanoic acid, and salvianolic acid B. It is suggested that, as the dose increased, the elimination of the components slowed down.

Based upon these results, increasing the dosage enhanced the absorption, improved the bioavailability, and delayed the elimination of the most of fourteen bioactive components in YGJZF. The findings described in the present study would be meaningful for explaining why the dose-2 has better clinical efficacy in treatment of severe ALD than that of dose-1, and which is also informative for future application of YGJZF in clinical practice. Furthermore, the intensive study on toxicology of YGJZF will be involved in the following research to avoid side effects as well as to ensure clinical efficacy.

#### 4. Conclusion

A rapid, accurate, and sensitive UPLC-MS/MS method for simultaneous determination of fourteen bioactive components in rat plasma was developed and successfully applied to the comparative PK study after oral administration of YGJZF at two different doses (13.3 g/kg and 26.6 g/kg). It is the first time to simultaneously determine these multiple components in rat plasma after oral administration of YGJZF. By comparing the PK parameters of components in the two dosing groups, the in vivo process of YGJZF has been intuitively presented through a digital way. The obtained results demonstrated that systemic exposure level of most of the fourteen components was increased and actuation duration was prolonged in a dose-dependent manner, which could improve their bioavailability. Therefore, we speculated that the changes in PK profiles of the two doses of YGJZF might be the primary reason for their difference of the therapeutic intensity on ALD. Our comprehensive PK information will be useful for explaining the essence of clinical treatment and rational drug use of YGJZF.

#### Abbreviations

ALD:	Alcoholic liver disease
$AUC_{0-\infty}$ :	Area under the plasma concentration-
	time curve from 0 to infinity
$AUC_{0-t}$ :	Area under the plasma concentration-
	time curve from 0 to the last time
CL:	clearance
$C_{\max}$ :	Maximum plasma concentration
ESI:	Electrospray ionization
Glc:	Glucoside
IS1:	Liquiritigenin
IS2:	Hesperidin
LLOQ:	Lower limit of quantitation
MRM:	Multiple reaction monitoring
MRT:	Mean residence time
PK:	Pharmacokinetics
QC:	Quality control
RE:	Relative error
RSD:	Relative standard deviation
$T_{1/2}$ :	Terminal half-life value
TCM:	Traditional Chinese medicine
$T_{\max}$ :	Maximum concentration time
UPLC-MS/MS:	Ultraperformance liquid chromatography
	coupled with mass spectrometry
YGJZF:	Yigan Jiangzhi formula.

#### **Data Availability**

The data used to support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author upon request.

#### **Conflicts of Interest**

The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest.

#### **Authors' Contributions**

All the authors contributed equally to the manuscript. All authors have given approval to the final version of the manuscript. Yang Wang, Zheng Li, Tao Chen, Shuang Gu, Qiang Lv, Zhili Zhao, Zhaorui Yin, Xiaoyan Lin, and Jun Xie conceived the experiments. Ping Wang, Yuanhong Zhao, Jun Xie, and Changhua Xu did the characterization work, provided supervision and financial support via projects, and wrote the paper. Yang Wang, Ping Wang, and Jun Xie contributed equally to the work.

#### Acknowledgments

This study was financially supported by the research project of application fundamentals and advanced technology of Tianjin Science and Technology Commission (11JCZDJC19900) and the Development of Science and Technology Foundation for Higher Educational Institutions of Tianjin Education Committee (20050320). The authors very much appreciated Zhe Shi from Tianjin University of Traditional Chinese Medicine for the pharmacokinetic parameter studies.

#### **Supplementary Materials**

The supplementary material we submitted is the graphical abstract of this manuscript. (*Supplementary Materials*)

#### References

- R. S. O'shea, S. Dasarathy, and A. J. McCullough, "Alcoholic liver disease," *Hepatology*, vol. 51, no. 1, pp. 307–328, 2010.
- [2] A. Pietrangelo and European Association for the Study of the Liver, "EASL clinical practice guidelines for HFE hemochromatosis," *Journal of Hepatologyl*, vol. 53, pp. 3–22, 2010.
- [3] National Workshop on Fatty Liver and Alcoholic Liver Disease, Chinese Society of Hepatology, Chinese Medical Association, Fatty Liver Expert Committee, Chinese Medical Doctor Association, "guidelines of prevention and treatment for alcoholic liver disease: a 2018 update," *Zhonghua Gan Zang Bing Za Zhi*, vol. 26, no. 3, pp. 188–194, 2018.
- [4] A. Cruz and J. Muntané, "Alcoholic liver disease, oxidative stress, and antioxidants," *Revista espanola de enfermedades digestivas: organo oficial de la Sociedad Espanola de Patologia Digestiva*, vol. 103, no. 8, pp. 393–395, 2011.
- [5] B. Gao and R. Bataller, "Alcoholic liver disease: pathogenesis and new therapeutic targets," *Gastroenterology*, vol. 141, no. 5, pp. 1572–1585, 2011.
- [6] N. A. Nasrallah, J. J. Clark, A. L. Collins, C. A. Akers, P. E. Phillips, and I. L. Bernstein, "Risk preference following adolescent alcohol use is associated with corrupted encoding of costs but not rewards by mesolimbic dopamine," *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, vol. 108, no. 13, pp. 5466–5471, 2011.
- [7] H.-J. Kwon, Y.-Y. Kim, and S.-Y. Choung, "Amelioration effects of traditional Chinese medicine on alcohol-induced fatty liver," *World Journal of Gastroenterology*, vol. 11, no. 35, p. 5512, 2005.
- [8] D. Normile, "Asian medicine: the new face of traditional Chinese medicine," *Science*, vol. 299, no. 5604, pp. 188–190, 2003.

- [9] J. Van der Greef, S. Martin, P. Juhasz et al., "The art and practice of systems biology in medicine: mapping patterns of relationships," *Journal of Proteome Research*, vol. 6, no. 4, pp. 1540–1559, 2007.
- [10] W. Xijun, "Studies on serum pharmacochemistry of traditional Chinese medicine," World Science and Technology/ Modernization of Traditional Chinese Medicine, vol. 4, no. 2, pp. 1–4, 2002.
- [11] X. Wang, W. Sun, H. Sun et al., "Analysis of the constituents in the rat plasma after oral administration of Yin Chen Hao Tang by UPLC/Q-TOF-MS/MS," *Journal of Pharmaceutical and Biomedical Analysis*, vol. 46, no. 3, pp. 477–490, 2008.
- [12] Y. J. J. Y. H. Zhao, C. C. Dong, W. Q. Liu et al., "Study of protective effect of preconditioning with Yigan Jiangzhi Formula on early alcoholic liver injury," *Tianjin Journal of Traditional Chinese Medicine*, vol. 31, no. 3, p. 265, 2010.
- [13] Y. H. Z. J. H. Yin, Z. Li, Q. Z. Li, S. S. Hou, X. D. Zhang, and C. X. Yang, "Effects of preconditioning with yigan Jiangzhi formula on hot shock protein 70 in alcoholic fatty liver of rats," *Chinese Journal of Pharmacology and Toxicology*, vol. 33, no. 9, pp. 2231–2234, 2015.
- [14] Y. H. Z. X. D. Zhang, Y. J. Jia, Z. Li et al., "Effects of yigan Jiangzhi formula in pretreatment on expression of inducible nitric oxide synthase of alcoholic fatty liver SD rats," *Journal of Liaoning University of Traditional Chinese Medicine*, vol. 17, no. 3, pp. 19–23, 2015.
- [15] Y. H. Z. S. S. Hou, Y. J. Jia, Z. Li et al., "Effects of yigan Jiangzhi formula in pretreatment on expression of PKCα in alcoholic fatty liver of SD rats," *Jiangsu Journal of Traditional Chinese Medicine*, vol. 47, no. 1, pp. 70–73, 2015.
- [16] J. Fu, Z. Wang, L. Huang et al., "Review of the botanical characteristics, phytochemistry, and pharmacology ofAstragalus membranaceus (huangqi)," *Phytotherapy Research*, vol. 28, no. 9, pp. 1275–1283, 2014.
- [17] Z. Zhang, T.-N. Lam, and Z. Zuo, "RadixPuerariae: an overview of its chemistry, pharmacology, pharmacokinetics, and clinical use," *The Journal of Clinical Pharmacology*, vol. 53, no. 8, pp. 787–811, 2013.
- [18] Y.-G. Li, L. Song, M. Liu, H. Zhi-Bi, and Z.-T. Wang, "Advancement in analysis of Salviae miltiorrhizae Radix et Rhizoma (Danshen)," *Journal of Chromatography A*, vol. 1216, no. 11, pp. 1941–1953, 2009.
- [19] F.-C. Meng, Z.-F. Wu, Z.-Q. Yin et al., "Coptidis rhizoma and its main bioactive components: recent advances in chemical investigation, quality evaluation and pharmacological activity," *Chinese Medicine*, vol. 13, no. 1, 2018.
- [20] L. Huo, W. Wang, Y. Liu et al., "Chemical constituents from leaves of Perilla frutescens," *Chinese Traditional and Herbal Drugs*, vol. 47, no. 1, pp. 26–31, 2016.
- [21] G.-x. Yang, L. Song, and K.-l. Li, "Studies on the chemical constituents of Polygonum orientale," *Chinese Pharmaceutical Journal-Beijing*, vol. 38, no. 5, pp. 338-339, 2003.
- [22] K. Hase, R. Kasimu, P. Basnet, S. Kadota, and T. Namba, "Preventive effect of lithospermate B fromSalvia miltiorhizaon experimental hepatitis induced by carbon tetrachloride orD-galactosamine/lipopolysaccharide," *Planta Medica*, vol. 63, no. 1, pp. 22–26, 1997.
- [23] M. Hong, S. Li, N. Wang, H.-Y. Tan, F. Cheung, and Y. Feng, "A biomedical investigation of the hepatoprotective effect of radix salviae miltiorrhizae and network pharmacology-based prediction of the active compounds and molecular targets," *International Journal of Molecular Sciences*, vol. 18, no. 3, p. 620, 2017.

- [24] K. Athmouni, D. Belhaj, K. Mkadmini Hammi, A. El Feki, and H. Ayadi, "Phenolic compounds analysis, antioxidant, and hepatoprotective effects of Periploca angustifolia extract on cadmium-induced oxidative damage in HepG2 cell line and rats," *Archives of Physiology and Biochemistry*, vol. 124, no. 3, pp. 261–274, 2018.
- [25] V. Kaur, M. Kumar, P. Kaur, S. Kaur, A. P. Singh, and S. Kaur, "Hepatoprotective activity of Butea monosperma bark against thioacetamide-induced liver injury in rats," *Biomedicine & Pharmacotherapy*, vol. 89, pp. 332–341, 2017.
- [26] J.-C. Tsai, W.-H. Peng, T.-H. Chiu et al., "Hepatoprotective effect of Scoparia dulcis on carbon tetrachloride induced acute liver injury in mice," *The American Journal of Chinese Medicine*, vol. 38, no. 4, pp. 761–775, 2010.
- [27] L. Zhao, Y. Wang, J. Liu et al., "Protective effects of genistein and puerarin against chronic alcohol-induced liver injury in mice via antioxidant, anti-inflammatory, and anti-apoptotic mechanisms," *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*, vol. 64, no. 38, pp. 7291–7297, 2016.
- [28] P.-C. Hsieh, Y.-L. Ho, G.-J. Huang et al., "Hepatoprotective effect of the aqueous extract of Flemingia macrophylla on carbon tetrachloride-induced acute hepatotoxicity in rats through anti-oxidative activities," *The American Journal of Chinese Medicine*, vol. 39, no. 2, pp. 349–365, 2011.
- [29] J. Wang, X. Tong, P. Li et al., "Bioactive components on immuno-enhancement effects in the traditional Chinese medicine Shenqi Fuzheng Injection based on relevance analysis between chemical HPLC fingerprints and in vivo biological effects," *Journal of Ethnopharmacology*, vol. 155, no. 1, pp. 405–415, 2014.
- [30] S. Mehrzadi, I. Fatemi, M. Esmaeilizadeh, H. Ghaznavi, H. Kalantar, and M. Goudarzi, "Hepatoprotective effect of berberine against methotrexate induced liver toxicity in rats," *Biomedicine & Pharmacotherapy*, vol. 97, pp. 233–239, 2018.
- [31] J. Chao, J.-W. Liao, W.-H. Peng, M.-S. Lee, L.-H. Pao, and H.-Y. Cheng, "Antioxidant, analgesic, anti-inflammatory, and hepatoprotective effects of the ethanol extract of Mahonia oiwakensis stem," *International Journal of Molecular Sciences*, vol. 14, no. 2, pp. 2928–2945, 2013.
- [32] J. Li, L. Han, Y. Ma, and Y. F. Huang, "Inhibiting effects of three components of Astragalus membranaceus on oxidative stress in Chang Liver cells," *China Journal of Chinese Materia Medica*, vol. 40, no. 2, pp. 318–323, 2015.
- [33] Z. Zhang, P. Jia, X. Zhang et al., "LC-MS/MS determination and pharmacokinetic study of seven flavonoids in rat plasma after oral administration of Cirsium japonicum DC. extract," *Journal of Ethnopharmacology*, vol. 158, pp. 66–75, 2014.
- [34] L. Yang, X. Meng, X. Yu, and H. Kuang, "Simultaneous determination of anemoside B4, phellodendrine, berberine, palmatine, obakunone, esculin, esculetin in rat plasma by UPLC-ESI-MS/MS and its application to a comparative pharmacokinetic study in normal and ulcerative colitis rats," *Journal of Pharmaceutical and Biomedical Analysis*, vol. 134, pp. 43–52, 2017.
- [35] D. A. Food, Guidance for Industry: Bioanalytical Method Validation, US Department of Health and Human Services, Food and Drug Administration, Center for Drug Evaluation and Research, Center for Biologics Evaluation and Research, Silver Spring, MD, USA, 2001.
- [36] F. Shi, H. Pan, Y. Lu, and L. Ding, "An HPLC-MS/MS method for the simultaneous determination of luteolin and its major metabolites in rat plasma and its application to a pharmacokinetic study," *Journal of Separation Science*, vol. 41, no. 20, pp. 3830–3839, 2018.

- [37] Y. Tian, Q. Li, X. Zhou, Q. Pang, and Y. Xu, "A UHPLC-MS/ MS method for simultaneous determination of twelve constituents from Erigeron breviscapus extract in rat plasma: application to a pharmacokinetic study," *Journal of Chromatography B*, vol. 1046, pp. 1–12, 2017.
- [38] Y. Tao, X. Chen, Y. Jiang, and B. Cai, "A UPLC-MS/MS approach for simultaneous determination of eight flavonoids in rat plasma, and its application to pharmacokinetic studies of Fu-Zhu-Jiang-Tang tablet in rats," *Biomedical Chromatography*, vol. 31, no. 3, p. e3828, 2017.
- [39] W.-J. Miao, Q. Wang, T. Bo et al., "Rapid characterization of chemical constituents and rats metabolites of the traditional Chinese patent medicine Gegen-Qinlian-Wan by UHPLC/ DAD/qTOF-MS," *Journal of Pharmaceutical and Biomedical Analysis*, vol. 72, pp. 99–108, 2013.
- [40] S. Wu, W. Xu, F.-R. Wang, and X.-W. Yang, "Study of the biotransformation of tongmai formula by human intestinal flora and its intestinal permeability across the Caco-2 cell monolayer," *Molecules*, vol. 20, no. 10, pp. 18704–18716, 2015.
- [41] H. S. Cheruvu, N. K. Yadav, G. R. Valicherla et al., "LC-MS/ MS method for the simultaneous quantification of luteolin, wedelolactone and apigenin in mice plasma using hansen solubility parameters for liquid-liquid extraction: application to pharmacokinetics of Eclipta alba chloroform fraction," *Journal of Chromatography B*, vol. 1081-1082, pp. 76–86, 2018.
- [42] A. Zhao, L. Zhang, R. Li et al., "Development and validation of an LC-MS/MS method for the simultaneous quantification of seven constituents in rat plasma and application in a pharmacokinetic study of the Zaoren Anshen prescription," *Biomedical Chromatography*, vol. 32, no. 2, p. e4055, 2018.
- [43] S.-P. Wang, L. Liu, L.-L. Wang et al., "Simultaneous determination of six hydrophilic components in rat plasma after oral administration of Jitai tablet by liquid chromatographyelectrospray ionization-tandem mass spectrometry: application to a pharmacokinetic study," *Journal of Chromatography B*, vol. 912, pp. 75–84, 2013.
- [44] M. Xu, H. Guo, J. Han et al., "Structural characterization of metabolites of salvianolic acid B from Salvia miltiorrhiza in normal and antibiotic-treated rats by liquid chromatography-mass spectrometry," *Journal of Chromatography B*, vol. 858, no. 1-2, pp. 184–198, 2007.
- [45] C.-S. Liu, Y.-R. Zheng, Y.-F. Zhang, and X.-Y. Long, "Research progress on berberine with a special focus on its oral bioavailability," *Fitoterapia*, vol. 109, pp. 274–282, 2016.